

ON j -INVARIANTS OF WEIERSTRASS EQUATIONS

RYUTARO HORIUCHI

ABSTRACT. A simple proof of the fact that the j -invariants for Weierstrass equations are invariant under birational transformations which keep the forms of Weierstrass equations is given by finding a non-trivial explicit birational transformation which sends a normalized Weierstrass equation to the same equation.

1. Introduction

For Legendre forms

$$(1) \quad y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$$

the j -invariants ([4], p.55, [2], p.119)

$$(2) \quad j(\lambda) = 256 \cdot \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1}{\lambda^2 \cdot (\lambda - 1)^2}$$

are invariant under birational transformations which keep Legendre forms. This is proved in Hartshorne's book ([3], p.317) using Galois theory and linear series theory. The point is in showing that the group of birational transformations which keep Legendre forms is generated by

$$(3) \quad (i) \begin{cases} x' = 1 - x \\ y' = y \end{cases} \quad (ii) \begin{cases} x' = \frac{1}{x} \\ y' = \frac{y}{x^2}, \end{cases}$$

which preserve the set $\{0, 1, \infty\}$, and map λ respectively to

$$(4) \quad (i) \quad 1 - \lambda, \quad (ii) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Received September 17, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14H52; Secondary 30F10, 14H55.

Key words and phrases. j -invariant, Weierstrass equation, elliptic curve, coverings, Riemann surface of genus one.

Theorem. For non-singular Weierstrass equations

$$(5) \quad y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y - x^3 - a_2x^2 - a_4x - a_6 = 0,$$

the j -invariants are defined by

$$(6) \quad j(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6) = \frac{4(b_2^2 - 24b_4)^3}{36b_2b_4b_6 - (32b_4^3 + 108b_6^2) - b_2^2(b_2b_6 - b_4^2)},$$

where

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} b_2 &= a_1^2 + 4a_2 \\ b_4 &= a_1a_3 + 2a_4 \\ b_6 &= a_3^2 + 4a_6. \end{aligned}$$

Two elliptic curves defined by equations like (5) are isomorphic if and only if they have the same j -invariant.

In fact, the invariance of the j -invariants under birational transformations which keep the form of Weierstrass equations is proved by finding an explicit non-trivial birational transformation which sends a normalized Weierstrass equation to the same equation.

We are working over the field of characteristic not equal to two or three.

Remark. Using Legendre forms it is proved that the j -invariant is independent of the choice of the base points of elliptic curves ([4], pp.108–109).

2. Weierstrass equations

Let T be a Riemann surface of genus one. By the Riemann-Roch theorem for a fixed point P_∞ there are meromorphic functions, x of order two with a pole of order two at P_∞ and y of order three with a pole of order three at P_∞ . Then there is a relation between x and y :

$$(8) \quad f(x, y) = y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y - x^3 - a_2x^2 - a_4x - a_6 = 0$$

called the Weierstrass equation ([4], p.46), where the coefficient of y^2 is normalized as 1 and those of x^3 is normalized as -1 . In general, the Weierstrass equations (8) may be singular, but we assume that the equations are non-singular, i.e., they define elliptic curves, since our surfaces are of genus one. There are many choices of functions x, y so that the relation is not unique, and there are many birationally equivalent Weierstrass equations.

We choose such a point $P_0 \neq P_\infty$ on T as a zero of the discriminant of (8) w.r.t. x . Subtracting the constant values $x(P_0), y(P_0)$ from the functions x, y respectively, we may assume that $a_4 = a_6 = 0$. The Weierstrass equation then takes the form

$$(9) \quad y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y - x^3 - a_2x^2 = 0$$

and the coordinates of the point P_0 is $(x, y) = (0, 0)$. We call the forms (9) normalized Weierstrass equations.

3. Proof of Theorem

It is known ([4], pp.46–55, 63–65) or checked by direct computation that the isomorphisms

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= u^2 X + r \\ y &= u^3 Y + su^2 X + t \end{aligned}$$

fixing the point $P_\infty = (\infty, \infty)$ keep the form of Weierstrass equations and make the j -invariant (6) invariant.

The Weierstrass equation (5) can be transformed to a normalized Weierstrass equation

$$(11) \quad y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y - x^3 - a_2 x^2 = 0 \quad (a_3 \neq 0)$$

by some isomorphisms (10), and it is transformed to completely the same form

$$(12) \quad Y^2 + a_1 XY + a_3 Y - X^3 - a_2 X^2 = 0$$

by the birational transformation

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} X &= \frac{a_3(x + a_2)}{y} \\ Y &= \frac{a_3 x(x + a_2)^2}{y^2} - \frac{a_1 a_3(x + a_2)}{y} - a_3 \end{cases}$$

which transforms the point P_∞ to the finite point $P_0 = (0, 0)$. The inverse of (13) is

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} x &= \frac{a_3(Y + a_1 X + a_3)}{X^2} \\ y &= \frac{a_3(a_3 Y + a_1 a_3 X + a_3^2 + a_2 X^2)}{X^3}. \end{cases}$$

One can easily check these facts by using Maple as follows :

```
> f := y^2 + a[1] * x * y + a[3] * y - x^3 - a[2] * x^2;
> X = a[3] * (x + a[2]) / y;   Y = a[3] * x * (x + a[2])^2 / y^2 - a[1] * a[3] *
  (x + a[2]) / y - a[3];
> solve({X = a[3] * (x + a[2]) / y,   Y = a[3] * x * (x + a[2])^2 / y^2 - a[1] *
  a[3] * (x + a[2]) / y - a[3]}, {x, y});
> factor(subs(%, f));
```

Of course, the original equation (11) and the transformed equation (12) have the same j -invariant (6).

The transformations (10) and (13) (cf. [1], p.374) generate all birational transformations which keep the form of Weierstrass equations. Consequently we have the result.

References

- [1] H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 138, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [2] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [3] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [4] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 106. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
DOSHISHA UNIVERSITY
TATARA, KYOTANABE 610-0321, JAPAN
E-mail address: rhoruti@mail.doshisha.ac.jp