「Short communication」

Tube agglutination test is superior than other serological tests for diagnosis of brucellosis in small ruminants

Md. Siddigur Rahman, Nusrat Jahan¹, Mohammad Arif Hossain², M. J. Uddin, Niraj Kanti Shil, KBM Saiful Islam³, Md. Shamim Ahasan, A. K. M. Anisur Rahman, Hee-Jong Song^{4*}

Department of Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University,

¹ Department of English, Ishorgonj Degree College, Ishorgonj, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh,

² Department of Paediatrics, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi-6000, Bangladesh,

³ Laboratory of Microbial Physiology, Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita 9 Nishi 9, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan,

⁴Bio-saferty Research Institute and College of Veterinary Medicine, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju 561-756, Korea.

(Received 17 August 2008, accepted in revised from 23 December 2008)

Abstract

Brucella spp. are small, non-motile Gram-negative coccobacilli known to cause disease in a number of vertebrate species including humans and brucellosis is one of the world's major zoonoses, alongside bovine tuberculosis and rabies. There are about 33.55 million goats and 1.16 million sheep in Bangladesh. The sheep and goats can significantly play an important role in the economic well being of the resource-poor farmer in Bangladesh. Sexually matured 362 female small ruminants (300 goats and 62 sheep) were examined. Approximately 3-5 ml of blood was collected from the jugular vein of each animal and sera samples were prepared. Samples were then tested for brucellosis by using Rose Bengal test (RBT), plate agglutination test (PAT) and tube agglutination test (TAT). Among 362 small ruminants, irrespective of species (sheep or goat), diagnosed highest in TAT, 2.21% (n=8) and lowest both by RBT & PAT, 1.93% (n=7) and it is concluded that TAT is superior than RBT and PAT.

Key words: Brucellosis, Small ruminants, Rose Bengal test, Plate and tube agglutination test

*Corresponding author

Tel: +82-63-270-2562. Fax: +82-63-270-3780

E-mail: hjsong@chonbuk.ac.kr

Introduction

Brucella spp are small, non-motile gramnegative coccobacilli known to cause disease in a number of vertebrate species including humans and brucellosis is one of the world's major zoonoses, alongside bovine tuberculosis and rabies ¹⁾. Brucella infection is endemic in humans and domestic animals in Mediterranean countries and it is also present in Asia including Bangladesh, sub-Saharan Africa, and Latin America ¹⁻³⁾.

Bangladesh hosts large number of small ruminants that are raised usually under free range system or in adjunct to crop production. The ruminants especially small (sheep and goat) ruminants in Bangladesh are mainly utilized for meat purposes, although goat milk is used some extent for human consumption. The small ruminants are not only important for meat and milk but also important for good quality leathers and source of income to farmers. Among the Asiatic countries Bangladesh has got the second highest population of goats which accounted for 34.47 million 4). The goat rank second in terms of meat, milk and skin production representing about 28.0, 23.0 and 28.0 percent among the total contribution of livestock, in Bangladesh 4). There are about 33.55 million goats and 1.16 million sheep in Bangladesh 5). The sheep and goats can significantly play an important role in the economic well being of the resource-poorfarmer.

In this study we have reported that tube agglutination is superior than other serological tests for diagnosis of brucellosis in small ruminants.

Materials and Methods

A total of 362 small ruminants (300 goats and 62 sheep) were used in this study. In Mymensingh, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU) Nutrition Farm, goats attended at Veterinary Clinic, BAU and the sheep and goat population living around the BAU campus were included in this study. Besides, samples were collected sera from sheep and goat of Boyra, Char Nilakhia, Vangnamari villages of Ishwargonj upazilla, Mymensingh and some villages of Dhamrai upazila, Dhaka. The sexually matured female sheep and goat populations were randomly selected for this study. All of the study animals were indigenous breeds.

Approximately 3–5 ml of blood was collected from the jugular vein of each animal using a sterile disposable syringe and needle. Serum samples were prepared by centrifugation and stored in vials at -20° C. Samples were then tested for brucellosis by using Rose Bengal test (RBT), plate agglutination test (PAT) and tube agglutination test (TAT). For all three tests, the *Brucella abortus* strain 1119–3 (DaeSung Microbiological Laboratories, Korea)was used as the antigen following their instruction.

Table 1. Overall diagnosis of brucellosis

in sheep and goats			
Total number of sera samples collected and tested	Total number (%) of positive cases		
62	3 (4.84%)		
300	7 (2.33%)		
	Total number of sera samples collected and tested		

Result and Discussion

The overall diagnosis of brucellosis in sheep and goat shown in Table 1. It has shown that 4.84% (3 sheep) and 2.33% (7

goats) in among 62 sheep and 300 goats, respectively were positive for brucellosis.

The result of diagnosis by RBT, PAT and TAT has presented in Table 2. Among 362 small ruminants, irrespective of species (sheep or goat), diagnosed highest in TAT, 2.21% (n=8) and lowest both by RBT and PAT, 1.93% (n=7).

Table 2. Diagnosis of brucellosis in small ruminants irrespective of species by Rose Bengal test (RBT), Plate Agglutination test (PAT), Tube Agglutination test (TAT).

Total number of sera	Number (%) of sera positive by		
samples	RBT	PAT	TAT
362	7 (1.93)	7 (1.93)	8 (2.21)

Brucellosis is a wide spread disease of livestock and human beings resulting in reproductive inefficiency and abortion. Small ruminant brucellosis is mostly caused by B. meltensis $^{6)}$. B. ovis is also an important cause of orchitis and epididymitis in sheep but it is not recognized as a cause of natural infection in goats. Persistent infection is a common feature of the disease with frequent shedding of the bacterium in reproductive and mammary secretions. Brucellosis is an important zoonosis threatening the public health in many countries of the world $^{7)}$.

The diagnosis of brucellosis is confirmed by isolation of *Brucella* by bacteriological culture or by the detection of an immune response by serological test to its antigens ^{8,9)}. The diagnosis of brucellosis based exclusively on *Brucella* isolation presents several drawbacks. The slow growth of *Brucella* may delay diagnosis for more than 7 days ¹⁰⁻¹²⁾. Also, the sensitivity is often low, ranging

from 50 to 90% depending on disease stage, *Brucella* species, culture medium, quantity of bacteria and culture technique employed ^{12,13)}.

Among the serological tests, RBT is a screening test for diagnosis of Brucella infection 14) and the TAT has become the standard method, is the test recommended for collection of quantitative information on immune responses, and is the most frequently used confirmatory serological test 15). In many countries, the PAT, which may give false-negative results, is the routine test and is sometimes the only one used 153. The PAT was originally developed to provide a rapid test and it would approximate the results of the TAT. TAT was the first test used for the diagnosis of brucellosis in human and was soon adapted for use in animals 16).

In Bangladesh, 0% of brucellosis in sheep and 1% of brucellosis in goats was diagnosed by Amin 17) and in another study caprine brucellosis were determined 23.64% at Modhupur in Tangail district, 31.82% at Bhalukain Mymensingh district, 34.00% in Manikganj district and 16.66% in BAU campus and adjacent villages by PAT²⁾. In this study, we have tested 362 sera of small ruminants and we have found by TAT. 2.21% (n=8) and both by RBT and PAT, 1.93% (n=7). The similar results (1.93) %) were observed both by RBT and PAT but the result was 2.21% by TAT. Therefore, it may be concluded that TAT is superior than RBT and PAT.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the help of the farmers and attendants on the sheep and

goat farms during this investigation and also to the Bangladesh Agricultural University Research System (BAURES), BAU, Mymensingh and University Grants Commission (UGC), Bangladesh for their financial supports.

References

- 1. Rahman MS, Han JC, Park J, et al. Prevalence of brucellosis and its association with reproductive problems in cows in Bangladesh. Vet Rec 159:180-182.
- 2. Rahman MM, Haque M, Rahman MA. 1988. Sero-prevalence of caprine and human brucellosis in some selected areas of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Vet J* 22(12): 85–93.
- 3. Roth F, Zinsstag J, Orkhon D. 2003. Human health benefits from livestock vaccination for brucellosis: case study. Bull World Health Organ 81(12): 867–876.
- 4. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations). 1997. *Production Year Book*, 50. Rome, Italy.
- Anon MF. 2001. Animal Production Year Book., FAO Year Book, Rome.
- 6. Redkar R, Rose S, Bricker B, et al. 2001. Real time detection of *Brucella meltensis* and *Brucella suis*. *Mol Cell Probes* 15: 43–52.
- 7. Hamdy MER, Amin AS. 2002. Detection of *Brucella* species in the milk of infected cattle, sheep, goats and camels by PCR. *Vet J* 163: 299–305.
- 8. Ewalt DR. 1989. Comparison of three culture techniques for the isolation of *Brucella abortus* from bovine supramam—

- mary lymph nodes. J Vet Diagn Invest 1:227-230.
- Orduna A, Almaraz A, Prado A, et al. 2000. Evaluation of an immunocaptureagglutination test (Brucellacapt) for serodiagnosis of human brucellosis. *J Clin Microbiol* 38:4000-4005.
- 10. Rodriguez TA, Fermoso J. 1987. Brucellosis. *Medicine* 76:3165-3177.
- 11. Ariza J, Corredoira J, Pallares R, et al. 1995. Characteristics of and risk factors for relapse of brucellosis in humans. *Clin Infect Dis* 20(5): 1241–1249
- 12. Yagupsky P. 1999. Detection of brucellae in blood culture. *J Clin Microbiol* 37: 3437–3442.
- 13. Gotuzzo E, Carrillo C, Guerra J, et al. 1986. An evaluation of diagnostic methods for brucellosis. the value of bone marrow culture. *J Infect Dis* 153: 122–125.
- 14. MacMillan A. 1990. Animal brucellosis. Ed by Nielsen K, Duncan JR. Boca Ratan, CRC Press, Florida, USA: 153.
- 15. Lucero NE, Bolpe JE. 1998. Buffered plate antigen test as a screening test for diagnosis of human brucellosis. *J Clin Microbiol* 36:1425-1427.
- 16. George WB 1994. Handbook of zoonoses. 2 eds, Section A: Bacterial, Rickettsial, Chlamydial, and Mycotic. CRC Press, Inc., 2000 Corporate Blvd., Boca Raton, Florida, USA: 33431.
- 17. Amin KMR. 2003. Serological epidemiology of bovine and caprine brucellosis. A MS thesis, submitted to the Department of Microbiology and Hygiene, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh.