

THE MODIFIED HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF A MIXED TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

KYOO-HONG PARK

ABSTRACT. We investigate the modified Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the following mixed type functional equation, i.e, cubic or quadratic type functional equation :

$$9f(x+y) - 9f(x-y) + f(6y) = 3f(x+3y) - 3f(x-3y) + 9f(2y).$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, it is well known that the stability of functional equations was first raised by S. M. Ulam [24] as follows: *Under what condition does there is an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping between a group and a metric group?*

In next year, D. H. Hyers [6] answers the problem of Ulam under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mappings was given by Th. M. Rassias [19]. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equation have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (for instances, [2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22]). In particular, one of the important functional equations studied is the following functional equation [1, 4, 13, 17]:

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y).$$

The quadratic function $f(x) = qx^2$ is a solution of this functional equation, and so one usually is called the above functional equation to be quadratic.

A Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was first proved by F. Skof [23] for functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is a normed space and Y a Banach space. S. Czerwik [4] generalized the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation.

Received by the editors December 12, 2006 and, in revised form, January 24, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B72, 39B52.

Key words and phrases. stability, additive function, cubic function, quadratic function.

The cubic function $f(x) = cx^3$ satisfies the functional equation

$$(1) \quad f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 2f(x + y) + 2f(x - y) + 12f(x).$$

The equation (1) was solved by K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim [10] (see also [18]).

In this note, we promise that the equation (1) is called a cubic functional equation and every solution of the cubic functional equation (1) is said to be a cubic function.

Now, let us introduce the following functional equation:

$$(2) \quad 9f(x + y) - 9f(x - y) + f(6y) = 3f(x + 3y) - 3f(x - 3y) + 9f(2y).$$

It is easy to see that the real-valued function $f(x) = cx^3 + qx^2$ is a solution of the functional equation (2). Our main purpose in this note is to examine the modified Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem (or the stability in the spirit of Găvruta [5]) for the equation (2).

2. SOLUTIONS OF EQ. (2)

Let X and Y be real vector spaces. In this section we will find out the general solution of (2).

Lemma 1. *A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is cubic if and only if f is odd and satisfies the functional equation $f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - y) = f(x - 3y) + 3f(x + y) + 48f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that f is cubic, that is, the functional equation

$$(3) \quad f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 2f(x + y) + 2f(x - y) + 12f(x)$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$. By putting $x = y = 0$ in (3), we see that $f(0) = 0$, and setting $x = 0$ in (3) yields the fact that f is odd. If we interchange x and y in (3), we have

$$(4) \quad f(x + 2y) - f(x - 2y) = 2f(x + y) - 2f(x - y) + 12f(y).$$

Let $x := x + y$ and $x := x - y$, respectively, in (4). Then we obtain

$$f(x + 3y) - f(x - y) = 2f(x + 2y) - 2f(x) + 12f(y)$$

and

$$f(x + y) - f(x - 3y) = 2f(x) - 2f(x - 2y) + 12f(y).$$

Comparing the above two results, we get

$$f(x + 3y) - f(x - 3y) - f(x - y) + f(x + y) = 2f(x + 2y) - 2f(x - 2y) + 24f(y)$$

which, by (4), gives

$$f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - y) = f(x - 3y) + 3f(x + y) + 48f(y).$$

(\Leftarrow) Assume that f is odd and satisfies the functional equation

$$(5) \quad f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - y) = f(x - 3y) + 3f(x + y) + 48f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By interchanging x and y in (5), we obtain

$$(6) \quad f(3x + y) + f(3x - y) = 3f(x + y) + 3f(x - y) + 48f(x)$$

and applying [12, Theorem 2.2] to (6), we see that f is cubic. \square

The following lemma is due to [11].

Lemma 2. *A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is quadratic if and only if $f(0) = 0$, f is even and satisfies the functional equation $f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - y) = f(x - 3y) + 3f(x + y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Theorem 1. *A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the equation (1.2) for all $x, y \in X$ if and only if there exist a cubic function $C : X \rightarrow Y$ and a quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x) = C(x) + Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Define the functions $C, Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by $C(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x) - f(-x)]$ and $Q(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)]$ for all $x, y \in X$, respectively. Then we have $C(0) = 0$, $C(-x) = -C(x)$, $Q(-x) = Q(x)$,

$$(7) \quad 9C(x + y) - 9C(x - y) + C(6y) = 3C(x + 3y) - 3C(x - 3y) + 9C(2y),$$

and

$$(8) \quad 9Q(x + y) - 9Q(x - y) + Q(6y) = 3Q(x + 3y) - 3Q(x - 3y) + 9Q(2y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

First, we claim that C is cubic. If we let $x := y$ in (7), we get

$$(9) \quad C(6y) = 3C(4y) + 3C(2y),$$

and replacing x by $3y$ in (7) gives

$$(10) \quad 2C(6y) = 9C(4y) - 18C(2y).$$

We compare (9) with (10) to obtain $C(4y) = 8C(2y)$, and so by putting $y := \frac{y}{2}$, we have $C(2y) = 8C(y)$, from which (9) gives $C(6y) = 216C(y)$.

Therefore (7) now becomes

$$C(x + 3y) - C(x - 3y) = 3C(x + y) - 3C(x - y) + 48C(y),$$

and interchanging x and y yields

$$C(3x + y) + C(3x - y) = 3C(x + y) + 3C(x - y) + 48C(x).$$

Therefore C is cubic by [12].

Secondly, we claim that Q is quadratic. By letting $x = y = 0$ in (8), we get $Q(0) = 0$. If we put $x = 0$ in (8), we have

$$Q(6y) = 9Q(2y).$$

Hence (8) can be written in the form

$$Q(x + 3y) - Q(x - 3y) = 3Q(x + y) - 3Q(x - y)$$

which implies that Q is quadratic in view of [11].

That is, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the equation (2), then we have $f(x) = C(x) + Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that there exist a cubic mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ and a quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x) = C(x) + Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Since $C(2x) = 8C(x)$, $C(3x) = 27C(x)$, $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$ and $Q(3x) = 9Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$, it follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & 9f(x + y) - 9f(x - y) + f(6y) - 3f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - 3y) - 9f(2y) \\ &= 9C(x + y) - 9C(x - y) + C(6y) - 3C(x + 3y) + 3C(x - 3y) - 9C(2y) \\ &\quad + 9Q(x + y) - 9Q(x - y) + Q(6y) - 3Q(x + 3y) + 3Q(x - 3y) - 9Q(2y) \\ &= -3[C(x + 3y) + 3C(x - y) - C(x - 3y) - 3C(x + y) - 48C(y)] \\ &\quad - 3[Q(x + 3y) + 3Q(x - y) - Q(x - 3y) - 3Q(x + y)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. □

3. STABILITY OF EQ. (2)

In this section, X and Y will be a real normed space and a real Banach space, respectively. Given a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we set

$$Df(x, y) := 9f(x + y) - 9f(x - y) + f(6y) - 3f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - 3y) - 9f(2y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Let $\phi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying one of the conditions (11) and (12), and one of the conditions (13) and (14) below:

$$(11) \quad \varepsilon_1(x) := \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8^i} \alpha(2^i x) < \infty, \quad \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y)}{8^n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(12) \quad \varepsilon_2(x) := \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 8^i \alpha(2^{-(i+1)}x) < \infty, \quad 8^n \phi(2^{-n}x, 2^{-n}y) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\alpha(x) := \phi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}) + \phi(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}[\phi(\frac{3}{2}x, \frac{x}{2}) + \phi(-\frac{3}{2}x, -\frac{x}{2})]$ for all $x, y \in X$,
and

$$(13) \quad \varepsilon_3(x) := \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^i} \beta(2^i x) < \infty, \quad \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y)}{4^n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(14) \quad \varepsilon_4(x) := \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^i \beta(2^{-(i+1)}x) < \infty, \quad 4^n \phi(2^{-n}x, 2^{-n}y) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\beta(x) := \frac{1}{2}[\phi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}) + \phi(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2})] + \frac{1}{2}[\phi(0, \frac{x}{2}) + \phi(0, -\frac{x}{2})]$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 2. *If the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality*

$$(15) \quad \|Df(x, y)\| \leq \phi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $f(0) = 0$, then there exist a unique cubic function $C : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(16) \quad \|f(x) - (C(x) + Q(x))\| \leq \varepsilon_k(x) + \varepsilon_j(x),$$

$$(17) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - C(x) \right\| \leq \varepsilon_k(x),$$

and

$$(18) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq \varepsilon_j(x)$$

for all $x \in X$, where $k = 1$ or 2 and $j = 3$ or 4 .

The functions C and Q are given by

$$(19) \quad C(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) - f(-2^n x)}{8^n} & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (11)} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right] & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (12)} \end{cases}$$

$$(20) \quad Q(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x)}{4^n} & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (13)} \\ 4^n \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + f\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right] & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (14)} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be the function defined by $g(x) = \frac{1}{2} [f(x) - f(-x)]$ for all $x \in X$. Then we have $g(-x) = -g(x)$ and

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Dg(x, y)\| &= \|9g(x+y) - 9g(x-y) + g(6y) \\ &\quad - 3g(x+3y) + 3g(x-3y) - 9g(2y)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x, y) + \phi(-x, -y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $y := x$ in (21) yields

$$(22) \quad \|g(6x) - 3g(4x) - 2g(2x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x, x) + \phi(-x, -x)],$$

and setting $x := 3y$ in (21) gives

$$\|9g(4y) - 18g(2y) - 3g(6y)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} [\phi(3y, y) + \phi(-3y, -y)]$$

which, by letting $y := x$, becomes

$$(23) \quad \|9g(4x) - 18g(2x) - 2g(6x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} [\phi(3x, x) + \phi(-3x, -x)]$$

for all $x \in X$. Using (22) and (23), we get

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \|24g(2x) - 3g(4x)\| &\leq 2\|g(6x) - 3g(4x) - 3g(2x)\| \\ &\quad + \|9g(4x) - 18g(2x) - 2g(6x)\| \\ &\leq \alpha(2x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (24) and then dividing by 24, we obtain

$$(25) \quad \left\| g(x) - \frac{g(2x)}{8} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{24} \alpha(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Assume that ϕ satisfies the condition (11). Substituting $2x$ for x in (13) and dividing by 8, we get

$$(26) \quad \left\| \frac{g(2x)}{8} - \frac{g(2^2x)}{8^2} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{1}{8} \alpha(2x)$$

for all $x \in X$. An induction argument now implies that

$$(27) \quad \left\| g(x) - \frac{g(2^n x)}{8^n} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{8^i} \alpha(2^i x)$$

for all $x \in X$. We claim that $\{8^{-n}g(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y .

For $m < n$,

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \|8^{-n}g(2^n x) - 8^{-m}g(2^m x)\| &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \|8^{-i}g(2^i x) - 8^{-(i+1)}g(2^{i+1} x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha(2^i x)}{8^i} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (28), we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|8^{-n}g(2^n x) - 8^{-m}g(2^m x)\| = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. Since Y is a Banach space, it follows that the sequence $\{8^{-n}g(2^n x)\}$ converges. We define a function $C : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$(29) \quad C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^{-n}g(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$. It is clear that $C(-x) = -C(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and it follows from (29) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DC(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^{-n} \|Dg(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^{-n} \frac{1}{2} [\phi(2^n x, 2^n y) + \phi(2^n(-x), 2^n(-y))] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence C is cubic. To prove the inequality (17), taking the limit in (27) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(30) \quad \|g(x) - C(x)\| \leq \varepsilon_1(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. It remains to show that C is unique. Suppose now that $\tilde{C} : X \rightarrow Y$ is another cubic function satisfying (30). Then it is obvious that $C(2x) = 8C(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and so it follows from (30) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{C}(x) - C(x)\| &= 8^{-n} \|\tilde{C}(2^n x) - C(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq 8^{-n} (\|\tilde{C}(2^n x) - g(2^n x)\| + \|g(2^n x) - C(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 8^{-n} \varepsilon_1(2^n x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this inequality, we have $\tilde{C}(x) = C(x)$ for all $x \in X$

If ϕ satisfies the condition (12), then we replace x by $\frac{x}{4}$ in (24) and divide by 3 to obtain

$$\|g(x) - 8g(2^{-1}x)\| \leq \frac{1}{3}\alpha(2^{-1}x)$$

for all $x \in X$. The rest of the proof is similar to the corresponding part of the proof of the case (11). Therefore, $C : X \rightarrow Y$ is the unique cubic function defined by

$$C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n g(2^{-n}x)$$

for all $x \in X$ such that

$$\|g(x) - C(x)\| \leq \varepsilon_2(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Now let $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be the function defined by $h(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)]$ for all $x \in X$. Then we have $h(-x) = h(x)$ and

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Dh(x, y)\| &= \|9h(x+y) - 9h(x-y) + h(6y) \\ &\quad - 3h(x+3y) + 3h(x-3y) - 9h(2y)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}[\phi(x, y) + \phi(-x, -y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By setting $x := 0$ in (31) and then letting $y := x$, we get

$$(32) \quad \|h(6x) - 9g(2x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}[\phi(0, x) + \phi(0, -x)],$$

and also replacing y by x in (31) yields

$$(33) \quad \|3h(4x) - 3h(2x) - h(6x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}[\phi(x, x) + \phi(-x, -x)].$$

Utilizing (32) and (33), we get

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \|3h(4x) - 12h(2x)\| &\leq \|3h(4x) - 3h(2x) - h(6x)\| + \|h(6x) - 9h(2x)\| \\ &\leq \beta(2x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (34) and then dividing by 12, we obtain

$$(35) \quad \left\| h(x) - \frac{h(2x)}{4} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{12}\beta(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Assume that ϕ satisfies the condition (13). Substituting $2x$ for x in (35) and dividing by 4, we get

$$\left\| \frac{h(2x)}{4} - \frac{h(2^2x)}{4^2} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{12} \cdot \frac{1}{4}\beta(2x)$$

for all $x \in X$. By induction we see that

$$(36) \quad \left\| h(x) - \frac{h(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{4^i} \beta(2^i x)$$

for all $x \in X$. We claim that $\{4^{-n}h(2^n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y .

For $m < n$,

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \|4^{-n}h(2^n x) - 4^{-m}h(2^m x)\| &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \|4^{-i}h(2^i x) - 4^{-(i+1)}h(2^{i+1} x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{4^i} \beta(2^i x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (37), we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|4^{-n}h(2^n x) - 4^{-m}h(2^m x)\| = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. Since Y is a Banach space, it follows that the sequence $\{4^{-n}h(2^n x)\}$ converges. We define a function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$(38) \quad Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n}h(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$. It is clear that $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and it follows from (38) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DQ(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} \|Dh(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} \frac{1}{2} [\phi(2^n x, 2^n y) + \phi(2^n(-x), 2^n(-y))] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence Q is quadratic. By taking the limit in (36) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to prove the inequality (18), we obtain

$$(39) \quad \|h(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \varepsilon_3(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. To show that Q is unique, let us assume that $\tilde{Q} : X \rightarrow Y$ is another quadratic function satisfying (39). Then it is obvious that $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and so it follows from (39) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{Q}(x) - Q(x)\| &= 4^{-n} \|\tilde{Q}(2^n x) - Q(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq 4^{-n} (\|\tilde{Q}(2^n x) - h(2^n x)\| + \|h(2^n x) - Q(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 4^{-n} \varepsilon_3(2^n x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this inequality, we have $\tilde{Q}(x) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

If ϕ satisfies the condition (14), then we replace x by $\frac{x}{4}$ in (34) and divide by 3 to obtain

$$\|h(x) - 4h(2^{-1}x)\| \leq \frac{1}{3} \beta(2^{-1}x)$$

for all $x \in X$. The rest of the proof goes through the corresponding part of the proof of the case (13). Consequently, we can obtain the unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n h(2^{-n}x)$$

for all $x \in X$ such that

$$\|h(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \varepsilon_4(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Since we have $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$ for all $x \in X$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - (C(x) + Q(x))\| &\leq \|g(x) - C(x)\| + \|h(x) - Q(x)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_k(x) + \varepsilon_j(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, where $k = 1$ or 2 and $j = 3$ or 4 . We complete the proof of the theorem. \square

From Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary concerning the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability [19] of the functional equation (2).

Let $p \neq 2, 3$ be any real number. For the convenience, let

$$r_1(p) := \frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{5 + 3^p}{2^p(1 - 2^{p-3})}, \quad r_2(p) := \frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{5 + 3^p}{2^p(2^{p-3} - 1)},$$

and

$$r_3(p) := \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^p(1 - 2^{p-2})}, \quad r_4(p) := \frac{1}{4^p(1 - 2^{2-p})}.$$

Corollary 1. *Let $p \neq 2, 3$ and $\theta > 0$ be real numbers. If the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $f(0) = 0$, then there exist a unique cubic function $C : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - (C(x) + Q(x))\| \leq r(p)\theta\|x\|^p,$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - C(x) \right\| \leq r_k(p)\theta\|x\|^p \quad (k = 1 \text{ or } 2),$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq r_j(p)\theta\|x\|^p \quad (j = 3 \text{ or } 4)$$

for all $x \in X$, where

$$r(p) = \begin{cases} r_2(p) + r_4(p) & \text{if } p > 3 \\ r_1(p) + r_4(p) & \text{if } 2 < p < 3 \\ r_1(p) + r_3(p) & \text{if } p < 2. \end{cases}$$

The functions C and Q are given by

$$C(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) - f(-2^n x)}{8^n} & \text{if } p < 3 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right] & \text{if } p > 3, \end{cases}$$

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) - f(-2^n x)}{8^n} & \text{if } p < 3 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + f\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right] & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $\phi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $x \in X$. If $p < 3$, then a simple calculation gives $\alpha(2^i x) = (5 + 3^p)2^{(i-1)p}\theta\|x\|^p$, and so we have

$$\varepsilon_1(x) = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8^i} \alpha(2^i x) = r_1(p)\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. If $p > 3$, then, by considering $\alpha(2^{-(i+1)} x) = (5 + 3^p)2^{-(i+2)p}\theta\|x\|^p$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon_2(x) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 8^i \alpha(2^{-(i+1)} x) = r_2(p)\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. On the other hand, suppose that $p < 2$. Since $\beta(2^i x) = 3 \cdot 2^{(i-1)p}\theta\|x\|^p$, we see that

$$\varepsilon_3(x) = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^i} \beta(2^i x) = r_3(p)\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. Finally, if $p > 2$, then we know that

$$\varepsilon_4(x) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^i \beta(2^{-(i+2)} x) = r_4(p)\theta\|x\|^p$$

because of $\beta(2^{-(i+1)} x) = 3 \cdot 2^{-(i+2)p}\theta\|x\|^p$ for all $x \in X$.

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\varepsilon_k(x) + \varepsilon_j(x) := r(p)\theta\|x\|^p = \begin{cases} (r_2(p) + r_4(p))\theta\|x\|^p & \text{if } p > 3 \\ (r_1(p) + r_4(p))\theta\|x\|^p & \text{if } 2 < p < 3 \\ (r_1(p) + r_3(p))\theta\|x\|^p & \text{if } p < 2 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. □

Corollary 2. *Let $\theta > 0$ be a real number. If the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \theta$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $f(0) = 0$, then there exist a unique cubic function $C : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - (C(x) + Q(x))\| \leq \frac{23}{63}\theta,$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - C(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{7}\theta,$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq \frac{2}{9}\theta$$

for all $x \in X$.

The functions C and Q are given by

$$C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) - f(-2^n x)}{8^n}$$

and

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x)}{4^n}$$

for all $x \in X$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Aczél & J. Dhombres: *Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
2. J. Baker: The stability of the cosine equation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1980), 411-416.
3. P. W. Cholewa: Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.* **27** (1984), 76-86.
4. S. Czerwik: On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **62** (1992), 59-64.
5. P. Găvruta: A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of approximately additive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), 431-436.
6. D. H. Hyers: On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **27** (1941), 222-224.
7. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac & Th. M. Rassias: "Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables". Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

8. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac & Th. M. Rassias: On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), 425-430.
9. D. H. Hyers & Th. M. Rassias: Approximate homomorphisms. *Aequationes Math.* **44** (1992), 125-153.
10. K.-W. Jun & H.-M. Kim: The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **274**(2) (2002), 867-878.
11. K.-W. Jun & H.-M. Kim: On the Hyers-Ulam stability of a generalized quadratic and additive functional equation. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **42** (2005), 133-148.
12. K.-W. Jun, H.-M. Kim & I.-S. Chang: On the Hyers-Ulam stability of an Euler-Lagrange type cubic functional equation. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **7** (2005), 21-33.
13. S.-M. Jung: On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **222** (1998), 126-137.
14. Y.-S. Jung: On the stability of the functional equation $f(x+y-xy)+xf(y)+yf(x)=f(x)+f(y)$. *Math. Inequal. & Appl.* **7**(1) (2004), 79-85.
15. Y.-S. Jung & I.-S. Chang: The stability of a cubic type functional equation with the fixed point alternative. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **306** (2005), 752-760.
16. Y.-S. Jung & K.-W. Jun: The stability of a Wilson type and a Pexider type functional equation. *Math. Inequal. & Appl.* **9**(4) (2006), 707-716.
17. Pl. Kannappan: Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces. *Results Math.* **27** (1995), 368-372.
18. J. M. Rassias: Solution of the Ulam stability problem for cubic mappings. *Glas. Mat.* **36**(5G) (2001), 63-72.
19. Th. M. Rassias: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 297-300.
20. Th. M. Rassias: On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **251** (2000), 264-284.
21. Th. M. Rassias (Ed.): "*Functional Equations and inequalities*", Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht/ Boston/ London, 2000.
22. Th. M. Rassias & J. Tabor: What is left of Hyers-Ulam stability?. *Journal of Natural Geometry* **1** (1992), 65-69.
23. F. Skof: Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* **53** (1983), 113-129.
24. S. M. Ulam: *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. Chap. VI, Science ed., Wiley, New York, 1960.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SEOWON UNIVERSITY, CHEONGJU, CHUNGBUK 361-742, KOREA

Email address: parkkh@seowon.ac.kr