

A Study on Real-time Control of Bead Height and Joint Tracking

Jeongick Lee*, Byungkab Koh⁺

(논문접수일 2007. 5. 22, 심사완료일 2007. 8. 17)

비드 높이 및 조인트 추적의 실시간 제어 연구

이정익*, 고병갑**

Abstract

There have been continuous efforts to automate welding processes. This automation process could be said to fall into two categories, weld seam tracking and weld quality evaluation. Recently, the attempts to achieve these two functions simultaneously are on the increase. For the study presented in this paper, a vision sensor is made, and using this, the 3 dimensional geometry of the bead is measured in real time. For the application in welding, which is the characteristic of nonlinear process, a fuzzy controller is designed. And with this, an adaptive control system is proposed which acquires the bead height and the coordinates of the point on the bead along the horizontal fillet joint, performs seam tracking with those data, and also at the same time, controls the bead geometry to a uniform shape. A communication system, which enables the communication with the industrial robot, is designed to control the bead geometry and to track the weld seam. Experiments are made with varied offset angles from the pre-taught weld path, and they showed the adaptive system works favorable results.

Key Words : Vision Sensor(비전 센서), 3-D Measurement(3차원 측정), ROI(Region of Interest:관심 영역), CCD Camera(CCD 카메라), Band Pass Filter(대역 여과 필터), Bead Geometry(비드 형상), Diode Laser(다이오드 레이저)

1. Introduction

In welding automation system, the offset of the weld torch, bead width, weld pool size, and weld penetration

are considered as significant factors deciding the geometry of weld product. Among these, the bead width and the weld penetration are closely related to the weld quality.

For the study introduced in this paper, a multipurpose

* 인하공업전문대학 기계시스템학부 기계설계과 (jilee@inhate.ac.kr)
주소: 402-752 인천광역시 남구 용현동 253번지

+ 인하공업전문대학 기계시스템학부 기계과

vision system has been designed and constructed using diode laser and a CCD camera, which can be used for either seam tracking or monitoring. And the application of the system to the real-time measurement shows that it provides 3 dimensional information in fair confidence. Many precedent studies give prior importance to bead width or weld pool width in the process of weld quality estimation⁽¹⁻³⁾. In this study, however, the bead height is measured in real-time, and with the data acquired, the bead geometry control and the seam tracking are performed simultaneously and effectively against those given disturbances⁽⁷⁾ of gravitational force and the offset angles of 3°, 4°, or 5° from the pre-taught weld path. An image processing algorithm dealing only with ROI(region of interest) is designed to the flexibility and faster performance of the program⁽⁸⁾. A fuzzy controller is designed considering the heat-related welding processes, which are nonlinear and hardly defined. Errors and their derivatives of the sensor coordinates, x_S and z_S were taken as control input and weld torch shifts along the robot coordinate axes, x_R and z_R as control output.

2. Experiment

2.1 Vision sensor

The method of using constructed light(or light pattern) is adopted, and commercialized CCD camera and diode laser are used. A laser slit is constructed by passing the collimated beam from the diode through the cylindrical lens.

In designing vision sensor, base-line(distance between the laser source and the camera), thus, the angle (α) between the laser slit and the principal axis of the camera, the depth of view, and the field of view are important parameters which must be taken into consideration. The base-line, for instance, is directly related to the size of the vision sensor⁽⁵⁾. For this reason and the capability to change the base line without changing the position of the laser source, two scanning mirrors were used. And, this results in the movement of the laser slit within the adjustable focal lengths of the camera. Though prism mirrors are generally used to ensure precision of the vision sensor,

1 mm-thick normal mirrors are used as scanning mirrors. But, due to diffraction, the laser stripe resulted from the projection shows to be, instead of a clear thin stripe, a thick combination of a rather bright center and edges less bright but could become noises. This hardware-related problem is resolved through the image-processing algorithm.

To minimize the weight of the vision sensor, 0.5 mm-thick steel plate was used for housing the camera, but the cooling system is not considered. The protecting mirrors in front of the laser and the camera are changeable. To separate the laser stripe from the arc and spatter noise, an optical filter and the protector are used. The protector is made with 0.7 mm-thick plate which must be able to endure the strong heat from the weld pool and the bead. The schematic diagram of the constructed vision sensor is as shown in Fig. 1, and the vision sensor mounted to the weld torch of an industrial robot in Fig. 2.

2.2 System configuration

The configured system is shown in Fig. 3. A vision board with DSP(TMS320C31) installed is used as an image




Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of vision sensor




Fig. 2 Vision sensor mounted to the welding robot




Fig. 3 System configuration

grabber and processor. The power and the wavelength of the diode laser are 4.25 mW and 670 nm, respectively. A band pass filter with center wavelength of 670 nm and FWHM(full width half mean) of ± 5 nm is also used. When welding is in process in the direction shown in Fig. 3, the vision sensor which is 30 mm offset to the rear of the weld torch captures the image of the bead and the weld joint and sends it to the vision board. The vision board does the image processing needed to acquire feature data, and the fuzzy controller takes these data as input. The output of the controller is then transferred through RS232C to the robot controller.

2.3 Sensor calibration

Sensor calibration might be said to fall into 2 steps; first to calculate the camera matrix and second, to define the light plane. Equations. (1) and (2) can be acquired by applying homogeneous coordinate system to the image coordinates U, V.

$$U=u/h \tag{1}$$

$$V=v/h \tag{2}$$

When, between the point in space, P and its image coordinates P ϕ , a relationship as in equations (3), (4) is found.

$$[x \ y \ z \ 1]^T = [u \ v \ h] \tag{3}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} \\ C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33} \\ C_{41} & C_{42} & C_{43} \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

And, the laser plane is defined as in equation (5).

$$[x \ y \ z \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \tag{5}$$

When **R** is defined as the matrix that is obtained by inserting image coordinates in to the equations (1)-(5), and **W** as the one consisting of spatial coordinate⁽⁶⁾, the sensor matrix, **M** can be gained as follows by **Pseudo-Inverse** method⁽⁴⁾.

$$M = (R^T R)^{-1} R^T W \tag{6}$$

2.4 Calibration experiment

A calibration block used in the calibration experiment has five steps of 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 mm height, all steps being a square of 19.1 mm. Fig. 4 shows the calibration block with the laser stripe projected on it.

Fifteen data sets are used in the experiment, i. e., the real coordinates x, y, and z, and image coordinates U, V. The laser power is set to the best resolution achievable and varied points in each image frame are taken. Due to the limited capability of the system, the thickness of the laser stripe is about 0.4 mm. Thus, during the calibration experiment, all the gray values along the laser stripe in the image frame captured are examined, and the pixel position having maximum gray level is considered to correspond with the spatial point in real coordinate system. Using a number of real points pre-recorded, the sensor matrix, **M** can be easily found. Repeated experiments confirmed that the sensor matrix can be trusted




Fig. 4 Calibration block used in the experiment

within the error of 0.06 mm.

2.5 Laser stripe extraction and thinning

In general, separating laser stripe from the captured image is called laser stripe extraction, and finding the center line from the laser stripe, thinning. In the image processing algorithm used in this study, erosion filtering and LUT(look-up table) are applied to the raw image, and from the first column in the ROI(region of interest), the window, which is defined as a certain number of pixels(here, 7) along the V axis, is applied consecutively down the V direction. Then, the window that has the most sum of gray values is taken, and the brightest pixel in that window is selected to be the pixel point for the beginning of the laser stripe. In the following column along the U axis, a window that has its center pixel at the position of the laser stripe pixel previously found is applied, and the brightest pixel is selected. The process repeated in this way finds the laser stripe in an image frame and at the same time performs thinning. This algorithm, primarily, by adopting ROI oriented image processing, reduces the time needed for erosion filtering and LUT application from 820 msec to 122 msec, a 85% decrease. Secondly, it can save additional time for the reason that it doesn't require the step of setting a new threshold value for each image frame as in former methods⁽⁶⁾. Fig. 5 shows the schematics of this algorithm.




Fig. 5 Schematic diagram of laser stripe finding and thinning algorithm




Fig. 6 Processed image and the found feature points




Fig. 7 Schematic diagram of fuzzy logic controller

2.6 Feature extraction

Feature data is explained to be feature points or feature lines that could give meanings to the algorithm applied. In this study, the point on the bead surface which has the maximum distance from the joint(i. e. height) and the joint point of the fillet are defined as feature data. In Fig. 6, the joint point, the point that has the same x_s coordinate as of the joint point, and the one farthest from the joint point, which are calculated real-time, is marked.

2.7 Fuzzy control

A fuzzy controller is designed to control the bead height or the distance of the point on the part of the bead surface, which falls in a certain range of angle, and is

farthest from the joint origin. Keeping the same bead height from the joint, it simultaneously tracks the weld seam. FLC(fuzzy logic controller)(Ross) has been reported to have better results than conventional controllers where the system considered is considered to be too complicated and extremely nonlinear. A fuzzy controller, as shown in Fig. 7, consists of fuzzification interface, knowledge base, fuzzy inference, and defuzzification interface.

2.8 Fuzzy controller design

The designed FLC adopts the fuzzy singleton method to fuzzify the crisp data(measurement data) obtained by the vision sensor. These data and the control rules are

Table 1 Fuzzy control rules

$e \backslash \Delta e$	NB	NM	NS	ZO	PS	PM	PB
NB	PB	PB	PB	PM	PS	PS	ZO
NM	PB	PB	PM	PS	PS	ZO	NS
NS	PB	PM	PS	PS	ZO	NS	NS
ZO	PS	PS	PS	ZO	NS	NS	NM
PS	PS	PS	ZO	NS	NS	NM	NB
PM	PS	ZO	NS	NS	NM	NB	NB
PB	ZO	NS	NS	NM	NB	NB	NB

defined in the rule base. And, those control rules together with Mamdani's inference are used to transform the fuzzy output to crisp data, which correspond to real control quantities. As for the defuzzification, simplified center of gravity method or weighted average method is used, which covers the weakness of the maximum height method and puts a stress to the fortes of the center of gravity method.

Fuzzy control rules are shown in Table 1. Fuzzy variables e , Δe , and Δu are defined as in Fig. 8, where those variables(e , Δe , and Δu) signifies the error, its derivative along the x_s and z_s axes and the output(torch shift) along the x_r and z_r axes. The actual variables corresponding to x_s and z_s coordinates are defined respectively.

Where, e means respective errors for x_s and z_s , Δe means respective derivatives for x_s and z_s , ZO means zero, NB means negative big, PS means positive small, NM means negative medium, PM means positive medium, NS means negative small, and PB means positive big.

2.9 Experimental set-up and method

2.9.1 Experimental set-up

In GMA(gas metal arc) welding of the horizontal fillet, the constructed vision sensor, industrial 6-axis robot (Nachi, model 7603AR), and arc welder are used. The shielding gas is 100% argon and the filler wire is of $\phi 1.2$ mm. Two work pieces of mild steel with dimensions of $9 \times 90 \times 350$ mm are tack-welded into a fillet. The weld current and voltage are set to 240 A and 26 V, respec-




Fig. 8 Fuzzy variables used in the experiment




Fig. 9 Coordinate systems used in the experiment

tively. CTWD(contact tip to work-piece distance) is 15 mm. The weld speed is 6 mm/s and the flow rate of the shielding gas is 15 l/min. The coordinate system used is as shown in Fig. 9.

Where, $\{B\}$ means the base coordinate frame, $\{R\}$ means the robot coordinate frame, and $\{S\}$ means the sensor coordinate frame.

Due to the strong arc, it is extremely difficult to separate the laser stripe using only a band pass filter. This necessitates the protector. The protector(Fig. 2) is made of 0.7 mm-thick steel plate that can endure the strong

heat transferred from the bead. It has a folding part that moves up and down along the bead in contact. This greatly minimizes the influence of the arc on captured images.

2.9.2 Experimental method

The vision sensor that is fixed 30 mm behind the weld torch measures the bead geometry and sends them as input to the fuzzy controller. The fuzzy controller responds by transferring the calculated output through RS232C to the robot controller. The output from the fuzzy controller are shift quantities along x_R and z_R axes. By adjusting those two scanning mirrors prior to the experiment, the scan angle and the focal length of the camera are optimized. The laser slit is set to be vertical to the fillet while the camera is given a slant of about 15 degrees. With the given conditions, welding is performed repeatedly to find the representative geometry of the bead, which is to be used in defining fuzzy variables and reference inputs empirically. The offset angle of 3° , 4° , or 5° between the pre-taught weld path and the real weld path and the gravitational force, which is common and makes the bead fall off the seam in horizontal fillet welding are considered as disturbances against the bead height control and the seam tracking. Fig. 10 describes this experiment method, and the algorithm used for the experiment is shown in Fig. 11.

3. Experimental results

Despite the arc and spatter noise, the bead was extracted effectively. With the help of the devised protector, real-time bead control and seam tracking was performed successively. The application of LUT and erosion filtering made possible the elimination of the spatter noises intruded into the image. The experiment showed that the warping of the work-pieces during welding could be dealt with fairly. Fig. 12 is the result with no control action against those disturbances mentioned and Fig. 13 is when the bead height is controlled and the weld seam tracked simultaneously against 5° offset angle. Fig. 14 shows the sensor coordinate z_s , measured on-line along




Fig. 10 Schematics of the experimental method




Fig. 11 Flow chart of the control




Fig. 12 Uncontrolled experiment result of 5 degree deviation in horizontal fillet welding

the welding direction, where the cross point of the weld joint and the laser slit is indicated as O and the point that has maximum distance from the joint in the given angle range as.. It describes that, despite the changing joint point, the distance between the two symbols kept fairly constant. Fig. 15 shows that the coordinate x_S of the point on the bead surface is controlled to be positioned slightly to the right of the joint point in consideration of the gravitational force. Fig. 16 shows a controlled x , z



Fig. 13 Controlled experiment result against 5 degrees deviation in horizontal fillet welding




Fig. 14 Controlled z coordinate values against 5 degrees deviation




Fig. 15 Controlled x coordinate values against 5 degrees deviation

coordinate values against 5 degree deviation. The controlled bead distance against 5° offset angle is shown in Fig. 17. The pitching of the z_s coordinates in Fig.14 is caused by the time delay due to the 30mm offset distance between the torch and the vision sensor. Similar results were gained for the offset angles 3° and 4°. To summarize, though the oscillation of the z_s coordinate increased as the offset angle increased, the control and seam tracking was performed fairly well. Table 2 shows the average of the errors from the reference value, maximum error, and standard deviation for x_s and z_s against the offset angles 3°, 4° and 5° respectively. The errors for x_s coordinate which has comparatively larger control quantity increased slightly as the angle increased, but the errors for z_s coordinate that contrarily has smaller control quantity




Fig. 16 Controlled x, z coordinate values against 5 degrees deviation




Fig. 17 Controlled bead heights against 5 degrees deviation

Table 2 Control results against deviation angle 3°, 4° and 5°

Errors Offset angle	Average		Max. error		STD	
	x_s	z_s	x_s	z_s	x_s	z_s
3 degrees	0.365	0.537	0.45	1.43	0.109	0.413
4 degrees	0.711	0.617	1.52	1.35	0.482	0.394
5 degrees	0.811	0.575	1.5	1.11	0.500	0.390

was kept relatively stable considering the fact that filler wire is 1.2 mm in diameter.

4. Conclusions

1. A vision system that can be applied both to seam tracking and weld quality monitoring was devised and it showed to be able to effectively eliminate varied noises in hardware and software related approaches.
2. A fuzzy controller which takes as input the position error and its derivative, and calculates as output the shift quantities for welding torch, was designed.
3. Real-time seam tracking through bead height and position control was performed against serious deformation

due to the arc heat, gravitational force on the bead, and the varied offset angles.

References

- (1) Banerjee, P. and Chin, B. A., 1995, "Gradient technique for dynamic bead width control in robotic GTAW," *Trends in welding research*, pp. 937~941.
- (2) Taylor, P. L., 1995, "An integrated optical sensor for GMAW feedback control," *Trends in welding research*, pp. 1049~1053.
- (3) Barnett, R. J., Cook, G. E. and Strauss, A. M., 1995, "A vision-based weld quality evaluation system," *Trends in Welding Research, Proceedings of the 4th International Conference*, 5-8 June, pp. 689~694.
- (4) Ballard, D. H. and Brown, C. M., 1990, *Computer vision*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., pp. 484~486.
- (5) Pugh, A., 1993, *Robot sensors-Vol.1-Vision*, International Trends in Manufacturing Technology, Springer-Verlag, Inc., pp. 159~204.
- (6) Agapakis, J. E., 1990, "Approaches for Recognition and Interpretation of Work Piece Surface Features Using Structured Lighting," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, Vol. 9, No. 5, pp. 3~16.
- (7) Cho, J. R., Yang, S. C. and Jung, Y. G., 2006, "A Study on the Improvement of Performance for High Speed Cutting Tool using Magnetic Fluid Polishing Technique," *Transactions of the Korean Society of Machine Tool Engineers*, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 32~38.
- (8) Kim, H. G., Kim, Y. S., Yang, S. M. and Nah, S. C., 2005, "A Study on Unit Cell Design for the Performance Enhancement in PEMFC System," *Transactions of the Korean Society of Machine Tool Engineers*, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 104~109.
- (9) Kim, I. S., Prasad and Chon, K. S., 2002, "Optimal Process Parameters for Achieving the Desired Top-Bead Width in GMA welding Process," *Transactions of the Korean Society of Machine Tool Engineers*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 89~96.
- (10) Son, J. S., Lee, D. M., Kim, I. S. and Choi, S. G., 2005, "Prediction for Rolling Force in Hot-rolling Mill Using On-line learning Neural Network," *Transactions of the Korean Society of Machine Tool Engineers*, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 52~57.
- (11) Son, J. S., Kim, I. S., Lee, D. M. and Kueon, Y. S., 2006, "Improvement of Thickness Accuracy in Hot-rolling Mill Using Neural Network and Genetic Algorithm," *Transactions of the Korean Society of Machine Tool Engineers*, Vol. 15, No. 5, pp. 59~64.
- (12) Kim, H. K., 1998, *Joint Tracking with Real-time Control of Bead Height in GMAW of Horizontal Fillet*, Graduate School of Hanyang University.