

New Lacunary Strong Convergence Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by Sequence of Moduli

VAKEEL A. KHAN AND Q. M. DANISH LOHANI

Department of Mathematics, A. M. U. Aligarh-202002, India

e-mail: vakhan@math.com and danishlohani@rediff.mail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define Δ^m -Lacunary strongly convergent sequences defined by sequence of moduli and give various properties and inclusion relations on these sequence spaces.

1. Introduction

Let ω be the set of all sequences of real or complex numbers and l_∞ , c and c_0 be the sets of all bounded, convergent sequences and sequences convergent to zero respectively, normed by

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, the set of positive integers.

The difference sequence space $X(\Delta)$ was introduced by Kizmaz [3] as follows

$$X(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in X\} \text{ for } X = l_\infty, c \text{ and } c_0,$$

where $\Delta x_k = (x_k - x_{k+1})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The difference sequence spaces were generalized by Et and Colak [1] as follows

$$X(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : \Delta^m x = (\Delta^m x_k) \in X\} \text{ for } X = l_\infty, c \text{ and } c_0,$$

where $\Delta^m x_k = (\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1})$.

A sequence of positive integers $\theta = (k_r)$ is called "lacunary" if $k_0 = 0$, $0 < k_r < k_{r+1}$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r)$ and $q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$. The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequence L_θ was defined by Freedman et al [2] as:

$$L_\theta = \{x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - l| = 0 \text{ for some } l\}.$$

Received February 26, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40C05, 46A45.

Key words and phrases: difference sequence, Lacunary sequence, sequence of moduli.

The space L_θ is a BK-space with the norm

$$\|x\|_\theta = \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k|.$$

L_θ^0 denotes the subset of L_θ those sequences for which $l = 0$ in the definition of L_θ . $(L_\theta^0, \|\cdot\|_\theta)$ is also a BK-space. There is a relation (see [2]) between L_θ and the space $|\sigma_1|$ of strongly Cesaro summable sequences defined by

$$|\sigma_1| = \{x \in \omega : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - l| = 0, \text{ for some } l\}.$$

For $\theta = (2^r)$ we have $L_\theta = |\sigma_1|$.

Definition 1.1. A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a modular if

- (1) $f(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$,
- (2) $f(t + u) \leq f(t) + f(u)$ for all $t, u \geq 0$,
- (3) f is increasing, and
- (4) f is continuous from the right of 0.

Let X be a sequence space. Then the sequence space $X(f)$ is defined as

$$X(f) = \{x = (x_k) : (f(|x_k|)) \in X\}$$

for a modulus f ([6], [8]).

Kolk [4], [5] gave an extension of $X(f)$ by considering a sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ i.e.,

$$X(F) = \{x = (x_k) : (f_k(|x_k|)) \in X\}.$$

2. Main results

For a sequence $F = (f_k)$ of moduli, we define following sequence spaces

$$L_\theta(\Delta^m, F) = \{x \in \omega : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_k - l|) = 0 \text{ for some } l\},$$

$$L_\theta^0(\Delta^m, F) = \{x \in \omega : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_k|) = 0 \}, \text{ and}$$

$$L_\theta^\infty(\Delta^m, F) = \{x \in \omega : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_k|) < \infty\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *The sets $L_\theta^0(\Delta^m, F)$, $L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$ and $L_\theta^\infty(\Delta^m, F)$ are linear spaces.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then exists positive integers N_α and M_β such that $|\alpha| \leq N_\alpha$ and $|\beta| \leq M_\beta$. From the definition of modulus function and Δ^m we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k) - (\alpha l_1 + \beta l_2)|) \\ \leq & N_\alpha \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_k - l_1|) + M_\beta \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m y_k - l_2|) \rightarrow 0, \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$ is a linear space. □

Lemma 1 ([7]). *Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of moduli and let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then for each $x > \delta$ we have $f_k(x) \leq 2f_k(1)\delta^{-1}x$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of moduli. Then $L_\theta(\Delta^m) \subset L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in L_\theta(\Delta^m)$. Then we have

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta^m x_k - l| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for some } l.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f_k(u) < \epsilon$ for every u with $0 \leq u \leq \delta$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_k - l|) \\ = & \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, |\Delta^m x_k - l| \leq \delta} f_k(|\Delta^m x_k - l|) + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, |\Delta^m x_k - l| > \delta} f_k(|\Delta^m x_k - l|) \\ \leq & \frac{1}{h_r} (h_r \epsilon) + \frac{1}{h_r} 2f_k(1)\delta^{-1} h_r \tau_r \quad (\text{from Lemma 1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x \in L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of moduli, if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_k(t)}{t} = \gamma > 0$, then $L_\theta(\Delta^m) = L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$.*

Proof. We need to show that $L_\theta(\Delta^m, F) \subset L_\theta(\Delta^m)$. Let $\gamma > 0$ and $x \in L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$. Since $\gamma > 0$, we have $f_k(t) > \gamma t$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_k - l|) \geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \gamma |\Delta^m x_k - l| = \frac{1}{h_r} \gamma \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta^m x_k - l|.$$

Therefore we have $x \in L_\theta(\Delta^m)$. Hence $L_\theta(\Delta^m, F) \subset L_\theta(\Delta^m)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.2 we have $L_\theta(\Delta^m) \subset L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$. Thus $L_\theta(\Delta^m) = L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $m \geq 1$ be a fixed integer, then*

- (1) $L_\theta^0(\Delta^{m-1}, F) \subset L_\theta^0(\Delta^m, F)$;
- (2) $L_\theta(\Delta^{m-1}, F) \subset L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$;
- (3) $L_\theta^\infty(\Delta^{m-1}, F) \subset L_\theta^\infty(\Delta^m, F)$;

and the inclusions are strict.

Proof. The proof of the inclusions follows from the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_k|) \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^{m-1} x_k|) + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}|).$$

To show the inclusions are strict, let $\theta = (2^r)$ and $x = (k^m)$. Then $x \in (\Delta^m, F)$, but $x \notin (\Delta^{m-1}, F)$. If $x = (k^m)$, then $\Delta^m x = (-1)^m m!$ and $\Delta^{m-1} x_k = (-1)^{m+1} r!(k + \frac{(m-1)}{2})$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence. If $1 < \liminf_r q_r \leq \limsup_r q_r < \infty$, then $|\sigma_1|(\Delta^m, F) = L_\theta(\Delta^m, F)$, where*

$$|\sigma_1|(\Delta^m, F) = \{x \in \omega : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(|\Delta^m x_k - l|) = 0, \text{ for some } l\}.$$

Proof. Let $\liminf_r q_r > 1$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} \geq 1 + \delta$ for all $r \geq 1$. Furthermore we have $\frac{k_r}{h_r} \leq \frac{(1+\delta)}{\delta}$ and $\frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$ for all $r \geq 1$. Then we write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) - \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) \\ &= \frac{k_r}{h_r} \left(\frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) - \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that the $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists j_0 such that for every $j \geq j_0$

$$A_j = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) < \epsilon.$$

Choose a number $M > 0$ such that $A_j \leq M$ for all j . If $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$, then there exists a number $\beta > 0$ such that $q_r < \beta$ for every r . Now let n be any integer

with $k_{r-1} < n < k_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) \leq k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) \\
&= k_{r-1}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{i \in I_1} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) + \cdots + \sum_{i \in I_r} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) \right\} \\
&= k_{r-1}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{i \in I_j} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) + \sum_{j=j_0+1}^r \sum_{i \in I_j} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) \right\} \\
&\leq k_{r-1}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{i \in I_j} f_k(|\Delta^m x_i|) + \epsilon(k_r - k_{j_0})k_{r-1}^{-1} \right\} \\
&= k_{r-1}^{-1} h_1 A_1 + h_2 A_2 \cdots + h_{j_0} A_{j_0} + \epsilon(k_r - k_{j_0})k_{r-1}^{-1} \\
&\leq k_{r-1}^{-1} \left(\sup_{1 \leq i \leq j_0} A_j \right) k_{j_0} + \epsilon(k_r - k_{j_0})k_{r-1}^{-1} \\
&< M k_{r-1}^{-1} k_{j_0} + \epsilon\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $x \in |\sigma_1|(\Delta^m, F)$. □

References

- [1] M. Et and Colak, *On some generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. of Math., **21**(1995), 377-386.
- [2] A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember and M. Raphael, *Some Cesaro-type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **37**(3)(1978), 508-520.
- [3] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canadian Math. Bull., **24**(2)(1981), 169-176.
- [4] E. Kolk, *On strong boundedness and summability with respect to a sequence of moduli*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu, **960**(1993), 41-50.
- [5] E. Kolk, *Inclusion theorems for some sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu, **970**(1994), 65-72.
- [6] I. J. Maddox, *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Camb. Phil. Soc., **100**(1986), 161-166.
- [7] S. Pehlivan and B. Fisher, *Lacunary strong convergence with respect to a sequence of modulus functions*, Comment Math. Univ. Carolin, **36**(1995), 69-76.
- [8] W. H. Ruckle, *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math., **25**(1973), 973-975.