

HYPER *BCC*-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN, EUN HWAN ROH* AND HABIB HARIZAVI

Abstract. we apply the hyperstructures to *BCC*-algebras, and introduce the concept of a hyper *BCC*-algebra which is a generalization of a *BCC*-algebra, and investigates some related properties. We also introduce the notion of a hyper *BCC*-subalgebra, *BCC*-scalar element and a hyper *BCC*-ideal, and discuss related properties.

1. Introduction

The study of *BCK*-algebras was initiated by K. Iséki in 1966 as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculus. Since then a great deal of literature has been produced on the theory of *BCK*-algebras, In particular, emphasis seems to have been put on the ideal theory of *BCK*-algebras. In [9], Y. Komori introduced a notion of *BCC*-algebra which is a generalization of a *BCK*-algebra and proved that the class of all *BCC*-algebras is not a variety. The hyperstructure theory (called also multialgebras) is introduced in 1934 by F. Marty ([7]) at the 8th congress of Scandinavian Mathematiciens. Around the 40's, several authors worked on hypergroups, especially in France and in the United States, but also in Italy, Russia and Japan. Over the following decades, many important results appeared, but above

Received December 27, 2005. Revised February 7, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 06F35, 03G25, 20N20, 03B52.

Key words and phrases : Hyper *BCC*-algebra, hyper *BCC*-subalgebra, *BCC*-scalar element, hyper *BCC*-ideal.

*Corresponding author. Tel. +82 55 740 1232.

all since the 70's onwards the most luxuriant flourishing of hyperstructures has been seen. Hyperstructures have many applications to several sectors of both pure and applied sciences. In [3], Y. B. Jun et al. applied the hyperstructures to *BCK*-algebras, and introduced the concept of a hyper*BCK*-algebra which is a generalization of a *BCK*-algebra, and investigated some related properties. They also introduced the notion of a hyper *BCK*-ideal and a weak hyper *BCK*-ideal, and gave relations between hyper *BCK*-ideals and weak hyper *BCK*-ideals. In this paper we apply the hyperstructures to *BCC*-algebras, and introduce the concept of a hyper *BCC*-algebra which is a generalization of a *BCC*-algebra, and investigates some related properties. We also introduce the notion of a hyper *BCC*-subalgebra, *BCC*-scalar element and a hyper *BCC*-ideal, and discuss related properties.

2. Preliminaries

Recall that a *BCC*-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying the following axioms: for every $x, y, z \in X$,

- (C1) $((x * y) * (z * y)) * (x * z) = 0$,
- (C2) $0 * x = 0$,
- (C3) $x * 0 = x$,
- (C4) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$.

In a *BCC*-algebra X , the identity

- (p1) $x * x = 0$,
- (p2) $x * y \leq x$

hold for all $x, y \in X$, where $x \leq y$ is defined by $x * y = 0$.

Let G be a nonempty set endowed with a hyper operation “ \circ ”, that is, \circ is a function from $G \times G$ to $\mathcal{P}^*(G) = \mathcal{P}(G) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. For two subsets A and B of G , denote by $A \circ B$ the set $\bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b$. We shall use $x \circ y$ instead of $x \circ \{y\}$, $\{x\} \circ y$, or $\{x\} \circ \{y\}$.

By a *hyper BCK-algebra* we mean a non-empty set H endowed with a hyper operation “ \circ ” and a constant 0 satisfying the following axioms:

- (A1) $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) \ll x \circ y$,
- (A2) $(x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y$,
- (A3) $x \circ H \ll \{x\}$,
- (A4) $x \ll y$ and $y \ll x$ imply $x = y$

for all $x, y, z \in H$, where $x \ll y$ is defined by $0 \in x \circ y$ and for every $A, B \subseteq H$, $A \ll B$ is defined by $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in B$ such that $a \ll b$ (see [3]).

A non-empty subset I of a hyper BCK-algebra H is called a *hyper BCK-ideal* of H (see [3]) if it satisfies

- (i) $0 \in I$,
- (ii) $(\forall x, y \in H) (x \circ y \ll I, y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I)$.

3. Hyper BCC-algebras

Definition 3.1. By a *hyper BCC-algebra* we mean a nonempty subset G endowed with a hyper operation “ \diamond ” and a constant 0 satisfying the following axioms:

- (H1) $(x \diamond y) \diamond (z \diamond y) \ll x \diamond z$,
- (H2) $x \ll x$,
- (H3) $x \diamond y \ll x$,
- (H4) $x \ll y$ and $y \ll x$ imply $x = y$

for all $x, y, z \in G$, where $x \ll y$ is defined by $0 \in x \diamond y$ and for every $A, B \subseteq G$, $A \ll B$ is defined by $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in B$ such that $a \ll b$.

Example 3.2. (1) Let $(G; *, 0)$ be a BCC-algebra and define a hyper operation “ \diamond ” on G by $x \diamond y = \{x * y\}$ for all $x, y \in G$. Then (G, \diamond) is a hyper BCC-algebra.

(2) Let $G = \{0, a, b\}$. Consider the following table:

\diamond	0	a	b
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0, a\}$	$\{0, a\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{0, a, b\}$

Then (G, \diamond) is a hyper *BCC*-algebra.

(3) Define a hyper operation “ \diamond ” on $G := [0, \infty)$ by

$$x \diamond y := \begin{cases} [0, x] & \text{if } x \leq y \\ (0, y] & \text{if } x > y \neq 0 \\ \{x\} & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then (G, \diamond) is a hyper *BCC*-algebra.

(4) Let $G = \{0, a, b, c\}$. Consider the following Cayley tables:

\diamond_1	0	a	b	c
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0, a\}$	$\{0, a\}$	$\{a, c\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{0, a\}$	$\{b\}$
c	$\{c\}$	$\{0, c\}$	$\{0, c\}$	$\{0, c\}$
\diamond_2	0	a	b	c
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{c\}$	$\{0, c\}$	$\{0, c\}$	$\{c\}$
b	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{0, a\}$	$\{0, a\}$
c	$\{b\}$	$\{0, b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{0, b\}$

Then (G, \diamond_1) and (G, \diamond_2) are hyper *BCC*-algebras, which are not hyper *BCK*-algebras since $(a \diamond_1 a) \diamond_1 b \neq (a \diamond_1 b) \diamond_1 a$ and $(a \diamond_2 b) \diamond_2 c \neq (a \diamond_2 c) \diamond_2 b$.

Proposition 3.3. *In a hyper BCC-algebra (G, \diamond) , the following hold.*

- (1) $x \ll y$ and $x \neq y$ imply $y \not\ll x$,
- (2) $x \diamond 0 \ll x$, $0 \diamond x \ll 0$, and $0 \diamond 0 \ll 0$,
- (3) $0 \diamond x = \{0\}$,
- (4) $0 \ll x$,

- (5) $0 \diamond A = \{0\}$,
 - (6) $A \ll A$,
 - (7) $A \subseteq B$ implies $A \ll B$,
 - (8) $0 \diamond 0 = \{0\}$,
 - (9) $A \diamond B \ll A$,
 - (10) $A \ll 0$ implies $A = \{0\}$,
 - (11) $x \diamond z = \{0\}$ implies $(x \diamond y) \diamond (z \diamond y) = \{0\}$ and $x \diamond y \ll z \diamond y$,
- for all $x, y, z \in G$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{P}^*(G)$.

Proof. (1) is by (H4).

(2) It is straightforward by (H3).

(3) Let $a \in 0 \diamond x$. Then $a \ll 0$ because $0 \diamond x \ll 0$. It follows from $a \ll 0$ that $0 \in a \diamond 0$. Then by (2), we have $0 \ll a$. Hence $a = 0$ by (H4), which shows $0 \diamond x = \{0\}$.

(4) It is straightforward by (3).

(5) By (3), we have $0 \diamond A = \cup\{0 \diamond a \mid a \in A\} = \{0\}$.

(6) It is by (H2).

(7) Assume $A \subseteq B$ and let $a \in A$. Taking $b = a$ implies $b = a \in A \subseteq B$. Since $a \ll b$ by (H2), it follows that $A \ll B$.

(8) It is by (3).

(9) Let $x \in A \diamond B$. Then $x \in a \diamond b$ for some $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. It follows from (H3) that $x \ll a$ so that $A \diamond B \ll A$.

(10) Assume that $A \ll 0$ and let $a \in A$. Then $a \ll 0$, and so $a = 0$. Hence $A = \{0\}$.

(11) Assume that $x \diamond z = \{0\}$. Then $(x \diamond y) \diamond (z \diamond y) \ll x \diamond z = \{0\}$ and so $(x \diamond y) \diamond (z \diamond y) = \{0\}$ by (10), which implies that $x \diamond y \ll z \diamond y$. \square

Note that a hyper BCC-algebra may not satisfy the equality

$$(x \diamond y) \diamond z = (x \diamond z) \diamond y$$

in general (see Example 3.2(4)).

Proposition 3.4. *Every hyper BCC-algebra (G, \diamond) satisfying the equality*

$$(x \diamond y) \diamond z = (x \diamond z) \diamond y, \forall x, y, z \in G$$

is a hyper BCK-algebra.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

We know that there is a hyper BCC-algebra G in which the condition $x \in x \diamond 0$ is not true in general (see Example 3.2(4)).

Proposition 3.5. *Let (G, \diamond) be a hyper BCC-algebra such that $x \in x \diamond 0$ for all $x \in G$. Then*

- (i) $x \diamond 0 \ll y$ implies $x \ll y$,
- (ii) $x \ll y$ implies $z \diamond y \ll z \diamond x$.

Proof. (i) It is straightforward.

(ii) Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \ll y$. Then $(z \diamond y) \diamond 0 \subseteq (z \diamond y) \diamond (x \diamond y) \ll z \diamond x$ and so $(z \diamond y) \diamond 0 \ll z \diamond x$. Thus for each $a \in z \diamond y$ there exists $b \in z \diamond x$ such that $a \diamond 0 \ll b$. It follows from (i) that $a \ll b$ so that $z \diamond y \ll z \diamond x$. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be a subset of a hyper BCC-algebra G and let $x, y, z \in G$. If $(x \diamond y) \diamond z \ll A$, then $a \diamond z \ll A$ for all $a \in x \diamond y$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

Definition 3.7. Let (G, \diamond) be a hyper BCC-algebra and let S be a subset of G containing 0. If S is a hyper BCC-algebra with respect to the hyper operation “ \diamond ” on G , we say that S is a *hyper BCC-subalgebra* of G .

Proposition 3.8. *Let S be a subset of a hyper BCC-algebra (G, \diamond) . If $x \diamond y \subseteq S$ for all $x, y \in S$, then $0 \in S$.*

Proof. Assume that $x \diamond y \subseteq S$ for all $x, y \in S$. Let $a \in S$. Since $a \diamond a \ll a$ by (H3), it follows that

$$0 \in (a \diamond a) \diamond a = \cup\{x \diamond a \mid x \in a \diamond a\} \subseteq S.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let S be a non-empty subset of a hyper BCC-algebra (G, \diamond) . Then S is a hyper BCC-subalgebra of G if and only if $x \diamond y \subseteq S$ for all $x, y \in S$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Obvious.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $x \diamond y \subseteq S$ for all $x, y \in S$. Then $0 \in S$ by Proposition 3.8. For any $x, y, z \in S$, we have $z \diamond y \subseteq S$ and $x \diamond z \subseteq S$. Hence

$$(x \diamond y) \diamond (z \diamond y) = \cup\{a \diamond b \mid a \in x \diamond y, b \in z \diamond y\} \subseteq S$$

and thus (H1) holds in S . Other axioms are straightforward. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let (G, \diamond) be a hyper BCC-algebra. Then the set*

$$S(G, \diamond) := \{x \in G \mid x \diamond x = \{0\}\}$$

is a hyper BCC-subalgebra of G .

Proof. From Proposition 3.3(8), we have $0 \in S(G, \diamond)$. Let $x, y \in S(G, \diamond)$ and $a \in x \diamond y$. Then $(x \diamond y) \diamond (x \diamond y) \ll x \diamond x = \{0\}$ by (H1) and so $a \diamond a \subseteq (x \diamond y) \diamond (x \diamond y) = \{0\}$ by Proposition 3.3(10). Thus $a \diamond a = \{0\}$, that is, $a \in S(G, \diamond)$. Hence $x \diamond y \subseteq S(G, \diamond)$, which completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.11. Let (G, \diamond) be a hyper BCC-algebra. An element $a \in G$ is said to be *left* (resp. *right*) *BCC-scalar* if $|a \diamond x| = 1$ (resp. $|x \diamond a| = 1$) for all $x \in G$. Denote by $R(G, \diamond)$ (resp. $L(G, \diamond)$) the set of all right (resp. left) BCC-scalar elements of G . An element $a \in R(G, \diamond) \cap L(G, \diamond)$ is called a *BCC-scalar element*.

Example 3.12. (1) In the hyper *BCC*-algebra (G, \diamond) in Example 3.2(1), we have $0 \in R(G, \diamond) \cap L(G, \diamond)$.

(2) In the hyper *BCC*-algebra (G, \diamond) in Example 3.2(3), we have $0 \in R(G, \diamond) \cap L(G, \diamond)$.

(3) Let $G = \{0, a, b\}$. Consider the following Cayley tables:

\diamond_1	0	a	b
0	{0}	{0}	{0}
a	{a}	{0}	{0}
b	{b}	{b}	{0, b}

\diamond_2	0	a	b
0	{0}	{0}	{0}
a	{a}	{0}	{0}
b	{b}	{a, b}	{0, a, b}

Then (G, \diamond_1) and (G, \diamond_2) are hyper *BCC*-algebras, and $R(G, \diamond_1) = \{0, a\} = L(G, \diamond_1)$, $R(G, \diamond_2) = \{0\}$ and $L(G, \diamond_2) = \{0, a\}$.

Proposition 3.13. In any hyper *BCC*-algebra (G, \diamond) , we have $0 \in L(G, \diamond)$.

Proof. The proof is by Proposition 3.3(3). □

Theorem 3.14. Let (G, \diamond) be a hyper *BCC*-algebra. Then

- (1) $R(G, \diamond) \subseteq L(G, \diamond) = S(G, \diamond)$.
- (2) $L(G, \diamond)$ is a hyper *BCC*-subalgebra of G .

Proof. (1) Let $a \in L(G, \diamond)$. Then $|a \diamond a| = 1$. Since $0 \in a \diamond a$ by (H2), it follows that $a \diamond a = \{0\}$ so that $a \in S(G, \diamond)$. Now if $b \in S(G, \diamond)$, then $(b \diamond y) \diamond (b \diamond y) \ll b \diamond b = \{0\}$ for all $y \in G$. Using Proposition 3.3(10), we get $(b \diamond y) \diamond (b \diamond y) = \{0\}$. Let $u, v \in b \diamond y$. Then $u \diamond v \subseteq (b \diamond y) \diamond (b \diamond y) = \{0\}$ and so $u \diamond v = \{0\}$, that is, $u \ll v$. Similarly we have $v \ll u$, and thus $u = v$ by (H4). This means that $b \diamond y$ is a singleton set, that is, $|b \diamond y| = 1$ for all $y \in G$. Therefore $b \in L(G, \diamond)$, and consequently $L(G, \diamond) = S(G, \diamond)$. In order to show $R(G, \diamond) \subseteq L(G, \diamond)$, let $a \in R(G, \diamond)$. Then $|a \diamond a| = 1$ and thus $a \diamond a = \{0\}$ by (H2). Hence $a \in S(G, \diamond) = L(G, \diamond)$.

(2) is by (1) and Theorem 3.10. □

Definition 3.15. A subset A of a hyper *BCC*-algebra (G, \diamond) is called a *hyper BCC-ideal* of G if it satisfies:

(HI1) $0 \in A$,

(HI2) $(x \diamond y) \diamond z \ll A$ and $y \in A$ imply $x \diamond z \subseteq A$.

Example 3.16. Let (G, \diamond_1) be a hyper BCC-algebra in Example 3.2(4). Then $A_1 := \{0, c\}$ and $A_2 := \{0, a, c\}$ are hyper BCC-ideal of G . But the hyper BCC-algebra (G, \diamond_2) in Example 3.2(4) have no proper hyper BCC-ideals.

Theorem 3.17. Let (G, \diamond) be a hyper BCC-algebra in which the equality $x \diamond 0 = \{x\}$ holds for all $x \in G$. Then every hyper BCC-ideal is a hyper BCK-ideal.

Proof. Let A be a hyper BCC-ideal of G . Obviously $0 \in A$. Let $x, y \in G$ be such that $x \diamond y \ll A$ and $y \in A$. Then

$$(x \diamond y) \diamond 0 = \cup \{a \diamond 0 \mid a \in x \diamond y\} = x \diamond y \ll A.$$

It follows from (HI2) and hypothesis that $\{x\} = x \diamond 0 \subseteq A$, that is, $x \in A$. Hence A is a hyper BCK-ideal of G . \square

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.17 may not be true.

Example 3.18. Let $G = \{0, a, b, c\}$. Consider the following table:

\diamond	0	a	b	c
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0, a\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
c	$\{c\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{0\}$

Then (G, \diamond) is a hyper BCC-algebra and $I := \{0, a\}$ is a hyper BCK-ideal of G but it is not a hyper BCC-ideal of G since $(c \diamond a) \diamond b \ll I$ and $a \in I$ but $c \diamond b \not\subseteq I$.

Theorem 3.19. In a hyper BCC-algebra in which the equality $x \diamond 0 = \{x\}$ holds for all $x \in G$, every hyper BCK-ideal is a hyper BCC-subalgebra.

Proof. Let A be a hyper BCC -ideal and $x, y \in A$. Then $(x \diamond y) \diamond 0 = x \diamond y \ll A$ and $0 \in A$. Since A is hyper BCC -ideal, we get $x \diamond y \subseteq A$. \square

Corollary 3.20. *In a hyper BCC -algebra in which the equality $x \diamond 0 = \{x\}$ holds for all $x \in G$, every hyper BCC -ideal is a hyper BCC -subalgebra.*

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.19 may not be true.

Example 3.21. The hyper BCC -algebra (G, \diamond_1) in Example 3.2(4), $\{0, a\}$ is a hyper BCC -subalgebra but not hyper BCC -ideal, since $(a \diamond_1 a) \diamond_1 c \ll \{0, a\}$ and $a \in \{0, a\}$ but $a \diamond_1 c \not\subseteq \{0, a\}$.

Acknowledgements. The authors are deeply grateful to referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving the paper.

References

- [1] M. A. Chaudhry and H. Fakhar-Ud-Din, *Ideals and filters in BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica **44** (1996), no. 1, 101–112.
- [2] R. A. Borzooei, M. M. Zahedi and H. Rezaei, *Classifications of hyper BCK-algebras of order 3*, Italian J. of Pure and Appl. Math. **12** (2002), 175–184.
- [3] Y. B. Jun, M. M. Zahedi, X. L. Xin and R. A. Borzooei, *On hyperBCK-algebras*, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (2000), 127–136.
- [4] Y. B. Jun and W. H. Shim, *Fuzzy implicative hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras*, IJMMS **29**(2) (2002), 63–70.
- [5] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, *Fuzzy hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online **4** (2001), 415–422.
- [6] Y. B. Jun, X. L. Xin, E. H. Roh and M. M. Zahedi, *Strong hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica **51**(3) (2000), 493–498.
- [7] F. Marty, *Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe*, 8th Congress Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm (1934), 45–49.
- [8] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyungmoonsa, Seoul, Korea 1994.

- [9] Y. Komori, *The class of BCC-algebras is not variety*, *Mathematicae Japonica* **52** (1984), 391-394.

Y. B. Jun

Department of Mathematics Education

Gyeongsang National University

Jinju 660-701, Korea

Email: ybjun@gsnu.ac.kr , jamjana@korea.com

E. H. Roh

Department of Mathematics Education

Chinju National University of Education

Jinju 660-756, Korea

Email: ehroh@cue.ac.kr

H. Harizavi

Department of Mathematics

University of Sistan and Baluchistan

Zahedan, Iran

Email: harizavi@hamoon.usb.ac.ir