

INTRINSIC PRODUCT OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SUBRINGS/IDEALS IN RINGS

YOUNG BAE JUN AND CHUL HWAN PARK*

Abstract. Intrinsic product of intuitionistic fuzzy sets are considered. Using this, characterizations of intuitionistic fuzzy subrings/ideals are discussed. The notions of intuitionistic fuzzy quasi ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals are introduced. Characterizations of regular rings are provided.

1. Introduction

After the introduction of the concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [12], several researchers were conducted on the generalizations of the notion of fuzzy sets. The idea of *intuitionistic fuzzy set* was first published by Atanassov [2, 3] as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets. In [5], Banerjee and Basnet applied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets to the theory of rings, and introduced the notions of intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of a ring. Hur et al. [9] introduced the notions of intuitionistic fuzzy (completely) prime ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy weak completely prime ideals in a ring. In this paper we consider the intrinsic product of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and investigate their basic properties. Using such notion, we discuss characterizations of intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and intuitionistic fuzzy ideals.

Received September 11, 2006. Revised November 14, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 03E72, 03F55, 16D25.

Key words and phrases : Regular ring, intuitionistic fuzzy subring, intuitionistic fuzzy (quasi, bi) ideal, intrinsic product.

*Corresponding author: Tel.: 052-259-2751, H.P:011-542-8511.

We introduce the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy quasi ideals in a ring. We deal with the related properties on regular rings.

2. Preliminaries

An ideal A of a ring R is said to be *semiprime* if, whenever $a^n \in A$ for some $a \in A$ and some positive integer n , then $a \in A$. Note that a commutative ring R is regular if and only if every ideal of R is semiprime.

An additive subgroup Q of a ring R is called a *quasi-ideal* of R if $QR \cap RQ \subseteq Q$, and an additive subgroup B of a ring R is called a *bi-ideal* of R if $BB \subseteq B$ and $BRB \subseteq B$, where

$$AB = \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i \mid a_i \in A, b_i \in B \right\}$$

for any non-empty subsets A and B of R .

A mapping $\mu : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where M is an arbitrary non-empty set, is called a *fuzzy set* in M . For any fuzzy set μ in M and any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we define two sets

$$U(\mu; \alpha) = \{x \in M \mid \mu(x) \geq \alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad L(\mu; \alpha) = \{x \in M \mid \mu(x) \leq \alpha\},$$

which are called an *upper* and *lower level set* of μ .

As an important generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets in M , Atanassov [2, 3] introduced the concept of an *intuitionistic fuzzy set* (IFS for short) defined on a non-empty set M as objects having the form

$$A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in M\},$$

where the functions $\mu_A : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\gamma_A : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denote the *degree of membership* (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the *degree of nonmembership* (namely $\gamma_A(x)$) of each element $x \in M$ to A respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in M$ [8].

Such defined objects are studied by many authors (see for example two journals: 1. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* and 2. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*) and have many interesting applications not only in mathematics (see Chapter 5 in the book [4]).

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ for the IFS $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in M \}$.

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be IFSs in a set M . We define

- $A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \in M) (\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_B(x))$.
- $A = B \Leftrightarrow A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
- $A \cap B = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \gamma_A \vee \gamma_B)$.
- $A \cup B = (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \gamma_A \wedge \gamma_B)$.
- $0_{\sim} = (0, 1)$ and $1_{\sim} = (1, 0)$.

Let f be a mapping from a set X to a set Y . If $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ are IFSs in X and Y , respectively, then the *preimage* of $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ under f is defined to be an IFS $f^{-1}(B) = (\mu_{f^{-1}(B)}, \gamma_{f^{-1}(B)})$ where $\mu_{f^{-1}(B)}(x) = \mu_B(f(x))$ and $\gamma_{f^{-1}(B)}(x) = \gamma_B(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$, and the *image* of $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ under f is defined to be an IFS $f(A) = (\mu_{f(A)}, \gamma_{f(A)})$ where

$$\mu_{f(A)}(y) := \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_A(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{f(A)}(y) := \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \gamma_A(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $y \in Y$.

Definition 2.1. [5, 10] An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in a ring R is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy subring* of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $(\forall x, y \in R) (\mu_A(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\})$,
- (ii) $(\forall x, y \in R) (\mu_A(xy) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\})$,
- (iii) $(\forall x, y \in R) (\gamma_A(x - y) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\})$,

$$(iv) (\forall x, y \in R) (\gamma_A(xy) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}),$$

Definition 2.2. [5, 10] An IFS set $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in a ring R is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy left* (resp. *right*) *ideal* of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $(\forall x, y \in R) (\mu_A(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}),$
- (ii) $(\forall x, y \in R) (\gamma_A(x - y) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}),$
- (iii) $(\forall a, x \in R) (\mu_A(ax) \geq \mu_A(x))$ (resp. $\gamma_A(xa) \leq \gamma_A(x)$).

If $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is both an intuitionistic fuzzy left and intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of a ring R , then $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy ideal* of R .

3. Intrinsic products

Definition 3.1. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be IFSs in a ring R . The *intrinsic product* of $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ is defined to be the IFS $A * B = (\mu_{A*B}, \gamma_{A*B})$ in R given by

$$\mu_{A*B}(x) := \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m) \right\}$$

$$\gamma_{A*B}(x) := \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max \left\{ \gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m) \right\}$$

if we can express $x = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + \dots + a_m b_m$ for some $a_i, b_i \in R$ and for some positive integer m where each $a_i b_i \neq 0$. Otherwise, we define $A * B = 0_{\sim}$, i.e., $\mu_{A*B}(x) = 0$ and $\gamma_{A*B}(x) = 1$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$, $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ and $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C)$ be IFSs in a ring R . If $A \subseteq B$, then $A * C \subseteq B * C$ and $C * A \subseteq C * B$. Also we have $A \circ B \subseteq A * B$ where $A \circ B = (\mu_{A \circ B}, \gamma_{A \circ B})$ is an IFS in R

given by

$$\mu_{A \circ B}(x) := \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x=ab} \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_B(b)\} & \text{if } x \text{ is factorizable in } R \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{A \circ B}(x) := \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{x=ab} \min\{\gamma_A(a), \gamma_B(b)\} & \text{if } x \text{ is factorizable in } R \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition 3.3. For any IFSs $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$, $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ and $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C)$ in a ring R , we have $A * (B * C) = (A * B) * C$.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$, $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ and $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C)$ be IFSs in a ring R and $x \in R$. We assume that x is expressible as $x = a_1b_1c_1 + a_2b_2c_2 + \dots + a_mb_m c_m$ where $a_i, b_i, c_i \in R$ and $a_i b_i c_i \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \mu_C(c_1), \mu_C(c_2), \dots, \mu_C(c_m)\} \\ (1) \quad & \leq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_{B * C}(b_1c_1), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \mu_{B * C}(b_2c_2), \dots, \mu_{B * C}(b_m c_m)\}, \\ & \leq \mu_{A * (B * C)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \gamma_C(c_1), \gamma_C(c_2), \dots, \gamma_C(c_m)\} \\ (2) \quad & \geq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_{B * C}(b_1c_1), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \gamma_{B * C}(b_2c_2), \dots, \gamma_{B * C}(b_m c_m)\}, \\ & \geq \gamma_{A * (B * C)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mu_{(A*B)*C}(x) \\
 = & \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{A*B}(a_1), \mu_{A*B}(a_2), \dots, \mu_{A*B}(a_m), \\ \mu_C(b_1), \mu_C(b_2), \dots, \mu_C(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 = & \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \left(\bigvee_{a_1 = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_{1i} b_{i1}} \left(\bigvee_{a_2 = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_{2i} b_{i2}} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left(\dots \left(\bigvee_{a_m = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_{mi} b_{im}} \min \{ \mu_A(a_{11}), \mu_A(a_{12}), \dots, \mu_A(a_{1m_1}), \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \mu_A(a_{21}), \mu_A(a_{22}), \dots, \mu_A(a_{2m_2}), \dots, \mu_A(a_{m1}), \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \mu_A(a_{m2}), \dots, \mu_A(a_{mm_m}), \mu_B(b_{11}), \mu_B(b_{21}), \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \dots, \mu_B(b_{m_m m}), \mu_C(b_1), \mu_C(b_2), \dots, \mu_C(b_m) \} \dots \right) \right) \\
 \leq & \mu_{A*(B*C)}(x), \quad \text{by (1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \gamma_{(A*B)*C}(x) \\
 = & \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_{A*B}(a_1), \gamma_{A*B}(a_2), \dots, \gamma_{A*B}(a_m), \\ \gamma_C(b_1), \gamma_C(b_2), \dots, \gamma_C(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 = & \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \left(\bigwedge_{a_1 = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_{1i} b_{i1}} \left(\bigwedge_{a_2 = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_{2i} b_{i2}} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left(\dots \left(\bigwedge_{a_m = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_{mi} b_{im}} \max \{ \gamma_A(a_{11}), \gamma_A(a_{12}), \dots, \gamma_A(a_{1m_1}), \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \gamma_A(a_{21}), \gamma_A(a_{22}), \dots, \gamma_A(a_{2m_2}), \dots, \gamma_A(a_{m1}), \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \gamma_A(a_{m2}), \dots, \gamma_A(a_{mm_m}), \gamma_B(b_{11}), \gamma_B(b_{21}), \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \dots, \gamma_B(b_{m_m m}), \gamma_C(b_1), \gamma_C(b_2), \dots, \gamma_C(b_m) \} \dots \right) \right) \\
 \geq & \gamma_{A*(B*C)}(x). \quad \text{by (2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A * (B * C) \subseteq (A * B) * C$. Similarly $(A * B) * C \subseteq A * (B * C)$.

If x is not expressible as $x = a_1 b_1 c_1 + a_2 b_2 c_2 + \dots + a_m b_m c_m$, then

$\mu_{(A*B)*C}(x) = 0 = \mu_{A*(B*C)}(x)$ and $\gamma_{(A*B)*C}(x) = 1 = \gamma_{A*(B*C)}(x)$.

Therefore $A * (B * C) = (A * B) * C$. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$, $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ and $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C)$ be IFSs in a ring R . Then*

- (i) $A * (B + C) \subseteq (A * B) + (A * C)$, and the equality is valid if $\mu_C(0) = \mu_B(0) \geq \max\{\mu_B(x), \mu_C(x)\}$ and $\gamma_C(0) = \gamma_B(0) \leq \min\{\gamma_B(x), \gamma_C(x)\}$ for all $x \in R$.
- (ii) $(A + B) * C \subseteq (A * C) + (B * C)$, and the equality is valid if $\mu_B(0) = \mu_A(0) \geq \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}$ and $\gamma_B(0) = \gamma_A(0) \leq \min\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)\}$ for all $x \in R$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in R$. If x is expressible in the form

$$(3) \quad x = a_1(u_1 + v_1) + a_2(u_2 + v_2) + \dots + a_m(u_m + v_m)$$

where no term is zero, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu_{A*B} + \mu_{A*C})(x) \\ & \geq \min\{\mu_{A*B}(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i), \mu_{A*C}(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i)\} \\ & \geq \min\{\min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_B(u_1), \mu_B(u_2), \dots, \mu_B(u_m)\}, \\ & \quad \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_C(v_1), \mu_C(v_2), \dots, \mu_C(v_m)\}\} \\ & = \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \min\{\mu_B(u_1), \mu_C(v_1)\}, \\ & \quad \min\{\mu_B(u_2), \mu_C(v_2)\}, \dots, \min\{\mu_B(u_m), \mu_C(v_m)\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\gamma_{A*B} + \gamma_{A*C})(x) \\ & \leq \max\{\gamma_{A*B}(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i), \gamma_{A*C}(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i)\} \\ & \leq \max\{\max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_B(u_1), \gamma_B(u_2), \dots, \gamma_B(u_m)\}, \\ & \quad \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_C(v_1), \gamma_C(v_2), \dots, \gamma_C(v_m)\}\} \\ & = \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \max\{\gamma_B(u_1), \gamma_C(v_1)\}, \\ & \quad \max\{\gamma_B(u_2), \gamma_C(v_2)\}, \dots, \max\{\gamma_B(u_m), \gamma_C(v_m)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above results, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{A*(B+C)}(x) \\ &= \bigvee_{x=\sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \\ \mu_{B+C}(b_1), \mu_{B+C}(b_2), \dots, \mu_{B+C}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \bigvee_{x=\sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \left(\bigvee_{b_1=u_1+v_1} \left(\bigvee_{b_2=u_2+v_2} \left(\dots \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left(\bigvee_{b_m=u_m+v_m} \min \{ \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. \left. \min \{ \mu_B(u_1), \mu_C(v_1) \}, \dots, \min \{ \mu_B(u_m), \mu_C(v_m) \} \} \right) \dots \right) \right) \\ &\leq \mu_{(A*B)+(A*C)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_{A*(B+C)}(x) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x=\sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \\ \mu_{B+C}(b_1), \mu_{B+C}(b_2), \dots, \mu_{B+C}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \bigwedge_{x=\sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \left(\bigwedge_{b_1=u_1+v_1} \left(\bigwedge_{b_2=u_2+v_2} \left(\dots \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left(\bigwedge_{b_m=u_m+v_m} \max \{ \gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. \left. \max \{ \gamma_B(u_1), \gamma_C(v_1) \}, \dots, \max \{ \gamma_B(u_m), \gamma_C(v_m) \} \} \right) \dots \right) \right) \\ &\geq \gamma_{(A*B)+(A*C)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

If x is not expressible as in (3), then $\mu_{A*(B+C)}(x) = 0 = \mu_{(A*B)+(A*C)}(x)$ and $\gamma_{A*(B+C)}(x) = 1 = \gamma_{(A*B)+(A*C)}(x)$. Hence we have

$$A * (B + C) \subseteq (A * B) + (A * C).$$

Now suppose that $\mu_C(0) = \mu_B(0) \geq \max\{\mu_B(x), \mu_C(x)\}$ and $\gamma_C(0) = \gamma_B(0) \leq \min\{\gamma_B(x), \gamma_C(x)\}$ for all $x \in R$. Let $x \in R$ and suppose that x is expressible in the form

$$(4) \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j d_j$$

where $a_i b_i \neq 0$ and $c_j d_j \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
Then

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(b_i + 0) + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(d_j + 0),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{A*(B+C)}(x) \\ &= \mu_{A*(B+C)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j d_j\right) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_A(c_1), \mu_A(c_2), \dots, \mu_A(c_n), \\ &\quad \mu_{B+C}(b_1), \mu_{B+C}(b_2), \dots, \mu_{B+C}(b_m), \\ &\quad \mu_{B+C}(d_1), \mu_{B+C}(d_2), \dots, \mu_{B+C}(d_n)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_A(c_1), \mu_A(c_2), \dots, \mu_A(c_n), \\ &\quad \mu_B(b_1), \mu_C(0), \mu_B(b_2), \mu_C(0), \dots, \mu_B(b_m), \mu_C(0), \\ &\quad \mu_B(0), \mu_C(d_1), \mu_B(0), \mu_C(d_2), \dots, \mu_B(0), \mu_C(d_n)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_A(c_1), \mu_A(c_2), \dots, \mu_A(c_n), \\ &\quad \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m), \mu_C(d_1), \mu_C(d_2), \dots, \mu_C(d_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_{A*(B+C)}(x) \\ &= \gamma_{A*(B+C)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j d_j\right) \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_A(c_1), \gamma_A(c_2), \dots, \gamma_A(c_n), \\ &\quad \gamma_{B+C}(b_1), \gamma_{B+C}(b_2), \dots, \gamma_{B+C}(b_m), \\ &\quad \gamma_{B+C}(d_1), \gamma_{B+C}(d_2), \dots, \gamma_{B+C}(d_n)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_A(c_1), \gamma_A(c_2), \dots, \gamma_A(c_n), \\ &\quad \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_C(0), \gamma_B(b_2), \gamma_C(0), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m), \gamma_C(0), \\ &\quad \gamma_B(0), \gamma_C(d_1), \gamma_B(0), \gamma_C(d_2), \dots, \gamma_B(0), \gamma_C(d_n)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_A(c_1), \gamma_A(c_2), \dots, \gamma_A(c_n), \\ &\quad \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m), \gamma_C(d_1), \gamma_C(d_2), \dots, \gamma_C(d_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mu_{(A*B)+(A*C)}(x) \\
 &= \bigvee_{x=u+v} \min\{\mu_{A*B}(u), \mu_{A*C}(v)\} \\
 &= \bigvee_{x=u+v} \left(\bigvee_{u=\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i} \left(\bigvee_{v=\sum_{j=1}^n c_j d_j} \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \mu_A(c_1), \mu_A(c_2), \dots, \mu_A(c_n), \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m), \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \mu_C(d_1), \mu_C(d_2), \dots, \mu_C(d_n)\} \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \mu_{A*(B+C)}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \gamma_{(A*B)+(A*C)}(x) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{x=u+v} \max\{\gamma_{A*B}(u), \gamma_{A*C}(v)\} \\
 &= \bigwedge_{x=u+v} \left(\bigwedge_{u=\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i} \left(\bigwedge_{v=\sum_{j=1}^n c_j d_j} \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \gamma_A(c_1), \gamma_A(c_2), \dots, \gamma_A(c_n), \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m), \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \gamma_C(d_1), \gamma_C(d_2), \dots, \gamma_C(d_n)\} \right) \right) \\
 &\geq \gamma_{A*(B+C)}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $A * (B + C) = (A * B) + (A * C)$.

(ii) Similar the the proof of (i). □

Lemma 3.5. *If $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an IFS in a ring R , then $A * A \subseteq A$ if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_A(b_1), \mu_A(b_2), \dots, \mu_A(b_m)\} \\
 & \leq \mu_A(a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + \dots + a_m b_m), \\
 & \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_A(b_1), \gamma_A(b_2), \dots, \gamma_A(b_m)\} \\
 & \geq \gamma_A(a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + \dots + a_m b_m),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i, b_i \in R$.

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 3.6. *For an IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in a ring R , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subring of R .
- (ii) $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of the additive group $(R, +)$ and $A * A \subseteq A$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). The condition

$$\mu_A(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\} \text{ and } \gamma_A(x - y) \leq \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$$

is equivalent to saying that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of $(R, +)$. Let $a_i, b_i \in R$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_A(b_1), \mu_A(b_2), \dots, \mu_A(b_m)\} \\ & \leq \min\{\mu_A(a_1b_1), \mu_A(a_2b_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_mb_m)\} \\ & \leq \mu_A(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + \dots, a_mb_m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_A(b_1), \gamma_A(b_2), \dots, \gamma_A(b_m)\} \\ & \geq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1b_1), \gamma_A(a_2b_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_mb_m)\} \\ & \geq \gamma_A(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + \dots, a_mb_m), \end{aligned}$$

which shows from Lemma 3.5 that $A * A \subseteq A$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Applying Lemma 3.5 and the definition of intuitionistic fuzzy subring, we have the desired result. □

Lemma 3.7. *For any IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in R , the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $A \circ A \subseteq A$.
- (ii) $\mu_A(xy) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$ and $\gamma_A(xy) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 3.8. *If $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ are intuitionistic fuzzy subrings of a ring R such that $A * B = B * A$, then $A * B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subring of R .*

Proof. For any $x, y \in R$, let $x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i$ and $y = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j d_j$. Then

$$x - y = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (-c_j) d_j,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A*B}(x - y) &= \mu_{A*B}(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (-c_j) d_j) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_A(-c_1), \\ &\quad \mu_A(-c_2), \dots, \mu_A(-c_n), \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m), \\ &\quad \mu_B(d_1), \mu_B(d_2), \dots, \mu_B(d_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{A*B}(x - y) &= \gamma_{A*B}(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (-c_j) d_j) \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_A(-c_1), \\ &\quad \gamma_A(-c_2), \dots, \gamma_A(-c_n), \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m), \\ &\quad \gamma_B(d_1), \gamma_B(d_2), \dots, \gamma_B(d_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{A*B}(x - y) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \left(\bigvee_{y = \sum_{\text{finite}} c_j d_j} \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_r), \right. \\ &\quad \mu_A(-c_1), \mu_A(-c_2), \dots, \mu_A(-c_t), \mu_B(b_1), \\ &\quad \left. \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_r), \mu_B(d_1), \mu_B(d_2), \dots, \mu_B(d_t)\} \right) \\ &= \min\{\mu_{A*B}(x), \mu_{A*B}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_{A*B}(x - y) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \left(\bigvee_{y = \sum_{\text{finite}} c_j d_j} \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_r), \right. \\ &\quad \gamma_A(-c_1), \gamma_A(-c_2), \dots, \gamma_A(-c_t), \gamma_B(b_1), \\ &\quad \left. \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_r), \gamma_B(d_1), \gamma_B(d_2), \dots, \gamma_B(d_t)\} \right) \\ &= \max\{\gamma_{A*B}(x), \gamma_{A*B}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

so that $A * B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of $(R, +)$. Since $A * B = B * A$ by assumption, it follows from Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 and

Theorem 3.6(ii) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A * B) \circ (A * B) &\subseteq (A * B) * (A * B) = A * (B * A) * B \\
 &= A * (A * B) * B = (A * A) * (B * B) \\
 &\subseteq A * B
 \end{aligned}$$

so from Lemma 3.7 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{A*B}(ab) &\geq \min\{\mu_{A*B}(a), \mu_{A*B}(b)\}, \\
 \gamma_{A*B}(ab) &\leq \max\{\gamma_{A*B}(a), \gamma_{A*B}(b)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in R$. Hence $A * B$ is a intuitionistic fuzzy subring of R . \square

Lemma 3.9. *An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in a ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. left) ideal of a ring R if and only if*

- (i) $\mu_A(x-y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$ and $\gamma_A(x-y) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}$,
- (ii) $1_{\sim} * A \subseteq A$ (resp. $A * 1_{\sim} \subseteq A$).

Proof. Suppose that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R . It is clear that the condition (i) holds. Let x be any element of R . We assume that x is expressible as $x = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + \dots + a_mb_m$, where $a_i, b_i \in R$ and $a_ib_i \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{1_{\sim} * A}(x) &= \bigvee_{\substack{x = \sum \\ \text{finite} \\ a_i b_i}} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1(a_1), 1(a_2), \dots, 1(a_m), \\ \mu_A(b_1), \mu_A(b_2), \dots, \mu_A(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &\leq \bigvee_{\substack{x = \sum \\ \text{finite} \\ a_i b_i}} \min\{1, \mu_A(a_1b_1), \mu_A(a_2b_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_mb_m)\} \\
 &= \bigvee_{\substack{x = \sum \\ \text{finite} \\ a_i b_i}} \min\{\mu_A(a_1b_1), \mu_A(a_2b_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_mb_m)\} \\
 &\leq \mu_A(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + \dots + a_mb_m) \\
 &= \mu_A(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_{1 \sim * A}(x) &= \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0(a_1), 0(a_2), \dots, 0(a_m), \\ \gamma_A(b_1), \gamma_A(b_2), \dots, \gamma_A(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \{1, \gamma_A(a_1 b_1), \gamma_A(a_2 b_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m b_m)\} \\
 &= \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \{ \gamma_A(a_1 b_1), \gamma_A(a_2 b_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m b_m) \} \\
 &\geq \gamma_A(a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + \dots + a_m b_m) \\
 &= \gamma_A(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $1 \sim * A \subseteq A$. We remark that if x is not expressible as $x = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + \dots + a_m b_m$, then $\mu_{1 \sim * A}(x) = 0 \leq \mu_A(x)$ and $\gamma_{1 \sim * A}(x) = 1 \geq \gamma_A(x)$. Therefore the condition (ii) is valid.

Conversely, assume that (i) and (ii) hold. Let $x, y \in R$. Using the condition (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_A(xy) &\geq \mu_{1 \sim * A}(xy) \\
 &= \bigvee_{xy = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1(a_1), 1(a_2), \dots, 1(a_m), \\ \mu_A(b_1), \mu_A(b_2), \dots, \mu_A(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &\geq \min \{1(x), \mu_A(y)\} \\
 &= \mu_A(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_A(xy) &\leq \gamma_{1 \sim * A}(xy) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{xy = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0(a_1), 0(a_2), \dots, 0(a_m), \\ \gamma_A(b_1), \gamma_A(b_2), \dots, \gamma_A(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \{0(x), \gamma_A(y)\} \\
 &= \gamma_A(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

This means that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R . In a similar way, we can prove that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R . □

Theorem 3.10. *If $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of a ring R and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R , then $A * B \subseteq A \cap B$.*

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be IFSs in a ring R and $x \in R$. We assume that x is expressible as $x = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + \dots + a_mb_m$ where $a_i, b_i \in R$ and $a_ib_i \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m)\} \\
 (5) \quad & \leq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m)\} \\
 & \leq \min\{\mu_A(a_1b_1), \mu_A(a_2b_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_mb_m)\} \\
 & \leq \mu_A(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2, \dots, a_mb_m)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m)\} \\
 (6) \quad & \geq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m)\} \\
 & \geq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1b_1), \gamma_A(a_2b_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_mb_m)\} \\
 & \geq \gamma_A(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2, \dots, a_mb_m)
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5) and (6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mu_{(A*B)}(x) \\
 & = \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_ib_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \\ \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 & \leq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m)\} \\
 & \leq \mu_A(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2, \dots, a_mb_m) \\
 & = \mu_A(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \gamma_{(A*B)}(x) \\
 & = \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_ib_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \\ \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 & \geq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m)\} \\
 & \geq \gamma_A(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2, \dots, a_mb_m) \\
 & = \gamma_A(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu_{A*B}(x) \leq \mu_A(x)$ and $\gamma_{A*B}(x) \geq \gamma_A(x)$. Similarly $\mu_{A*B}(x) \leq \mu_A(x)$ and $\gamma_{A*B}(x) \geq \gamma_A(x)$. Thus $\mu_{A*B}(x) \leq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\} =$

$(\mu_A \wedge \mu_B)(x)$ and $\gamma_{A*B}(x) \geq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)\} = (\gamma_A \vee \gamma_B)(x)$. Therefore $A * B \subseteq A \cap B$. \square

Theorem 3.11. *If $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of a ring R and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R , then $A * B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R .*

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be IFSs in a ring R . From the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.8, we see that $A * B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of $(R, +)$. For any $x, y \in R$, let $x = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + \cdots + a_mb_m$ where $a_i, b_i \in R$ and $a_ib_i \neq 0$. Then $xy = a_1(b_1y) + a_2(b_2y) + \cdots + a_m(b_my)$. and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \cdots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \cdots, \mu_B(b_m)\} \\ & \leq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \cdots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_B(b_1y), \mu_B(b_2y), \cdots, \mu_B(b_my)\} \\ & \leq \mu_{A*B}(xy) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \cdots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \cdots, \gamma_B(b_m)\} \\ & \geq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \cdots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_B(b_1y), \gamma_B(b_2y), \cdots, \gamma_B(b_my)\} \\ & \geq \gamma_{A*B}(xy) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{(A*B)}(x) \\ & = \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_ib_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \cdots, \mu_A(a_m), \\ \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \cdots, \mu_B(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \cdots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \cdots, \mu_B(b_m)\} \\ & \leq \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \cdots, \mu_A(a_m), \mu_B(b_1y), \mu_B(b_2y), \cdots, \mu_B(b_my)\} \\ & \leq \mu_{A*B}(xy) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_{(A*B)}(x) \\ &= \bigvee_{x=\sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \\ \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m)\} \\ & \geq \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \gamma_B(b_1y), \gamma_B(b_2y), \dots, \gamma_B(b_my)\} \\ & \geq \gamma_{A*B}(xy). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu_{A*B}(x) \leq \mu_{A*B}(xy)$ and $\gamma_{A*B}(x) \geq \gamma_{A*B}(xy)$. Simlary ,we get $\mu_{A*B}(y) \leq \mu_{A*B}(xy)$ and $\gamma_{A*B}(y) \geq \gamma_{A*B}(xy)$. There $A * B$ is a intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R □

Definition 3.12. An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in a ring R is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal* of R if

- (i) $(\forall x, y \in R) (\mu_A(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\})$,
- (ii) $(\forall x, y \in R) (\gamma_A(x - y) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\})$,
- (iii) $(A * 1_{\sim}) \cap (1_{\sim} * A) \subseteq A$.

Example 3.13. Let

$$R = \left\{ 0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Then $R = \{0, a, b, c\}$ is a ring of matrices under matrix addition and multiplication modulo 2.

$+$	0	a	b	c	\cdot	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	c	b	a	0	a	b	c
b	b	c	0	a	b	0	0	0	0
c	c	b	a	0	c	0	a	b	c

Define an intuitionistic fuzzy set $Q = (\mu_Q, \gamma_Q)$ in R as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_Q(0) &= 1, \mu_Q(a) = 0.6, \mu_Q(b) = \mu_Q(c) = 0, \\ \gamma_Q(0) &= 0, \gamma_Q(a) = 0.1, \gamma_Q(b) = \gamma_Q(c) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $Q = (\mu_Q, \gamma_Q)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal of R which is not an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R .

Definition 3.14. An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in a ring R is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal* of R if

- (i) $(\forall x, y \in R) (\mu_A(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\})$,
- (ii) $(\forall x, y \in R) (\gamma_A(x - y) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\})$,
- (iii) $A * A \subseteq A$ and $A * 1_{\sim} * A \subseteq A$.

Example 3.15. Let $R = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a ring with the following tables:

$+$	0	a	b	c	\cdot	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	c	b	a	0	0	0	b
b	b	c	0	a	b	0	0	0	0
c	c	b	a	0	c	0	b	0	a

Let $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be an IFS in R defined by

$$\mu_B(0) = 1, \mu_B(a) = 0.6, \mu_B(b) = \mu_B(c) = 0,$$

$$\gamma_B(0) = 0, \gamma_B(a) = 0.2, \gamma_B(b) = \gamma_B(c) = 1.$$

It can be easily seen that $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R which is not an intuitionistic fuzzy quasi ideal of R .

Lemma 3.16. *Every intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right, two-sided) ideal of a ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal of R .*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Lemma 3.17. *Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be any intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal and any intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of a ring R , respectively. Then $A \cap B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal of R .*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 3.18. *Any intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal of a ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R .*

Proof. Let A be any intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . Then

$$A * A = (A * A) \cap (A * A) \subseteq (A * 1_{\sim}) \cap (1_{\sim} * A) \subseteq A.$$

Since $A * 1_{\sim} * A \subseteq A * (1_{\sim} * 1_{\sim}) \subseteq A * 1_{\sim}$ and $A * 1_{\sim} * A \subseteq (1_{\sim} * 1_{\sim}) * A \subseteq 1_{\sim} * A$, we have $1_{\sim} * A * 1_{\sim} \subseteq (A * 1_{\sim}) \cap (1_{\sim} * A) \subseteq A$. Therefore $A * B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R . \square

The converse of Theorem 3.18 is not true in general. For example, the intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ described in Example 3.15 is not an intuitionistic fuzzy quasi ideal of R .

Theorem 3.19. *The intrinsic product of two intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideals of a ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R .*

Proof. Let A and B be any two intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideals of R . From Theorem 3.18, it follows that B is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R . Then we have $A * 1_{\sim} * A \subseteq A$ and so

$$(A * B) * (A * B) = (A * B * A) * B \subseteq (A * 1_{\sim} * A) * B \subseteq A * B$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (A * B) * 1_{\sim} * (A * B) &= A * \{B * (A * 1_{\sim}) * B\} \\ &\subseteq A * \{B * (1_{\sim} * 1_{\sim}) * B\} \\ &\subseteq A * (B * 1_{\sim} * B) \\ &\subseteq A * B. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A * B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R . \square

4. Regular rings

A ring R is said to be *regular* if for each element x of R , there exists an element $a \in R$ such that $x = xax$. A ring R is regular if and only if $AB = A \cap B$ whenever A is a right ideal of R and B is a left ideal of R (see [6]). The corresponding intuitionistic fuzzy ideals nicely simulate this basic result.

For a subset X of ring R , we denote $\tilde{X} = \{\langle x, \mu_{\tilde{X}}(x), \gamma_{\tilde{X}}(x) \rangle \mid x \in R\}$ defined by

$$\mu_{\tilde{X}}(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{\tilde{X}}(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in X \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in R$. For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $\tilde{X} = (\mu_{\tilde{X}}, \gamma_{\tilde{X}})$ for the $\tilde{X} = \{\langle x, \mu_{\tilde{X}}(x), \gamma_{\tilde{X}}(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a nonempty subset of R , Then*

- (i) A is a subring of R if and only if \tilde{A} is intuitionistic fuzzy subring of R
- (ii) A is a left(right) ideal of R if and only if \tilde{A} is intuitionistic fuzzy left(right) ideal of R
- (iii) A is a quas ideal of R if and only if \tilde{A} is intuitionistic fuzzy quasi ideal of R

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 4.2. *A ring R is regular if and only if $A * B = A \cap B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R and every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ of R .*

Proof. Suppose that R is a regular ring. By Theorem 3.10, we have $A * B \subseteq A \cap B$. To prove the opposite inclusion, let $x \in R$. Since R is a

regular ring, there exists $y \in R$ such that $x = xyx$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(A*B)}(x) &= \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min\{\mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \\ &\quad \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(xy), \mu_B(x)\} \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\} = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B)(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{(A*B)}(x) &= \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max\{\gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \\ &\quad \gamma_B(b_1), \gamma_B(b_2), \dots, \gamma_B(b_m)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(yx)\} \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)\} \\ &= (\gamma_A \vee \gamma_B)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu_{A*B}(x) \geq (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B)(x)$ and $\gamma_{A*B}(x) \leq (\gamma_A \vee \gamma_B)(x)$ for all $x \in R$. This show that $A * B \supseteq A \cap B$. Therefore $A * B = A \cap B$. Conversely, suppose that $A * B = A \cap B$ whenever A is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal and B is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R . Let U be a right ideal and V be a left ideal of R . By Lemma 4.1(ii), $\tilde{U} = (\mu_{\tilde{U}}, \gamma_{\tilde{U}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal and $\tilde{V} = (\mu_{\tilde{V}}, \gamma_{\tilde{V}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R . Therefore we get $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V} = \tilde{U} * \tilde{V}$. We always have $UV \subset U \cap V$. We show that $U \cap V \subseteq UV$. Let $x \in U \cap V$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{U} * \tilde{V}}(x) &= \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min\{\mu_{\tilde{U}}(a_1), \mu_{\tilde{U}}(a_2), \dots, \mu_{\tilde{U}}(a_m), \\ &\quad \mu_{\tilde{V}}(b_1), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(b_2), \dots, \mu_{\tilde{V}}(b_m)\} \\ &= (\mu_{\tilde{U}} \wedge \mu_{\tilde{V}})(x) = \min\{\mu_{\tilde{U}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(x)\} = \min\{1, 1\} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\tilde{U} * \tilde{V}}(x) &= \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max\{\gamma_{\tilde{U}}(a_1), \gamma_{\tilde{U}}(a_2), \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{U}}(a_m), \\ &\quad \gamma_{\tilde{V}}(b_1), \gamma_{\tilde{V}}(b_2), \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{V}}(b_m)\} \\ &= (\gamma_{\tilde{U}} \vee \gamma_{\tilde{V}})(x) = \max\{\gamma_{\tilde{U}}(x), \gamma_{\tilde{V}}(x)\} = \max\{0, 0\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

there must exist $a_i, b_i \in R$, for which $\mu_{\tilde{U}}(a_i) = \mu_{\tilde{V}}(b_i) = 1$ and $\gamma_{\tilde{U}}(a_i) = \gamma_{\tilde{V}}(b_i) = 0$. This implies that $x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i \in UV$. Accordingly, $U \cap V \subseteq UV$. Therefore R is a regular ring. \square

Corollary 4.3. *For a ring R , the following assertions are valid.*

- (i) *If R is a commutative ring such that $A * B = A \cap B$ for all intuitionistic fuzzy ideals A, B of R , then R is a regular ring.*
- (ii) *If R is a regular ring, then every intuitionistic fuzzy two-sided ideal of R is idempotent.*
- (iii) *If R is a commutative ring such that $A^2 = A$ for all intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of R then R is a regular ring.*

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Theorem 4.4. *For a ring R , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *R is a regular rings,*
- (ii) *$A = A * 1_{\sim} * A$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal A of R ,*
- (iii) *$A = A * 1_{\sim} * A$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal A of R .*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be any intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R . Since A is intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R , we have $A * 1_{\sim} * A \subseteq A$. To prove the opposite inclusion, let a any element of R . Then since R is

regular, there exists an element $x \in R$ such that $a = axa$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A*1_{\sim}A}(a) &= \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \\ \mu_{1_{\sim}A}(b_1), \mu_{1_{\sim}A}(b_2), \dots, \mu_{1_{\sim}A}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_{1_{\sim}A}(xa)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(a), \bigvee_{xa = \sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i} \min\{1(p_1), 1(p_2), \dots, 1(p_m), \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \mu_A(q_1), \mu_A(q_2), \dots, \mu_A(q_m)\}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(a), \min\{1(x), \mu_A(a)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(a), \min\{1, \mu_A(a)\}\} \\ &= \mu_A(a), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{A*1_{\sim}A}(a) &= \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \\ \gamma_{1_{\sim}A}(b_1), \gamma_{1_{\sim}A}(b_2), \dots, \gamma_{1_{\sim}A}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_A(a), \gamma_{1_{\sim}A}(xa)\} \\ &= \max\{\gamma_A(a), \bigwedge_{xa = \sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i} \max\{0(p_1), 0(p_2), \dots, 0(p_m), \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \mu_A(q_1), \gamma_A(q_2), \dots, \gamma_A(q_m)\}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_A(a), \max\{0(x), \gamma_A(a)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\gamma_A(a), \max\{0, \gamma_A(a)\}\} \\ &= \gamma_A(a), \end{aligned}$$

This is shows that $A * 1_{\sim} * A \subset A$. Therefore $A = A * 1_{\sim} * A$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Since any intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R by Theorem 3.18, the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is valid.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let Q be any quasi-ideal of R , and a any element of Q . By Lemma 4.1(iii), \tilde{Q} is an intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . Then

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{Q} * 1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(a) &= \bigvee_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{\tilde{Q}}(a_1), \mu_{\tilde{Q}}(a_2), \dots, \mu_{\tilde{Q}}(a_m), \\ \mu_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_1), \mu_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_2), \dots, \mu_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \mu_{\tilde{Q}}(a) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\tilde{Q} * 1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(a) &= \bigwedge_{x = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_{\tilde{Q}}(a_1), \gamma_{\tilde{Q}}(a_2), \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{Q}}(a_m), \\ \gamma_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_1), \gamma_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_2), \dots, \gamma_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \gamma_{\tilde{Q}}(a) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exist $a_i, b_i \in R$ such that $\mu_{\tilde{Q}}(a_i) = \mu_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_i) = 1$ and $\gamma_{\tilde{Q}}(a_i) = \gamma_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_i) = 0$ with $a = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i$. Since

$$1 = \mu_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_i) = \bigvee_{b_i = \sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1(p_1), 1(p_2), \dots, 1(p_m), \\ \mu_{\tilde{Q}}(q_1), \mu_{\tilde{Q}}(q_2), \dots, \mu_{\tilde{Q}}(q_m) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$0 = \mu_{1 \sim * \tilde{Q}}(b_i) = \bigwedge_{b_i = \sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1(p_1), 1(p_2), \dots, 1(p_m), \\ \gamma_{\tilde{Q}}(q_1), \gamma_{\tilde{Q}}(q_2), \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{Q}}(q_m) \end{array} \right\},$$

there exist $p_1, q_i \in R$ such that $p_i \in R$ and $q_i \in Q$ with $b_i = \sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i$.

Hence $a_i, q_i \in Q$ and $p_i \in R$. Then we have

$$a = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i \left(\sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i \right) = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i (p_i q_i) \in QRQ$$

and so, $a \in QRQ$. Thus $Q \subseteq QRQ$. On the other hand, Q is a quasi-ideal of R ,

$$QRQ \subseteq QR \cap RQ \subseteq Q,$$

and so we have $Q = QRQ$. Then it follows from [11] that R is regular. □

Theorem 4.5. *For a ring R , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) R is regular.

- (ii) $A \cap B = B * A * B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy ideal A of R and every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal B of R .
- (iii) $A \cap B = B * A * B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy ideal A of R and every intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal B of R .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be any intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and any intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R , respectively. Then

$$B * A * B \subseteq B * 1_{\sim} * B \subseteq B,$$

and

$$B * A * B \subseteq 1_{\sim} * A * 1_{\sim} \subseteq A,$$

Thus we have $B * A * B \subseteq A \cap B$. In order to see that the converse inclusion holds, let a be any element of R . Then, since R is regular, there exists an element x in R such that $a = axa (= axaxa)$. Since A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R , we get $\mu_A(xax) \leq \mu_A(xa) \leq \mu_A(a)$ and $\gamma_A(xax) \geq \gamma_A(xa) \geq \gamma_A(a)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{B * A * B}(a) &= \bigvee_{\substack{a = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i}} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_B(a_1), \mu_B(a_2), \dots, \mu_B(a_m), \\ \mu_{A * B}(b_1), \mu_{A * B}(b_2), \dots, \mu_{A * B}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \{ \mu_B(a), \mu_{A * B}(axaxa) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mu_B(a), \bigvee_{\substack{axaxa = \sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i}} \min \{ \mu_A(p_1), \mu_A(p_2), \dots, \\ &\quad \mu_A(p_m), \mu_B(q_1), \mu_B(q_2), \dots, \mu_B(q_m) \} \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \mu_B(a), \min \{ \mu_A(xax), \mu_B(a) \} \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \mu_B(a), \min \{ \mu_A(a), \mu_B(a) \} \} \\ &= \min \{ \mu_B(a), \mu_A(a) \} \\ &= (\mu_B \wedge \mu_A)(a), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_{B * A * B}(a) &= \bigwedge_{\substack{a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i \\ \text{finite}}} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_B(a_1), \gamma_B(a_2), \dots, \gamma_B(a_m), \\ \gamma_{A * B}(b_1), \gamma_{A * B}(b_2), \dots, \gamma_{A * B}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \{ \gamma_B(a), \gamma_{A * B}(xaxa) \} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \gamma_B(a), \bigwedge_{\substack{xaxa = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i q_i \\ \text{finite}}} \max \{ \gamma_A(p_1), \gamma_A(p_2), \dots, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \gamma_A(p_m), \gamma_B(q_1), \gamma_B(q_2), \dots, \gamma_B(q_m) \} \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \{ \gamma_B(a), \max \{ \gamma_A(xax), \gamma_B(a) \} \} \\
 &\geq \min \{ \gamma_B(a), \min \{ \gamma_A(a), \gamma_B(a) \} \} \\
 &= \min \{ \gamma_B(a), \gamma_A(a) \} \\
 &= (\gamma_B \vee \gamma_A)(a),
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A \cap B \supseteq B * A * B$. Therefore $A \cap B = B * A * B$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Since any intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R by Theorem 3.18, it follows that (ii) implies (iii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let U and V be any ideal and any quasi-ideal of R . By Lemma 4.1(iii), \tilde{U} is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and \tilde{V} is an intuitionistic fuzzy quasi ideal of R . Let a be any element of $U \cap V$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{\tilde{V} * \tilde{U} * \tilde{V}}(a) &= \bigvee_{\substack{a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i \\ \text{finite}}} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{\tilde{V}}(a_1), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(a_2), \dots, \mu_{\tilde{V}}(a_m), \\ \mu_{\tilde{U} * \tilde{V}}(b_1), \mu_{\tilde{U} * \tilde{V}}(b_2), \dots, \mu_{\tilde{U} * \tilde{V}}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &= (\mu_{\tilde{U}} \wedge \mu_{\tilde{V}})(a) \\
 &= \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}(a), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(a) \} \\
 &= \min \{ 1, 1 \} \\
 &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\tilde{U}*\tilde{V}}(a) &= \bigwedge_{a=\sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{\tilde{U}}(a_1), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(a_2), \dots, \mu_{\tilde{V}}(a_m), \\ \gamma_{\tilde{U}*\tilde{V}}(b_1), \gamma_{\tilde{U}*\tilde{V}}(b_2), \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{U}*\tilde{V}}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= (\gamma_{\tilde{U}} \vee \gamma_{\tilde{V}})(a) \\ &= \max\{\gamma_{\tilde{U}}(a), \gamma_{\tilde{V}}(a)\} \\ &= \max\{0, 0\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists $a_i, b_i \in R$ such that $\mu_{\tilde{U}}(a_i) = \mu_{\tilde{U}*\tilde{V}}(b_i) = 1$ and $\gamma_{\tilde{U}}(a_i) = \gamma_{\tilde{U}*\tilde{V}}(b_i) = 0$ with $a = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i$. Since

$$1 = \mu_{\tilde{U}*\tilde{V}}(b_i) = \bigvee_{b_i=\sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{\tilde{U}}(p_1), \mu_{\tilde{U}}(p_2), \dots, \mu_{\tilde{U}}(p_m), \\ \mu_{\tilde{V}}(q_1), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(q_2), \dots, \mu_{\tilde{V}}(q_m) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$1 = \gamma_{\tilde{U}*\tilde{V}}(b_i) = \bigwedge_{b_i=\sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_{\tilde{U}}(p_1), \gamma_{\tilde{U}}(p_2), \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{U}}(p_m), \\ \gamma_{\tilde{V}}(q_1), \gamma_{\tilde{V}}(q_2), \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{V}}(q_m) \end{array} \right\}$$

there exist $p_1, q_i \in R$ such that $p_i \in U$ and $q_i \in V$ with $b_i = \sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i$. Hence $a_i, q_i \in V$ and $p_i \in U$. Then we have

$$a = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i \left(\sum_{\text{finite}} p_i q_i \right) = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i (p_i q_i) \in VUV.$$

Thus we have $a \in VUV$, and so $U \cap V = VUV$. Then it follows from [11] that R is regular. □

Theorem 4.6. *For a ring R , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) R is regular ring
- (ii) $A \cap B \subseteq A * B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal A and every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal B of R
- (iii) $A \cap B \subseteq A * B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal A and every intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal B of R

- (iv) $B \cap C \subseteq B * C$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal C and every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal B of R
- (v) $B \cap C \subseteq B * C$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal C and every intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal B of R

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). First assume that (i) holds. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be any intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal and any intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R , respectively. Let a be any element of R . Then, since R is regular, there exists an element x in R such that $a = axa$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A*B}(a) &= \bigvee_{a = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \\ \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \{ \mu_B(ax), \mu_B(a) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \mu_A(a), \mu_B(a) \} \\ &= (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A*B}(a) &= \bigwedge_{a = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \\ \mu_B(b_1), \mu_B(b_2), \dots, \mu_B(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \{ \mu_B(ax), \mu_B(a) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \mu_A(a), \mu_B(a) \} \\ &= (\mu_A \vee \mu_B)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so we have $A \cap B \subseteq A * B$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v). Straightforward

(v) \Rightarrow (i). Since any intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal, it follows from Theorem 4.2, that R is regular. \square

Theorem 4.7. *For a ring R , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) R is regular ring
- (ii) $A \cap B \cap C \subseteq A * B * C$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal A , every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal C and every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal B of R

(iii) $A \cap B \cap C \subseteq A * B * C$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal A , every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal C and every intuitionistic fuzzy quasi-ideal B of R

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$, $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ and $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C)$ be any intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal, any intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal, and any intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R , respectively. Let a be any element of R . Since R is regular, there exists an element x in R such that $a = axa (= axaxa)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A*B*C}(a) &= \bigvee_{\substack{x= \\ \text{finite}}} \bigwedge_{a_i b_i} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_A(a_1), \mu_A(a_2), \dots, \mu_A(a_m), \\ \mu_{B*C}(b_1), \mu_{B*C}(b_2), \dots, \mu_{B*C}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(ax), \mu_{B*C}(axa)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(ax), \bigvee_{\substack{axa = \sum \\ \text{finite}}} p_i q_i} \min\{\mu_B(p_1), \mu_B(p_2), \dots, \\ &\quad \mu_B(p_m), \mu_C(q_1), \mu_C(q_2), \dots, \mu_C(q_m)\}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(ax), \min\{\mu_B(a), \mu_C(xa)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(a), \min\{\mu_B(a), \mu_C(a)\}\} \\ &= (\mu_A) \wedge \mu_B \wedge \mu_C(a), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{A*B*C}(a) &= \bigwedge_{\substack{x= \\ \text{finite}}} \bigwedge_{a_i b_i} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_A(a_1), \gamma_A(a_2), \dots, \gamma_A(a_m), \\ \gamma_{B*C}(b_1), \gamma_{B*C}(b_2), \dots, \gamma_{B*C}(b_m) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_A(ax), \gamma_{B*C}(axa)\} \\ &= \max\{\gamma_A(ax), \bigwedge_{\substack{axa = \sum \\ \text{finite}}} p_i q_i} \max\{\gamma_B(p_1), \gamma_B(p_2), \dots, \\ &\quad \gamma_B(p_m), \gamma_C(q_1), \gamma_C(q_2), \dots, \gamma_C(q_m)\}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_A(ax), \max\{\gamma_B(a), \gamma_C(xa)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\gamma_A(a), \max\{\gamma_B(a), \gamma_C(a)\}\} \\ &= (\gamma_A) \wedge \gamma_B \wedge \gamma_C(a), \end{aligned}$$

and so we have $A \cap B \cap C \subseteq A * B * C$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Straightforward.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C)$ be any intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal and any intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R , respectively. Then since R itself is a fuzzy quasi-ideal of R , we have

$$A \cap C = A \cap R \cap C \subseteq A * 1_{\sim} * C \subseteq A * C.$$

It follows from Theorem 4.2 that R is regular. □

5. Acknowledgements

The authors are highly grateful to referees for their valuable comments and suggestions helpful in improving this paper.

References

- [1] Y. S. Ahn, K. Hur and D. S. Kim, *The lattice of intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of a ring*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. **19** (2005), 551-572.
- [2] K. T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **20** (1986), 87-96.
- [3] K. T. Atanassov, *New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **61** (1994), 137-142.
- [4] K. T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Theory and applications*, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, **35**. Heidelberg; Physica-Verlag 1999.
- [5] B. Banerjee and D. Kr. Basnet, *Intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and ideals*, J. Fuzzy Math. **11**(1) (2003), 139-155.
- [6] D. M. Burton, *A first course in rings and ideals*, Addison-Wesley, Cambridge, MA (1970).
- [7] B. Davvaz, W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun, *Intuitionistic fuzzy H_v -submodules*, Inform. Sci. **176** (2006), 285-300.
- [8] T. Gerstenkorn and J. Mańko, *Bifuzzy probabilistic sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **71** (1995), 207-214.
- [9] K. Hur, S. Y. Jang and H. W. Kang, *Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of a ring*, J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. **12** (2005), 193-209.
- [10] K. Hur, H. W. Kang and H. K. Song, *Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and subrings*, Honam Math. J. **25** (2003), 19-41.

- [11] O. Steinfield, *Quasi-ideals in Rings and Semigroups*, Akad,Kaido,Budapest (1978).
- [12] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control **8** (1965), 338–353.

Young Bae Jun

Department of Mathematics Education and (RINS)

Gyeongsang National University

Chinju 660-701, Korea

E-mail: ybjun@gnu.ac.kr jamjana@korea.com

Chul Hwan Park

Department of Mathematics

University of Ulsan

Ulsan 680-749, Korea

E-mail: chpark@ulsan.ac.kr