

HIP Effects on Mechanical Properties of Oxide Plasma-sprayed

Coatings

N. Korobova*, Dea-Wha Soh**

Abstract The present report is the investigation of the effects of the HIP treatment on plasma-sprayed ceramic coating of Al_2O_3 , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{SiO}_2$ on the metal substrate. These effects were characterized by phase identification, Vickers hardness measurement, and tensile test before and after HIPing.

Introduction

Plasma spraying is typical of a relatively inexpensive and rapid method of applying thick ceramic coatings on metal components to improve their resistance against wear, heat, and oxidation. A remarkable feature of plasma-sprayed coatings is their good resistance against thermal shock due to the porous state of the coated layer with a consequently low Young's modulus. There have been investigations on such thermal barrier coatings, applied to aircraft gas turbine components [1-2]. However, the existence of many pores with a bi-modal distribution and a laminar structure in the coating reduces coating strength and oxidation protection of the base metals [3].

In order to counteract these problems,

there have been many efforts to obtain dense coatings by spraying under low pressure or vacuum and by controlling particle size and morphology of the spraying materials [4].

The aim of the present study is to survey the effects of the HIP treatment on plasma-sprayed ceramic coating of Al_2O_3 , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{SiO}_2$ on the metal substrate, AISI type 304 stainless steel. These effects were characterized by phase identification, Vickers hardness measurement, and tensile test before and after HIPing.

Experimental Part

Tables 1-2 summarize the typical compositions and particle size ranges of the

* Dept. of Physics, Kazak National University, Kazakhstan

** Dept. of Electronic Eng., Myongji University, Korea

Table1. Specification of Coating Materials

Coating Materials	Other phases (wt %)	Particle size (μ m)	Spray Distance (mm)
No1 Al ₂ O ₃	-	3-5	100
No2 Al ₂ O ₃	2.0 SiO ₂	2-4	80

Table2. Plasma Spraying conditions the same for Al₂O₃, Al₂O₃ – SiO₂ films

Primary gas		Secondary gas		Current (A)	Voltage (V)	Powder Feed rate (g/min)
Pressure rate(kPa) (m ³ /h)	Flow	Pressure rate(kPa) (m ³ /h)	Flow			
345 (N ₂)	2.1	345 (N ₂)	0.4	500	70	30

starting materials and the processing conditions used in the present study. The substrate of stainless steel was a rod 7 mm in diameter and 7 mm high. The cylinders were degreased with trichloroethylene. After temperature and pressure treatment for 1 h, they were cooled to room temperature at a rate of 50 C/min. Finally, the residual pressure was released.

The phase of the starting powders, as-sprayed coatings, and HIPed coatings were determined by X-ray powder diffractometry (XRD) with crystal monochromatized Cu K radiation. Vickers hardness measurements were performed at a load of 300 g for 30 s. The specimens were polished with various grades of alumina

polishing films to obtain flat surfaces for the measurements.

Adhesion strength was evaluated by a tensile adhesion test using a testing machine at a cross head speed of 0.5 mm/min. Before measurements, the specimens were filled with acrylic resin in a vacuum dryer and cured at 150 C for 1 h to prevent adhesive penetration. After removing the protruded acrylic resin, the specimens were fixed with tensile jigs using epoxy adhesives and then cured at 150 oC for 2 h. The tensile strength of the epoxy adhesive was only 60-70 MPa, so strengths more than those values could not be estimated by this method.

Micro-structures of surfaces,




Fig.1. Temperature (a) and pressure (b) profile of HIP treatment




Fig.2 Variations of Veckers hardness (b) and tensile strength (a)of Al₂O₃ coating HIPed at various temperature—

cross-sections, and fracture surfaces were observed using optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Element distribution near the interface between the plasma-sprayed layer and the substrate before and after HIPing was analyzer by using an electron probe microanalyses.

Results and Discussion

Alumina starting powder consisted mainly of amorphous phase and of small amount of γ -Al₂O₃. After plasma spraying, γ -Al₂O₃ was mainly obtained with a little amount of γ -Al₂O₃ which might be unmelted particles. Distinction between γ -Al₂O₃ and α -Al₂O₃ was examined by the




Fig.3 Variations of Vickers hardness (b) and tensile strength (a) of Al₂O₃ - SiO₂ coating HIPed at various temperature

presence of splitting in the (400) and (440) reflections for γ -Al₂O₃. After HIPing, phase constitutions were converted to γ -Al₂O₃ having a trace of γ -Al₂O₃ 2B₂O₃, included in the BN capsule as a binder. There was no change in Al₂O₃ - SiO₂ phase composition after plasma spraying (Fig.1) nor after HIPing except for the appearance of a small amount of γ -Al₂O₃.

Vickers hardness and tensile strength of Al₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ - SiO₂ coatings before and after HIP treatment are shown in Figs.2-3 respectively. The Vickers hardness of as-sprayed Al₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ - SiO₂ coatings could not be measured because of their rough surfaces with a lot of pores even after polishing.

The Vickers hardness of the γ -Al₂O₃ - coated surface showed an improvement to

12.2 GPa after HIPing at 1300 °C. This value reaches to 60 % of the hardness of sintered Al₂O₃. The tensile strength also increased from 5 MPa to > 40 MPa.

The fracture path in the as-sprayed specimen was close to the interface between the coated layer and metal substrate. However, after HIPing the fracture occurred mostly at the edge area within the coated layer. The maximum tensile stress seemed to appear in the edge area due to a thermal expansion mismatch between the coated layer and the metal substrate during HIPing. Consequently, the decrease of maximum value of each tensile strength with increasing HIP temperature, as seen in Fig.2 would be ascribed to the increase of thermal stress with HIP temperature. It is considered that the above

mentioned HIP effects would be further improved by introducing some interlayer which can relax the thermal stress.

In case of $\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ - SiO_2 coating, Vickers hardness and tensile strength were remarkably improved, from 5 GPa to 13.3 GPa and from 5 MPa to > 60 MPa after HIP treatment at 1300 °C, respectively. The fracture positions before and after HIPing were similar to those of Al_2O_3 coating.

The range of temperature and pressure in HIP treatment was limited, owing to the properties of substrate metal, so the efficient densification of coated Al_2O_3 - SiO_2 could not be completed.

Scanning electron microscope photographs of the cross section of Al_2O_3 , Al_2O_3 - SiO_2 coatings were investigated. The as-sprayed Al_2O_3 coating had a laminar texture consisting of the flattened particles rapidly solidified by spraying, which partially contacted each other. After HIPing, the coated layer changed into a granular structure consisting of $\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ with large voids diminishing remarkably.

When the samples were HIPed at 1200 °C, the stainless steel penetrated into the coated layer near the interface by plastic deformation. In such an interface a coating strength is expected to increase by a

mechanical interlocking. The substrate metal infiltrated deeply into the coated layers after HIP treatment at 1300 °C. The deep infiltration of metal should reduce resistance against wear, heat, and oxidation.

It has been reported that the densification of Al_2O_3 occurred at > 1500 °C by HIPing [4-5]. Therefore, the HIP conditions in the present investigation are not enough to densify the coating. It is considered that the stainless steel penetrated into both the remaining original pores and the spaces produced by volume shrinkage from $\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$.

In the Al_2O_3 - SiO_2 coating, the pores still existed, but the laminar structure (splat quenched droplets) disappeared after the HIP treatment at 1100 °C. The size and amount of pores decreased at 1200 °C and the coated layer was completely densified at 1300 °C by HIPing process.

Particle-like micro-pores near the interface were examined by EPMA. The elements consisting of stainless steel could not be detected in the specimen HIPed at 1200 °C but could be detected in that at 1300 °C. The fracture pattern of as-sprayed Al_2O_3 - SiO_2 coating was similar to that of as-sprayed Al_2O_3 coating. Trans-granular type fracture was common in HIPed

specimens of which particle sizes were 0.2 - 0.4 μm by TEM observation. In cases of $\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{SiO}_2$ coatings, there was neither an apparent reaction layer nor a diffusion layer at the interface between the stainless steel and the coated layers.

Conclusions

The effects of HIPing treatment between 1100 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 1300 $^\circ\text{C}$ on the plasma-sprayed Al_2O_3 and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{SiO}_2$ coatings onto the stainless steel substrate are summarized as follows:

1) both Vickers hardness and bonding strength were remarkably improved to 12.2 GPa and 40 MPa, respectively, in Al_2O_3 to 13.3 GPa and > 60 MPa in $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{SiO}_2$ coating;

2) each coated layer before HIPing had a laminar texture (splat quenched droplets), but after HIPing it changed into the granular structure with remarkably decreased micro-cracks;

3) the Al_2O_3 coatings could not be densified adequately in spite of decreased large voids. However, the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{SiO}_2$ coating could be fully densified.

The fracture position by tensile adhesion

testing was mainly in the edge area within every coated layer, attributed to the tensile stress caused by thermal expansion mismatches between the coated layer and substrate. The lower tensile strength of specimens HIPed at 1300 $^\circ\text{C}$, compared with those HIPed at 1200 $^\circ\text{C}$, seems to be due to thermal stress.

These results show that HIP treatment has an advantage for improving adhesive strength and Vickers hardness of plasma-sprayed coatings.

References

1. Hodge P.E., Miller R.A., Gedwill M.A. Evaluation of the hot corrosion behavior of thermal barrier coatings. // *Thin Solid Films*. V. 83. P.447-53. 1990.
2. Vogan J.W., Hsu, L., Stetson A.R. Thermal barrier coating for thermal insulation and corrosion resistance in industrial gas turbine engines. // *Thin Solid Films*. V. 104. P.75-87. 2001.
3. Rangaswamy S., Herman H., Safai S. Thermal expansion study of plasma-sprayed oxide coatings. // *Thin Solid Films*. V. 83. P.43-52. 1990.
4. Eschnauer H., Lugscheider E. Metallic and ceramic powders for vacuum plasma spraying. // *Thin Solid Films*. V. 128. P. 421-35. 1994.
5. Gruner H. Vacuum plasma spray quality control. // *Thin Solid Films*. V. 138. P. 409-20. 1998.

1. Introduction