

**FUNCTIONAL CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS  
FOR MULTIVARIATE LINEAR PROCESSES  
GENERATED BY DEPENDENT RANDOM VECTORS**

MI-HWA KO

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbb{X}_t$  be an  $m$ -dimensional linear process defined by  $\mathbb{X}_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \mathbb{Z}_{t-j}$ ,  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t\}$  is a sequence of  $m$ -dimensional random vectors with mean  $\mathbf{0} : m \times 1$  and positive definite covariance matrix  $\Gamma : m \times m$  and  $\{A_j\}$  is a sequence of coefficient matrices. In this paper we give sufficient conditions so that  $\sum_{t=1}^{[ns]} \mathbb{X}_t$  (properly normalized) converges weakly to Wiener measure if the corresponding result for  $\sum_{t=1}^{[ns]} \mathbb{Z}_t$  is true.

## 1. Introduction

Consider  $m$ -dimensional linear process of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{X}_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \mathbb{Z}_{t-j},$$

where the innovation  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t\}$  is a sequence of  $m$ -dimensional random vectors with mean  $\mathbf{0} : m \times 1$  and positive definite covariance matrix  $\Gamma : m \times m$ . Throughout we shall assume that

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|A_j\| < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \neq O_{m \times m},$$

where for any  $m \times m$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\|A\| = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{ij}|$  and  $O_{m \times m}$  denotes the  $m \times m$  zero matrix. Let  $W^m$  denote Wiener measure on  $D^m[0, 1]$ , the space of all real valued functions

---

Received July 27, 2006. Revised September 18, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60F05, 60G10.

Key words and phrases: functional central limit theorem, Linear process, moving average process, negatively associated, martingale difference.

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government(MOEHRD)(KRF-2005-037-C00007).

on  $[0,1]$  that are right continuous and have finite left limits, endowed with the sup norm(see, e.g., [3], [10]). Further, let

$$(1.3) \quad T = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \right) \Gamma \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \right)',$$

$S_n = \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{X}_t, n \geq 1(S_0 = \mathbb{O})$  and define for  $n \geq 1$  the stochastic process  $\xi_n$  by

$$(1.4) \quad \xi_n(s) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_{[ns]} \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

In this paper we give sufficient conditions so that  $\sum_{t=1}^{[ns]} \mathbb{X}_t$  (properly normalized) converges weakly to Wiener measure if the corresponding result for  $\sum_{t=1}^{[ns]} \mathbb{Z}_t$  is true. As applications we also discuss functional central limit theorems for linear processes generated by martingale difference and negatively associated random vectors.

### 2. Main results

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{X}_t$  satisfy model (1.1) and  $d(n)$  be a positive constant sequence satisfying that  $d(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that  $\{A_j\}$  satisfies (1.2) and  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t\}$  is any random vector sequence satisfying*

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_j E \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{Z}_{k+j} \right\|^2 \leq C d^2(n) \text{ for every } n \geq 1$$

and, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{d(n)} \max_{-n \leq k \leq n} \|\mathbb{Z}_k\| \rightarrow^p 0.$$

Then,

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{d(n)} \sum_{t=1}^{k_n(s)} \mathbb{Z}_t \Rightarrow W^m(s) \text{ implies } \frac{1}{d(n)} \sum_{t=1}^{k_n(s)} \mathbb{X}_t \Rightarrow BW^m(s),$$

where  $k_n(s) = \sup\{m : d^2(m) \leq s d^2(n)\}$  and  $B = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$ .

Theorem 2.1 can be applied to many important cases, such as whether innovation  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t\}$  is martingale difference or negatively associated sequence. In the following, we will derive corollaries of Theorem 2.1. We note that Corollary 2.3 below is Theorem 1(i) of [6] and Corollary 2.8 is a new result.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $\{Z_k\}$  be a random vector sequence. We say that  $\{Z_k\}$  is a martingale difference sequence if  $E(Z_k|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = \mathbf{0}$ , a.s.  $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma\{Z_i, i \leq k\}$ .

COROLLARY 2.3. Define  $X_t$  as in (1.1) and  $\xi_n$  as in (1.4), respectively. Let  $\{Z_t\}$  be a sequence of  $m$ -dimensional martingale difference vectors with  $E(Z_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = \mathbf{0}$  a.s. and  $\Gamma_t$  denote the conditional covariance matrix of  $Z_t$ ,  $E(Z_t Z_t'|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = \Gamma_t$  a.s., such that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \Gamma_t \rightarrow^p \Gamma$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is sub- $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $Z_u, u \leq t$  and the prime denotes transpose and  $\Gamma$  is a positive definite(d.f.) non random matrix. Assume that  $\sup_t E\|Z_t\|^2 < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E(Z_t Z_t' I(Z_t Z_t' > n\epsilon)|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}) \rightarrow^p 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $I(\cdot)$  denotes the indicator function. Then,  $\xi_n \Rightarrow W^m$ , where  $W^m$  is a Wiener measure with covariance matrix  $T = (\sum_{j=0}^\infty A_j)\Gamma(\sum_{j=0}^\infty A_j)'$ .

PROOF. Define for  $n \geq 1$  the stochastic process  $\eta_n$  by

$$(2.4) \quad \eta_n(s) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} Z_i, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

It follows from the multivariate version of Theorem 1 of [2] or Theorem 2 of [1] that  $\eta_n(s)$  converges weakly to Wiener measure with covariance matrix  $\Gamma$  (c.f. Theorem 3.1 of [8]). On the other hand, it follows from Doob's maximal inequality and  $\sup_t E\|Z_t\|^2 < \infty$  that for every  $n \geq 1$

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_j E \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m Z_{k+j} \right)^2 \leq C_1 n \sup_j \sup_k E\|Z_{k+j}\|^2 \leq C_2 n$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \max_{-n \leq k \leq n} \|Z_k\| \rightarrow^p 0.$$

Hence, corollary 2.3 follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 with  $d(n) = \sqrt{n}$ . □

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $\{Z_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a sequence of  $m$ -dimensional random vectors. They are said to be negatively associated(NA) for every pair of disjoint subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$   $\text{Cov}(f(Z_i, i \in A), g(Z_j, j \in B)) \leq 0$  whenever  $f$  and  $g$  are coordinatewise increasing and the covariance exists. An infinite family is negatively associated if every finite subfamily is negatively associated.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $r \geq 2$  and let  $\{Z_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a sequence of  $m$ -dimensional negatively associated random vectors with  $EZ_i = \mathbf{0}$  and

$E\|Z_i\|^r < \infty$ , where  $\|Z_i\| = (Z_{i1}^2 + \dots + Z_{im}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then there exists a constant  $0 < A_r < \infty$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad E \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i \right\|^r \leq A_r m^r \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E\|Z_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^n E\|Z_i\|^r \right\}.$$

PROOF. Note that

$$(2.8) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i \right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k Z_{ij} \right|$$

and by the result in [11] we have

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} E \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k Z_{ij} \right|^r &\leq A_r \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E(Z_{ij})^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^n E|Z_{ij}|^r \right\} \\ &\leq A_r \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E\|Z_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^n E\|Z_i\|^r \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (2.8) and (2.9) equation (2.7) follows. □

LEMMA 2.6. Let  $\{Z_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a sequence of  $m$ -dimensional negatively associated random vectors with  $E(Z_i) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $E\|Z_i\|^2 < \infty$ . Then for all  $x > 0$  and  $a > 0$ ,

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i \right\| \geq mx\right) \\ &\leq 2mP\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|Z_k\| > a\right) + 4m \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{8 \sum_{i=1}^n E\|Z_i\|^2}\right) \\ &\quad + 4m \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E\|Z_i\|^2}{4(xa + \sum_{i=1}^n E\|Z_i\|^2)}\right)^{x/(12a)}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. From (2.8) and the result of [11], (2.10) follows easily. □

THEOREM 2.7. Let  $\{Z_i, i \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary sequence of  $m$ -dimensional negatively associated random vectors with  $E(Z_1) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $E\|Z_1\|^2 < \infty$ . Define, for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $n \geq 1$   $\xi_n(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} Z_i$ .

If  $E\|Z_1\|^2 + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m E(Z_{1j}Z_{ij}) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ , then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\xi_n \Rightarrow B^m$ , where  $B^m$  is an  $m$ -dimensional Wiener measure with covariance matrix  $\Gamma = (\sigma_{kj})$  and  $\sigma_{kj} = E(Z_{1k}Z_{1j}) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} [E(Z_{1k}Z_{ij}) + E(Z_{1j}Z_{ik})]$ .

PROOF. By means of the simple device due to Cramer Wold (see [3], [4]), from the Newman's central limit theorem for negatively associated

random variables(see [9]) we obtain  $n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \rightarrow^D N(\mathbf{0}, \Gamma)$ , where  $N(\mathbf{0}, \Gamma)$  denotes an  $m$ -dimensional normal random vector and the symbol  $\rightarrow^D$  indicates convergence in distribution. Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 2 of [5] on weakly associated random vectors, the limit point of  $\xi_n(\cdot)$  is an  $m$ -dimensional Wiener measure with covariance matrix  $\Gamma = (\sigma_{kj})$ . It remains to verify the tightness of  $\xi_n(\cdot)$  (see Theorem 15.1 of [3]). By Theorem 8.4 of [3] we only need to show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a positive number  $\lambda$  and an integer  $n$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$

$$(2.11) \quad P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|\sum_{i=1}^k Z_i\| > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq m^3 \epsilon \lambda^{-2}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 with  $\lambda = m\lambda'$ ,  $x = \lambda' n^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $a = \lambda' n^{\frac{1}{2}}/48$

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|\sum_{i=1}^k Z_i\| > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|\sum_{i=1}^k Z_i\| > m\lambda' n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &\leq 2mP(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|Z_k\| > \lambda' n^{\frac{1}{2}}/48) \\ &\quad + 4m \exp(-\frac{\lambda'^2 n}{8nE\|Z_1\|^2}) + 4m(\frac{nE\|Z_1\|^2}{4(nE\|Z_1\|^2 + \lambda'^2 n/48)})^4 \\ &\leq 2m(48)^2 \lambda'^{-2} E\|Z_1\|^2 I\{\|Z_1\| > \lambda' n^{\frac{1}{2}}/48\} \\ &\quad + 4m \exp(-\frac{\lambda'^2}{8E\|Z_1\|^2}) + 4m(\frac{12E\|Z_1\|^2}{\lambda'^2})^4 \\ &\leq m\epsilon \lambda'^{-2} = m^3 \epsilon \lambda^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $\lambda$  is sufficiently large. This proves (2.11), and hence the proof of Theorem 2.7 is complete. □

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $\{Z_i, i \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary negatively associated sequence of  $m$ -dimensional random vectors centered at expectations and  $E\|Z_1\|^2 < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{X}_t$  be defined as in (1.1). Let the stochastic process  $\xi_n$  be defined as in (1.4). Assume (1.2) and  $E\|Z_1\|^2 + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m E(Z_{1j}Z_{ij}) = \sigma^2 < \infty$  hold. Then  $\xi_n \Rightarrow W^m$ .*

**PROOF.** First note that  $\xi_n(s) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} Z_i$  converges weakly to Wiener measure  $B^m$  with covariance matrix  $\Gamma$  by Theorem 2.7. On the

other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.5 and the condition  $E\|Z_1\|^2 < \infty$  that (2.5) and (2.6) hold. Hence, Corollary 2.8 follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 with  $d(n) = \sqrt{n}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

For every fixed  $l \geq 1$ , put

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{X}_{1j}^{(l)} = \sum_{k=0}^l A_k Z_{j-k} \text{ and } \mathbb{X}_{2j}^{(l)} = \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} A_k Z_{j-k}.$$

From the idea in [7] (p.320) we obtain that for any  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{X}_{1j}^{(l)} &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^l A_k Z_{j-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^l A_k \sum_{j=1}^m Z_j + \sum_{s=1}^l Z_{1-s} \sum_{j=s}^l A_j + \sum_{s=0}^{l-1} Z_{m-s} \sum_{j=s+1}^l A_j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^l A_k \sum_{j=1}^m Z_j + R(m, l), \text{ (say).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that for every fixed  $l \geq 1$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d(n)} \sum_{t=1}^{k_n(s)} \mathbb{X}_t &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^l A_k \right) \frac{1}{d(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n(s)} Z_j + \frac{1}{d(n)} R(k_n(s), l) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{d(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n(s)} \mathbb{X}_{2j}^{(l)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3), Theorem 4.1 given in [3] (p.25) and noting that  $\sum_{k=0}^l \|A_k\| \rightarrow B$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , to prove (2.3), it suffices to show that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|R(k_n(t), l)\| \geq \delta d(n) \right\} = 0,$$

for every fixed  $l \geq 1$  and

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n(t)} \mathbb{X}_{2j}^{(l)} \right\| \geq \delta d(n) \right\} = 0.$$

By condition (2.2) since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|A_k\| < \infty$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{d(n)} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|R(k_n(s), l)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{d(n)} \max_{-l \leq j \leq n} \|\mathbb{Z}_j\| \sum_{s=0}^l \left( \sum_{j=s}^l \|A_j\| + \sum_{j=s+1}^{\infty} \|A_u\| \right) \rightarrow^P 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence (3.4) holds.

Noting that  $\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{X}_{2j}^{(l)} = \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} A_k \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{Z}_{j-k}$  for any  $m \geq 1$ , by applying Hölder inequality and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{1 \leq t \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n(t)} \mathbb{X}_{2j}^{(l)} \right\|^2 & \leq \left( \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \|A_k\| \right)^2 E \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{Z}_{j-k} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq C d^2(n) \left( \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \|A_k\| \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.5) follows immediately from the Markov inequality and  $\sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \|A_k\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.  $\square$

### References

- [1] D. J. Aldous and G. K. Eagleson, *On mixing and stability of limit theorems*, Ann. Probability. **6** (1978), 325–331.
- [2] G. J. Babu and M. Ghosh, *A random functional central limit theorem for martingales*, Acta. Math. Scie. Hungar. **27** (1976), 301–306.
- [3] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [4] P. J. Brockwell and R. A. Davis, *Time Series : Theory and Methods 2nd ed.*, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [5] R. M. Burton, A. R. Darbrowski, and H. Dehling, *An invariance principle for weakly associated random vectors*, Stoc. Proc. and Appl. **23** (1986), 301–306.
- [6] I. Fakhre-Zakeri and S. Lee, *On functional central limit theorems for multivariate linear processes with applications to sequential estimation*, J. Stat. Plan. Inf. **3** (2000), 11–23.
- [7] W. A. Fuller, *Introduction to Statistical Time-Series*, 2nd Edition. Wiley Inc, New York, 1996.
- [8] T. S. Kim, Y. K. Choi, and M. H. Ko, *The random functional central limit theorem for multivariate martingale difference*, Taiwanese J. Math. accepted, (2006).
- [9] C. M. Newman, *Asymptotic independence and limit theorems for positively and negatively dependent random variables*, in: Tong, Y.L., ed., IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph series. **5** (1984), 127–140.
- [10] D. Pollard, *Convergence of Stochastic Processes*, Wiley, New York, 1984.

- [11] Q. M. Shao, *A comparison theorem on maximum inequalities between negatively associated and independent random variables*, J. Theor. Probab. **13** (2000), 343–356.

Department of Mathematics  
WonKwang University  
Jeonbuk 570-749, Korea  
*E-mail*: songhack@wonkwang.ac.kr