

BMAP/PH/N Queueing Model with Retrial and Losses[†]

Che-Soong Kim

Dept of Industrial Engineering, Sangji University

재시도와 손실을 고려한 BMAP/PH/N 대기모형 분석

김 세 송

상지대학교 산업공학과

본 논문에서는 재시도와 완전입력 규칙을 갖는 *BMAP/PH/N/O* 대기시스템에 대한 주요 성능평가척도와 시스템의 정상상태 조건을 제시한다. 고려되는 시스템은 모든 서버가 서비스를 하고 있을 경우 도착이 이루어지는 배치도착은 모두 손실되며, 반대의 경우 도착하는 배치는 서비스를 받기 위해 시스템에 들어가게 된다. 만약 쉬고 있는 서버의 수가 불충분하여 배치의 일부가 즉각 서비스를 받을 수 없다면, 일단 오빗으로 이동하고 표준 재시도 대기 시스템의 규칙에 따라 후에 서비스를 받게 된다. 본 논문에서는 배치 마코프도착과정, 단계 서비스분포 및 유한버퍼를 갖는 다중서버 재시도 대기 시스템에 대한 수리모형을 제시한다. 제시된 시스템의 정상상태 분포 존재를 위한 충분조건을 유도하고, 이 분포를 계산하기 위한 알고리즘이 제시된다. 끝으로 완전입력규칙을 갖는 시스템에 대한 손실확률을 계산하기 위한 식이 유도하고, 수치 예제들을 제시한다.

Keywords : Retrial Queueing, *BMAP*, *PH* type, Markov Chain, Loss Probability

1. Introduction

Retrial queueing systems are considered to be good mathematical models for many telecommunication networks such as telephone switching systems, cellular mobile networks, local area networks under the protocols of random multiple access, etc. Good overviews of research on retrial queues can be found in the book [5] and survey [1]

Overwhelming majority of publications is devoted to retrial queues with the stationary Poisson input flow. But such a flow does not catch the typical features of traffic in modern telecommunication networks such as correlation and burstiness.

To the present day, the *BMAP* (*Batch Markovian Arrival Process*)(see, e.g., [9]) is the most popular mathematical model for correlated group traffic. One of the first inves-

tigated queueing models is Erlang loss model-the queue of the *M/M/N/O* type. It is used as a background for decision making in telephone systems until now. In this model, the arriving customer who finds all servers are busy upon arrival leaves the system forever without the service. It is considered be lost. Because the behavior of the users of telephone networks is different from the assumed in Erlang loss model (the user can try to initiate the call a little bit later), retrial queueing models, which are characterized by the fact that the rejected call does not leave the system forever, but try the luck after some random time, are investigated intensively.

If the queueing model has $N, N \geq 2$ servers, finite buffer (or the buffer is absent at all) and the batch arrivals are possible, situations can occur when the number of free servers at the arrival epoch is less than the number of cus-

[†] This work was supported by Sangji University Research Program 2004.

tomers in the arriving batch. Different strategies of customers admission can be exploited. Here we analyze the following variant. If the arriving batch meets all servers be busy, it leaves the system forever without effecting on the system behavior. However, if at least one server is idle, the batch is admitted into the system. If the number of available servers is sufficient to serve all customers of a batch, the service starts immediately. In opposite case, a part of the customers start the service while the rest goes to so called orbit and try to get service later on. So, the considered model combines features of retrial and loss models. To the best of our knowledge, such models were not considered yet, at least in the context of the *BMAP/PH/N* type model.

2. The Mathematical Model

The service device consists of N parallel identical servers. Service time distribution is of *PH* type. It means the following. The service process is directed by the continuous time Markov process $m_t, t \geq 0$. The state of this process at the service beginning epoch is defined according to the probabilistic row-vector $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_M)$. Further, transitions of the process $m_t, t \geq 0$ are defined by the matrix S of dimension $M \times M$. The diagonal entries of the matrix are negative and $-S_{m,m}$ defines the parameter of the exponentially distributed sojourn time of the process in the state $m, |S_{m,m}| < \infty, m = \overline{1, M}$. The non-diagonal entries of the matrix S define the intensities of transitions of the process $m_t, t \geq 0$ in the state space $\{1, \dots, M\}$. The value $-\sum_{m=1}^M S_{m,m}$ defines the intensity of the transition of the process $m_t, t \geq 0$ from the state m into the absorbing state. The epoch of the transition of the process $m_t, t \geq 0$ into the absorbing state defines the service completion epoch. Denote $S_0 = -Se$. Here and below e is a column-vector of appropriate size consisting of units. It is assumed that all the entries of the column-vector S_0 are non-negative and at least one of them is positive. The mean service time b_1 is calculated as $b_1 = \vec{\beta}(-S)^{-1}e$.

The primary customers arrive to the system according to a *BMAP* (*Batch Markovian Arrival Process*). The notion of

the *BMAP* and its detailed description is given by D.Lucantoni in [9]. Overview of related papers can be found in [3]. We denote the directing process of the *BMAP* by $v_t, t \geq 0$. The state space of the irreducible continuous time Markov chain v_t is $\{0, 1, \dots, W\}$. As follows from [9], the behavior of the *BMAP* is characterized completely by the matrix generating function $D(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k z^k, |z| < 1$. The matrix D_k characterizes the intensities of transitions of the process $v_t, t \geq 0$ which are accompanied by generating a batch of k customers, $k \geq 0$. The matrix $D(1)$ represents the generator of the process $v_t, t \geq 0$. The average arrival rate λ is defined as $\lambda = \vec{\theta}D'(1)e$ where $\vec{\theta}$ is the invariant vector of the stationary distribution of $v_t, t \geq 0$. The vector $\vec{\theta}$ is the unique solution to the system $\vec{\theta}D(1) = \vec{\theta}, \vec{\theta}e = 1$. Here $\vec{0}$ is the row-vector of appropriate size consisting of zeroes.

If all servers are busy at the epoch of a batch arrival, the batch is not admitted into the system and is considered to be lost. If the number of idle servers is greater than the batch size, all arrived customers start the service and leave the system after its completion. If the batch size is bigger than the number of available servers, only a part of customers corresponding to a number of free servers starts processing while the rest moves to the orbit. Concerning the retrial process, we suppose that the inter-retrial times are exponentially distributed with the rate α_i which depend on the current number i of customers on the orbit. We assume that α_i approaches to infinity when $i \rightarrow \infty$. As a special case linear repeated requests ($\alpha_i = i\alpha + \gamma, \alpha > 0, \gamma \geq 0, i \geq 1$) can be handled.

3. Analysis of System Behavior

Let i_t be the number of calls on the orbit, $i_t \geq 0$, n_t be the number of busy servers, $n_t = \overline{0, N}$, $m_t^{(j)}$ be the state of the directing process of the service on the j^{th} busy server, $m_t^{(j)} = \overline{1, M}, j = \overline{1, n_t}$ (we assume here that the busy servers are numerated in order of their occupying, i.e. the server, which begins service, is appointed the maximal number among all busy servers; when some server finishes the

service, the servers are correspondingly enumerated), v_t be the state of the directing process of the BMAP, $v_t = \overline{0, \overline{W}}$, at the epoch $t, t \geq 0$.

Consider the multi-dimensional process

$\xi_t = (i_t, n_t, v_t, m_t^{(1)}, \dots, m_t^{(n)}), t \geq 0$. It is easy to see that this process is an irreducible Markov chain. Denote the stationary probabilities of this process

$$p(i, n, v, m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\{i_t = i, n_t = n, v_t = v, m_t^{(1)} = m^{(1)}, \dots, m_t^{(n)} = m^{(n)}\}$$

for $i \geq 0, v = \overline{0, \overline{W}}, m^{(j)} = \overline{1, \overline{M}}, j = \overline{1, n}$, and $n = \overline{0, \overline{N}}$.

Enumerate the states of the chain $\xi_t, t \geq 0$, in lexicographic order and form the row-vectors \bar{P}_i of the stationary-state probabilities $p(i, n, v, m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)})$, having dimensionality $K = (W + 1) \frac{1 - M^{N+1}}{1 - M}, i \geq 0$. Define also the infinite-dimensional probability vector $\bar{P} = (\bar{P}_0, \bar{P}_1, \dots)$.

Lemma. *If the in vector \bar{P} exists then it satisfies the equilibrium equation*

$$\bar{P}A = \bar{0}, \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

where $\bar{0}$ is the infinite row-vector consisting of zeroes and the matrix A is the infinitesimal generator of the chain $\xi_t, t \geq 0$, and has the following structure:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{00} & A_{01} & A_{02} & A_{03} & \dots \\ A_{10} & A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & \dots \\ 0 & A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & A_{32} & A_{33} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

where the blocks A_{ij} of size $K \times K$ have the following form:

$$A_{i,j-1} = \alpha_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{\overline{W}} \otimes \beta & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\overline{WM}} \otimes \beta & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & I_{\overline{WM}^{N-1}} \otimes \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

$$A_{i,i+k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{k+N} \otimes \beta^{\otimes N} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{k+N-1} \otimes I_M \otimes \beta^{\otimes(N-1)} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{k+N-2} \otimes I_M \otimes \beta^{\otimes(N-2)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{k+1} \otimes I_{M^{N-1}} \otimes \beta^{\otimes 1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, k \geq 1 \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

$$(A_{i,i})_{r,r'} = \begin{cases} 0, & r' < r-1, r = \overline{2, \overline{N}} \\ I_{\overline{W}} \otimes S_0^{\otimes r}, & r' = r-1, r = \overline{1, \overline{N}} \\ D_0 \oplus S^{\otimes r} - \alpha_i I_{\overline{WM}^r}, & r' = r, r = \overline{0, \overline{N-1}} \\ D_0 \oplus S^{\otimes N} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k \otimes I_{\overline{WM}^k}, & r' = r = N \\ D_l \otimes I_{M^r} \otimes \beta^{\otimes l}, & r' = r+l, l = \overline{1, \overline{N-r}}, r = \overline{0, \overline{N-1}} \end{cases} (6)$$

Here $\delta_{r,N} = \begin{cases} 1, r = N, \\ 0, r \neq N, \end{cases}$ is Kronecker's symbol, \otimes is the sign of Kronecker's product, and \oplus is the sign of Kronecker's sum.

$\beta^{\otimes l} = \underbrace{\beta \otimes \dots \otimes \beta}_l, l \geq 1, S^{\otimes l} = \underbrace{S \oplus \dots \oplus S}_l, l \geq 1, S^{\otimes 0} = 0, S_0^{\otimes l} = \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} I_{M^m} \otimes S_0 \otimes I_{M^{l-m-1}}, l \geq 1, \overline{W} = \overline{W+1}, I_L$ and 0_L denote the identity matrix and zero matrix correspondingly of size $L \times L, I_{M^0} = 1$. It is easy to see that the generator A of the Markov chain $\xi_t, t \geq 0$, differs from the corresponding generator of the analogous Markov chain for the BMAP/PH/N retrial system, which was investigated in [2], only with the last block entry of the last row of the matrix $A_{i,i+k}$ and the entry $(A_{i,i})_{N,N}$. So, technique of [2] can be effectively exploited to investigate the considered system.

It means the following. Instead of investigating the continuous-time Markov chain $\xi_t, t \geq 0$, we deal with the discrete-time Markov chain has one-step of the Markov chain $\xi_t, t \geq 0$ transitions. This discrete-time Markov chain has one-step transition probability matrix which is obtained from the generator A by dividing entries of each its row by the modulus of the corresponding diagonal entry and adding 1 to the diagonal entry.

4. Stability Condition

By analogy with [2], we can show that this discrete-time Markov chain belongs to the class of the so-called asymptotically quasi-toeplitz Markov chains introduced in [6].

Theorem 1. *Steady-state distribution of the considered queue-*

ing system(as well as the stationary distribution of both considered continuous and discrete time Markov chains) exists for all values of the system parameters.

The outline of the proof is the following. As follows from [6], stationary state distribution of the asymptotically quasi-toeplitz Markov chain exists if the stationary state distribution of its limiting quasi-toeplitz Markov chain exists. The limiting quasi-toeplitz Markov chain is characterized (see [4], [6]) by the matrix generating function of one-step transition probabilities $\hat{Y}(z)$ that here has the following form:

$$\hat{Y}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{\bar{w}} \otimes \beta & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\bar{w}} \otimes \beta & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & O_{\bar{w}M^{N-1}, \bar{w}M^{N-1}} & I_{\bar{w}M^{N-1}} \otimes \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & C^{-1}(I_{\bar{w}} \otimes S_0^{\oplus N})z & C^{-1}z(D(1) \oplus S^{\oplus N}) + zI \end{pmatrix}$$

where the diagonal matrix C has the diagonal entries coinciding with the modulus of the corresponding entries of the matrix $D(1) \oplus S^{\oplus N}$.

It can be verified that the matrix $\hat{Y}(z)$ is reducible and the unique irreducible block of this matrix is the matrix $\hat{Y}(z)$ having the following form:

$$\hat{Y}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} C^{-1}z(D(1) \oplus S^{\oplus N}) + zI & C^{-1}(I_{\bar{w}} \otimes S_0^{\oplus N})z \\ I_{\bar{w}M^{N-1}} \otimes \beta & O_{\bar{w}M^{N-1}, \bar{w}M^{N-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, according the results from [4], sufficient condition of the stationary distribution existence for the Markov chains under consideration is the fulfillment of the condition:

$$(\det(zI - \hat{Y}(z)))'|_{z=1} > 0 \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

Taking into account the block structure of the matrix $\hat{Y}(z)$, the determinant in (7) can be transformed to the form:

$$\det(zI - \hat{Y}(z)) = (\det C^{-1})z^{\bar{w}M^{N-1}} \det T(z) \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

where

$$T(z) = -z(D(1) \oplus S^{\oplus N}) - (I_{\bar{w}} \otimes S_0^{\oplus N})(I_{\bar{w}M^{N-1}} \otimes \beta)$$

Differentiating (8) at the point $z=1$, we can show that condition (7) is equivalent to inequality

$$(\det T(z))'|_{z=1} > 0 \dots\dots\dots (9)$$

Decomposing the determinant of T (z) in the entries of ant column, it can be shown that inequality (9) is equivalent to inequality

$$\bar{x}(I_{\bar{w}} \otimes S^{\oplus N})e < 0 \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

Where the vector \bar{x} is the unique solution to the following system of linear algebraic equations

$$\bar{x}T(1) = \bar{0}, \bar{x}e = 1 \dots\dots\dots (11)$$

By direct substitution, it can be shown that solution of system (11) has a form

$$\bar{x} = \bar{\theta} \otimes \bar{y} \dots\dots\dots (12)$$

where the vector \bar{y} is the unique positive solution to the following system of linear algebraic equations:

$$\bar{y}(S^{\oplus N} + S_0^{\oplus N}(I_{\bar{w}M^{N-1}} \otimes \beta)) = \bar{0}, \bar{y}e = 1 \dots\dots\dots (13)$$

Substituting (12) into (10), we get inequality

$$\bar{y}S^{\oplus N}e < 0 \dots\dots\dots (14)$$

It follows from (13) that (14) is equivalent to

$$\bar{y}S_0^{\oplus N}(I_{\bar{w}M^{N-1}} \otimes \beta)e > 0 \dots\dots\dots (15)$$

Because vector \bar{y} is positive while the matrix $S_0^{\oplus N}(I_{\bar{w}M^{N-1}} \otimes \beta)$ is non-negative and non-zero, we conclude that inequality (15) is fulfilled any values of the system parameters. Theorem 1 is proven.

5. Algorithm for Calculation of the Stationary Distribution and Loss Probability

The algorithm for calculation of the stationary probability vectors $\bar{p}_i, i \geq 0$ is the same as one elaborated in [2]. One of the most important characteristics of the considered model is the probability P_{loss} that arbitrary customer is lost in the system.

Theorem 2. Loss probability P_{loss} in the case of completion admission discipline is calculated as follows

$$P_{loss} = 1 - \lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \bar{p}_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \tilde{D}_k^{(i)} \bar{e} \dots\dots\dots (16)$$

where $\tilde{D}_k^{(i)} = D_k \otimes I_{M^i}, k \geq 1, i \geq 0$.

The outline of the proof is the following. According to a formula of the total probability, the loss probability P_{loss} is calculated as

$$P_{loss} = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k P_i^{(k)} R^{(i,k)} \dots\dots\dots (17)$$

where P_k is a probability that an arbitrary customers arrives in a batch consisting of k customers, $P_k^{(k)}$ is a probability to see i servers being busy at the epoch of the k size batch arrival, $R^{(i,k)}$ is a probability that an arbitrary customer will not be loss conditional it arrives in a batch consisting of k customers and i servers are busy at the arrival epoch. It can be shown that

$$P_i^{(k)} = \frac{\bar{p}_i \tilde{D}_k^{(i)} \bar{e}}{\bar{\theta} D_k \bar{e}}, i=0, N-1, k \geq 1 \dots\dots\dots (18)$$

$$P_k = \frac{k \bar{\theta} \tilde{D}_k \bar{e}}{\bar{\theta} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l D_l \bar{e}} = k \frac{\bar{\theta} D_k \bar{e}}{\lambda}, k \geq 1 \dots\dots\dots (19)$$

$$R^{(i,k)} = \begin{cases} 1, & i \leq N-1 \\ 0, & i > N-1 \end{cases} \dots\dots\dots (20)$$

By substituting (18) - (20) into (17) after some algebra we get (16). Theorem 2 is proven.

6. Numerical Examples

We assume that the PH service process is characterized by the vector $\beta = (0.2, 0.8)$ and the matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} -1, & 0.5 \\ 0.5, & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Average intensity of the service is equal to 1. 029412. Squared coefficient of the service time variation is equal to 1.3183391. Consider the MAP input which is characterized by the matrices

$$D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -25.53984, & 0.393329, & 0.361199 \\ 0.14515, & -2.2322, & 0.200007 \\ 0.295961, & 0.3874445, & -1.752618 \end{pmatrix} \dots\dots\dots (21)$$

$$D_1 = D = \begin{pmatrix} 24.24212, & 0.466868, & 0.076323 \\ 0.034097, & 1.666864, & 0.186082 \\ 0.009046, & 0.255481, & 0.804685 \end{pmatrix} \dots\dots\dots (22)$$

This MAP has the fundamental rate $\lambda = 5$. In opposite to the BMAP, in a MAP customers cannot arrive in batches. So in case of the MAP, the values of the loss probability coincide for all three considered admission disciplines. Basing on the described MAP introduce two BMAPs that will be coded below as the BMAP*5 and BMAP*10. The BMAP*K is defined by matrices $D_k, k=0, \bar{K}$. To build these matrices, we follow such a way. First, the matrix D_0 is fixed by formula (21) and the rest of the matrices are calculates as $D_k = D q^{k-1} (1-q) / (1-q^k), k=1, \bar{K}$, where the matrix D is fixed in (22), $K=5, 10$. After such a con-

<Table1> Loss Probability P_{loss} according to K and N with $q=0.8$

K \ N	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
BMAP*5	0.84936	0.75005	0.67903	0.62154	0.55167	0.51921	0.47136	0.44083
BMAP*10	0.86315	0.77316	0.69016	0.63891	0.58368	0.54101	0.49009	0.45817

struction, we normalize all the matrices $D_k, k=\overline{0, K}$ to get the *BMAP* having the same fundamental rate as the initial *MAP*. The table 1 show the dependence of the loss probability P_{loss} on the numbers servers N for the input flows *BMAP*5* and *BMAP*10* correspondingly for fixed *PH* service process and $q=0.8$.

In the further experiments we consider three *PH* service processes. The process coded as PH_0 is defined by $\beta=1$ and $S=-1$. This process corresponds to a usual exponential service time. The process coded as PH_1 is defined by the vector $\beta=(0.98, 0.02)$ and matrix $S=\begin{pmatrix} -10000, & 0 \\ 0, & -0.02000196 \end{pmatrix}$. This process corresponds to the hyper-exponential service time distribution. The process coded as PH_2 is defined by the vector $\beta=(1, 0)$ and matrix $S=\begin{pmatrix} -2, & 2 \\ 0, & -2 \end{pmatrix}$. It means that the service time has Erlangian distribution of order 2. All these *PH* service processes are characterized by the mean service time equal to one. Also it shows the dependence of the loss probability P_{loss} for *PH* service processes.

7. Conclusion

This paper investigates the mathematical model of multi-server retrial queueing system with the *Batch Markovian Arrival Process*, the Phase type service distribution and the finite buffer. The sufficient condition for the steady distribution existence and the algorithm for calculating this distribution are presented. The presented results give a straightforward algorithmic way for calculation of performance measures of the considered *BMAP* model. Also the considered model combines features of retrial and loss models. The results can be extended to the case of another disciplines. For example, the following disciplines, which occur in different real life systems, can be accounted. Finally, a formula to solve loss probability in the case of complete admission discipline is derived and numerical results are obtained.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Artalejo R., "A classified bibliography of research on retrial queues", *Progress in 1990-1999, Top*, 7, pp. 187-211, 1999
- [2] Breuer L., Dudin A.N., Klimenok V.I., "A retrial BMAP/PH/N system", *Queueing Systems*, Vol. 40, pp. 433-457, 2001.
- [3] Dudin A.N., Klimenok V.I., "Multi-dimensional quasitoeplitz Markov chains", *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis*, Vol. 12, pp.393-415, 1999.
- [4] Dudin A.N., Klimenok V.I., "A retrial BMAP/SM/1 system with linear repeated requests", *Queueing Systems*, Vol. 34, pp. 47-66, 2000.
- [5] Falin G. I. and J.G.C. Templeton, *Retrial Queues* (Chapman&Hall, London), 1997
- [6] Kim C.S., Klimenok V.I. and Dudin A.N., "Optimal Multi- Threshold Control by the BMAP/SM/1 Retrial System", Accepted to be published at *Annals of Operations Research*, October 14, 2003.
- [7] Klimenok V.I., Kim C.S. and Dudin A.N., "Lack of Invariant Property of Erlang Loss Model in Case of the MAP Input", *Queueing Systems*, Vol. 49, pp. 187-213, 2005.
- [8] Klimenok V.I., Dudin A.N. and Kim C.S., "A Loss-Retrial BMAP/PH/N system", *Proc. Of the International Conference; Modern Mathematical Methods of Analysis and Optimization of Telecommunication Networks*, 23-25 September 2003, Gomel, Belarus.
- [9] Lucantoni D., "New results on the single server queue with a batch Markovian arrival process", *Comm. Stat. Stochastic Models*, Vol.7, pp.1-46, 1991.