KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 45(2005), 519-526

# A Note on Potent Elements

HUANYIN CHEN Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, People's Republic of China e-mail: chyzxl@sparc2.hunnu.edu.cn

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that every exchange ring can be characterized by potent elements. Also we extend [10, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1] to quasi-clean rings in which every element is a sum of a potent element and a unit.

#### 1. Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with an identity. An element  $e \in R$  is potent in case there exists some integer  $n \geq 2$  such that  $e^n = e$ . An element  $e \in R$  is idempotent in case  $e^2 = e$ . An element  $e \in R$  is periodic in case there exist positive integers  $k, l(k \neq l)$  such that  $x^k = x^l$ . Clearly, every potent element is periodic. But the converse is not true. Since  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^3$ , we know that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a periodic element, while it is not a potent element. Also we see that every idempotent is potent, while there exists a potent element which is not idempotent. For example,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a potent element, while it is not idempotent. Thus we have proper inclusions { all idempotents }  $\subset$  { all potent elements }  $\subset$  { all periodic elements } in a ring R.

Recall that a ring R is an exchange ring if for every right R-module A and two decompositions  $A = M \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , where  $M_R \cong R$  and the index set I is finite, there exist submodules  $A'_i \subseteq A_i$  such that  $A = M \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} A'_i)$ . Clearly, regular rings,  $\pi$ -regular rings, semi-perfect rings, left or right continuous rings, clean rings and unit  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero (cf. [2, Theorem 7.2]) are all exchange rings. In this paper, we prove that every exchange ring can be characterized by potent elements.

A ring R is a clean ring if every element in R is a sum of an idempotent and a unit. Many author studied clean rings such as [4]-[5] and [8]-[9]. Following Y. Ye([10]), we say that R is a semi-clean ring if every element is a sum of a periodic element and a unit. He proved that if G is a cyclic group of order 3 then  $\mathbb{Z}_pG$  is a semi-clean ring, while  $\mathbb{Z}_7G$  is not a clean ring. In this paper, we introduce the

Received October 13, 2003.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E50.

Key words and phrases: potent element, exchange ring, quasi-clean ring.

notion of quasi-clean rings as a natural generalization of semi-clean rings. We say that a ring R is a quasi-clean ring in case every element in R is a sum of a potent element and a unit. In fact, we observe that if G is a cyclic group of order 3 then  $\mathbb{Z}_pG$  is a quasi-clean ring.

### 2. Exchange ring

It is well known that a ring R is an exchange ring if and only if for any  $x \in R$  there exists an idempotent  $e \in Rx$  such that  $1 - e \in R(1 - x)$ . In this paper, we prove that every exchange ring can be characterized by potent elements.

**Lemma 2.1.** The following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) For any  $x \in R$ , there exists a potent  $e \in Rx$  such that  $1 e \in R(1 x)$ .

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  is clear by [8, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 1.1].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . For any  $x \in R$ , there exists a potent  $e \in Rx$  such that  $1 - e \in R(1-x)$ . Assume that  $e^n = e$  for some integer  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $f = e^{n-1}$ . Then  $f^2 = e^{2n-2} = ee^{n-2} = f$ . Furthermore, we have  $f \in Re \subseteq Rx$  such that  $1 - f = 1 - e^{n-1} = (1 + e + \dots + e^{n-2})(1 - e) \in R(1 - e) \subseteq R(1 - x)$ . Using [8, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 1.1], R is an exchange ring.

Recall that an element  $u \in R$  is a square root of 1 if  $u^2 = 1$ . In [4, Proposition 10], it is shown that a ring R with  $\frac{1}{2} \in R$  is a clean ring if and only if every element of R is a sum of a unit and a square root of 1.

**Proposition 2.2.** The following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) For any  $x \in R$ , there exist an idempotent  $e \in Rx$  and a central square root u of 1 such that  $u e \in R(1 x)$ .

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  is obvious by [8, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 1.1].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . For any  $x \in R$ , there exist an idempotent  $e \in Rx$  and a central square root u of 1 such that  $u - e \in R(1 - x)$ . Let f = ue. Then  $f \in Rx$  and  $1 - f \in R(1 - x)$ . It is easy to verify that  $f^3 = f$ . Therefore R is an exchange ring by Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.3. The following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) For any  $x \in R$ , there exists a potent  $e \in R$  such that  $e x \in R(x x^2)$ .

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  is clear by [8, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 1.1].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . For any  $x \in R$ , there exists a potent  $e \in R$  such that  $e - x \in R(x - x^2)$ . Assume that  $e - x = r(x - x^2)$  for a  $r \in R$ . Hence we have  $e = (1 + r(1 - x))x \in Rx$  such that  $1 - e = (1 - rx)(1 - x) \in R(1 - x)$ . According to Lemma 2.1, R is an exchange ring.

Let I be a left ideal of a ring R. We say that idempotents can be p-lifted modulo I provided that if  $x - x^2 \in I$  then there exists a potent  $y \in R$  such that  $x - y \in I$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** The following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) Idempotents can be p-lifted modulo every left ideal.

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  is obvious by [8, Corollary 1.3].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let  $x \in R$ , and let  $I = R(x - x^2)$ . Clearly,  $x - x^2 \in I$ . Thus we have a potent  $e \in R$  such that  $e - x \in I$ . That is,  $e - x \in R(x - x^2)$ . In view of Lemma 2.3, we conclude that R is an exchange ring.

We use J(R) to denote the Jacobson radical of R. Furthermore, we can derive the following characterizations of exchange rings.

**Proposition 2.4.** The following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) For any  $x \in R$ , there exist a potent  $e \in Rx$  and  $a \ c \in R$  such that  $(1-e) c(1-x) \in J(R)$ .
- (3) For any  $x \in R$ , there exists a potent  $e \in Rx$  such that R = Re + R(1 x).
- (4) R/J(R) is an exchange ring and idempotents can be p-lifted modulo J(R).

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is clear by [8, Proposition 1.1].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . For any  $x \in R$ , we have a potent  $e \in Rx$  and a  $c \in R$  such that  $(1-e) - c(1-x) \in J(R)$ . Hence e + c(1-x) = 1 + r for a  $r \in J(R)$ . Clearly,  $1 + r \in U(R)$ ; hence,  $(1+r)^{-1}e + (1+r)^{-1}c(1-x) = 1$ . This means that R = Re + R(1-x).

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . For any  $x \in R$ , there exist a potent  $e \in Rx$  such that R = Re + R(1 - x). So we have  $r, s \in R$  such that re + s(1 - x) = 1. As  $e \in R$  is a potent element, we can find an integer n such that  $e^n = e$ . Let  $f = e^{n-1} + (1 - e^{n-1})re$ . Then we check that  $f = f^2 \in Rx$ . Furthermore, we have  $1 - f = (1 - e^{n-1})(1 - re) = (1 - e^{n-1})s(1 - x) \in R(1 - x)$ , as required.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$  is obvious by [8, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 1.1].

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let  $e \in R$  be an idempotent. Then we have a potent  $f \in R$  such that  $e - f \in J(R)$ . Since  $f \in R$  is potent, we can find an integer  $n \ge 2$  such that  $f^n = f$ . As  $\overline{e} = \overline{f}$ , we have  $\overline{e} = \overline{e}^{n-1} = \overline{f}^{n-1} = \overline{f}^{n-1}$ . Clearly,  $f^{n-1} \in R$  is an idempotent. So idempotents can be lifted modulo J(R). Therefore R is an exchange ring by [8, Proposition 1.5].

## 3. Quasi-clean ring

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in R$  be idempotents. Then  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \cdots & e_1Re_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_nRe_1 & \cdots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} e_1r_{11}e_1 & \cdots & e_1r_{1n}e_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_1r_{n1}e_1 & \cdots & e_1r_{nn}e_n \end{pmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_1r_{n1}e_1 & \cdots & e_1r_{nn}e_n \end{pmatrix} \mid r_{ij} \in R \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n) \end{cases}$  forms a ring with the identity diag $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be idempotents of a ring R. If  $e_1Re_1, e_2Re_2$ ,  $\dots, e_nRe_n$  are all quasi-clean rings, then so is the ring  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \cdots & e_1Re_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_nRe_1 & \cdots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* The result holds for n = 1. Assume that the result holds for  $n = k \ge 1$ . Let n = k + 1. Set

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 R e_2 & \cdots & e_2 R e_{k+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{k+1} R e_2 & \cdots & e_{k+1} R e_{k+1} \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 R e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_{k+1} R e_1 \end{pmatrix}_{k \times 1},$$
$$N = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 R e_2 & \cdots & e_1 R e_{k+1} \end{pmatrix}_{1 \times k} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 R e_1 & N \\ M & B \end{pmatrix}_{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$$

Given any  $\begin{pmatrix} a & n \\ m & b \end{pmatrix} \in T$ , we can choose potent elements  $e_1 \in e_1Re_1$ ,  $e_2 \in B$ and invertible elements  $u_1 \in U(e_1Re_1)$ ,  $u_2 \in U(B)$  such that  $a = e_1 + u_1$  and  $b - mu_1^{-1}n = e_2 + u_2$ . Clearly, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & n \\ m & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & n \\ m & u_2 + mu_1^{-1}n \end{pmatrix}.$$

One easily checks that

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & n \\ m & u_2 + mu_1^{-1}n \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^{-1} + u_1^{-1}nu_2^{-1}mu_1^{-1} & -u_1^{-1}nu_2^{-1} \\ -u_2^{-1}mu_1^{-1} & u_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly,  $\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0\\ 0 & u_2 \end{pmatrix} \in T$  is a potent matrix. By induction, we complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** If R is a quasi-clean ring, then so is  $M_n(R)$  for all positive integers n.

*Proof.* In Lemma 3.1, we choose  $e_1 = \cdots = e_n = 1$ . Then we prove that  $M_n(R)$  is a quasi-clean ring, as asserted.

522

**Lemma 3.3.** Let e be an idempotent of a ring R. If eRe and (1 - e)R(1 - e) are quasi-clean rings, then so is R.

*Proof.* Clearly, we have  $R \cong \begin{pmatrix} eRe & eR(1-e) \\ (1-e)Re & (1-e)R(1-e) \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore we complete the proof by Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be idempotents of a ring R. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $e_1Re_1$ ,  $e_2Re_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $e_nRe_n$  are quasi-clean rings.
- (2) The ring

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ e_2Re_1 & e_2Re_2 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ e_nRe_1 & e_nRe_2 & \cdots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is a quasi-clean ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose  $e_1Re_1$ ,  $e_2Re_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $e_nRe_n$  are quasi-clean rings. Clearly, the result holds for n = 1. Assume now that the result holds for  $n = k \ge 1$ . Let

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 R e_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ e_3 R e_2 & e_3 R e_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{k+1} R e_2 & e_{k+1} R e_3 & \cdots & e_{k+1} R e_{k+1} \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 R e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_{k+1} R e_1 \end{pmatrix}_{1 \times k},$$
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 R e_1 & 0 \\ M & B \end{pmatrix}_{(k+1) \times (k+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad e = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(k+1) \times (k+1)}.$$

Then B is a quasi-clean ring. Since  $eTe \cong e_1Re_1$  and  $(diag(e_1, \dots, e_{k+1}) - e)T(diag(e_1, \dots, e_{k+1}) - e) \cong B$  are both quasi-clean rings, by Lemma 3.3, T

is a quasi-clean ring. By induction, we have 
$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ e_2Re_1 & e_2Re_2 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ e_nRe_1 & e_nRe_2 & \cdots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix}$$
 is a

quasi-clean ring.

Conversely, assume that the condition (2) holds. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 R e_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ e_2 R e_1 & e_2 R e_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{n-1} R e_1 & e_{n-1} R e_2 & \cdots & e_{n-1} R e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)},$$
  
$$M = (e_n R e_1 & e_n R e_2 & \cdots & e_n R e_{n-1})_{(n-1) \times 1}.$$

Huanyin Chen

Given any  $a \in e_n Re_n$ , then we check that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0_{n-1} & 0\\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} A & 0\\ M & e_n Re_n \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}$ . So we have a potent matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ m & g \end{pmatrix}$  and an invertible matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ n & v \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ m & g \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ n & v \end{pmatrix}.$  Clearly, a = g + v and  $v \in U(e_n Re_n)$ . As  $\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ m & g \end{pmatrix}$ is potent, we can find an integer  $p \ge 2$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ m & g \end{pmatrix}^p = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ m & g \end{pmatrix}$ ; hence,  $g^p = g$ . This means that  $g \in e_n Re_n$  is a potent element. Therefore we show that  $e_n Re_n$  is a quasi-clean ring. Likewise,  $e_1 Re_1, \dots, e_{n-1} Re_{n-1}$  are quasi-clean rings.

Let  $LTM_n(R)$  denote the ring of all  $n \times n$  lower triangular matrices over R and  $UTM_n(R)$  denote the ring of all  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrices over R. A natural problem is how to extend Theorem 3.2 to triangular matrix extensions. We now derive the following.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let n be a positive integer. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is a quasi-clean ring.
- (2)  $LTM_n(R)$  is a quasi-clean ring.
- (3)  $UTM_n(R)$  is a quasi-clean ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). In Lemma 3.4, we choose  $e_1 = \cdots = e_n = 1$ . Then R is a quasi-clean ring if and only if so is  $LTM_n(R)$ . 

 $(1) \Leftrightarrow (3)$  is proved in the same manner.

**Corollary 3.6.** If G is a cyclic group of order 3, then the ring  $M_n(\mathbb{Z}_pG)$ ,  $LTM_n(R)$ and  $UTM_n(\mathbb{Z}_pG)$  are quasi-clean rings for all positive integers.

*Proof.* Let  $G = \{1, a, a^2\}$  with  $a^3 = 1$ . Given any  $\frac{m_1}{n_1} + \frac{m_2}{n_2}a + \frac{m_3}{n_3}a^2 = \frac{k + la + ma^2}{n} \in \mathbb{R}$  $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ , by [10, Theorem 3.1], we have

$$\frac{k+la+ma^{2}}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}G$$

$$= \begin{cases} -a^{2} + \frac{k+la+(m+n)a^{2}}{n} & p \neq 2; \\ 1 + \frac{k-n+la+ma^{2}}{n} & p = 2, \ k, \ l, \ m \text{ are all even}; \\ \frac{2-a-a^{2}}{3} + \frac{3k-2n+(3l+n)a+(3m+n)a^{2}}{n} & p = 2, \ k, \ l, \ m \text{ are all odd}; \\ a + \frac{k+(l-n)a+ma^{2}}{n} & p = 2, \ one \ of \ k, \ l, \ m \\ & \text{is even, the other odd}; \\ 0 + \frac{k+la+ma^{2}}{n} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the proof of [10, Theorem 3.1], the elements on the second columns at the right are all units. Clearly, the elements on the first columns at the right are all potent elements. So  $\mathbb{Z}_p G$  is a quasi-clean ring. Therefore we complete the proof by Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.5.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let G be a cyclic group of order 3,  $A_1 \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_pG)$ ,  $A_2 \in LTM_n(\mathbb{Z}_pG)$  and  $A_3 \in UTM_n(\mathbb{Z}_pG)$ . Then the following hold:

- (1) There exist an idempotent matrix  $E_1 \in M_n(R)$  and two invertible matrices  $U_1, V_1 \in M_n(R)$  such that  $A_1 = E_1U_1 + V_1$ .
- (2) There exist an idempotent matrix  $E_2 \in LTM_n(R)$  and two invertible matrices  $U_2, V_2 \in LTM_n(R)$  such that  $A_2 = E_2U_2 + V_2$ .
- (3) There exist an idempotent matrix  $E_3 \in UTM_n(R)$  and two invertible matrices  $U_3, V_3 \in UTM_n(R)$  such that  $A_3 = E_3U_3 + V_3$ .

*Proof.* (1) In view of Corollary 3.6, we have a potent  $B_1 \in M_n(R)$  and an invertible matrix  $V_1 \in M_n(R)$  such that  $A_1 = B_1 + V_1$ . As  $B_1$  is potent, there exists an integer  $m \ge 2$  such that  $B_1 = B_1^m$ . Set  $W_1 = B_1^{m-2} - B_1^{m-1} + I_m$ . Then  $U^{-1} = B_1 - B_1^{-1} + I_m$ . Furthermore, we have  $B_1U = B_1^{m-1}$ . Let  $E_1 = B_1^{m-1}$  and  $U_1 = W_1^{-1}$ . Then  $A_1 = E_1U_1 + V_1$ , as asserted.

(2) and (3) are proved in the same manner.

It is well known that every clean ring is an exchange ring. We note that there exists a quasi-clean ring which is not an exchange ring. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(5)} = \{a/b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0 \text{ and } 3 \nmid b \text{ and } 5 \nmid b\}$ . By [1, Proposition 16], each element  $x \in R$  can be written in the form x = u + e or x = u - e where  $u \in U(R)$  and  $e \in R$  is an idempotent. Clearly,  $\pm e \in R$  is a potent element. Hence R is a quasi-clean ring. But R is not an exchange ring because it is indecomposable, and not quasilocal.

# References

- D. D. Anderson and V. P. Camillo, Commutative rings whose elements are a sum of a unit and idempotent, Comm. Algebra, 30(2002), 3327–3336.
- [2] P. Ara, K. R. Goodearl, K. C. O'Meara and E. Pardo, Separative cancellation for projective modules over exchange rings, Israel J. Math., 105(1998), 105–137.
- [3] M. Ashraf, Structure and commutativity of associative rings in: Recent Research on Pure and Applied Algebra, O. Pordavi(Ed.), Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 2003, pp. 1–8.
- [4] V. P. Camillo and H. P. Yu, Exchange rings, units and idempotents, Comm. Algebra, 22(1994), 4737–4749.
- [5] H. Chen, Exchange rings with artinian primitive factors, Algebra Represent. Theory, 2 (1999), 201–207.
- [6] H. Chen, Units, idempotents and stable range conditions, Comm. Algebra, 29(2001), 703–717.

## Huanyin Chen

- [7] K. R. Goodearl and P. Menal, Stable range one for rings with many units, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 54(1988), 261–287.
- [8] W. K. Nicholson, Lifting idempotents and exchange rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 229(1977), 269–278.
- [9] W. K. Nicholson, Extensions of clean rings, Comm. Algebra, 29(2001), 2589–2595.
- [10] Y. Ye, Semiclean rings, Comm. Algebra, **31**(2003), 5609–5625.