KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 45(2005), 481-489

# A Completion of Semi-simple *MV*-algebra

T. H. CHOE Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada e-mail: choe@mcmaster.ca

E. S. KIM AND Y. S. PARK

Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, 702-701, Korea e-mail: eskim@knu.ac.kr and yngspark@knu.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. We first show that any complete MV-algebra whose Boolean subalgebra of idempotent elements is atomic, called a complete MV-algebra with atomic center, is isomorphic to a product of unit interval MV-algebra I's and finite linearly ordered MValgebras of A(m)-type ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ). Secondly, for a semi-simple MV-algebra A, we introduce a completion  $\delta(A)$  of A which is a complete, MV-algebra with atomic center. Under their intrinsic topologies (see §3) A is densely embedded into  $\delta(A)$ . Moreover,  $\delta(A)$  has the extension universal property so that complete MV-algebras with atomic centers are epireflective in semi-simple MV-algebras

In his classical paper ([3]) C. C. Chang invented the notion of MV-algebra in order to provide an algebraic proof of the completeness theorem of Lukasiewicz axioms for infinite valued propositional logic. The Boolean algebra (ring) is the corresponding algebra for the classical two-valued logic. In Boolean algebras, (= commutative idempotent unitary ring) ring-operations +,  $\cdot$ , 0 and 1 define latticeoperations (= distributive complemented lattice) so that it forms a Boolean lattice. As in Boolean algebra, MV-algebraic-operations +,  $\cdot$ , -, 0 and 1 define latticeoperations so that it forms a bounded lattice (actually, distributive lattice). Using the fact that the set C(A) of all idempotent elements of an MV-algebra A, called the center of A, forms a Boolean subalgebra, it is easy to prove that the category of Boolean algebras is a coreflective subcategory of the category of all MV-algebras and their homomorphisms.

Unlike Boolean algebra, not all MV-algebras are semi-simple. In [1], [2], Belluce and in [6] Hoo have developed MV-algebras in its algebraic properties and topological properties, in particular, they have characterized the semi-simple MV-

Received September 24, 2004.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G20, 03B50, 06B30.

Key words and phrases: MV-algebra, Boolean algebra, semi-simple MV-algebra, completion and extension universal property.

algebra in terms of many different notions; in terms of Bold algebra of Fuzzy subset ([1]), Archimedeanness, quasi-locallyness, and the lattice-completeness and subdirect product of unit interval MV-algebras ([2]). Hoo has shown that A is semisimple iff the space of maximal ideals of A is dense in the space of prime ideals ([6]). For each positive integer m, let  $A(m) = \{0, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \cdots, \frac{m-1}{m}, 1\}$ . Then  $(A(m), +, \cdot, -, 0, 1)$  is a MV-algebra under the operations [p/m] + [q/m] = $\min(1, [(p+q)/m]), [p/m] \cdot [q/m] = \max(0, [(p+q-m)/m]) \text{ and } [p/m] = [(m-p)/m]$ ([3]). In this paper, we first show that if A is a complete MV-algebra and its C(A)is atomic then A is isomorphic to a product of a cube and  $\Pi A(m)$ , where cube means a product of unit interval MV-algebras and  $\Pi A(m)$  is a product of finite MV-algebras A(m)'s. After the proof that any complete atomic one is an atomic center, it follows that if A is complete nonatomic and A has at least one atom, and if  $A \cong B \times C$  (Belluce's decomposition: Theorem 9 [2]) then the atomic part  $B \cong \prod A(m)$  and atomless part  $C \cong I^{\lambda}$  (a cube). It follows immediately that if A is complete and atomic then A is the direct product  $\Pi A(m)$  for some  $m \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$  (see Theorem 4.2 and the below remark [2]). Secondly we introduce an intrinsic topology on a semi-simple MV-algebra so that it is a topological MV-algebra, we show that every semi-simple MV-algebra A has a completion  $\delta(A)$  which is a complete (or a compact) MV-algebra with atomic center and  $\delta(A)$  contains A as a dense subalgebra. Furthermore A is a subdirect product of the type  $\Pi J_{\alpha} \times \Pi A(m)$ , of MV-algebras where  $J_{\alpha}$  is a dense subalgebra of the unit interval MV-algebra I. Finally, we investigate further properties of the  $\delta$ -completion, for example,  $\delta(A)$  has an extension universal property.

## Preliminaries.

We recall MV-algebra  $A = (A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1)$ , which is (2, 2, 1, 0, 0) type and the following equations are satisfied: for  $x, y, z \in A$ ,

- (i) x + y = y + x
- (ii) (x+y) + z = x + (y+z)
- (iii)  $x \cdot y = \overline{(\bar{x} + \bar{y})}$
- (iv) x + 0 = x and x + 1 = 1
- (v)  $\bar{0} = 1$  and  $\bar{1} = 0$
- (vi)  $\overline{(\bar{x}+y)} + y = \overline{(\bar{y}+x)} + x.$

If we define that for  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x \vee y = x + \overline{x}y$  and  $x \wedge y = x(\overline{x} + y)$  then  $(A, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  is a bounded distribution lattice.

For all basic terminologies of MV-algebra, we refer to [1], [2] and [3]. For an MV-algebra A, C(A) denotes the Boolean subalgebra of all idempotent elements of A. C(A) is called the center of A, and its element is called a center element of A. For  $a \in A$ ,  $\downarrow a$  denotes the subset  $\{x \in A \mid x \leq a\}$  and dually  $\uparrow a$  denotes  $\{x \in A \mid a \leq x\}$ .

## 1. Atomic *MV*-algebras

Let A be an MV-algebra. For  $x, y \in A$ , "y covers x" means that x < y and no elements between x and y. If a covers 0 then a is called an *atom* of A. In this section we show first that for any atomic complete MV-algebra the center C(A) must be a power-set Boolean subalgebra, namely, C(A) is an atomic complete Boolean algebra.

We first prove the following theorem

**Theorem 1.1.** For an MV-algebra A, the following statements are equivalent, for  $x, y \in A$ ,

- (i) y covers x
- (ii)  $\bar{x}y$  covers 0 and  $x\bar{y}=0$
- (iii) 1 covers  $x + \bar{y}$  and  $\bar{x} + y = 1$  (*i.e.*,  $x\bar{y} = 0$ ).

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that  $0 < u < \bar{x}y$  for some  $u \in A$ . Then we have  $x \leq x + u \leq x + \bar{x}y = y$ . We claim that x < x + u < y which is absurd. Indeed, if x = x + u then u = 0 because  $0 \leq \bar{x}$  and  $u < \bar{x}y \leq \bar{x}$  imply u = 0 by Theorem 1.14 [3], which is a contradiction. Thus x < x + u. Now if x + u = y then  $\bar{x}(x + u) = \bar{x}y$ , i.e.,  $\bar{x} \wedge u = \bar{x}y$ . On the other hand, we have  $u < \bar{x}y \leq \bar{x}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{x} \wedge u = u$ . Thus  $\bar{x}y = u$  which is also a contradiction. So we have x + u < y. Hence we have x < x + u < y which is absurd to (i). Thus (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that x < z < y for some  $z \in A$ . Then we have  $0 \leq \bar{x}z \leq \bar{x}y$ . If  $0 = \bar{x}z$  then  $d(x, y) = \bar{x}y + \bar{y}x = \bar{x}z = 0$  since x < z. Thus x = z. Similarly if  $\bar{x}z = \bar{x}y$  then  $x + \bar{z} = x + \bar{y}$ . Since  $\bar{z}$  and  $\bar{y}$  are both bounded by  $\bar{x}$ , we have  $\bar{z} = \bar{y}$ , i.e., z = y. Hence  $0 < \bar{x}z < \bar{x}y$  which is a contradiction to (ii).

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii). These (ii) and (iii) are dual each other. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

The following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary** ([2]). If an MV-algebra has no atoms then it is densely ordered.

The following lemma is immediate from Theorem 5 [2].

**Lemma 1.2.** If A is a complete MV-algebra then so is C(A), i.e., C(A) is a complete Boolean subalgebra of A.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let A be a complete MV-algebra. For  $S \subset A$  with  $S \neq \emptyset$ , if  $c = \sup S^{\perp}$ , then  $c \in C(A)$ , where  $S^{\perp} = \{x \in A | x \land s = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $s \in S$ ,  $s \wedge c = s \wedge \sup S^{\perp} = \sup(s \wedge \sup S^{\perp}) = 0$ . Thus  $c \in S^{\perp}$ . Since  $S^{\perp}$  is always an ideal of  $A, 2c \in S^{\perp}$ , and hence 2c = c.

**Remark.** If A is a complete MV-algebra, and if  $x \wedge y = 0$  and  $c = \sup \{y\}^{\perp}$  for  $0 \neq x, 0 \neq y$ , then  $c \in C(A)$  and  $0 \neq c \neq 1$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** If A is a complete MV-algebra and  $a_0$  is an atom of A, then there exists a unique atom  $c_0$  of C(A) such that  $a_0 \leq c_0$ .

*Proof.* We have either

- (i)  $\{a_0\}^{\perp} = \{0\}$  or
- (ii)  $\{a_0\}^{\perp} \neq \{0\}.$

For case (i), if  $y \in A$  with  $y \neq 0$  then  $a_0 \wedge y = a_0$ , because either  $a_0 \wedge y = a_0$ or  $a_0 \wedge y = 0$  since  $a_0$  is an atom of A, and if  $y \wedge a_0 = 0$  then  $y \in \{a_0\}^{\perp}$ . Hence  $y \in \uparrow a_0$ . Thus  $\uparrow a_0 = A - \{0\}$ . Now let  $c_0 = \inf\{c \in C(A) | a_0 \leq c\}$  then  $c_0$  is an atom of C(A).

For (ii), firstly we note that  $\{a_0\} \subset \{a_0\}^{\perp\perp}$ . Let  $d_0 = \sup\{a_0\}^{\perp\perp}$ . Then  $d_0 \in C(A) \cap \uparrow a_0$ . Clearly  $d_0 \in \{a_0\}^{\perp\perp}$ . So we have  $\{a_0\}^{\perp\perp} = \downarrow d_0$ . Now let  $c_0 = \inf(C(A) \cap \uparrow a_0)$ . Evidently  $c_0 \in C(A) \cap \uparrow a_0$ . We claim that  $c_0$  is an atom of C(A) and  $a_0 \leq c_0$ . Indeed, if there exists  $e_0 \in C(A)$  such that  $0 < e_0 < c_0 \leq d_0$ , then  $e_0 \in \{a_0\}^{\perp\perp} = \downarrow d_0$ . Hence since  $a_0$  is atom of A we have either  $e_0 \wedge a_0 = a_0$  or  $e_0 \wedge a_0 = e_0$  or  $e_0 \wedge a_0 = 0$  which implies  $a_0 \leq e_0$  or  $e_0 \leq a_0$  or  $e_0 \in \{a_0\}^{\perp}$  respectively. Thus we have  $e_0 = c_0$  or  $e_0 = a_0$  or  $e_0 \in \{a_0\}^{\perp}$ , respectively. But none of which is possible, because  $e_0 < c_0$ ,  $e_0 = a_0$  implies  $e_0 = c_0$ , and if  $e_0 \in \{a_0\}^{\perp}$ , then  $e_0 = 0$ , respectively.

For the uniqueness of such atoms, if  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  are two distinct atoms of C(A) and  $a_0 \leq c_0$ ,  $a_0 \leq c_1$  then  $a_0 \leq c_0 \wedge c_1 = 0$  and hence  $a_0 = 0$ , a contradiction. The proof is complete.

The following corollary is obvious from Lemma 1.2 and the above proposition:

**Corollary 1.5.** If A is a complete atomic MV-algebra, then C(A) is a power set Boolean algebra.

For a decomposition of an MV-algebra A, the center C(A) plays a very important role, as in lattice theory.

If C(A) is atomic for an *MV*-algebra *A*, *A* is said to have *atomic center*.

# 2. Decompositions of complete *MV*-algebras with atomic centers

It is well known that for an ideal P of an MV-algebra A, P is a prime ideal iff for  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x \wedge y \in P$  implies  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ . It is also known that the quotient MV-algebra A/P is linearly ordered for any prime ideal P of A([4]).

The following lemma is obvious:

**Lemma 2.1.** If P is a prime ideal of A and  $a \in C(A)$  then either  $a \in P$  or  $\bar{a} \in P$ . Moreover,  $a \in P$  iff  $\bar{a} \notin P$ .

In the following lemma we prove firstly that the ideal generated by  $\bar{a}$  is prime in a complete *MV*-algebra *A* for any atom *a* of C(A), but it will turn out that it is actually a maximal ideal in the latter.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let A be a complete MV-algebra. If a is an atom of C(A), then  $\downarrow \bar{a}$  is a prime ideal of A. Furthermore, the ideal  $\downarrow a$  is linearly ordered.

Proof. Clearly  $\downarrow \bar{a}$  is a proper ideal of A. Assume that  $\downarrow \bar{a}$  is not prime. Namely, there exists two elements x and y in A such that  $x \land y \in \downarrow \bar{a}$  but  $x \notin \downarrow \bar{a}$  and  $y \notin \downarrow \bar{a}$ . Then  $ax \land ay = 0$  by Lemma 2 ([2]). Note that  $ax \neq 0$  since ax = 0 implies  $\bar{a} \lor x = \bar{a}$ , and hence  $x \leq \bar{a}$ . Similarly  $ay \neq 0$ . Further  $ax \neq 1$  since  $\bar{a} + \bar{x} = 0$  implies  $\bar{x} \lor x = \bar{a}$ , and similarly  $ay \neq 1$ . By the Remark after Lemma 1.3,  $c = \sup \{ay\}^{\perp} \in C(A)$  and  $0 \neq c \neq 1$ . Since  $ax \in \{ay\}^{\perp}$ , we have  $0 < ax \leq c$ ; since  $\bar{a} \in \{ay\}^{\perp}$  we have  $\bar{a} \leq c$ , i.e.,  $\bar{c} \leq a$ . Note that  $\bar{c} \neq a$  because if so,  $ax \leq c = \bar{a}$  and hence  $ax = a^2x \leq a\bar{a} = 0$  which is impossible. If follows that  $\bar{c} \in C(A)$  and  $0 < \bar{c} < a$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that a is an atom of C(A). The second part of the Lemma follows from the first isomorphism Theorem; Let  $f : A \to I_a = \downarrow a$  by f(x) = ax. By Proposition 3 ([2]), f is a MV-homomorphism of A onto  $I_a$ . Then the kernel of f is  $I_a^{\perp} = \downarrow \bar{a}$ . Thus the quotient of A modulo  $\downarrow \bar{a}$  is isomorphic to  $\downarrow a$ .

**Remark.** For a prime ideal P of A, there exists at most one atom a of C(A) such that  $\bar{a} \in P$ . For, if there exist two such atoms  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  of  $C(A)(a_1 \neq a_2)$ , then  $\bar{a_1} \vee \bar{a_2} = \bar{a_1} + \bar{a_2} = 1 \in P$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** If B is a complete subalgebra of the unit interval MV-algebra I(=[0, 1]), then B is either I itself or a finite MV-algebra A(m) for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

*Proof.* If B has an atom b, say its order is m, then evidently B is isomorphic to A(m). Now assume that B does not have any atom. Then B must be I. For, suppose  $I - B \neq \emptyset$ . Then for any  $x \in I - B$  let  $b_0 = \sup\{\downarrow x \cap B\}$  and  $b_1 = \inf\{\uparrow x \cap B\}$  then  $b_1$  covers  $b_0$  in B since  $b_0 < x < b_1$  and  $x \notin B$ . By Theorem 1.1, B has an atom. This is a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let A be a complete MV-algebra with atomic center. Let a be an atom of C(A). Then the MV-algebra  $I_a = \langle \downarrow a, +, \cdot, -, 0, a \rangle$  is isomorphic to either A(m) for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  or the unit interval MV-algebra.

*Proof.* Since A is complete, so is  $I_a$ . Thus  $I_a$  is a complete semi-simple linearly ordered MV-algebra. It follows that  $I_a$  is Archimedean and hence  $I_a$  is locally finite (Theorem 31, 32 [1]). We have  $A/I_{\bar{a}}$  is locally finite, since  $A/I_{\bar{a}} \cong I_a$ . It follows that  $I_a$  is embedded into I. (See the remark on page 2 [2]). By Proposition 2.3,  $I_a$  is isomorphic to either A(m) for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  or I.

**Remark.** In the above proof, since  $A/I_{\bar{a}}$  is locally finite we have  $I_{\bar{a}} = \downarrow \bar{a}$  is actually *a* maximal ideal of *A* by (Theorem 4.7 [3]).

**Proposition 2.5.** Let A be a complete MV-algebra with atomic center and  $\{a_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  be the set of all atoms of C(A). Then A is isomorphic to  $\Pi\{I_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ , where  $I_{\alpha} = I_{a_{\alpha}}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\varphi : A \to \Pi I_{\alpha}$  by  $\varphi(x) = \langle a_{\alpha}x \rangle_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  for each  $x \in A$ , and define  $\psi : \Pi I_{\alpha} \to A$  by  $\psi(\langle x_{\alpha} \rangle) = \sup\{x_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  for each element  $\langle x_{\alpha} \rangle$  of  $\Pi I_{\alpha}$ . Then clearly  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are both MV-homomorphisms. By Theorem 5 [2], it is easy to see that  $\psi \circ \varphi = \operatorname{id}_{A}$  and  $\varphi \circ \psi = \operatorname{id}_{\Pi I_{\alpha}}$ .

In summary, the following theorem has completely characterized complete MV-algebras with atomic centers.

**Theorem 2.6.** If A is a complete MV-algebra with atomic center, then A is isomorphic to a direct product of a cube and  $\Pi\{A(m) \mid m \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ , where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  and cube means a product of I's.

In [2], it is shown that if A is a complete nonatomic MV-algebra and if A has at least one atom, then  $A \cong B \times C$  where B is complete atomic, C is complete atomless MV-algebra. Furthermore if A is atomic then  $A \cong B$  and C is disappeared as follows:

**Corollary 2.7.** If A is a complete atomic MV-algebra then  $A \cong \Pi A(m)$ .

## 3. The $\delta$ -completion of semi-simple *MV*-algebra

By a topological MV-algebra, we mean a pair  $(A, \tau)$ , where A is an MV-algebra and  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff topology on A such that all operations  $+, \cdot$  and - are continuous.

Clearly every topological MV-algebra  $(A, \tau)$  is also a topological distributive lattice and C(A) is a closed subset of A.

The following lemma is well-known ([5]):

**Lemma 3.1.** If  $(A, \tau)$  is a compact topological MV-algebra, then

- (i) A is a complete lattice.
- (ii) C(A) is a compact Boolean algebra, i.e., it is a power set Boolean algebra.

By Theorem 2.6 we then have the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $(A, \tau)$  is a compact MV-algebra, then  $A \cong I^{\lambda} \times \Pi\{A(m) | m \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  where the cube  $I^{\lambda}$  is the connected atomless part of A for some cardinal  $\lambda$ , and  $\Pi\{A(m)\}$  is the totally disconnected atomic part of A for some subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Now we turn to characterize semi-simple algebras as subalgebras of a cube.

First of all, we note that the unit interval algebra  $(I, \oplus, \odot, -, 0, 1)$  is a topological *MV*-algebra under the ordinal topology. For  $x, y \in I$ ,  $x \oplus y = \min\{1, x + y\} = \frac{1}{2}\{1+x+y-|1-x-y|\}$  and  $\bar{x} = 1-x$  are continuous and hence  $x \odot y$  is continuous, where +, - are the real operations of *I*.

Let A be a semi-simple MV-algebra and let H = hom(A, I) be the set of all homomorphisms of A to I.

Clearly, the cube  $I^H = \Pi\{I_f | f \in H\}$  has the compact topology  $\tau$ , its product topology, for which  $(I^H, \tau)$  is a compact MV-algebra.

Let  $e: A \to I^H$  be the evaluation map:  $e(x) = \langle f(x) \rangle$  for each  $x \in A$  and each  $f \in H$ . Since A is semi-simple, e is injective and hence A is embedded into  $I^H$ . Then  $A \cong e(A) \subset I^H$ . Hence  $(e(A), \tau_{e(A)})$  is a topological MV-subalgebra of  $I^H$  under the relative topology  $\tau_{e(A)}$  of  $\tau$ .

It is known ([5]) that the closure of a subalgebra B of a topological universal algebra A is again a subalgebra of A.

Setting  $\delta(A) = \Gamma(e(A))$  where  $\Gamma$  is the closure operation of  $I^H$ , we call  $\delta(A)$  the  $\delta$ -completion of A.

Evidently,  $\delta(A)$  is a compact Hausdorff MV-algebra under its relative topology and hence  $\delta(A)$  is a complete MV-algebra with atomic center.

Again by Theorem 2.6, we have that  $\delta(A)$  has the following type:  $\delta(A) \cong I^{H_0} \times \prod\{A(m) | m \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ , where  $H_0 \subset H$ .

Let A be a semi-simple MV-algebra. Then A is embedded into  $I^H$ . Since  $A \cong (e(A), \tau_{e(A)})$ , A has the topology  $\tau_A$  so that  $(A, \tau_A) \cong (e(A), \tau_{e(A)})$  is isomorphic algebraically and topologically.  $\tau_A$  is called the intrinsic topology of A.

Then we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.3.** Any semi-simple MV-algebra A is densely embedded into  $I^{H_0} \times \Pi\{A(m)|m \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  under its intrinsic topology where  $H_0 \subset H$ , a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $|H_0| + |\Lambda| = |H|$ , where  $H = \hom(A, I)$ . Furthermore, A is a subdirect product of  $\prod_{f \in H_0} J_f \times \Pi A(m)$ , where  $J_f$  is a dense subalgebra of I for each  $f \in H_0$ .

Proof. The first part of the theorem already has been shown in the above. For the second part, let  $\delta(A)$  be the  $\delta$ -completion of A and  $A \stackrel{e}{\hookrightarrow} e(A) \subset \delta(A) \subset I^H$ . For each  $f \in H$  and the  $f^{th}$  projection  $p_f$  of  $I^H$  onto I, setting  $p_f(e(A)) = J_f$  for each  $f \in H_0$ , it is easy to see that  $J_f$  is a dense subalgebra of I. Note that for the atomic part  $\Pi A(m)$ , A has a exactly same copy of subalgebra as  $\Pi A(m)$  because  $p_m(e(A)) = A(m)$  for each  $m \in \Lambda$ ,  $p_m$  is the  $m^{th}$  projection of  $I^H$  onto A(m).  $\Box$ 

**Examples.** We give several typical examples of dense subalgebras of I

- 1. *I* itself.
- 2. The subalgebra of all rationals in I.
- 3. The subalgebra of algebraic numbers in I.
- 4. The subalgebra of dyadic numbers in I.
- 5. The subalgebra of all numbers of type  $r + s\sqrt{2}$  in I for all rationals r and s.

Here we study some important properties of the  $\delta$ -completion; among those, a useful property in an extension property. From this property one can easily show that the category of a complete MV-algebras with atomic center is an epi-reflective subcategory of the category of all semi-simple MV-algebras.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let A, B be two semi-simple MV-algebras and  $\tau_A$ ,  $\tau_B$  are their intrinsic topologies respectively.

If  $\varphi : A \to B$  is an MV-homomorphism then  $\varphi : (A, \tau_A) \to (B, \tau_B)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Since  $(B, \tau_B)$  is embedded into  $I^G$ , where  $G = \hom(B, I)$ ,  $\tau_B$  is the initial topology with respective to the source  $G_B = \{g|_B \mid g \in I^G\}$ . Since  $g|_B \circ \varphi \in H_A$  for each  $g \in I^G$ ,  $g|_B \circ \varphi$  is continuous. Thus  $\varphi$  is continuous.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.5.** If A is a complete MV-algebra with atomic center, then  $(A, \tau_A)$  is a compact MV-algebra.

*Proof.* Since  $A \cong I^{H_0} \times \Pi A(m)$  by Theorem 3.3, A is closed-embedded into  $I^H$ . Therefore  $(A, \tau_A)$  is compact.

Now we prove the extension properties of the  $\delta$ -completion.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let A be a semi-simple MV-algebra with  $\delta$ -completion  $\delta(A)$  and let  $\delta: A \to \delta(A)$  be a dense embedding. For each complete MV-algebra B with atomic center and a homomorphism  $u: A \to B$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $u^*: \delta(A) \to B$  with  $u^* \circ \delta = u$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. Let } H = \mathrm{Hom}(A, I), \ G = \mathrm{Hom}(B, I) \ \mathrm{and \ let } e : A \to \delta(A) \ \mathrm{be \ the \ embedding.} \\ \mathrm{Let \ } h = \coprod \ \{f \mid f \in \mathrm{hom}(A, I)\} = \coprod \ \mathrm{hom}(A, I) : A \to I^H \ \mathrm{and} \ k = \coprod \ \{g \mid g \in \mathrm{hom}(B, I)\} = \coprod \ \mathrm{hom}(B, I) : A \to I^G. \ \mathrm{Then \ } e \ \mathrm{is \ the \ image \ corestriction \ of \ } h \ \mathrm{and} \ h = A \to \delta(A) \hookrightarrow I^H. \end{array}$ 

By the assumption,  $\hom(A, I)$  and  $\hom(B, I)$  are point-separating, i.e., monosources; hence h and k are both injective homomorphisms. Furthermore, by the definition of intrinsic topology, they are indeed embeddings as topological algebras, so that  $\delta$  is a dense embedding. Since B is a complete MV-algebra with atomic center,  $(B, \tau_B)$  is compact, and hence k is a closed embedding.

Now take any homomorphism  $u : A \to B$ , let  $\bar{u} = \coprod \{p_{gu} \mid g \in hom(B,I)\}$  :  $I^H \to I^G$ , where  $p_{gu} : I^H \to I$  denotes the  $g \circ u^{th}$  projection (note  $g \circ u : A \to I \in Hom(A,I) = H$ ), then for any  $g \in hom(B,I)$ ,  $p_g \circ k \circ u = g \circ u = p_{gu} \circ h = p_g \circ \bar{u} \circ h = p_g \circ \bar{u} \circ j \circ \delta$ , where j is the embedding of  $\delta(A)$  into  $I^H$  and therefore  $k \circ u = \bar{u} \circ j \circ \delta$ . Since  $\delta$  is dense and k is a closed embedding, by the Diagonalization Property ([7]), there is a unique continuous map  $u^* : \delta(A) \to B$  with  $u^* \circ \delta = u$ , which is clearly a homomorphism because k is an embedding.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgement. The authors take this opportunity to thank the referee for his valuable comments.

# References

- L. P. Belluce, Semi-simple algebras of infinite valued logic and bold fuzzy set theory, Can. J. Math. XXXVII, 6(1986), 1356-1379.
- [2] L. P. Belluce, Semi-simple and complete MV-algebras, Algebra Universalis, 29(1992), 1-9.
- [3] C. C. Chang, Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics, Trans. AMS, 88(1958), 467-490.
- [4] C. C. Chang, A new proof of completeness of Lukasiewicz axioms, Trans. AMS, 93(1959), 74-80.
- [5] T. H. Choe, Profinite orthomodular lattices, Proc. AMS, 11(4)(1993), 1053-1060.
- [6] C. S. Hoo, MV-algebras, Ideals and Semisimplicity, Math. Japonica, 34(4)(1980), 563-583.
- [7] H. Herrlich and G. E. Strecker, Category Theory, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1973.