

THE QUARTIC MOMENT PROBLEM

CHUNJI LI* AND SANG HOON LEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the quartic moment problem suggested by Curto-Fialkow[6]. Given complex numbers $\gamma \equiv \gamma^{(4)} : \gamma_{00}, \gamma_{01}, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{02}, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{03}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{21}, \gamma_{30}, \gamma_{04}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{22}, \gamma_{31}, \gamma_{40}$, with $\gamma_{00} > 0$ and $\gamma_{ji} = \bar{\gamma}_{ij}$, we discuss the conditions for the existence of a positive Borel measure μ , supported in the complex plane \mathbb{C} such that $\gamma_{ij} = \int \bar{z}^i z^j d\mu$ ($0 \leq i + j \leq 4$). We obtain sufficient conditions for flat extension of the quartic moment matrix $M(2)$. Moreover, we examine the existence of flat extensions for nonsingular positive quartic moment matrices $M(2)$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Given a closed subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and a doubly indexed finite sequence of complex numbers

(1.1)

$$\gamma : \gamma_{00}, \gamma_{01}, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{02}, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{20}, \dots, \gamma_{0,2n}, \gamma_{1,2n-1}, \dots, \gamma_{2n-1,1}, \gamma_{2n,0},$$

with $\gamma_{00} > 0$ and $\gamma_{ji} = \bar{\gamma}_{ij}$, the *truncated K -moment problem* entails finding a positive Borel measure μ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \gamma_{ij} = \int \bar{z}^i z^j d\mu \quad (0 \leq i + j \leq 2n) \text{ and } \text{supp } \mu \subseteq K;$$

γ is called a *truncated moment sequence* (of order $2n$) and μ is called a *representing measure* for γ ($[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]$).

For $n \geq 1$, let $m \equiv m(n) := (n+1)(n+2)/2$. For $A \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ (the set of $m \times m$ complex matrices), we denote the successive rows and columns according to the following lexicographic-functional ordering:

Received March 15, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 44A60, 47A57.

Key words and phrases: the quartic moment problem, representing measure, flat extension.

*Supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2002-070-C00006).

$$\underbrace{1}_{(1)}, \underbrace{Z, \bar{Z}}_{(2)}, \underbrace{Z^2, \bar{Z}Z, \bar{Z}^2}_{(3)}, \underbrace{Z^3, \bar{Z}Z^2, \bar{Z}^2Z, \bar{Z}^3}_{(4)}, \dots, \underbrace{Z^n, \bar{Z}Z^{n-1}, \dots, \bar{Z}^{n-1}Z, \bar{Z}^n}_{(n+1)}.$$

For $0 \leq i + j \leq n, 0 \leq l + k \leq n$, we denote the entry in row $\bar{Z}^l Z^k$, column $\bar{Z}^i Z^j$ of A by $A_{(l,k)(i,j)}$.

Given the truncated moment sequence γ in (1.1) and $0 \leq i, j \leq n$, we define the $(i + 1) \times (j + 1)$ matrix $M[i, j]$ whose entries are the moments of order $i + j$:

$$(1.3) \quad M[i, j] := \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{i,j} & \gamma_{i+1,j-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \gamma_{i+j,0} \\ \gamma_{i-1,j+1} & \gamma_{i,j} & \cdots & \cdots & \gamma_{i+j-1,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{0,i+j} & \gamma_{1,i+j-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \gamma_{j,i} \end{pmatrix},$$

where we note that $M[i, j]$ has the Toeplitz-like property of being constant on each diagonal; in particular, $M[i, i]$ is a self-adjoint Toeplitz matrix. We now define the *moment matrix* $M(n) \equiv M(n)(\gamma)$ via the block decomposition $M(n) := (M[i, j])_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$. For example, if $n = 1$, the *quartic moment problem* for $\gamma : \gamma_{00}, \gamma_{01}, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{02}, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{20}$ corresponds to

$$(1.4) \quad M(1) = \begin{pmatrix} M[0, 0] & M[0, 1] \\ M[1, 0] & M[1, 1] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{00} & \gamma_{01} & \gamma_{10} \\ \gamma_{10} & \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{20} \\ \gamma_{01} & \gamma_{02} & \gamma_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

and if $n = 2$, the *quartic moment problem* for

$$\gamma : \gamma_{00}, \gamma_{01}, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{02}, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{03}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{21}, \gamma_{30}, \gamma_{04}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{22}, \gamma_{31}, \gamma_{40}$$

corresponds to

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} M(2) &= \begin{pmatrix} M[0, 0] & M[0, 1] & M[0, 2] \\ M[1, 0] & M[1, 1] & M[1, 2] \\ M[2, 0] & M[2, 1] & M[2, 2] \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{00} & \gamma_{01} & \gamma_{10} & \gamma_{02} & \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{20} \\ \gamma_{10} & \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{20} & \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{30} \\ \gamma_{01} & \gamma_{02} & \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{03} & \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{21} \\ \gamma_{20} & \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{30} & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{31} & \gamma_{40} \\ \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{13} & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{31} \\ \gamma_{02} & \gamma_{03} & \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{04} & \gamma_{13} & \gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}]$ denote the complex polynomials in z, \bar{z} of total degree $\leq n$. For $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $p(z, \bar{z}) \equiv \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} a_{ij} \bar{z}^i z^j$, let $\hat{p} \equiv (a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{10}, \dots, a_{0n}, \dots, a_{n0})^T \in \mathbb{C}^{m(n)}$. For $M \in \mathcal{M}_{m(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n$, let $\langle p, q \rangle_M := (M\hat{p}, \hat{q})$. For $M \in \mathcal{M}_{m(n)}$, let \mathcal{C}_M denote the column space of M , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C}_M := \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z}, \dots, Z^n, \dots, \bar{Z}^n \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m(n)}.$$

For $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $p(z, \bar{z}) \equiv \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} a_{ij} \bar{z}^i z^j$, we define

$$p(Z, \bar{Z}) := \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} a_{ij} \bar{Z}^i Z^j \in \mathcal{C}_M;$$

thus, for $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we have $M\hat{p} = p(Z, \bar{Z})$ and $\langle p, q \rangle_M = (M\hat{p}, \hat{q}) = (p(Z, \bar{Z}), \hat{q})$. The basic connection between $M(n)(\gamma)$ and any representing measure μ is provided by the identity

$$\int f \bar{g} d\mu = (M(n)\hat{f}, \hat{g}) \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{P}_n);$$

in particular,

$$(M(n)\hat{f}, \hat{f}) = \int |f|^2 d\mu \geq 0,$$

so if γ admits a representing measure, then $M(n) \geq 0$.

We say that $M(n)$ is *recursively generated* if

$$(RG) \quad p, q, pq \in \mathcal{P}_n, \quad p(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0 \implies (pq)(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0.$$

Recall from [6, Proposition 3.1] that if μ is a representing measure for γ , then

$$(1.6) \quad \text{for } p \in \mathcal{P}_n, \quad p(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0 \iff \text{supp } \mu \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(p) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : p(z, \bar{z}) = 0\}.$$

It follows from [6, Corollary 3.5] that

$$(1.7) \quad \text{if } \mu \text{ is a representing measure for } \gamma, \text{ then } \text{card supp } \mu \geq \text{rank } M(n).$$

Motivated by (1.6), the *variety* of γ is defined by [8]

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{V}(\gamma) := \bigcap_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ p(Z, \bar{Z})=0}} \mathcal{Z}(p).$$

$\mathcal{V}(\gamma)$ is a closed (possibly empty) subset of the plane, and (1.6)–(1.7) imply that if μ is a presenting measure for γ , then

$$(1.9) \quad \text{supp } \mu \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\gamma) \text{ and } \text{rank } M(n) \leq \text{card supp } \mu \leq \text{card } \mathcal{V}(\gamma).$$

Let $\rho(\gamma) := \text{card } \mathcal{V}(\gamma) - \text{rank } M(n)(\gamma)$. It follows easily from (1.9) that the condition $\rho(\gamma) < 0$ is an obstruction to the existence of representing measures.

For a positive matrix A , an *extension* of A is a block matrix of the form

$$(1.10) \quad \tilde{A} := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix}.$$

We first recall some elements of the theory of positive extensions of moment matrices.

PROPOSITION 1.1. ([8, Proposition 1.7], [6, Theorem 5.13])

(i) *Suppose $M(n)$ is positive and recursively generated. If $M(n + 1)$ is a flat extension of $M(n)$, then $M(n + 1)$ is positive and recursively generated.*

(ii) *γ has a rank $M(n)$ -atomic representing measure if and only if $M(n) \geq 0$ and $M(n)$ admits a flat extension $M(n + 1)$, i.e., $M(n)$ can be extended to a positive moment matrix $M(n + 1)$ satisfying $\text{rank}M(n + 1) = \text{rank}M(n)$.*

Suppose the analytic columns of $M(n)$, $1, Z, \dots, Z^i, \dots, Z^n$ are dependent. Then there exists a minimal positive integer $r, 1 \leq r \leq n$, such that $Z^r \in \langle 1, \dots, Z^{r-1} \rangle$; thus there exists unique scalars $\phi_j (j = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1)$ such that

$$Z^r = \phi_0 1 + \dots + \phi_{r-1} Z^{r-1}.$$

In this case, γ has a unique representing measure,

$$\mu = \rho_0 \delta_{z_0} + \rho_1 \delta_{z_1} + \dots + \rho_{r-1} \delta_{z_{r-1}},$$

whose support $\{z_0, \dots, z_{r-1}\}$ consists of the r distinct roots of

$$z^r - (\phi_0 + \dots + \phi_{r-1} z^{r-1})$$

and whose densities ρ_j satisfy the Vandermonde equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ z_0 & z_1 & \dots & z_{r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ z_0^{r-1} & z_1^{r-1} & \dots & z_{r-1}^{r-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 \\ \rho_1 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_{r-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{00} \\ \gamma_{01} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{0,r-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is interesting to find a flat extension $M(n + 1)$ of $M(n)$. In order to construct a flat extension $M(n + 1)$ of $M(n)$, one makes use of the following Smul'jan's Theorem.

PROPOSITION 1.2. [12] For $A \geq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\tilde{A} \geq 0$;
- (ii) There exists W such that $AW = B$ and $C \geq W^*AW$.

If $A \geq 0$ and $AW = B$, i.e., $\text{Ran } B \subseteq \text{Ran } A$, there is a unique flat extension of the form (1.10), which we denote by $[A; B]$. For $M(n) \geq 0$, we want to construct a positive flat extension of the form $M(n+1) = [M(n); B(n)]$.

Given $\gamma \equiv \gamma^{(2n)}$, we may define blocks $B_{0,n+1}, \dots, B_{n-1,n+1}$ via the analogue of (1.4), that is, $B_{i,n+1} := (\gamma_{i+t-s, n+1+s-t})_{0 \leq s \leq i; 0 \leq t \leq n+1}$. Now, given a block $B[n, n+1] \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1, n+2}(\mathbb{C})$, let

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} B_{0,n+1} \\ \vdots \\ B_{n-1,n+1} \\ B[n, n+1] \end{pmatrix}$$

and denote the successive columns of B by $Z^{n+1}, \bar{Z}Z^n, \dots, \bar{Z}^{n+1}$, and the successive rows by $1, Z, \bar{Z}, \dots, Z^n, \dots, \bar{Z}^n$. Following [7], we say that $B[n, n+1]$ is *symmetric* if, whenever $i + j = n, k + l = n + 1$, then $B_{(i,j),(k,l)} = B_{(j,i),(l,k)}$; we also say that $B[n, n+1]$ satisfies *normality* if, whenever $i + j = n, k + l = n + 1, j \geq 1$, and $l \geq 1$, then $B_{(i,j),(k,l)} = B_{(i+1,j-1),(k+1,l-1)}$. Recall from [7, (1.11)–(1.13)] that to construct a flat moment matrix extension $M(n+1)$ of $M(n) \geq 0$ it is necessary and sufficient to construct a block $B[n, n+1]$ such that

- $B[n, n+1]$ is symmetric and normal;
- $\text{Ran } B \subseteq \text{Ran } M(n)$, that is, $B = M(n)W$ for some W ;
- $C := W^*M(n)W$ is Toeplitz, i.e., constant on diagonals.

If B is a moment matrix extension block satisfying $\text{Ran } B \subseteq \text{Ran } M(n)$, then $[M(n); B]$ is a moment matrix if and only if the C block is Toeplitz. Let $C := (c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n+1}$ in $[M(n); B(n)]$. In principle, establishing this property entails $(n+1)^2$ test of the form $c_{ij} = c_{i+1, j+1}$, but several factors serve to reduce this number:

- (i) C is self-adjoint, so it suffices to consider the main diagonal and the lower diagonals;
- (ii) C is symmetric block [6, Proposition 2.3], so within each diagonal elements that are symmetric with respect to the diagonal midpoint are equal;
- (iii) Normal bands in the B block propagate to normal bands in the C block.

Conditions (i)–(iii) thus lead to a *reduced C-block test* for normality. From this test, we know that if $M(2) > 0$ (i.e., $M(2)$ is positive and invertible), then $[M(2); B(2)]$ is a flat extension of $M(2)$ if and only if $c_{11} = c_{22}$ and $c_{21} = c_{32}$.

CURTO-FIALKOW’S QUARTIC COMPLEX MOMENT PROBLEM. *If $M(2)(\gamma)$ is the positive moment matrix corresponding to the moment sequence*

$$\gamma : \gamma_{00}, \gamma_{01}, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{02}, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{03}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{21}, \gamma_{30}, \gamma_{04}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{22}, \gamma_{31}, \gamma_{40},$$

does $M(2)(\gamma)$ have a representing measure ?

Related to the singular (i.e., $\det M(2) = 0$) quartic moment problem, Curto and Fialkow showed good results in [8] and [9], but the nonsingular case is still open.

THEOREM 1.3. [8, Theorem 1.10] *Suppose $M(2)(\gamma)$ is positive and recursively generated. Then γ has a rank $M(2)$ -atomic representing measure in each of the following cases:*

- (i) $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is linearly dependent in $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$;
- (ii) $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$, $\bar{Z}Z \in \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z} \rangle$, and the moments γ_{ij} are all real, with the possible exception of γ_{04} ;
- (iii) $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$, $\bar{Z}Z \in \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z} \rangle$, and the reduced C-block test $c_{11} = c_{22}$ passes;
- (iv) $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2, \bar{Z}^2\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$, $\bar{Z}Z \in \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z} \rangle$, and the reduced C-block test $c_{11} = c_{22}$ passes for some choice of γ_{05} .

THEOREM 1.4. [9, Theorem 1.3] *Suppose $M(2) \geq 0$, $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is independent in $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$, and $\bar{Z}Z = A1 + BZ + C\bar{Z} + DZ^2, D \neq 0$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\gamma^{(4)}$ admits a 4-atomic (minimal) representing measure;
- (ii) $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$;
- (iii) there exists $\gamma_{23} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}_{23} = A\gamma_{21} + B\gamma_{22} + C\gamma_{31} + D\gamma_{23}$.

THEOREM 1.5. [9, Theorem 4.1] *Suppose $M(2) \geq 0$, $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2, \bar{Z}Z\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$. Then $\gamma^{(4)}$ admits a 5-atomic (minimal) representing measure if and only if there exists $\gamma_{23} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the C-block of $[M(2); B(3) [\gamma_{23}]]$ satisfies $C_{21} = C_{32}$.*

THEOREM 1.6. [9, Theorem 1.5] *Suppose $M(2) \geq 0$, $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2, \bar{Z}Z\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$. Then $\gamma^{(4)}$ admits a representing measure.*

In Section 2, we obtain some conditions for the positivity of $M(2)$ without any representing measure, which recapture a singular positive

moment matrix $M(2)$ admitting no representing measure and hence having no flat extension $M(3)$ (cf. [9]). In Section 3, by computer algebra (algorithm) independently, we show that if $M(2)$ is positive, $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is independent and $\bar{Z}Z \in \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z} \rangle$, then $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$ (Theorem 3.2); if $M(2)$ is positive, $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2, \bar{Z}^2\}$ is independent and $\bar{Z}Z \in \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2 \rangle$, then $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$ (Theorem 3.4). Finally, in Section 4 we show that if $M(2) > 0$, $M(1) = I, B[1, 2] = 0$ and the set S_t (see Proposition 4.3) is not empty, then $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$ and hence a representing measure (Theorem 4.4).

Some of the calculations in this article were obtained throughout computer experiments using the software tool *Mathematica* ([13]).

2. Moment matrices with no representing measure

We will find a singular moment matrix $M(2)$ admitting no representing measure by illustrating $\rho(\gamma) < 0$ in this section. In other words, we want to find polynomials p and q in \mathcal{P}_2 such that

- (1) $p(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0, q(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0,$
- (2) $\text{card}(\mathcal{Z}(p) \cap \mathcal{Z}(q)) < 4.$

To do so we consider a truncated moment sequence $\gamma_{00} = 1, \gamma_{01} = 0, \gamma_{02} = 0, \gamma_{11} = 1, \gamma_{03} = v, \gamma_{12} = u, \gamma_{04} = h, \gamma_{13} = w, \gamma_{22} = x$. Then the corresponding moment matrix is given by

$$(2.1) \quad M(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & u & \bar{u} & \bar{v} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & v & u & \bar{u} \\ 0 & \bar{u} & \bar{v} & x & \bar{w} & \bar{h} \\ 1 & u & \bar{u} & w & x & \bar{w} \\ 0 & v & u & h & w & x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we first analyze the positivity of $M(2)$ in (2.1).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $M(2)$ be a moment matrix as in (2.1). Then $M(2) \geq 0$ and $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$ if and only if the following hold:*

- (i) $x > |u|^2 + |v|^2,$
- (ii) $x^2 - (1 + 3|u|^2 + |v|^2)x + |u|^4 + |u|^2 + |v|^2 - |w|^2 + |u|^2|v|^2 + 2\text{Re}(u\bar{v}w + u^2\bar{w} - u^3\bar{v}) = 0,$
- (iii) $x^2 - 2(|u|^2 + |v|^2)x + |u|^4 + |v|^4 - |h|^2 - 2|u|^2|v|^2 + 4\text{Re}(uv\bar{h}) = 0.$

Proof. Suppose $M(2) \geq 0$ and $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$. If we let

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & v \\ 0 & \bar{u} & \bar{v} & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad B := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & u & \bar{u} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & v & u \\ 0 & \bar{u} & \bar{v} & x & \bar{w} \\ 1 & u & \bar{u} & w & x \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & u & \bar{v} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & v & \bar{u} \\ 0 & \bar{u} & \bar{v} & x & \bar{h} \\ 0 & v & u & h & x \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\det A > 0$, $\det B = 0$ and $\det C = 0$. Thus the properties (i), (ii) and (iii) are satisfied.

Conversely, suppose the properties (i), (ii), and (iii) are satisfied. Then by (i), $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is linearly independent. Also by (ii), (iii) and Extension Principle ([10]; [6, Proposition 3.9]), $\bar{Z}Z$ and \bar{Z}^2 are contained in the span of $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$. Moreover, the positivity of $M(2)$ immediately follows from the observation that $M(2)$ is a flat extension of the positive matrix A . \square

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $M(2)$ be given as in (2.1). If $M(2)$ satisfies*

- (i) $x > |u|^2 + |v|^2$,
- (ii) $x^2 - (1 + 3|u|^2 + |v|^2)x + |u|^4 + |u|^2|v|^2 - |w|^2 + |u|^2|v|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(u\bar{v}w + u^2\bar{w} - u^3\bar{v}) = 0$,
- (iii) $x^2 - 2(|u|^2 + |v|^2)x + |u|^4 + |v|^4 - |h|^2 - 2|u|^2|v|^2 + 4\operatorname{Re}(uv\bar{h}) = 0$,
- (iv) $(\bar{h} - 2\bar{u}\bar{v})(x - |v|^2 - |u|^2) = (\bar{w} - u\bar{v} - \bar{u}^2)^2$,
- (v) $(x - |v|^2 - |u|^2)^2 \neq (v\bar{h} - x\bar{u} + \bar{u}|u|^2 - \bar{u}|v|^2)(ux - u|u|^2v\bar{u}^2 - v\bar{w})$,

then $M(2)$ is positive but has no representing measure.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, (i), (ii), and (iii) imply $M(2) \geq 0$ and $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$. Thus there is the unique $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4$ in \mathbb{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= k_1 1 + k_2 Z + k_3 \bar{Z} + k_4 Z^2, \\ \bar{Z}^2 &= l_1 1 + l_2 Z + l_3 \bar{Z} + l_4 Z^2. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \\ k_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & v \\ 0 & \bar{u} & \bar{v} & x \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \bar{u} \\ u \\ \bar{w} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{x\bar{u}+u^2\bar{v}-|v|^2\bar{u}-u\bar{w}}{x-|u|^2-|v|^2} \\ \frac{xu-u|u|^2+v\bar{u}^2-v\bar{w}}{x-|u|^2-|v|^2} \\ \frac{-\bar{u}^2-u\bar{v}+\bar{w}}{x-|u|^2-|v|^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \\ l_3 \\ l_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & v \\ 0 & \bar{u} & \bar{v} & x \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{v} \\ \bar{u} \\ \bar{h} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{u\bar{h}-\bar{v}(x+|u|^2-|v|^2)}{x-|u|^2-|v|^2} \\ -\frac{v\bar{h}-\bar{u}(x-|u|^2+|v|^2)}{x-|u|^2-|v|^2} \\ -\frac{2\bar{u}\bar{v}-\bar{h}}{x-|u|^2-|v|^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take

$$(2.2) \quad p(z, \bar{z}) := 1 + k_2z + k_3\bar{z} + k_4z^2 - \bar{z}z$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad q(z, \bar{z}) := l_2z + l_3\bar{z} + l_4z^2 - \bar{z}^2.$$

Then the polynomials p and q in \mathcal{P}_2 satisfy $p(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0$ and $q(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0$. From (2.2) and (2.3) we can obtain $\text{card}(\mathcal{Z}(p) \cap \mathcal{Z}(q)) < 4$ if $l_4 - k_4^2 = 0$ and $1 + k_3l_3 \neq 0$. Note that $l_4 - k_4^2 = 0$ if and only if $(\bar{h} - 2\bar{u}\bar{v})(x - |v|^2 - |u|^2) = (\bar{w} - u\bar{v} - \bar{u}^2)^2$. Also $1 + k_3l_3 \neq 0$ if and only if $(x - |v|^2 - |u|^2)^2 \neq (v\bar{h} - x\bar{u} + \bar{u}|u|^2 - \bar{u}|v|^2)(ux - u|u|^2v\bar{u}^2 - v\bar{w})$. But since $\text{rank } M(2) = 4$ and $\text{card}(\mathcal{Z}(p) \cap \mathcal{Z}(q)) < 4$, it follows $\rho(\gamma) < 0$. This completes the proof. \square

It is not difficult to see that the conditions in Theorem 2.2 are independent each other. The following example illustrates that the condition (v) in Theorem 2.2 is essential for $\text{card}(\mathcal{Z}(p) \cap \mathcal{Z}(q)) < 4$.

We may capture an example that provides a singular quartic moment matrix admitting no representing measure.

EXAMPLE 2.3. If the truncated moment sequence γ of order 4 is given by

$$\gamma : 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1,$$

then we have

- (i) $M(2) \geq 0$,
- (ii) $\text{rank } M(2) = 4$ and thus $M(2)$ satisfies the property (RG),
- (iii) γ has no representing measure.

Proof. Observe

$$M(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(i) This follows from a straightforward calculation.

(ii) Note that $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is linearly independent. Thus evidently, $M(2)$ satisfies the property (RG). The rank assertion follows from a straightforward calculation.

(iii) Note that

$$\bar{Z}Z = 1 - \bar{Z} + Z^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Z}^2 = Z - \bar{Z} + Z^2.$$

Let $p(z, \bar{z}) := 1 - \bar{z} + z^2 - z\bar{z}$ and $q(z, \bar{z}) := z - \bar{z} + z^2 - \bar{z}^2$. Then $p(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0$ and $q(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{Z}(p) \cap \mathcal{Z}(q) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^3 = 1\}.$$

Observe that if μ is a representing measure for γ , then $\text{supp } \mu \subset \mathcal{Z}(p) \cap \mathcal{Z}(q)$ and $\text{card supp } \mu \geq \text{rank } M(n) = 4$. Then we have $\rho(\gamma) < 0$, and that γ has no representing measure. \square

3. Flat extensions of singular quartic moment matrices

In this section we examine the existence of flat extensions for singular quartic moment matrix $M(2)$. More precisely, we consider the following:

PROBLEM 3.1. *Assume $M(2)$ is positive and $\bar{Z}Z \in \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z} \rangle$. Does $M(2)$ admit a flat extension $M(3)$?*

We answer Problem 3.1 affirmatively below. If $\text{rank } M(2) \leq 3$, then $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is linearly dependent in $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$, so that by Theorem 1.3 (i), the answer to Problem 3.1 is affirmative. Thus we will focus on the cases that $4 \leq \text{rank } M(2) \leq 5$. So we may assume that $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is linearly independent in $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$. First, we consider the case that $\text{rank } M(2) = 4$. To do this we introduce some notations. For a positive $N \times N$ matrix A , we denote by $[A]_k$ the compression of A to the first k rows and columns; similarly, the first k entries of a column C will be denoted by $[C]_k$. More generally, if $1 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_k \leq N$ we let $[A]_{\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}}$ denote the compression of A to the rows and columns indexed by $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$.

THEOREM 3.2. *If*

- (i) $M(2)$ is positive,
- (ii) $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2\}$ is a basis for $C_{M(2)}$,
- (iii) $\bar{Z}Z \in \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z} \rangle$,

then $M(2)$ has the unique flat extension $M(3)$.

Proof. (Existence) Assume that $\bar{Z}Z = \alpha 1 + \beta Z + \theta \bar{Z}$. Since $p(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0$ implies $\bar{p}(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0$ ([6, Lemma 3.10]), we have that $\bar{Z}Z = \bar{\alpha} 1 + \bar{\theta} Z + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}$, and hence $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta = \bar{\beta}$. By Theorem 1.3 (iii), it suffices to show that $c_{11} = c_{22}$. Since $[M(2)]_4 > 0$, there exist the unique scalars k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 such that

$$[M(2)]_4 \cdot (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)^T = (\gamma_{03}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{04}, \gamma_{23})^T.$$

Thus we have $c_{11} = (\bar{\gamma}_{03}, \bar{\gamma}_{13}, \bar{\gamma}_{04}, \bar{\gamma}_{23})(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)^T$. But since $\bar{Z}Z = \alpha 1 + \beta Z + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}$, we have $\bar{Z}Z^2 = \alpha Z + \beta Z^2 + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}Z$, so that $c_{22} = \alpha \gamma_{22} + \beta \gamma_{23} + \bar{\beta} \bar{\gamma}_{23}$. Therefore the reduced C -block test consists of verifying that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\alpha \gamma_{22} + \beta \gamma_{23} + \bar{\beta} \bar{\gamma}_{23}) \\ & - (\bar{\gamma}_{03}, \bar{\gamma}_{13}, \bar{\gamma}_{04}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}) [M(2)]_4^{-1} (\gamma_{03}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{04}, \gamma_{23})^T \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From a symbolic manipulation using *Mathematica* (see the algorithm below), we can show that (3.1) is equivalent to

$$(3.2) \quad (\alpha + |\beta|^2) \frac{\det[M(2)]_{\{1,2,3,4,6\}}}{\det[M(2)]_4} = 0,$$

which is true by the fact that $\text{rank } M(2) = 4$.

(Uniqueness) Observe that the relation $\bar{Z}Z = \alpha 1 + \beta Z + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}$ must induce in a proposed flat extension $M(3)$ the relation $\bar{Z}Z^2 = \alpha Z + \beta Z^2 + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}Z$, so the entries γ_{23} and γ_{14} are fully determined; namely,

$$\gamma_{23} = \alpha \gamma_{12} + \beta \gamma_{13} + \bar{\beta} \gamma_{22} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{14} = \alpha \gamma_{03} + \beta \gamma_{04} + \bar{\beta} \gamma_{13}.$$

But since $\gamma_{05} = (\gamma_{02}, \gamma_{03}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{04})(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)^T$, the block B is uniquely determined. Consequently, the flat extension $M(3)$ is unique.

Algorithm for the proof of (3.2):

- I. Put $\gamma_{00} := 1, \gamma_{01} := w, \gamma_{11} := x, \gamma_{02} := u, \gamma_{03} := p, \gamma_{12} := q,$
 $\gamma_{04} := s;$
- II. Calculate

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \left(= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right) := M(1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} \\ \bar{\gamma}_{12} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{pmatrix};$$

III. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{22} &= a\gamma_{11} + b\gamma_{12} + c\bar{\gamma}_{12} \\ d_1(= \gamma_{13}) &= a\gamma_{02} + b\gamma_{03} + c\gamma_{12}, & d_2(= \bar{\gamma}_{13}) &= a\bar{\gamma}_{02} + c\bar{\gamma}_{03} + b\bar{\gamma}_{12}, \\ e_1(= \gamma_{23}) &= a\gamma_{12} + c\gamma_{22} + bd_1, & e_2(= \bar{\gamma}_{23}) &= a\bar{\gamma}_{12} + b\bar{\gamma}_{22} + cd_2; \end{aligned}$$

IV. Put

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= (a\gamma_{22} + bd_1 + cd_2) - (\bar{\gamma}_{03}, e_2, \bar{\gamma}_{04}, d_2)[M(2)]_4^{-1}(\gamma_{03}, e_1, \gamma_{04}, d_1)^T, \\ B &:= (a + bc) \frac{\det [M(2)]_{\{1,2,3,4,6\}}}{\det [M(2)]_4}; \end{aligned}$$

V. Factor $[A - B]$. Then we have $A - B = 0$. □

With a concrete example we will find the flat extension and the representing measure for $M(2)$ in Theorem 3.2.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let $\gamma_{00} = 1, \gamma_{01} = \frac{i}{2}, \gamma_{02} = -\frac{1}{4}, \gamma_{11} = \frac{5}{4}, \gamma_{03} = -\frac{i}{2}, \gamma_{12} = i, \gamma_{04} = \frac{51}{31}, \gamma_{13} = -\frac{25}{32}$, and $\gamma_{22} = \frac{59}{32}$. Then

$$M(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{i}{2} & -\frac{i}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{5}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{i}{2} & \frac{5}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & i & -i & \frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{5}{4} & -\frac{i}{2} & i & -i \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -i & \frac{i}{2} & \frac{59}{32} & -\frac{25}{32} & \frac{51}{32} \\ \frac{5}{4} & i & -i & -\frac{25}{32} & \frac{59}{32} & -\frac{25}{32} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{i}{2} & i & \frac{51}{32} & -\frac{25}{32} & \frac{59}{32} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0,$$

rank $M(2) = 4$ and $\bar{Z}Z = \alpha I + \beta Z + \bar{\beta}\bar{Z}$, where $\alpha = \frac{7}{8}$ and $\beta = -\frac{3i}{8}$. By Theorem 3.2, there exists the unique flat extension $M(3)$ of $M(2)$ with $\gamma_{23} = \frac{119i}{64}, \gamma_{14} = -\frac{85i}{64}$ and $\gamma_{05} = \frac{145i}{64}$. In fact,

$$M(3) = \begin{pmatrix} M(2) & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2} & i & -i & \frac{i}{2} \\ -\frac{25}{32} & \frac{59}{32} & -\frac{25}{32} & \frac{51}{32} \\ \frac{51}{32} & -\frac{25}{32} & \frac{59}{32} & -\frac{25}{32} \\ \frac{119i}{64} & -\frac{119i}{64} & \frac{85i}{64} & -\frac{145i}{64} \\ \frac{64}{85i} & \frac{64}{119i} & -\frac{64}{119i} & \frac{64}{85i} \\ -\frac{64}{145i} & \frac{64}{85i} & -\frac{64}{119i} & \frac{64}{119i} \\ \frac{145i}{64} & -\frac{85i}{64} & \frac{119i}{64} & -\frac{119i}{64} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{385}{128} & -\frac{481}{256} & \frac{351}{128} & -\frac{601}{256} \\ -\frac{481}{256} & \frac{385}{128} & -\frac{481}{256} & \frac{351}{128} \\ \frac{351}{128} & -\frac{481}{256} & \frac{385}{128} & -\frac{481}{256} \\ -\frac{601}{256} & \frac{351}{128} & -\frac{481}{256} & \frac{385}{128} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To obtain the unique representing measure μ , we proceed as follows, remembering [6, Theorem 4.7]. Since $M(3)$ is flat, it follows from [6, Theorem 5.4] that $M(3)$ has a unique flat extension $M(4)$, which is recursively generated by Proposition 1.1 (i). Thus the relation $\bar{Z}Z = \alpha 1 + \beta Z + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}$ implies $\bar{Z}Z^3 = \alpha Z^2 + \beta Z^3 + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}Z^2$, so that $\gamma_{34} = \alpha\gamma_{23} + \beta\gamma_{24} + \bar{\beta}\gamma_{33} = \frac{7085i}{2048}$. Now observe that $[M(3)]_{\text{anal}} := [M(3)]_{\{1,2,4,7\}}$ is positive and invertible: for, if $Z^3 \in \langle 1, Z, Z^2 \rangle$ in $\mathcal{C}_{[M(3)]_{\text{anal}}}$ then $Z^3 \in \langle 1, Z, Z^2 \rangle$ in $\mathcal{C}_{M(3)}$ by Extension Principle [10]. So (1.6) forces that the number of atoms of any representing measure is less than or equals to 3, which is contradict to Theorem 3.2. Therefore $Z^4 \in \langle 1, Z, Z^2, Z^3 \rangle$ in $\mathcal{C}_{M(4)}$ because $\text{rank}M(4) = 4$. Thus this relation gives rise to the polynomial equation

$$(3.3) \quad z^4 - \frac{5i}{4}z^3 - \frac{9}{16}z^2 + \frac{23i}{64}z - \frac{119}{128} = 0,$$

which, by (1.6), is satisfied by every point in the support of μ . Let z_0, z_1, z_2 and z_3 be the roots of the equation in (3.3). Then they are atoms of μ . From [6, Theorem 4.7], we obtain the densities of μ , say ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 and ρ_3 , solving the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 \\ \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 \\ \rho_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \\ z_0^2 & z_1^2 & z_2^2 & z_3^2 \\ z_0^3 & z_1^3 & z_2^3 & z_3^3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{00} \\ \gamma_{01} \\ \gamma_{02} \\ \gamma_{03} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a straightforward calculation, we obtain $z_0 = -1 + \frac{i}{4}, z_1 = 1 + \frac{i}{4}, z_2 = \frac{1}{8}(3 - \sqrt{65})i, z_3 = \frac{1}{8}(3 + \sqrt{65})i$ and $\rho_0 = \rho_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \rho_2 = \frac{\sqrt{65}-3}{4\sqrt{65}}, \rho_3 = \frac{\sqrt{65}+3}{4\sqrt{65}}$; therefore $\mu = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \rho_i \delta_{z_i}$.

We now consider the case that $\text{rank} M(2) = 5$ with a weaker condition than the dependence assumption in Problem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.4. *If*

- (i) $M(2)$ is positive,
- (ii) $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2, \bar{Z}^2\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$, and
- (iii) $\bar{Z}Z \in \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2 \rangle$,

then $M(2)$ has a flat extension $M(3)$.

Proof. We first claim

$$\bar{Z}Z \in \langle 1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2 \rangle \implies \bar{Z}Z = \alpha 1 + \beta Z + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}, \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}).$$

To see this, suppose $\bar{Z}Z = a1 + bZ + c\bar{Z} + dZ^2$. Since $p(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0$ implies $\bar{p}(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0$, it follows that $\bar{Z}Z = \bar{a}1 + \bar{b}\bar{Z} + \bar{c}Z + \bar{d}\bar{Z}^2$. Thus we

have

$$(a - \bar{a})1 + (b - \bar{c})Z + (c - \bar{b})\bar{Z} + dZ^2 - \bar{d}\bar{Z}^2 = 0.$$

Since $\{1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2, \bar{Z}^2\}$ is linearly independent, $a = \bar{a}, b = \bar{c}, d = 0$, which proves our claim. By Theorem 1.3 (iv), $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$ if and only if there exists γ_{05} for which

$$(3.4) \quad (\bar{\gamma}_{03}, \bar{\gamma}_{13}, \bar{\gamma}_{04}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{05})[M(2)]_{\{1,2,3,4,6\}}^{-1}(\gamma_{03,13} \gamma, \gamma_{04}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{05})^T = \alpha\gamma_{22} + \beta\gamma_{23} + \bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}_{23},$$

where

$$\gamma_{23} = \alpha\gamma_{12} + \bar{\beta}\gamma_{22} + \beta\gamma_{13}.$$

Write $M := [M(2)]_{\{1,2,3,4,6\}}$. Then

$$(\det M) \cdot M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & -M_{21} & M_{31} & -M_{41} & M_{51} \\ -M_{12} & M_{22} & -M_{32} & M_{42} & -M_{52} \\ M_{13} & -M_{23} & M_{33} & -M_{43} & M_{53} \\ -M_{14} & M_{24} & -M_{34} & M_{44} & -M_{54} \\ M_{15} & -M_{25} & M_{35} & -M_{45} & M_{55} \end{pmatrix},$$

where M_{ij} denotes the determinant of the cofactor of M with respect to (i, j) . Put $y := \gamma_{05}$. Then (3.4) is equivalent to the equation:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & M_{55}|y|^2 + (\bar{\gamma}_{03}M_{51} - \bar{\gamma}_{13}M_{52} + \bar{\gamma}_{04}M_{53} - \bar{\gamma}_{23}M_{54})y \\ & \quad + (\gamma_{03}M_{15} - \gamma_{13}M_{25} + \gamma_{04}M_{35} - \gamma_{23}M_{45})\bar{y} \\ & = (\det M)(\alpha\gamma_{22} + \beta\gamma_{23} + \bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}_{23}) - (|\gamma_{03}|^2M_{11} + |\gamma_{13}|^2M_{22} \\ & \quad + |\gamma_{04}|^2M_{33} + |\gamma_{23}|^2M_{44}) + 2\text{Re}(\gamma_{03}\bar{\gamma}_{13}M_{12} - \gamma_{03}\bar{\gamma}_{04}M_{13} \\ & \quad + \gamma_{13}\bar{\gamma}_{04}M_{23} + \gamma_{03}\bar{\gamma}_{23}M_{14} - \gamma_{13}\bar{\gamma}_{23}M_{24} + \gamma_{04}\bar{\gamma}_{23}M_{34}). \end{aligned}$$

Since M is a self-adjoint matrix, it follows that $M_{ij} = \bar{M}_{ji}$, so that the equation (3.5) should be of the form $A|y|^2 + By + \bar{B}\bar{y} + C = 0$ ($A > 0$). Therefore if

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{M(2)} & := (\text{the right-hand side of (3.5)}) \\ & \quad + (M_{55})^{-1}(|\gamma_{03}M_{15} - \gamma_{13}M_{25} + \gamma_{04}M_{35} - \gamma_{23}M_{45}|^2) \end{aligned}$$

is positive, then the solution of the equation (3.5) forms a circle. But a direct simplification using *Mathematica* (see the algorithm below) shows that

$$(3.6) \quad \Delta_{M(2)} = (M_{55})^{-1}(\alpha + |\beta|^2)(\det M)^2.$$

It thus suffices to show that $\alpha + |\beta|^2 > 0$. Indeed, in $\mathcal{C}_{M(2)}$, since $\bar{Z}Z = \alpha 1 + \beta Z + \bar{\beta}\bar{Z}$, we have $\gamma_{11} = \alpha\gamma_{00} + \beta\gamma_{01} + \bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}_{01}$, so that $\alpha = (\gamma_{00})^{-1}(\gamma_{11} - \beta\gamma_{01} - \bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}_{01})$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + |\beta|^2 &= (\gamma_{00})^{-1}(\gamma_{11} - \beta\gamma_{01} - \bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}_{01}) + |\beta|^2 \\ &= (\gamma_{00})^{-1}(|\sqrt{\gamma_{00}}\beta - \gamma_{01}/\sqrt{\gamma_{00}}|^2) + \frac{\gamma_{00}\gamma_{11} - |\gamma_{01}|^2}{\gamma_{00}^2} \\ &= (\gamma_{00})^{-1}(|\sqrt{\gamma_{00}}\beta - \gamma_{01}/\sqrt{\gamma_{00}}|^2) + \frac{\det[M(2)]_{\{1,2\}}}{\gamma_{00}^2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm for the proof of (3.6):

- I. Put $\gamma_{00} := 1, \gamma_{01} := w, \gamma_{11} := x, \gamma_{02} := u, \gamma_{03} := p, \gamma_{12} := q,$
 $\gamma_{04} := s;$
- II. Calculate

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix} := M(1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} \\ \bar{\gamma}_{12} \\ \gamma_{12} \end{pmatrix};$$

III. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{22} &= a\gamma_{11} + b\gamma_{12} + c\bar{\gamma}_{12}, \\ d_1(= \gamma_{13}) &= a\gamma_{02} + b\gamma_{03} + c\gamma_{12}, & d_2(= \bar{\gamma}_{13}) &= a\bar{\gamma}_{02} + c\bar{\gamma}_{03} + b\bar{\gamma}_{12}, \\ e_1(= \gamma_{23}) &= a\gamma_{12} + c\gamma_{22} + bd_1, & e_2(= \bar{\gamma}_{23}) &= a\bar{\gamma}_{12} + b\bar{\gamma}_{22} + cd_2; \end{aligned}$$

IV. Define M and $M_{ij}(1 \leq i, j \leq 5)$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.4;

V. Put

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= (\det M)(a\gamma_{22} + be_1 + ce_2) \\ &\quad - (\gamma_{03}\bar{\gamma}_{03}M_{11} + d_1d_2M_{22} + \gamma_{04}\bar{\gamma}_{04}M_{33} + e_1e_2M_{44}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{03}d_2M_{12} - \gamma_{03}\bar{\gamma}_{04}M_{13} + d_1\bar{\gamma}_{04}M_{23} + \gamma_{03}e_2M_{14} \\ &\quad \quad - d_1e_2M_{24} + \gamma_{04}e_2M_{34}) \\ &\quad + (d_1\bar{\gamma}_{03}M_{21} - \gamma_{04}\bar{\gamma}_{03}M_{31} + \gamma_{04}d_2M_{32} + \bar{\gamma}_{03}e_1M_{41} \\ &\quad \quad - d_2e_1M_{42} + \bar{\gamma}_{04}e_1M_{43}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{03}M_{15} - d_1M_{25} + \gamma_{04}M_{35} - e_1M_{45}) \\ &\quad \quad \times (\bar{\gamma}_{03}M_{51} - d_2M_{52} + \bar{\gamma}_{04}M_{53} - e_2M_{54})/M_{55}, \end{aligned}$$

$$B := (a + bc)(\det M)^2/M_{55};$$

VI. Factor $[A - B]$. Then we have $A - B = 0$. □

REMARK 3.5. (i) Let $p(z, \bar{z}) := z\bar{z} - \alpha - \beta z - \bar{\beta}\bar{z}$. Then $z \in \mathcal{Z}(p)$ if and only if $|z - \beta|^2 = \alpha + |\beta|^2$. By the above result, $\alpha + |\beta|^2 > 0$.

Thus the atoms of representing measure for γ are contained in the circle $|z - \bar{\beta}| = \sqrt{\alpha + |\beta|^2}$.

(ii) Note that Theorem 3.4 shows that if $\det M(2)_{\{1,2,3,4,6\}} = 0$ (i.e., $\text{rank } M(2) = 4$), then the circle in the proof of Theorem 3.4 reduces to the point $(\gamma_{03}M_{15} - \gamma_{13}M_{25} + \gamma_{04}M_{35} - \gamma_{23}M_{45})/M_{55}$, and hence γ_{05} is uniquely determined, as we showed in Theorem 3.2.

The following example shows that Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 are still true even though $\beta = 0$ and, in addition, gives a quartic moment matrix whose atoms of the corresponding measure lie on the same circle regardless of rank.

EXAMPLE 3.6. First, we reconstruct a positive moment matrix $M(2)$ with $\text{rank } M(2) = 4$ that has a positive flat extension $M(3)$. Let $\gamma_{00} = 1, \gamma_{01} = 1 - i, \gamma_{02} = -2i, \gamma_{11} = 4, \gamma_{03} = 0, \gamma_{12} = 4 - 4i, \gamma_{04} = 8, \gamma_{13} = -8i$ and $\gamma_{22} = 16$. Then

$$M(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - i & 1 + i & -2i & 4 & 2i \\ 1 + i & 4 & 2i & 4 - 4i & 4 + 4i & 0 \\ 1 - i & -2i & 4 & 0 & 4 - 4i & 4 + 4i \\ 2i & 4 + 4i & 0 & 16 & 8i & 8 \\ 4 & 4 - 4i & 4 + 4i & -8i & 16 & 8i \\ -2i & 0 & 4 - 4i & 8 & -8i & 16 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

and $\text{rank } M(2) = 4$ and $\bar{Z}Z = \alpha 1 + \beta Z + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}$, where $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 0$. By Theorem 3.2, there exists a unique flat extension $M(3)$ of $M(2)$ with $\gamma_{23} = 16 - 16i, \gamma_{14} = 0$ and $\gamma_{05} = 18 - 16i$. In fact,

$$M(3) = \begin{pmatrix} M(2) & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 - 4i & 4 + 4i & 0 \\ -8i & 16 & 8i & 8 \\ 8 & -8i & 16 & 8i \\ 16 - 16i & 16 + 16i & 0 & 16 - 16i \\ 0 & 16 - 16i & 16 + 16i & 0 \\ 16 - 16i & 0 & 16 - 16i & 16 + 16i \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} 64 & 32i & 32 & 64i \\ -32i & 64 & 32i & 32 \\ 32 & -32i & 64 & 32i \\ -64i & 32 & -32i & 64 \end{pmatrix}$$

The same argument as Example 3.3 gives rise to the polynomial equation

$$z^4 + 4iz^2 - 16 = 0.$$

By a straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_0 &\approx -0.517638 + 1.93185i, & \rho_0 &\approx 0.04588, \\ z_1 &\approx 0.517638 - 1.93185i, & \rho_1 &\approx 0.45412, \\ z_2 &\approx -1.93185 + 0.517638i, & \rho_2 &\approx 0.04588, \\ z_3 &\approx 1.93185 - 0.517638i, & \rho_3 &\approx 0.45412. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \rho_i \delta_{z_i}$.

Continuing this process we construct a positive moment matrix $M(2)$ with rank $M(2) = 5$. Let $\gamma_{04} = 4 + 2i$. Then

$$M(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1-i & 1+i & -2i & 4 & 2i \\ 1+i & 4 & 2i & 4-4i & 4+4i & 0 \\ 1-i & -2i & 4 & 0 & 4-4i & 4+4i \\ 2i & 4+4i & 0 & 16 & 8i & 4-2i \\ 4 & 4-4i & 4+4i & -8i & 16 & 8i \\ -2i & 0 & 4-4i & 4+2i & -8i & 16 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

and $\bar{Z}Z = \alpha 1 + \beta Z + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}$, where $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 0$. By Theorem 3.4, there exists a flat extension $M(3)$ of $M(2)$ with $\gamma_{23} = 16 - 16i$ and $\gamma_{14} = 0$. By the relation $\bar{Z}Z^2 = \alpha Z + \beta Z^2 + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}Z$, we also have $\gamma_{33} = 64$ and $\gamma_{24} = -32i$. To make a flat extension $M(3)$ of $M(2)$, we must determine γ_{05} . But from the proof of Theorem 3.4, γ_{05} is contained in the circle

$$(3.7) \quad |\gamma_{05} - (17 - 9i)| = 6.$$

If we choose γ_{05} on the circle (3.7), then the remaining entries of $M(3)$ are fully determined by the choice of γ_{05} . More precisely, if $\gamma_{05} = 17 - 3i$, then $\gamma_{15} = 16 + 8i$ and $\gamma_{06} = 37 - 36i$. Therefore $M(3)$ is of the form

$$M(3) = \begin{pmatrix} M(2) & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4-4i & 4+4i & 0 \\ -8i & 16 & 8i & 4-2i \\ 4+2i & -8i & 16 & 8i \\ 16-16i & 16+16i & 0 & 17+3i \\ 0 & 16-16i & 16+16i & 0 \\ 17-3i & 0 & 16-16i & 16+16i \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} 64 & 32i & 16 - 8i & 37 + 36i \\ -32i & 64 & 32i & 16 - 8i \\ 16 + 8i & -32i & 64 & 32i \\ 37 - 36i & 16 + 8i & -32i & 64 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To get the associated representing measure μ , we proceed as follows, remembering [6, Theorem 4.7]. Since $M(3)$ is flat, [6, Theorem 5.4] gives that $M(3)$ has a unique flat extension $M(4)$, which in turn has a unique flat extension $M(5)$, which is recursively generated by Proposition 1.1 (i). Thus the relation $\bar{Z}Z = \alpha 1 + \beta Z + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}$ implies $\bar{Z}Z^3 = \alpha Z^2 + \beta Z^3 + \bar{\beta} \bar{Z}Z^2$, so that $\gamma_{34} = \alpha\gamma_{23} + \beta\gamma_{24} + \bar{\beta}\gamma_{33} = 64 - 64i$. Now observe that $A = [M(4)]_{\text{anal}} := [M(4)]_{\{1,2,4,7,11\}}$ is positive and invertible: indeed,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - i & -2i & 0 & 4 + 2i \\ 1 + i & 4 & 4 - 4i & -8i & 0 \\ 2i & 4 + 4i & 16 & 16 - 16i & -32i \\ 0 & 8i & 16 + 16i & 64 & 64 - 64i \\ 4 - 2i & 0 & 32i & 64 + 64i & 256 \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive and invertible. Therefore $Z^5 \in \langle 1, Z, Z^2, Z^3, Z^4 \rangle$ in $\mathcal{C}_{M(5)}$ because $\text{rank } M(4) = 5$. Thus this relation gives rise to the polynomial equation

$$z^5 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3i}{2}\right)z^4 + 5iz^3 - 10z^2 - (12 + 4i)z - 32i = 0.$$

By a straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_0 &\approx 0.822876 - 1.82288i, & \rho_0 &\approx 0.44991, \\ z_1 &\approx -1.82288 + 0.822876i, & \rho_1 &\approx 0.03285, \\ z_2 &\approx 0.27601 - 1.87401i, & \rho_2 &\approx 0.07636, \\ z_3 &\approx -1.36214 + 2.48805i, & \rho_3 &\approx 0.04680, \\ z_4 &\approx 1.58613 - 1.11405i, & \rho_4 &\approx 0.39408. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 4} \rho_i \delta_{z_i}$. Both the atoms of rank 4 and the atoms of rank 5 are in the same circle $|z| = 2$.

4. Flat extensions of nonsingular quartic moment matrices

In this section, we consider the existence of flat extensions for nonsingular quartic moment matrices. In Section 1, we have known that if

$M(2) > 0$, then $[M(2); B(2)]$ is a flat extension of $M(2)$ if and only if $c_{11} = c_{22}$ and $c_{21} = c_{32}$. Note that in $B(2)$, we have

$$Z^3 = (\gamma_{03}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{04}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{05})^T \text{ and } \bar{Z}Z^2 = (\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{22}, \gamma_{13}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{14})^T.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11} &= (\bar{\gamma}_{03}, \bar{\gamma}_{13}, \bar{\gamma}_{04}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}, \bar{\gamma}_{05}) M(2)^{-1} Z^3, \\ c_{22} &= (\bar{\gamma}_{12}, \gamma_{22}, \bar{\gamma}_{13}, \gamma_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}) M(2)^{-1} \bar{Z}Z^2, \\ c_{21} &= (\bar{\gamma}_{12}, \gamma_{22}, \bar{\gamma}_{13}, \gamma_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}) M(2)^{-1} Z^3, \\ c_{32} &= (\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{22}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}) M(2)^{-1} \bar{Z}Z^2. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $M(2) > 0$. Then $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$ if and only if there exist $\gamma_{23}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{05} \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\bar{\gamma}_{03}, \bar{\gamma}_{13}, \bar{\gamma}_{04}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}, \bar{\gamma}_{05}) M (\gamma_{03}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{04}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{05})^T \\ &= (\bar{\gamma}_{12}, \gamma_{22}, \bar{\gamma}_{13}, \gamma_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}) M (\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{22}, \gamma_{13}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{14})^T \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\bar{\gamma}_{12}, \gamma_{22}, \bar{\gamma}_{13}, \gamma_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}) M (\gamma_{03}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{04}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{05})^T \\ &= (\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{22}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{23}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}) M (\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{22}, \gamma_{13}, \bar{\gamma}_{23}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{14})^T, \end{aligned}$$

where $M := (\det M(2)) M(2)^{-1}$.

Observe that the above system consists of two equations in $\gamma_{23}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{05}$. Thus we may put $\gamma_{23} = 0$ for the solution of the above system. In fact, M is the adjoint matrix of moment matrix $M(2)$. So, we let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{21} & c_{31} & c_{41} & c_{51} & c_{61} \\ c_{12} & c_{22} & c_{32} & c_{42} & c_{52} & c_{62} \\ c_{13} & c_{23} & c_{33} & c_{43} & c_{53} & c_{63} \\ c_{14} & c_{24} & c_{34} & c_{44} & c_{54} & c_{64} \\ c_{15} & c_{25} & c_{35} & c_{45} & c_{55} & c_{65} \\ c_{16} & c_{26} & c_{36} & c_{46} & c_{56} & c_{66} \end{pmatrix},$$

where c_{ij} is the cofactor of the i -th row j -th column entry of $M(2)$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. It is easy to see that $c_{ij} = \bar{c}_{ji}$. Thus from (4.2), we have

$$(4.3) \quad (c_{66}\bar{\gamma}_{14} + \theta_1)\gamma_{05} = c_{64}\gamma_{14}^2 - |\gamma_{14}|^2c_{56} + \theta_2\gamma_{14} + \theta_3\bar{\gamma}_{14} + \theta_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= \bar{\gamma}_{12}c_{61} + \gamma_{22}c_{62} + \bar{\gamma}_{13}c_{63}, \\ \theta_2 &= \gamma_{12}(c_{14} + c_{61}) + \gamma_{22}(c_{24} + c_{63}) + \gamma_{13}(c_{62} + c_{34}) \\ &\quad - \bar{\gamma}_{12}c_{51} - \gamma_{22}c_{52} - \bar{\gamma}_{13}c_{53}, \\ \theta_3 &= -\gamma_{03}c_{16} - \gamma_{13}c_{26} - \gamma_{04}c_{36}, \\ \theta_4 &= (\gamma_{12}c_{11} + \gamma_{13}c_{12} + \gamma_{22}c_{13})\gamma_{12} + (\gamma_{12}c_{21} + \gamma_{22}c_{23})\gamma_{22} \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{12}c_{31} + \gamma_{13}c_{32} + \gamma_{22}c_{33} - \bar{\gamma}_{12}c_{21} - \bar{\gamma}_{13}c_{23})\gamma_{13} \\ &\quad - (\bar{\gamma}_{12}c_{11} + \gamma_{22}c_{12} + \bar{\gamma}_{13}c_{13})\gamma_{03} - (\bar{\gamma}_{12}c_{31} + \gamma_{22}c_{32} + \bar{\gamma}_{13}c_{33})\gamma_{04}, \end{aligned}$$

and from (4.1) we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{66}|\gamma_{05}|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\nu_1\gamma_{05}) + 2\operatorname{Re}(\gamma_{05}\bar{\gamma}_{14}c_{65}) \\ + (c_{55} - c_{66})|\gamma_{14}|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\nu_2\gamma_{14}) + \nu_3 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1 &= \bar{\gamma}_{03}c_{61} + \bar{\gamma}_{13}c_{62} + \bar{\gamma}_{04}c_{63}, \\ \nu_2 &= \bar{\gamma}_{03}c_{51} + \bar{\gamma}_{13}c_{52} + \bar{\gamma}_{04}c_{53} - \bar{\gamma}_{12}c_{61} - \gamma_{22}c_{62} + \bar{\gamma}_{13}c_{63}, \\ \nu_3 &= (|\gamma_{03}|^2 - |\gamma_{12}|^2)c_{11} + (|\gamma_{13}|^2 - \gamma_{22}^2)c_{22} + (|\gamma_{04}|^2 - |\gamma_{13}|^2)c_{33} \\ &\quad + 2\operatorname{Re}[(\gamma_{13}\bar{\gamma}_{03} - \gamma_{22}\bar{\gamma}_{12})c_{21} + (\gamma_{04}\bar{\gamma}_{03} - \gamma_{13}\bar{\gamma}_{12})c_{31} \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{04}\bar{\gamma}_{13} - \gamma_{22}\gamma_{13})c_{32}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if there exist $\gamma_{14}, \gamma_{05} \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (4.3) and (4.4), then $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$.

In the sequel, we consider the case that $M(1) = I$ and $\gamma_{12} = \gamma_{03} = 0$. Thus $M(2)$ is of the form

$$(4.5) \quad M(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{31} & \gamma_{40} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_{13} & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{31} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_{04} & \gamma_{13} & \gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $M(2)$ be a moment matrix as in (4.5). Then $M(2) > 0$ if and only if*

- (i) $\gamma_{22} > 0$,
- (ii) $\gamma_{22}^2 - \gamma_{22} - |\gamma_{13}|^2 > 0$,
- (iii) $\gamma_{22}^3 - \gamma_{22}^2 - \gamma_{22}(|\gamma_{04}|^2 + 2|\gamma_{13}|^2) + |\gamma_{04}|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\gamma_{04}\gamma_{31}^2) > 0$.

Proof. For the moment matrix $M(2)$ in (4.5), it is positive and invertible if and only if $\det[M(2)]_4 > 0$, $\det[M(2)]_5 > 0$, and $\det M(2) > 0$. \square

From (4.3) we have

$$(4.6) \quad \gamma_{05} = \frac{c_{64}\gamma_{14}^2 - c_{56}|\gamma_{14}|^2 + c_{22}c_{56}}{c_{66}\bar{\gamma}_{14}}.$$

Substituting (4.6) into (4.4) we can obtain

$$(4.7) \quad (|c_{46}|^2 - |c_{56}|^2 + c_{66}(c_{55} - c_{66}))|\gamma_{14}|^4 + 2c_{22}\operatorname{Re}(c_{46}c_{56}\bar{\gamma}_{14}^2) - c_{22}c_{55}c_{66}|\gamma_{14}|^2 + c_{22}^2|c_{56}|^2 = 0.$$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b > 0$. Then the complex equation $|z|^2 - b|z - a| = c$ has a solution if and only if the set*

$$(4.7) \quad S_t := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid c \leq t^2, c - |a|b \leq t^2 - bt \leq |a|b + c, |a|b + c \leq t^2 + bt\}$$

is not empty.

Proof. We know that the equation $|z|^2 - b|z - a| = c$ has a solution if and only if there is an $\omega \geq 0$ such that the following two circles

$$|z| = \sqrt{\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad |z - a| = \frac{\omega - c}{b}$$

intersect. This is equivalent to

$$|\sqrt{\omega} - \frac{\omega - c}{b}| \leq |a| \leq \sqrt{\omega} + \frac{\omega - c}{b}.$$

If we let $t := \sqrt{\omega}$, then we have our conclusion. \square

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $M(2)$ be a positive and nonsingular moment matrix as in (4.5) and let*

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= \frac{c_{22}c_{64}c_{65}}{|c_{64}|^2 - |c_{65}|^2 + c_{66}(c_{55} - c_{66})}, \\ b &:= \frac{c_{22}^2|c_{65}|^2}{|c_{64}|^2 - |c_{65}|^2 + c_{66}(c_{55} - c_{66})}, \\ c &:= \frac{c_{22}^2|c_{65}|(|c_{64}|^2 - 1)}{|c_{64}|^2 - |c_{65}|^2 + c_{66}(c_{55} - c_{66})}. \end{aligned}$$

If the following set S_t as (4.7) is not empty, then $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$.

EXAMPLE 4.5. Assume $\gamma_{00} = 1, \gamma_{01} = 0, \gamma_{02} = 0, \gamma_{11} = 1, \gamma_{03} = 0, \gamma_{12} = 0, \gamma_{04} = 1 + i, \gamma_{13} = 2 + i$ and $\gamma_{22} = 4$. Then

$$M(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 - i & 1 - i \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 + i & 4 & 2 - i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + i & 2 + i & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is positive and invertible. In fact, by Mathematica, the eigenvalues of $M(2)$ are

$$1, \quad 1, \quad 2, \quad 8, \quad \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5}), \quad \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5}).$$

A straightforward calculation gives that $a = -3 - 5i, b = 98$, and $c = -510$. But the set S_t is empty. So, we can't know whether $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$ or not by Theorem 4.4.

EXAMPLE 4.6. Assume $\gamma_{00} = 1, \gamma_{01} = 0, \gamma_{02} = 0, \gamma_{11} = 1, \gamma_{03} = 0, \gamma_{12} = 0, \gamma_{04} = 0, \gamma_{13} = 0$ and $\gamma_{22} = 2$. Then

$$M(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is positive and invertible. In fact, by Mathematica, the eigenvalues of $M(2)$ are

$$1, \quad 1, \quad 2, \quad 2, \quad \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5}), \quad \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5}).$$

Also a straightforward calculation gives that $a = 0, b = 8$ and $c = 0$, so $S_t = \{0, 8\}$. Thus by Theorem 4.4, $M(2)$ admits a flat extension $M(3)$. We now give a flat extension and the corresponding representing measure. First of all, from (4.7), we obtain $|\gamma_{14}|^2 = 8$. We choose $\gamma_{14} = 2 + 2i$. Then the extension $[M(2); B(2)]$ is

$$M(3) := [M(2); B(2)] = \begin{pmatrix} M(2) & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 - 2i & 0 \\ 2 + 2i & 0 & 0 & 2 - 2i \\ 0 & 2 + 2i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 & -8i \\ 0 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 8i & 0 & 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To get the associated representing measure μ , we proceed as follows, remembering [6, Theorem 4.7]. Since $M(3)$ is flat, [6, Theorem 5.4] gives that $M(3)$ has a unique flat extension $M(4)$, which in turn has a unique flat extension $M(5)$, which in turn has a unique flat extension $M(6)$, which is recursively generated by Proposition 1.1 (i). Now observe that $A = [M(5)]_{\text{anal}} := [M(5)]_{\{1,2,4,7,11,16\}}$ is positive and invertible: indeed,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 + 2i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 12 + 12i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 - 2i & 0 & 0 & 40 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 - 12i & 0 & 0 & 208 \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive and invertible. In fact, by Mathematica, the eigenvalues of A are

$$1, 4, \frac{1}{2}(41 - \sqrt{1553}), \frac{1}{2}(41 + \sqrt{1553}), \frac{128}{105 + \sqrt{10897}}, 105 + \sqrt{10897}.$$

Therefore we have that $Z^6 \in \langle 1, Z, Z^2, Z^3, Z^4, Z^5 \rangle$ in $\mathcal{C}_{M(6)}$ because $\text{rank } A = 6$. Thus this relation gives rise to the polynomial equation

$$z^6 - (8 + 8i)z^3 - 8i = 0.$$

By a straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 z_0 \approx 0.618034 - 0.618034i, & \rho_0 \approx 0.315738, \\
 z_1 \approx -1.61803 - 1.61803i, & \rho_1 \approx 0.0175955, \\
 z_2 \approx -0.84425 - 0.226216i, & \rho_2 \approx 0.315738, \\
 z_3 \approx 2.21028 + 0.592242i, & \rho_3 \approx 0.0175955, \\
 z_4 \approx -0.592242 - 2.21028i, & \rho_4 \approx 0.0175955, \\
 z_5 \approx 0.226216 + 0.84425i, & \rho_5 \approx 0.315738.
 \end{array}$$

Therefore $\mu = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 5} \rho_i \delta_{z_i}$.

References

- [1] R. Curto, *Joint hyponormality: A bridge between hyponormality and subnormality*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **51** (1990), Part II, 69–91.
- [2] ———, *An operator theoretic approach to truncated moment problems*, Linear Operators Banach Center Publications **38** (1997), 75–104.
- [3] R. Curto and L. Fialkow, *Recursively generated weighted shifts and the subnormal completion problem, I*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **17** (1993), 202–246.
- [4] ———, *Recursively generated weighted shifts and the subnormal completion problem, II*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **18** (1994), 369–426.
- [5] ———, *Recursiveness, positivity, and truncated moment problems*, Houston J. Math. **17** (1991), 603–635.
- [6] ———, *Solution of the truncated complex moment problems for flat data*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **568** (1996), 603–635.
- [7] ———, *Flat extensions of positive moment matrices: Relations in analytic or conjugate terms*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **104** (1998), 59–82.
- [8] ———, *Flat extensions of positive moment matrices: Recursively generated relations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (1998).
- [9] ———, *Solution of the singular quartic moment problem*, J. Operator Theory **48** (2002), 315–354.
- [10] L. Fialkow, *Positivity, extensions and the truncated complex moment problem*, Contemp. Math. **185**, Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1995), 133–150.
- [11] J. Shohat and J. Tamarkin, *The problem of moments*, Math. Surveys Monogr. 1943.
- [12] J. Smul'jan, *An operator Hellinger integral (Russian)*, Mat. Sb. **91** (1959), 381–430.
- [13] Wolfram Research, Inc. *Mathematica, Version 5.0*, Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign, IL, 2003.

Chunji Li
Institute of System Science
College of Sciences
Northeastern University
Shenyang Liaoning 110004, P.R. China
E-mail: chunjili@hanmail.net

Sang Hoon Lee
Department of Mathematics
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA 52242, U.S.A
E-mail: shlee@math.skku.ac.kr