# Differences in Productivity among Wheat, Barley and Rye for Forage

## **Byung-Sun Kwon**

College of Agriculture and Life Science, Sunchon Nat'l Univ. Sunchon 540-742, Korea.

### **ABSTRACT**

TO select the most suitable crop and variety of forage for productivity at the southern part of Korea, The crops of wheat, barley and rye were grown from Oct. 1999 to June 2000. Paldanghomil variety of rye crop was shown to have the highest productivity in comparison to other varieties of crops used in this experiment.

It showed relatively high plant height, number of tiller, forage yield and dry matter yield. There fore, it was concluded that Paldanghomil of rye crop was the most suitable crop with high yield in the southern part of Korea. The heritabilities of all characters were estimated to be high.

Key words: Forage crop, heritability, productivity.

#### INTRODUCTION

Wheat, barley and rye has been the important forage and grain crops. High forage, grain and early maturing are the major consideration in korea. However its importance as a staple food is diminished recently due to increase of rice production and import of other cereals, from foreign countries (Youn *et al.* 1991).

There was no research of the forage yield (productivity) and agronomic characteristics in wheat, barley and rye has been done of southern part of korea. This experiment is to investigate the yield and yield components of wheat, barley and rye.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted from Mar. 1999 to

Aug. 2000 at Sunchon National University, six varieties of three crops listed in table 1 were used in this trial.

The complete randomized block design with variety as treatment was used and treatment was randomized in each of the three blocks. Each experimental unit was  $12.5\,\text{m}^3\ (2.5\,\text{m}\times5\,\text{m})$ .  $15\,\text{kg}/10a$  seeds were sown at upland soil with  $120\,\text{cm}$  ridge width and  $90\,\text{cm}$  seeding width on 0ct. 20.Fertilizer was applied at the rate of 12- 10-8 kg/10a of N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O. One third of the total N, total P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O and manur of  $1\,\text{MT}/10a$  were incorporated into the soil before sowing and the rest of N fertilizer was applied in early-Mar.

Ten plants were randomly sampled from each plot at flowering stage, and plant length, number of leaves were measured. To determine yield, all the plants in 1 m² from each plant were harvested by cutting at about 3cm above soil level. After determining fresh yield, plant

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Byung Sun Kwon, E-mail: kbs@ sunchon.ac.kr

Table 1. Heading, plant height, number of tiller per  $m^2$  and ratio of leaf in dry weight to total dry weight(Lw/Tw  $\times$  100) of six varieties in crops

	X7	Heading	Plant	No, of	$Lw/Tw \times 100$	clipping
Crops	Variety	date	height(cm)	tiller(m²)	(0/0)	(May 10)
Wheat	Urimil	May 14	114	431	31	
	Geurumil	May 16	111	420	36	
Barley	Olbori	May 10	98	358	23	
	Gangbori	May 12	96	347	28	
Rye	Paldanghomil	May 2	128	487	12	
	Chilbohomil	May 4	125	465	13	

Table 2. Genotypic variances(6<sup>2</sup>G), environmental variances(6<sup>2</sup>E), heritabilities(h<sup>2</sup>) and analysis of variance in observed characters

	$6^2$ G	6²E	h²	Variance	
Character				Variety	Error
Heading date	3.42	0.27	90.44	5.74**	0.442
Plant height(cm)	18.83	0.45	93.13	66.21**	0.735
No. of tiller (m')	74.27	0.79	91.75	79.79**	0.824
Lw/Tw $\times$ 100 (%)	12.11	0.41	90.42	30.11**	0.696

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significance at 1%.

Table 3. Forage yield of the varieties in wheat, barley and rye

	Wheat		Barley		Rye	
Yield	Var.	. Var.	Var.	Var.	Var.	Var.
	Urimil	Geurumil	olbori	Gangbori	Paldanghomil	Chilbohomil
Forage yield	2.104	1.934	1.745	1.626	2.325	2.217
Dry matter yield	521	516	437	415	564	547

Table 4. Genotypic variances(62G), environmental variances(6E), heritabilities(h2), and analysis of variance in yields

			1.3	Variance	
Yield	6²G	62E	$h^2$	Variety	Error
Forage	4781.07	837.25	68.81	12286.870**	831.58
Dry matter	427.36	24.69	93.34	1124.224**	24.695

materials of about 600g were sampled and weights were measured after drying for 30 min at  $105\,^{\circ}$ C, then for 72 hour at  $70\,^{\circ}$ C in a forced-air oven. Analyses of variance for the characters were used to calculate genetic and environmental variance components (Grafius *et al.*, 1952; Robinson *et al.*, 1949, 1951).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comparisons of the agronomic characters among crops and varieties. Mean values of the measured characters are presented in table 1 and the results from analyses of variance for the characters are in table 2.

As shown in table 1 and 2, plant height ranged from 96 to 128cm, heading and harvesting date from May 2 to May 16, number of tiller per plant from 347 to 487  $Lw/Tw \times 100(\%)$  from 12 to 36%.

All the characters showed large variations, and their varietal differences in mean value were significant at the 1% level Table2. Paldanghomil, the superior variety of forge rye showed relatively higher values for all the characters with 128 cm in plant in plant height 487 in number of tillers, 12 % in Lw/Tw×100(%). Compared with the inferior variety, Chilbohomil, Paldanghomil showed higher values of 3 cm in plant height and 22 number of tillers.

The results indicate that varieties show different adaptabilities to a particular environment and Paldanghomil seems to be the most suitable variety for Autumn sowing at the southern area of Korea. Heritabilities in a broad sense for several agronomic characters are listed in table 2. Since the genotypic variance observed characters were generally greater than the environmental variance components, values of heritability in all the characters were estimated to be high. Valuees of variance components were large variations. Since all the characters showed high values of heritability, selection efficiency to bred such genotypes would be high.

Genetic and environment1 variances and heritability analyses of variance of all the characters were used to estimate genetic and environmental variance and the results are presented in table 2.

Genetic variance components were much larger in magnitude than environmental variance components and hence th values of heritability were generally high in most of the variables. The values of heritability is all the varieties were high from 90.44 to 93.13 percent. The results indicate that selection efficiency would generally be high in breeding genotypes with high nutrient quality.

#### LITERATURE CITED

Ha, Y.W., J.J.hwang, B.R.Sung, S.Y.Lee, C.S.Park,
J.H.Park, N.H.Song and Y.S.Kim. 1989. A new high
forage yielding rye variety "Chilbohomil. RDA
Journal of Agricultural Science. 31(2): 56-60

Ha, Y.W., S.Y.Lee,H.S.Song, H.Y.Heu, J.H.Nam and B.R.Sung. 1992. New early maturing, semidwarf, lodging resistant, high yielding and good quality soft wheat variety "Urimil". RDA Journal of Agicultural Science. 34(2): 1-4.

Hwang, J.J., B.R.Sung, K.B.Youn, W.S.Ahn, J.H.Lee, K.Y.Chung and Y.S.Kim, 1985. Forage and TDN yield of several winter crops at different clipping date. Korean J.Crop sci 30(3): 301-309.

Kim,C.H. and J.C. Chae. 1991. Effects of seeding rate on forage yield and feed value of rye in paddy field.Korean J. Crop sci. 36(6): 513-520

Kim, C.H. and J.C. Chae. 1994. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer application level and cutting time on forage yield and feed value of rye in paddy field. Korean J.Crop Sci. 39(4): 373-381.

Kwon B, S,2004 Effects of fertilizer Levels on dry matter yield and nutrient quality of forage rye. Korean J.Plant Res. 7(3): 181-186.

Kwon B.S. 2004. Influence of plant density on growth,

- yield and nutritional quality of forage rye. Korean J.Plant Res. 7(3): 170-173.
- Kwon B.S. 2004. Varietal variation of productivity and nutriental quality of forage rye in spring sowing. SNU J. of Natural Sci. vol.23:
- Kwon B.S. and D.Y.Shin. 2004. Influence of sowing and harvest date on yield and nutritional quality of forage rye. Korean J. Plant Res. 7(3): 206-210.
- Kwon B.S. and K.H.Hyun. 2004. Effects of topping methods on root yield and major agronomic characteristics in *Alisma plantago*. Korean J. Plant Res. 7(3): 211-215.
- Lee. H.J, J.I. Yun, K.H. Lee and K.B.Lim. 1983. Canopy structure and light interception as related to forage growth and dry matter production in pure and mixture stands. Korean J.Crop Sci. 28(2): 272-279.

- Martin J.H., W.H.Leonard and D.L.Stamp. 1976. Principles of field crop production of Macmillan publishing Co.Inc. pp 430-503.
- Yoon, Y.H., I.M.Song, K.H.Kwon, Y.K.Seong, S.Y.Kim and J.Y.Lee. 1988. Studies on the year variation of agronomic character, yield and yield stability for leading wheat and barley varieties. in Chungnam region of Kores. RDA Journal of Agricultural Science. 30(2): 31-38.
- Youn, K.B., C.W.Lee, Y.H. Chang, S.S. Lee and Y.K.Park. 1991. Forage yield and quality of whole crop barley, wheat and triticale. Korean J.Crop Sci. 36(6): 496-500.

(Received Jul. 10, 2004) (Accepted Sep. 28, 2004)