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#### Abstract

$\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CPh}-4-\mathrm{SMe}\right)_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 7 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathbf{1})$, a new single-molecule magnet complex has been successfully synthesized by substitution of acetate ligand of Mn12ac with 4-(methylthio)benzoic acid. Complex $\mathbf{1}$ crystallizes into triclinic $\mathrm{P} \overline{1}$ with $a=18.321(3) \AA, b=19.011(3) \AA, c=27.230(4) \AA, \alpha=86.973(3)^{\circ}, \beta=$ $76.919(3)^{\circ}, \gamma=87.613(3)^{\circ}$, and $Z=2$. In complex 1, one Mn(III) ion has an abnormal Jahn-Teller elongation axis oriented at an oxide ion. Complex 1 has two out-of-phase ac susceptibility peaks in the $2-4 \mathrm{~K}$ and $4-7 \mathrm{~K}$ regions. Effective anisotropy energy barrier and pre-exponential factor are $U_{\text {eff }}=45.95 \mathrm{~K}, 1 / \tau_{0}=8.6 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}$ " peaks in the lower temperature region and $U_{\text {eff }}=59.45 \mathrm{~K}, 1 / \tau_{0}=2.2 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}$ " peaks in the higher temperature region. The parameters of $S=10, g=1.87, D=-0.40 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $E=0.00034 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were obtained from the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{N} \mu_{\mathrm{B}} v s . \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T}$ plot of complex $\mathbf{1}$.
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## Introduction

There has been growing research interest in the field of single-molecule magnets ( SMMs ) after the discovery of $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad\left(\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{Ac}\right)$ which functions as a SMM below its blocking temperature. ${ }^{1,2}$ SMM, as a prototype of nano-scale magnets, displays an intramolecular magnetic hysteresis loop; each independent molecule possesses the ability to function as a magnetizable magnet, owing to intrinsic intramolecular properties rather than intermolecular interactions and longrange ordering. In addition to a hysteresis behavior due to slow magnetization relaxation, $\mathrm{Mn}_{12}$ complexes exhibit steps on hysteresis loops due to resonant quantum tunneling. ${ }^{3}$ Therefore, SMMs are regarded as the ultimate highdensity memory devices ${ }^{4}$ and quantum-computing application devices ${ }^{5,6}$ in the future.

On the other hand, recent research reports showed that molecular clusters possessing quantized magnetic properties may provide powerful new systems for single-molecule electronics. ${ }^{7,8}$ For such applications, it is essential to prepare properly oriented molecular films of SMMs onto a substrate. The first attempt to grow well-organized multilayer films of Mn12 SMM has been reported by using Langmuir-Blodgett (LB) technique. ${ }^{9}$ Close packed lamellar structures with the Mn12 clusters organized in well-defined monolayers were obtained by that method. The second approach has been made by casting nanocomposite film consisting of polycarbonate and Mn12 complexes onto a glass surface. ${ }^{10}$ However, there are limitations in those techniques, i.e. lack of stability of LB films due to incompatible surface energy and aggregations of clusters in nanocomposite method. Therefore, we designed a new Mn12 complex with functional groups in peripheral ligands to afford a molecular
monolayer on metal surface. In particular, it is well known that sulfur-containing organic molecules are easily ordered in metal surface such as gold due to strong affinity of S toward Au. Herein we report synthesis, structure and magnetic properties of new Mn12-derivative that all acetate are fully substituted with 4-(methylthio)benzoic acid.

## Experimental Section

All preparations and manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions; all chemicals and solvents were used as received. $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was prepared as reported. ${ }^{11}$
$\left[\mathbf{M n}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathbf{C P h}-\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{SMe}\right)_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot \mathbf{7 C H}_{2} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (1). To a slurry of $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(206$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 4 -(methylthio) benzoic acid ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred for 4 h at room temperature to give dark blown solution. After filtration, the solution was concentrated to remove acetic acid under vacuum. The resulting solid was dissolved in toluene and concentrated to remove residual acetic acid as the toluene azeotrope. This process repeated several times. The resulting dark brown powder was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and layered with pentane. Slow diffusion of the layers yielded dark brown crystals of $\mathbf{1}$. Selected IR data ( KBr , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3434 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{b}$ ), 2919 (w), 1593 ( s$), 1536$ (m), 1492 (m), 1408 (s), 1186 (m), 1090 (m), 769 (m), 692 (m), 658 (m), 618 (m), 551 (m).
X-ray Structure Determinations. A single crystal was coated with Paratone-N oil, attached to glass fibers, transferred to on a Bruker AXS SMART diffractometer equipped with a CCD detector in a nitrogen cold stream maintained at 150 K . More than a hemisphere of data was collected on each crystal over three batches of exposure using $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha$

Table 1. Details of the Crystallographic Data Collection for 1

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{135} \mathrm{H}_{134} \mathrm{Cl}_{14} \mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{48} \mathrm{~S}_{16}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chemical formula weight | 4192.96 |
| Temperature | 150 K |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} \overline{1}$ |
| Cryst size, mm | $0.40 \times 0.35 \times 0.20$ |
| $a, \AA$ | $18.321(3)$ |
| $b, \AA$ | $19.011(3)$ |
| $c, \AA$ | $27.230(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{a},{ }^{\mathrm{o}}$ | $86.973(3)$ |
| $b,{ }^{\circ}$ | $76.919(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{g},{ }^{\circ}$ | $87.613(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$ | $9221(2)$ |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }}, \mathrm{g}$ cm ${ }^{-3}$ | 1.510 |
| $\mu$, mm |  |
| $\lambda($ Mo K $\alpha), \AA$ | 1.246 |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 0.71073 |
| Index ranges | 1.35 to $28.14^{\circ}$ |
| Reflection collected | $-23 \leq h \leq 23,-25 \leq k \leq 25,-35 \leq l \leq 35$ |
| Independent reflections | 79605 |
| Refinement method | $40866[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.1179]$ |
| Data $/$ restraints $/$ parameters | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | $40866 / 0 / 1860$ |
| Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | 0.971 |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | $R_{1}=0.1067, w R_{2}=0.2685$ |

radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$. A fourth set of data was measured and compared to the initial set to monitor and correct for decay, which was negligible in all cases. Data processing was then performed using the program SAINT. ${ }^{12}$ The structure of the compound was solved by the direct method and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method on all $F^{2}$ data using SHELX 97. ${ }^{13}$ The anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms but some disordered solvent molecules were included in the refinements. The phenyl rings of ligands were refined with a regular hexagon model. All hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon atoms were included in calculated positions. The C-H bond distances were fixed and the $U$ values were assigned based approximately on the U value of the attached atom. The crystal and refinement data are summarized in Table 1.
Physical Measurement. Direct current (dc) and alternating current (ac) magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL magnetometer equipped with a 5 T magnet and operating in the range of $1.8-300 \mathrm{~K}$. The ac susceptibility data were measured in the frequency range of $20-1500 \mathrm{~Hz}$ in the ac field strength of 3.0 G. Pascal's constants ${ }^{14}$ were used to estimate the diamagnetic corrections for the complex. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained in 4000 to $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range by Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 1000 spectrometer. The sample was ground with dry KBr and pressed into a transparent disk.

## Results and Discussion

Synthesis. The ligand substitution reaction of $\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{Ac}$ with 4-(methylthio)benzoic acid has been done by a
modified azeotropic distillation method. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{Ac}$ was treated with stoichiometric amount of 4-(methylthio)benzoic acid in dichloromethane. The solubility of $\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{Ac}$ is very poor in dichloromethane but as reacted with 4-(methylthio)benzoic acid the solution color changed to dark, indicating a formation of complex $\mathbf{1}$ which is good soluble in dichloromethane. To complete ligand substitution, toluene was added to the reaction mixture and evaporated to remove acetic acid as the toluene azeotrope, and the process repeated. Previously, most ligand substitution reactions have been done under the condition of large excess amount of RCOOH. However, by adapting of azeotropic distillation method, only stoichiometric amount of 4-(methylthio)benzoic acid is enough for complete ligand exchage reaction.

X-ray Structure: Complex 1 crystallizes in the space group P-1. An ORTEP diagram of $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CPh}-4-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{SMe})_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$ is shown in Figure 1. Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 2 and 3, respectively. The overall structural features of complex $\mathbf{1}$ are quite similar to the other $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\right]$ complexes: ${ }^{11,15}$ The inner core $\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{IV}}{ }_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{8+}$ cubane unit is held within a non-planar ring of eight $\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {III }}$ atoms by eight $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ions. The total of sixteen 4-(methylthio)benzoates bridge the outer-ring Mn atoms to the both the inner core and the other outer-ring Mn atoms. The four water molecules are located on the two Mn atoms to complete octahedral coordination; one $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ each on the $\mathrm{Mn}(6)$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(8)$ atoms and two $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on the $\mathrm{Mn}(12)$ atom.

The $\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds of $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\right]$ complexes are tetragonally elongated due to a Jahn-Teller distortion. An elongation axis is usually parallel to the molecular $C_{2}$ axis in order to avoid the strong $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{O}$ (oxo) bonds. ${ }^{11,15}$ However, two equatorial bonds of $\mathrm{Mn} 10-\mathrm{O} 11$ [2.130(6) $\AA$ ] and $\mathrm{Mn} 10-\mathrm{O} 22$


Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of complex 1. 4-(methylthio)phenyl groups are omitted for clarity. Unusual Jahn-Teller elongation axis is emphasized with a different line drawing.

Table 2. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) of $\mathbf{1}$

| A | B | distance | A | B | distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mn1 | O1 | 1.933(6) | Mn1 | O3 | 1.941(7) |
| Mn1 | O4 | 1.883(6) | Mn1 | O5 | 1.864(7) |
| Mn1 | O6 | 1.870(6) | Mn1 | O29 | 1.921(7) |
| Mn2 | O2 | 1.922(6) | Mn2 | O3 | 1.885(6) |
| Mn2 | O4 | 1.887(6) | Mn2 | O7 | 1.872(6) |
| Mn2 | O8 | 1.879(7) | Mn2 | O31 | 1.916(7) |
| Mn3 | O1 | 1.907(7) | Mn3 | O2 | $1.903(6)$ |
| Mn3 | O3 | 1.911(6) | Mn3 | O9 | 1.868(7) |
| Mn3 | O10 | 1.869(6) | Mn3 | O33 | $1.915(7)$ |
| Mn4 | O1 | 1.901(6) | Mn4 | O2 | $1.918(6)$ |
| Mn4 | O4 | 1.976(6) | Mn4 | O11 | 1.823(6) |
| Mn4 | O12 | 1.868(6) | Mn4 | O35 | 1.932(6) |
| Mn5 | O5 | $1.908(6)$ | Mn5 | O6 | 1.880(7) |
| Mn5 | O13 | 1.950(7) | Mn5 | O30 | 2.230(7) |
| Mn5 | O28 | 1.920(7) | Mn5 | O37 | $2.198(7)$ |
| Mn6 | O6 | 1.887(6) | Mn6 | 07 | 1.881(7) |
| Mn6 | O14 | 1.935(8) | Mn6 | O15 | 1.965(8) |
| Mn6 | 038 | $2.109(8)$ | Mn6 | O47 | 2.174 (9) |
| Mn7 | O7 | 1.907(7) | Mn7 | O8 | 1.878(6) |
| Mn7 | O16 | $1.915(7)$ | Mn7 | O17 | $1.935(7)$ |
| Mn7 | O32 | $2.219(7)$ | Mn7 | O39 | 2.193 (7) |
| Mn8 | O8 | 1.870(6) | Mn8 | O9 | 1.900(6) |
| Mn8 | O18 | 1.974(7) | Mn8 | O19 | 1.922(7) |
| Mn8 | O40 | 2.089(8) | Mn8 | O48 | $2.280(8)$ |
| Mn9 | O9 | 1.908(6) | Mn9 | O10 | 1.866(7) |
| Mn9 | O20 | 1.950(8) | Mn9 | O21 | $1.912(6)$ |
| Mn9 | O34 | $2.223(8)$ | Mn9 | O41 | $2.231(8)$ |
| Mn10 | O10 | 1.882(6) | Mn10 | O11 | 2.130(6) |
| Mn10 | O 22 | 2.176 (7) | Mn10 | O 23 | 1.961(7) |
| Mn10 | O42 | 1.936(8) | Mn10 | O43 | 1.952(8) |
| Mn11 | O11 | 1.858(7) | Mn11 | O12 | 1.893(6) |
| Mn11 | O24 | $1.936(6)$ | Mn11 | O25 | 1.950(7) |
| Mn11 | O36 | 2.194(7) | Mn11 | O44 | 2.218(7) |
| Mn12 | O5 | 1.889(7) | Mn12 | O12 | 1.864(6) |
| Mn12 | O26 | 1.929(7) | Mn12 | O27 | 1.961(8) |
| Mn12 | O45 | $2.202(8)$ | Mn12 | O46 | $2.205(7)$ |

[2.176(7) $\AA$ ] are longer than the other equatorial and axial bonds as shown in Figure 1, i.e. one Jahn-Teller axis is abnormally perpendicular to molecular $C_{2}$ axis. This phenomenon of Jahn-Teller isomerism has been recently defined for $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{Me}\right)_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{Me} .{ }^{16,17}$ The axial $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}($ carboxylate $)$ bonds $[1.936(8)$ and $1.952(8) \AA$ ] and one equatorial $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}$ (carboxylate) bond [1.961(7) $\AA$ ] at $\mathrm{Mn}(10)$ are normal lengths. The equatorial $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}$ (oxo) bond [1.882(6) $\AA$ ] is quite shorter than the elongated Mn-O(oxo) bond [2.130(6) $\AA$ ].

Magnetic Properties: Out-of-phase ac magnetic susceptibility ( $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) measurements were carried out in the region of 2 to 10 K at different frequencies in 3.0 Oe ac field. As shown in Figure 2, complex 1 shows two frequency-dependent $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ peaks in the regions of $2-4 \mathrm{~K}$ and $4-7 \mathrm{~K}$. Most $\mathrm{Mn}_{12}$ complexes have predominantly $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ peak in the $4-7 \mathrm{~K}$

Table 3. Selected bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1}$

| A | B | C | angle | A | B | C | angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O5 | Mn1 | O6 | 84.1(3) | O5 | Mn1 | O4 | 87.9(3) |
| O6 | Mn1 | O4 | 92.5(3) | O5 | Mn1 | O29 | 91.0(3) |
| O6 | Mn1 | O29 | 93.5(3) | O4 | Mn1 | O29 | 173.8(3) |
| O5 | Mn1 | O1 | 101.7(3) | O6 | Mn | O1 | 173.6(3) |
| O4 | Mn1 | O1 | 85.1(3) | O29 | Mn1 | O1 | 89.1(3) |
| O5 | Mn1 | O3 | 170.7(3) | O6 | Mn1 | O3 | 95.0(3) |
| O4 | Mn1 | O3 | 82.8(3) | O29 | Mn1 | O3 | 98.3(3) |
| O1 | Mn1 | O3 | 78.9(3) | 07 | Mn | O8 | 83.7(3) |
| O7 | Mn2 | O3 | 88.8(3) | O8 | Mn 2 | O3 | 92.2(3) |
| O7 | Mn2 | O4 | 98.5(3) | O8 | Mn2 | O4 | 175.7(3) |
| O3 | Mn2 | O4 | 84.2(3) | O7 | Mn2 | O31 | 95.1(3) |
| O8 | Mn2 | O31 | 89.9(3) | O3 | Mn 2 | O31 | 175.8(3) |
| O4 | Mn2 | O31 | 93.5(3) | O7 | Mn2 | O 2 | 172.5(3) |
| O8 | Mn2 | O 2 | 96.2(3) | O3 | Mn 2 | O 2 | 83.8(3) |
| O4 | Mn2 | O 2 | 81.1(3) | O31 | Mn2 | O 2 | 92.3(3) |
| O9 | Mn3 | O10 | 83.6(3) | O9 | Mn3 | O 2 | 89.0(3) |
| O10 | Mn3 | O2 | 95.8(3) | O9 | Mn3 | O1 | 171.7(3) |
| O10 | Mn3 | O1 | 96.2(3) | O2 | Mn3 | O1 | 82.8(3) |
| O9 | Mn3 | O3 | 99.9(3) | O10 | Mn3 | O3 | 176.5(3) |
| O2 | Mn3 | O3 | 83.6(3) | O1 | Mn3 | O3 | 80.3(3) |
| O9 | Mn3 | O33 | 93.2(3) | O10 | Mn3 | O33 | $92.2(3)$ |
| O2 | Mn3 | O33 | 171.9(3) | O1 | Mn | O33 | 95.1(3) |
| O3 | Mn3 | O33 | 88.3(3) | 011 | Mn4 | O12 | 85.0(3) |
| O11 | Mn4 | O1 | 91.6(3) | O12 | Mn4 | O1 | 89.3(3) |
| O11 | Mn4 | O2 | 97.3(3) | O12 | Mn4 | O2 | 171.6(3) |
| O1 | Mn4 | O2 | 82.6(3) | O11 | Mn | O35 | 95.4(3) |
| O12 | Mn4 | O35 | 90.5(3) | O1 | Mn | O35 | 172.9(3) |
| O 2 | Mn4 | O35 | 97.4(3) | O11 | Mn4 | O4 | 174.2(3) |
| O12 | Mn4 | O4 | 98.1(3) | O1 | Mn4 | O4 | 83.5(2) |
| O2 | Mn4 | O4 | 79.0(3) | O35 | Mn4 | O4 | 89.6(3) |
| O6 | Mn5 | O5 | 82.6(3) | O6 | Mn5 | O28 | 177.1(3) |
| O5 | Mn5 | O28 | 95.7(3) | O6 | Mn5 | O13 | 96.7(3) |
| O5 | Mn5 | O13 | 176.9(3) | O28 | Mn5 | O13 | 84.8(3) |
| O6 | Mn5 | O37 | 94.4(3) | O5 | Mn5 | 037 | 91.4(3) |
| O28 | Mn5 | O37 | 88.0(3) | O13 | Mn5 | O37 | 91.6(3) |
| O6 | Mn5 | O30 | 88.1(3) | O5 | Mn5 | O30 | 82.7(3) |
| O28 | Mn5 | O30 | 89.4(3) | O13 | Mn5 | O30 | 94.2(3) |
| 037 | Mn5 | O30 | 173.3(3) | O7 | Mn6 | O6 | 94.9(3) |
| O7 | Mn6 | O14 | 172.9(3) | O6 | Mn6 | O14 | 91.1(3) |
| O7 | Mn6 | O15 | 91.0(3) | O6 | Mn6 | O15 | 173.4(3) |
| O14 | Mn6 | O15 | 83.2(4) | O7 | Mn6 | O38 | 93.9(3) |
| O6 | Mn6 | O38 | 93.0(3) | 014 | Mn6 | O38 | 89.7(4) |
| O15 | Mn6 | O38 | 83.6(4) | O7 | Mn6 | O47 | 93.2(3) |
| O6 | Mn6 | O47 | 92.8(3) | O14 | Mn6 | O47 | 82.6(4) |
| O15 | Mn6 | O47 | 89.7(4) | O38 | Mn6 | O47 | 170.4(4) |
| O8 | Mn7 | O7 | 82.9(3) | O8 | Mn7 | O16 | 175.8(3) |
| O7 | Mn7 | O16 | 95.3(3) | O8 | Mn7 | O17 | 96.2(3) |
| O7 | Mn7 | O17 | 173.6(3) | O16 | Mn7 | O17 | 85.2(3) |
| O8 | Mn7 | O39 | 92.3(3) | O7 | Mn7 | O39 | 97.2(3) |
| O16 | Mn7 | O39 | 91.7(3) | 017 | Mn7 | O39 | 89.2(3) |
| O8 | Mn7 | O32 | 86.7(3) | O7 | Mn7 | O32 | 83.7(3) |
| O16 | Mn7 | O32 | 89.3(3) | O17 | Mn7 | O32 | 89.9(3) |
| O39 | Mn7 | O32 | 178.5(3) | O8 | Mn8 | O9 | 94.4(3) |
| O8 | Mn8 | O19 | 171.1(3) | O9 | Mn8 | O19 | 92.9(3) |

Table 3. Continued

| A | B | C | angle | A | B | C | angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O8 | Mn8 | O18 | 90.6(3) | O9 | Mn8 | O18 | 173.3(3) |
| O19 | Mn8 | O18 | 81.8(3) | O8 | Mn8 | O40 | 94.9(3) |
| O9 | Mn8 | O40 | 94.4(3) | O19 | Mn8 | O40 | 89.6 (3) |
| 018 | Mn8 | O40 | 89.7(3) | O8 | Mn8 | O48 | 83.9(3) |
| O9 | Mn8 | O48 | 90.1(3) | O19 | Mn8 | O48 | 91.0(3) |
| 018 | Mn8 | O48 | 85.9(3) | O40 | Mn8 | O48 | 175.4(3) |
| 10 | Mn9 | O9 | 82.5(3) | O10 | Mn9 | O21 | 98.4(3) |
| O9 | Mn9 | O21 | 174.5(3) | O10 | Mn9 | O20 | 175.1(3) |
| O9 | Mn9 | O20 | 96.6(3) | O21 | Mn9 | O20 | 82.9(3) |
| 10 | Mn9 | O34 | 87.5(3) | O9 | Mn9 | O34 | 84.7(3) |
| 21 | Mn9 | O34 | 89.9(3) | O20 | Mn9 | O34 | 97.2(3) |
| 10 | Mn9 | O41 | 88.0(3) | O9 | Mn9 | O41 | 93.1(3) |
| 21 | Mn9 | O41 | 92.4(3) | O20 | Mn9 | O41 | 87.3(3) |
| 34 | Mn9 | O41 | 175.2(3) | O10 | Mn10 | O42 | 93.9(3) |
| 10 | Mn10 | O43 | 90.2(3) | O42 | Mn10 | O43 | 175.4(3) |
| 10 | Mn10 | O 23 | 175.5(3) | O42 | Mn10 | O23 | 86.1(3) |
| 3 | Mn10 | O 23 | 89.7(3) | O10 | Mn10 | O11 | 91.7(3) |
| 42 | Mn10 | O11 | 85.0(3) | O43 | Mn10 | O11 | 96.9(3) |
| 23 | Mn10 | O11 | 92.8(3) | O10 | Mn10 | O 22 | 92.1(3) |
| O42 | Mn10 | O22 | 91.2(3) | O43 | Mn10 | O 22 | 86.5(3) |
| 23 | Mn10 | O22 | 83.4(3) | O11 | Mn10 | O22 | 174.9(3) |
| 1 | Mn11 | O 12 | 83.3(3) | O11 | Mn11 | O24 | 94.8(3) |
| 12 | Mn11 | O 24 | 175.5(3) | 011 | Mn11 | O25 | 177.7(3) |
| O12 | Mn11 | O25 | 95.0(3) | O24 | Mn11 | O25 | 87.0(3) |
| 11 | Mn11 | O36 | 87.3(3) | O12 | Mn11 | 036 | 86.4(3) |
| 24 | Mn11 | O36 | 89.5(3) | O25 | Mn11 | 036 | 94.1(3) |
| 11 | Mn11 | O44 | 93.0(3) | O12 | Mn11 | O44 | 93.0(3) |
| 24 | Mn11 | O44 | 91.2(3) | O25 | Mn11 | O44 | 85.5(3) |
| 36 | Mn11 | O44 | 179.2(3) | O12 | Mn12 | O5 | 93.5(3) |
| O12 | Mn12 | O26 | 90.5(3) | O5 | Mn12 | O26 | 174.9(3) |
| 012 | Mn12 | O 27 | 171.8(3) | O5 | Mn12 | O 27 | 94.3(3) |
| 026 | Mn12 | O 27 | 81.9(3) | O12 | Mn12 | O45 | 87.5(3) |
| O5 | Mn12 | O45 | 92.5(3) | O26 | Mn12 | O45 | 90.8(3) |
| O27 | Mn12 | O45 | 89.5(4) | O12 | Mn12 | O46 | 89.7(3) |
| O5 | Mn12 | O46 | 88.8(3) | O26 | Mn12 | O46 | 88.0(3) |
| O27 | Mn12 | O46 | 93.2(3) | O45 | Mn12 | O46 | 177.0(3) |
| Mn2 | O3 | Mn3 | 95.8(3) | Mn2 | O3 | Mn1 | 94.8(3) |
| Mn3 | O3 | Mn1 | 100.2(3) | Mn4 | O1 | Mn3 | 96.7(3) |
| n4 | O1 | Mn1 | 94.8(3) | Mn3 | O1 | Mn1 | 100.6(3) |
| Mn1 | O4 | Mn2 | 96.7(3) | Mn1 | O4 | Mn4 | 94.0(2) |
| Mn2 | O4 | Mn4 | 99.5(3) | Mn3 | O2 | Mn4 | 96.3(3) |
| Mn3 | O 2 | Mn2 | 94.9(3) | Mn4 | O2 | Mn2 | 100.4(3) |
| Mn1 | O5 | Mn12 | 132.7(4) | Mn1 | O5 | Mn5 | 95.6(3) |
| Mn12 | O5 | Mn5 | 128.2(4) | Mn1 | O6 | Mn5 | 96.4(3) |
| Mn1 | O6 | Mn6 | 132.7(4) | Mn5 | O6 | Mn6 | 123.1(3) |
| Mn2 | O7 | Mn6 | 132.8(4) | Mn2 | O7 | Mn7 | 95.6(3) |
| Mn6 | O7 | Mn7 | 126.6(3) | Mn8 | O8 | Mn7 | 125.1(4) |
| Mn8 | O8 | Mn2 | 133.2(3) | Mn7 | O8 | Mn2 | 96.4(3) |
| Mn3 | O9 | Mn8 | 132.6(3) | Mn3 | O9 | Mn9 | 95.4(3) |
| Mn8 | O9 | Mn9 | 128.8(4) | Mn9 | O10 | Mn3 | 96.8(3) |
| Mn9 | O10 | Mn10 | 125.1(4) | Mn3 | O10 | Mn10 | 133.7(4) |
| Mn4 | O11 | Mn11 | 96.4(3) | Mn4 | O11 | Mn10 | 131.6(3) |
| Mn11 | O11 | Mn10 | 117.9(3) | Mn12 | O 12 | Mn4 | 132.9(3) |
| Mn12 | O 12 | Mn11 | 132.5(3) | Mn4 | O 12 | Mn11 | 93.7(3) |



Figure 2. Comparison of out-of phase ac susceptibility signals of complex $\mathbf{1}$ (top) and completely dried sample of $\mathbf{1}$ (bottom).
region. Recent investigations have demonstrated that JahnTeller isomerism is a crucial source of a faster magnetization relaxation (i.e. $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ peak in the low temperature region). ${ }^{16,17}$ A potential-energy barrier between spin-up and spin-down orientations of the magnetic moment of an individual $\mathrm{Mn}_{12}$ complex is decreased with decreasing of magnetic anisotropy due to a Jahn-Teller elongation perpendicular to $C_{2}$ axis. The complex $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{Me}\right)_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$. $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{Me}$ has an equatorial Jahn-Teller elongated axis and predominantly a peak in the region of 2-4 K. ${ }^{18}$ However, complex 1 has peaks in both lower and higher temperature regions with $2: 1$ intensity ratio. Almost the same $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ vs T plot was observed in the complex $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{Cl}\right)_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 8 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2) which does not show Jahn-Teller isomerism. ${ }^{17}$ Another example showing the same ac behavior is $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{16}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(3)$ which also does not show any evidence of Jahn-Teller isomerism. ${ }^{19}$ G. Christou et al. insisted that Jahn-Teller isomerism is believed to be the origin of the faster magnetization relaxation of some $\mathrm{Mn}_{12}$ complexes. ${ }^{17}$ But the evidences observed in complexes $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ suggest that Jahn-Teller isomerism is not the only origin of the faster magnetization relaxation behaviors.

Magnetization relaxation rates ( $1 / \tau$ ) and effective anisotropy energy barriers were calculated from the theoretical equation given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (1 / \tau)=-U_{\mathrm{eff}} / k T+\ln \left(1 / \tau_{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. Plot of $M / N_{i B}$ vs $H / T$ for complex 1 at the indicated applied fields. The solid lines are fits of the data.
where $U_{\text {eff }}$ is the effective anisotropy energy barrier, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ is the temperature. Magnetic relaxation times $(\tau)$ are obtained from the relationship $\omega \tau=$ 1 at the maxima of the $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\prime \prime} v s$. T plots. Peak maxima are accurately determined by fitting the peaks to a Lorentzian function. A least-squares fit of ac susceptibility relaxation data to equation 1 gives $U_{\text {eff-low }}=45.95 \mathrm{~K}, 1 / \tau_{0}=8.6 \times 10^{9}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for the faster relaxation and $U_{\text {eff-high }}=59.45 \mathrm{~K}, 1 / \tau_{0}=2.2$ $\times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the slower relaxation. The $U_{\text {eff }}$ value for slower
relaxation is slightly smaller than the normal range $60-70 \mathrm{~K}$ observed previously for several $\mathrm{Mn}_{12}$ complexes. ${ }^{3}$

Solvate molecules in crystal lattice of $\mathrm{Mn}_{12}$ complexes seem to play an important role in relaxation process. It is interesting to note that the $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ peak height in the higher temperature region is decreased whereas the peak height in the lower temperature range is increased as complex 1 loses solvate molecules. This behavior can be interpreted in a way that transverse anisotropy is increased because losing solvate molecules from crystal lattice causes increasing in structural disorder of $\mathrm{Mn}_{12}$ molecules in crystal lattice. The sample of complex 1 dried overnight under vacuum exhibits predominantly an out-of-phase susceptibility peak in the lower temperature region as shown in Figure 2 (bottom).

Reduce magnetization measurement (Figure 3) of dried sample of $\mathbf{1}$ was done in the range of 2-5 T in the $2.0-6.0 \mathrm{~K}$ region and data were fitted using the program ANISOFIT ${ }^{20}$ to give $S=10, g=1.87, D=-0.40 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $E=0.00034$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, where $D$ is the axial zero field splitting (ZFC) parameter and $E$ is the rhombic ZFC parameter. The $D$ value is comparable with the value obtained from the equation 1 for the faster relaxation.

Hysteresis loops for complex 1 (left) and dried sample of 1 (right) at 2 K are shown in Figure 4 (top). The dried samples shows smaller coersive field. Small plateaus for both complexes are observed in hysteresis loops because of quantum tunneling of magnetization (QTM). To clarify the positions of QTM plots of $\mathrm{dM} / \mathrm{dH} v s$. H are drawn in Figure 4 (bottom). QTM is occurred at a field of each peak.


Figure 4. Comparison of hysteresis loops of complex 1 and dried sample of complex 1 at 2 K .

## Conclusion

A new single molecule magnet $\mathrm{Mn}_{12}$ complex containing sulfur atom in its peripheral ligands was successfully synthesized by ligand substitution reaction. Complex 1 shows Jahn-Teller isomerism in molecular structure and two out-of-phase ac susceptibility peaks in both 2-4 K and 4-7 K regions. The dried sample of $\mathbf{1}$ has predominantly peaks in lower temperature range. These facts indicate that the faster magnetization relaxation is not caused only by Jahn-Teller isomerism but by several conditions such as structure disorder due to losing solvate molecules including JahnTeller isomerism.
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