# Molecular Engineering. Part 10. Solution Behavior of Nanosize Coordination Molecular Capsules 
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Container molecules have been assembled through covalent bonds as well as non-covalent bond interactions. The former examples mostly developed by Cram's group are cavitand, ${ }^{1}$ hemicarcerand, ${ }^{2}$ and carcerand, ${ }^{3}$ which are classified by the energy-barrier for the entering or emitting of guest through the shell of container molecule. The latters are self-assembled molecular capsules (SMC) held together by hydrogen bond or metal-ligand interaction. ${ }^{4}$

The SMCs by metal-ligand interaction were constructed by ordered association of organic ligands and metal ions in convergent manner. ${ }^{5,6}$ Recently we reported the efficient synthesis of deep-cavity tetracyanocavitand $\mathbf{1}$ by drastically reducing its synthetic steps. ${ }^{7}$ Here we report the unusual solution behaviors of SMC 3a-d, having a nanosize cavity utilizing cyano-Pt interaction.

Square planar cis-Pt bis(triflate) complexes 2a-d were prepared by the reaction of the corresponding $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ complex with AgOTf. ${ }^{6 b, 8}$ SMCs 3a-d were assembled by mixing
2

$+4$

2a: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$
2b: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$
2c: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$
2d : $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Ph}$


Scheme 1. Synthes is of SMCs 3a-3d.


Figure 1. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $([1]=3 \mathrm{mM}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$, ad in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, e-f in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{2} \mathrm{CDCl}_{2}$ ): (a) $\mathbf{1}$, (b) $[\mathbf{1}]:[\mathbf{2 a}]=1: 1$, (c) $\mathbf{3 a}$ ([1]: [2a] = 1:2), (d) [1]: [2c] = 1:2, (e) $[\mathbf{1}]:[\mathbf{2 c}]=1: 1$, (f) 3c ([1] : $[\mathbf{2 c}]=1: 2$ ). Symbols $\left(^{*}\right)$ designate the proton of residual $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
cavitand 1 with 2a-d in a $1: 2$ molar ratio at room temperature in a nonpolar solvent such as $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, or tetrachloroethane.

Figure 1 shows the partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra comparing the changes of chemical shifts of dioxymethylene (doublets at 5.22 and 4.17 ppm ) and of methine (triplet at 4.82 ppm ) hydrogens of tetracyanocavitand 1 or those of SMC 3 upon metal-ligand interaction. As reported, when mixing $1: 1$ of $\mathbf{1}$ and $2 \mathbf{a}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, these proton peaks of free cavitand 1 and SMC 3a (doublets at 4.98 and 4.30 ppm , triplet at 4.70 ppm ) appeared separately in a $1: 1$ ratio (Figure 1b). When the ratio of $\mathbf{1}: \mathbf{2 a}$ reached $1: 2$, the peaks of free cavitand $\mathbf{1}$ disappeared completely and only those of SMC 3a remained, as expected (Figure 1c) ${ }^{7}$.

The formation process of SMC 3c was observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{2} \mathrm{CDCl}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For a 1:1 mixture of cavitand $\mathbf{1}$ and metal complex $\mathbf{2 c}$, the two peaks of dioxymethylene protons for free $\mathbf{1}$ were separated into three sets of peaks in a $1: 1: 1$ ratio at $5.13,4.06 \mathrm{ppm}$ for free $\mathbf{1}$, $5.08,4.29 \mathrm{ppm}$ for SMC 3c, and 4.94, 4.18 ppm for the unknown compound (Figure 1e). At 363 K , these peaks were coalesced and then reappeared when cooled to 298 K. But


Scheme 2
for 1:2 mixture of cavitand $\mathbf{1}$ and metal complex $\mathbf{2 c}$, only the peaks for SMC 3c appeared (Figure 1f).

The structure of unknown compound was assigned to the across-bridged SMC 4 on the bases of symmetry of $\mathbf{4}$ and the $1: 1: 1$ equilibrium stoichiometry (Figure 1e). The detection of SMC 4 implies that the energy barrier for the equilibrium between $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$ is not substantial. But it is quite probable that SMC 4 was formed first, and then transformed to the thermodynamic product SMC 3c.
The solvent effects on the formation of SMC are summarized in Table 1. The solvent effects on the formation of SMC 3a and SMC 3b are similar. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $1: 2$ mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ shows oligomeric product (Figure 1d). When it was taken in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{2} \mathrm{CDCl}_{2}$, only SMC product was observed (Figure 1f). Overall, the solvent effect for the formation of SMC 3c decreases in the order: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{2} \mathrm{CDCl}_{2}>\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \geq \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. In

Table 1. Influence of metal complex 2a-d and solvents on the formation of Self-Assembled Molecular Capsule (SMC) 3 at 298 K

| SMC | Solvent | $\mathbf{1 : 2}=1: 1$ | $\mathbf{1 : 2}=1: 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a (1+2a) | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1+SMC 3a | SMC 3a |
| 3a $(1+2 \mathbf{a})$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $1+$ SMC 3a | SMC 3a |
| $3 \mathrm{a}(1+2 \mathrm{a})$ | TCE | $1+\mathrm{SMC} \mathrm{3a}$ | SMC 3a |
| $3 \mathrm{~b}(1+2 \mathrm{~b})$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $1+\mathrm{SMC} \mathrm{3b}$ | SMC 3b |
| $3 \mathrm{~b}(1+2 b)$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $1+\mathrm{SMC} \mathrm{3b}$ | SMC 3b |
| $3 \mathrm{~b}(1+2 \mathrm{~b})$ | TCE | $1+\mathrm{SMC} \mathrm{3b}$ | SMC 3b |
| $3 \mathrm{c}(1+2 \mathrm{c})$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | O | O |
| $3 \mathrm{c}(1+2 \mathrm{c})$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | O | O |
| $3 \mathrm{c}(1+2 \mathrm{c})$ | TCE | $\mathbf{1 + 4}+\mathrm{SMC} \mathrm{3c}$ | SMC 3c |
| 3d (1+2d) | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | O | O |
| 3d (1+2d) | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | O | O |
| 3d (1+2d) | TCE | O | SMC 3d + O |

$\mathrm{TCE}=\mathrm{CDCl}_{2} \mathrm{CDCl}_{2}, \mathrm{SMC}=$ Self-Assembled Molecular Capsule, $\mathrm{O}=$ Oligomer.


Figure 2. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of SMC 3a, 3c, and 3d in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{2} \mathrm{CDCl}_{2}$ at various temperatures. Symbols designate the proton of (s) SMC 3a, 3c and 3d, (o) oligomer.
case of a 1:2 mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2d, SMC 3d was not observed in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. However, in a $1: 2$ mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 d}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{2} \mathrm{CDCl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{SMC} 3 d$ was observed together with oligomers. It is presumable that the larger nonpolar solvent, especially tetrachloroethane, could template and stabilize SMC 3 better.

The influence of the chelate ring size of $\mathbf{2 a - 2 d}$ is also summarized in Table 1. $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ prefers the square-planar coordination sphere. Table 1 shows that the thermodynamic stability of SMC 3 depends on the chelate size on Pt and decreases in the order: $\mathbf{3 a} \sim \mathbf{3 b}>\mathbf{3 c} \gg \mathbf{3 d}$, which is similar to the results of Dalcanale et al. ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ In the case of SMC 3d, its additional structural flexibility on metal part might also cause its low stability.

The relative thermodynamic stabilities of SMC 3a, 3c, and 3d were also observed by VT ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiment. As Figure 2 shows, the peaks of the inner hydrogen of dioxymethylene were split into two peaks at 343 K , and then coalesced into a broad singlet at 363 K . The ratios of SMC $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 c}$ and 3d, and the corresponding oligomers at 343 K , are about $80: 20,70: 30$, and $25: 75$, respectively, which reconfirms the relative stability order: $\mathbf{3 a}>\mathbf{3} \mathbf{c} \gg \mathbf{3 d}$.

In conclusion, the solution behaviors of self-assembled molecular capsules (SMC) $\mathbf{3}$ by Pt-cyano interaction were characterized in nonpolar solvents. The stability of nano-size SMC 3 depends on the polarity and size of solvent, temperature, as well as, the chelate on Pt complex.

## Experimental Section

Solvents and all commercially available reagents were used without any purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Mattson 3000 spectrometer.

SMC 3a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta=7.92-$ 7.17 (m, 120H, $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}, \mathrm{CNArH}$ and $\mathrm{Ar} H$ ), 4.98 (d, $8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2$ Hz , outer $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.70(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, methine), 4.30 (d, $8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, inner $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.87 (bd, $16 \mathrm{H}, J=19.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ ), 2.32 (bs, $\left.16 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH} 2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.47-1.33$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 80 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 24 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
SMC 3b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta=7.77-$ 6.98 (m, 120H, $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}, \mathrm{CNArH}$ and $\mathrm{Ar} H$ ), 5.07 (d, $8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2$ Hz , outer $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, methine), 4.22 (d, $8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, inner $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.02 (bs, 8 H , $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ ), $2.32\left(\mathrm{t}, 16 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right.$ ), $1.46-1.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 80 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, 24 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $282.4 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta=$ $-0.4\left(24 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$.
SMC 3c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{2} \mathrm{CDCl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta=$ 7.64-6.93 (m, 120H, $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}, \mathrm{CNArH}$ and ArH ), 5.07 (bd, 8H, outer $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.68 (bt, 8 H , methine), 4.30 (bd, 8 H , inner $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $2.70\left(\mathrm{bs}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right.$ ), $2.15(\mathrm{bm}$, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ ), 1.45-1.30 (m, $\left.80 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
SMC 3d: A clear NMR spectrum was not obtained due to mixed oligomeric sepcies.
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