

조직 유형과 지식 경영시스템 성공도 관계에 대한 연구

정 덕 훈* · 박 희 준** · 안 승 호***

Assessment of the Knowledge Management Technology Implementation as a Function of Organizational Culture Orientations

Duke H. Jeong* · Hee J. Park** · Seoung ho Ahn***

Abstract

The purpose of this research is to explore the possible relationship between the successful implementation of knowledge management technology and specific organizational culture orientations. Data used to test hypothesis derived for this research were obtained from 227 responders from the Organizational Culture Profile (OCP) survey instruments and 67 responders from the Knowledge Management Technology Profile (KMTP) survey instruments representing 26 separate organizations. The OCP provides a profile of an organization's culture orientations while the KMTP provides a profile of the organization's degree of the KM technology implementation success. The results of this research suggests that employees of organization which are more successful in implementing KM technology have identified organizational cultures that embody a healthy mixture of both production-oriented and people-oriented attributes indicative of the culture orientation.

Keywords : Organizational Culture Orientation, Knowledge Management Success, OCP, KMTP

논문접수일 : 2004년 6월 31일

논문게재확정일 : 2004년 8월 14일

※ 본 논문은 동국대학교 연구비로 지원 되었음.

* 동국대학교 교수

** 연세대학교 교수

*** 숭실대학교 교수

1. Introduction

An extensive review of recent articles and journals about KM implementation reveals that one of the main barriers to implementation of KM technology is the absence of an organizational culture that promotes knowledge sharing [KPMG Consulting, 2000]. The result from a recent survey conducted by the Knowledge Management Review demonstrates the main challenges KM practitioners faced when launching their KM initiative.

There is a agreement that organizational culture supporting knowledge sharing must be present or nurtured in order to succeed with a KM initiative. However, few academic researches have been conducted defining organizational culture orientations that support more effective utilization of KM technologies and knowledge sharing. The purpose of this research is to define these organizational culture orientations. Once defined, one can measure them within organizational cultures and focus a cultural change initiative on these values. The purpose of this paper is not to describe how to implement this cultural change but one needs to be aware that the development of the social infrastructure which supports knowledge sharing is a much stickier and more contingent affair whereas the acquisition of technology is a relatively speedy process [Bruss, 1999]. Chances of success are different based on the culture types.

2. Research Attributes and Finding measurements

Organizational Culture Profile (OCP) devel-

oped by Harper [Harper, 2000] was used for this research to obtain a global perception of the culture of an organization. The OCP contains 44 attribute statements as shown in <Table 1> that can generically capture individual and organizational attributes. The OCP uses the 8-category 44-item Q-sort scale with distribution {3-5-7-7-7-5-3}, which meets the general Q-sort distribution decisions based on symmetry of distribution, the number

<Table 1> 44 Attributes of the OCP

Production Oriented Attributes	People Oriented Attributes
Adaptability	Being calm
Attention to detail	Being different from others
Autonomy	Being easy going
Being aggressive	Being thoughtful
Being carefulness	Confronting conflict directly
Being competitive	Decisiveness
Being exact	Demanding of employees
Being innovative	Developing friends at work
Being result oriented	Enthusiasm for the job
Compliance	Fairness
Experimentation	Fitting in at work
Flexibility	Having a good reputation
High expectations for performance	Low level of conflict encouraged
Informality	Praise for good performance
Predictability	Respect for the individual's right
Problem solving	Security of employment
Risk taking	Socially responsible
Rule orientation	Supportiveness of employees
Sharing information freely	Team oriented work
Stability	Tolerance of failure
Taking advantage of opportunity	Trust
Taking initiative	Working closely with others


of judgment categories, and the essential shape of the symmetrical distribution.

In order to define organizational culture orientations, Harper [2000] mapped a total of 44 OCP attribute statements against the “Managerial Grid” organization characteristics sets developed by Blake and Mouton [1978].

Harper viewed the Managerial Grid as a set of cultural orientations for distinct organization types. The original use of the Managerial Grid is to analyze interactions between significant variables of management-production and people as consultant to understand a basic conflict in a top management group. The two dimensions of the Managerial Grid include *Concern for production and Concern for the People*. In each case, the term “concern for” is not addressing so much with the degree to which employees’ needs being considered, but rather the degree organization’s management. What is significant is how management concerns itself about production and people and how they interact. The Managerial Grid, depicted in <Figure 1>, shows these two concerns and a range of possible interactions between them. The horizontal axis indicates a concern for production while the vertical axis indicates concern for people.

Each is expressed as nine-point scale of concern, with the number 9 representing maximum concerns. The process of mapping the 44 OCP attribute statements done by Harper (2000) revealed around the sets of organization characteristics, identified by Blake and Mouton [1978], which exhibit each of major management orientations such as [1, 1], [1, 9], [5, 5], [9,

1], and [9, 9].



<Figure 1> Managerial Grid

3. Research Questions and Hypotheses

In determining the relationships between organizational culture and a successful implementation of knowledge management technology, two hypotheses will be developed and tested.

Hypothesis :

- H₀ : There is no positive correlation between *the successful implementation of knowledge management technology* and organization with *culture type* of [9, 9].
- H₁ : There is a positive correlation between *the successful implementation of know-*

ledge management technology and organization with *culture type* of [9, 9].

4. Research Methodology

For this research, the Knowledge Management Technology Profile (KMTP) was developed to assess the success of knowledge management technology implementation and effective knowledge sharing by modifying the Information Technology Investment Performance (ITIP) survey instrument developed by National Research Council [National Research Council, 1994]. The ITIP was developed to assess and understand patterns of behavior that could help explain why some organizations were, or were not, realizing greater payoffs from the investment in information technology [National Research Council, 1994]. Methods of determining success on knowledge management technology implementation were researched and it was decided to use a modification to the ITIP survey instrument.

To gauge the momentum of the KM movement, International Data Corp. and Knowledge Management Magazine undertook an extensive electronic survey of U.S. user organizations and individuals familiar with KM [Dyer, 2000]. The results of the study demonstrating the most important reasons for adapting KM and the most common challenges to implementing KM were used in modification to ITIP. Once individual KMTP surveys were grouped by organization, overall KMTP score was determined by averaging the responses to each of the nine questions (from the question 1 to

9 in KMTP survey instrument) and summing the average of each question. This gives each organization a single KMTP score, indicating its success in implementation of KM technology.

As mentioned in section 2., the Organizational Culture Profile (OCP), the survey instrument developed by Harper, was used in investigating person-culture fit. The OCP uses the 8-category 44-item Q-sort scale with distribution {3-5-7-7-7-7-5-3}, which meets the general Q-sort distribution decisions based on symmetry of distribution, the number of judgment categories, and the essential shape of the symmetrical distribution.

For the purpose of this research, reliability is not a leading concern because of the changing nature of both organizational culture and the way knowledge management technology is utilized across an organization. This research presents only a snapshot of the organization under study and the employees' feeling and perceptions about organizational culture and the implementation of knowledge management technology. An organization is a dynamic entity ; conditions surrounding the operation of the business are constantly changing and thus the results from a reliable test instrument would be expected to vary in reflection of those changing conditions [Anastasi, 1950].

The questionnaire Organizational Culture Profile (OCP) and the Information Technology Investment Performance (ITIP), slightly modified for this research, have been validated by many researchers in their previous researches.

The content validity of the KMTP was eval-

uated by 2 faculty members and 21 doctoral students in the Department of Engineering Management and Systems Engineering at the George Washington University. The KMTP utilized in this research was screened identifying items that were redundant, irrelevant, or difficult to understand. A similar check was made with 3 faculty members and 27 undergraduate students in the Department of Information Management and Management Scientist Marymount University.

The concurrent validity of the KMTP survey instrument was evaluated only for two of nine questions in the KMTP which were slightly modified for this research because of the adherence to the ITIP survey instrument. To test concurrent validity of the KMTP, those two questions in the final set of nine questions derived from several iterations of content validity test were distributed to employees knowledgeable about the use of knowledge management technology across the organization. Respondents included 12 IT managers from 2 software development companies 9 IT managers from 3 consulting firms ; and 1 executive, 2 IT managers and 5 information technologists from 3 financial/accounting service companies. Once individual sets of two questions were grouped by organization, they were averaged. Using the Spearman-Brown prophecy formula, it was found that the coefficient alphas emerging from these averages are ranged from 0.69 to 0.83. Each coefficient provides an estimate of how likely one would be to get the same (mean) profile if everyone in the organization had taken the KMTP survey instrument, rather

than a sample of informants. Such reasonably high scores indicate that those two questions in the KMTP captured a representative knowledge management technology profile for each organization about the project evaluation of KM technology investment. The 27 sets of two questions from 8 organizations were also evaluated for how closely any two respondents in an organization view the implementation of knowledge management technology. The average pairwise correlation across all pairs of individual raters within each organization was calculated. The median within-firm correlation among rates within an organization ranged from 0.31 to 0.62 and the median within-firm correlation for the entire data set was 0.51. Taken together, the alpha coefficient and the average pairwise correlation reflect a high level of agreement in perceptions of KM technology implementation. The validation study of ITIP undertaken previously by National Research Council [National Research Council, 1994] and the validation of KMTP conducted for this research would support the use of KMTP survey instrument in gathering reasonable data for the determination of an organization's KM technology profile.

5. Research Findings from Empirical Analysis

5.1 Data Description

The purpose of this research has been to determine the correlation, if any, between organizational culture attributes and the successful

implementation of knowledge management technology. Data used to test the two hypotheses derived for this research were obtained from 227 respondents from the Organizational Culture Profile (OCP) survey instruments and 67 respondents from the Knowledge Management Technology Profile (KMTP) survey instruments representing 26 separate organizations.

<Table 2> Summary of Participating Organization

Org.	Industry Type	Sample Size of KMTP	Sample Size of OCP
Org. A	Consulting	3	13
Org. B	Software Development	3	7
Org. C	Financial/Banking/ Accounting	3	9
Org. D	Consulting	3	10
Org. E	Manufacturing	3	8
Org. F	Financial/Banking/ Accounting	4	10
Org. G	IT/ Telecommunication	2	7
Org. H	Government	3	13
Org. I	Consulting	3	9
Org. J	IT/Telecommunication	3	11
Org. K	Software Development	4	8
Org. L	Consulting	3	22
Org. M	Software Development	3	7
Org. N	Government	3	7
Org. O	IT/Telecommunication	3	11
Org. P	Consulting	3	11
Org. Q	Software Development	2	9
Org. R	Education	2	4
Org. S	Financial/Banking/ Accounting	3	18
Org. T	Consulting	3	7
Org. U	Software Development	3	5
Org. V	IT/Telecommunication	1	3
Org. W	Consulting	1	5
Org. X	Consulting	1	4
Org. Y	Financial/Banking/ Accounting	1	5
Org. Z	Financial/Banking/ Accounting	1	4

A total of 1060 OCP survey instruments and 212 KMTP survey instruments were distributed across 44 organizations. The OCP survey instruments were distributed to employees within the organization regardless of employees' function and level. The KMTP survey instruments were distributed to managers who were in a position to be knowledgeable about knowledge management technology across the organizations. A total of 236 OCP survey instruments were completed and returned from 27 organizations with the response rate of 22.3 percent. A total of 67 KMTP survey instruments were completed and returned from 26 organizations with the response rate of 31.6 percent. One organization that returned only the OCP survey instruments was excluded out of sample organizations. <Table 2> provides detailed information as to the number of respondents to the OCP and the KMTP survey instruments from each of 26 participating organizations and the industry types of these organizations. The alphabet (A to Z) was assigned to each of 26 organizations randomly to protect confidentiality of participating organizations.

5.2 Data Analysis

The Pearson Product-Moment correlation coefficient was considered as a method of determining linear relationship between two quantitative variables measured in interval scales organizational culture and the successful implementation of knowledge management technology. However, nonparametric alternative to

Pearson Product-Moment correlation, Spearman's correlation coefficient, was used with replacing the data values for each variable by ranks because the variables are not normally distributed. The fact that variables are not normally distributed is due to the sample size. Individual OCP survey instruments were grouped by organization. Then, the tally was made of the number of OCP attribute points scoring in the five cultural orientations of the Managerial Grid based on the method previously described in <Figure 1>. <Table 3> summarizes the percentage of total OCP attribute points of each organization for each of the five major cultural orientations.

<Table 3> OCP Percentage of Organization Culture Orientation


Org.	KMTP Score	9,9 Score	9,1 Score	5,5 Score	1,1 Score	1,9 Score
I	64.0	34%	20%	13%	14%	19%
F	63.2	35%	22%	15%	13%	16%
O	61.0	34%	16%	14%	15%	21%
S	56.0	33%	18%	14%	15%	21%
X	49.6	20%	18%	18%	15%	29%
L	44.0	16%	18%	21%	11%	34%
G	41.0	16%	19%	21%	12%	33%
U	40.9	20%	20%	24%	12%	24%
A	40.7	15%	17%	24%	14%	30%
N	40.7	20%	23%	25%	18%	14%
P	40.6	32%	20%	16%	14%	18%
Z	40.2	17%	18%	26%	18%	21%
R	40.0	22%	21%	24%	15%	17%
C	39.3	16%	17%	27%	20%	20%
E	37.7	21%	21%	23%	16%	18%
V	35.5	18%	13%	18%	31%	20%
W	34.5	15%	27%	14%	17%	27%
B	34.0	16%	22%	26%	20%	16%
K	33.7	18%	31%	20%	17%	14%
J	31.7	13%	20%	28%	22%	17%
D	27.7	12%	17%	27%	25%	17%
H	25.6	13%	24%	25%	21%	18%
M	25.3	13%	24%	24%	23%	17%
Q	24.5	15%	19%	33%	19%	14%
T	19.8	15%	29%	24%	17%	15%
Y	19.2	11%	23%	24%	17%	25%

After data are sorted by descending KMTP Success Score, a relationship between this score and the percentage of 9,9 cultural orientation is the most evidence. The organizations I, F, O and S indicating the highest overall KMTP success scores demonstrate the highest percentage of 9,9 cultural orientation. A non-parametric correlation analysis indicates a Spearman's coefficient of 0.80 between the KMTP success score and cultural orientation 9,9 (see <Table 4>) in the sample. The scatter plot in <Figure 2> shows the evidence that an obvious linear relationship exists between them.

<Table 4> Correlation Coefficient between KMTP Score and 9,9 Cultural Orientation

			1,1 Score	1,9 Score	5,5 Score	9,1 Score	9,9 Score
Spearman's rho	KM Technology Success Score	Correlation Coefficient	-.723	.424	-.615	-.431	.800
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000**	.031*	.001**	.028*	.000**
		N	26	26	26	26	26

주) ** Correlation is significant at the .01 level(2-tailed).
 * Correlation is significant at the .05 level(2-tailed).



<Figure 2> Correlation between KMTP Score and 9,9 Cultural Orientation

The overall research hypothesis postulates that organizations whose sampled employees highly rank attributes that lie within the [9, 9] OCP value set will find their KMTP score indicates a higher overall success for knowledge management technology implementations than those organizations whose sampled employees highly rank attributes that lie within another OCP value set. The Spearman's Correlation coefficient ($r : 0.80$) between two variables the successful implementation of KM technology and the culture type of [99] is sufficient to suggest a positive correlation between overall KMTP score and the percentage of values in the [9, 9] cultural attribute set. The t -value calculated against Spearman's Correlation coefficient of 0.80 is sufficient to reject the null hypothesis shown in the <Table 4> with 99% confidence interval. The hypothesis of interest is $H_0 : \rho = 0$ versus $H_1 : \rho > 0$. The necessary t critical value for a level .01 test is $t_{.005, 25} = 2.79$ and $t = .80\sqrt{26-1} = 4$. Since $t \geq t_{.005, 25}$, we rejected H_0 and conclude that two variables are positively related in the population.

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

Before an organization puts knowledge management technologies for a successful KM implementation, it should deal with cultural issues. The success of KM technology implementation is mediated by human behavior. While this research focused on establishing a correlation between organizational culture orientations and the successful implementation of

KM technology, evidence suggests that the specific cultural orientation are the drive for or barriers to the successful KM technology implementation. Although focusing on organizational culture and change may extend the time it takes to prepare a KM program, the benefits of doing so include being better prepared for implementation and being more able to leverage existing technology [Dyer, 2000].

The results of this research support the overall hypothesis. Organizations, which are more successful in KM technology implementation, have identified organization cultures that embody a mixture of both production - oriented and people-oriented attributes that lie within the [9, 9] OCP value set. If a culture does not have high components of both orientations, those cultures with a higher people - oriented components have the second best chance of successful implementation. The non-parametric correlation analysis reveals the people-oriented attributes are more constantly related to the successful implementation of KM technology.

The findings of this research help KM researchers and practitioners to develop a better understanding of the role of organizational culture for successful implementation of KM technology and knowledge sharing initiatives. The findings provide some key cultural attributes that practitioners will be able to focus on and to pay particularly attention to during cultural change initiatives.

References

- [1] Anastasi, A., "The Concept of Validity in the

- Interpretation of Test Scores”, *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, Spring 1950, pp. 67-78.
- [2] Bruss, Lois R., “Ten steps to achieve KM success”, *KMWorld*, Vol. 8, Iss. 4, 1999, pp. 13-16.
- [3] Cooke, R. and Rousseau, D., “Behavioral Norms and Expectations : A Quantitative Approach to the Assessment of Organizational Culture”, *Group and Organizational Studies*, Vol. 13, 1988, pp. 245-273.
- [4] Davenport, Thomas and Prusak, Laurence, *Working Knowledge How organizations manage what they know*, MA : Harvard Business School Press, 1998.
- [5] Dyer, Greg, “KM Crosses the Chasm : IDC State of the Market Survey”, *Knowledge Management*, March 2000, pp. 50-54.
- [6] Harper, George H., “Assessing Information Technology Success as a Function of Organizational Culture”, Ph.D. d’ss., Dept. of Industrial and Systems Engineering and Engineering Management, University of Alabama, 2000.
- [7] Knowledge Management Review, Vol. 4, Iss. 5, KM Review survey reveals the challenges faced by KM practitioners 2001.
- [8] KPMG Consulting, Knowledge Management Research Report, KPMG, 2000.
- [9] National research Council, Computer Science and Telecommunications Board, *Information Technology in the Service Society*, Washington, DC : National Academy Press, 1994.
- [10] Schein, Edgar H., “On Dialogue, Culture, and Organizational Learning”, *Organiza-*

tional Dynamics, Vol. 22, Iss. 2, 1993, pp. 40-51.

저자소개



정 덕 훈

Jeong, Dukhoon is an assistant professor of Department of Information Management at Dongguk University. He received a doctoral degree of MIS from George Washington University. His research interests are area of Electronic Commerce, Disaster Information Systems, Knowledge Management



박 희 준

Park, Heejun is an assistant professor of Yonsei University. He received a doctoral degree of MIS from George Washington University. His research interests are area of Electronic Commerce, Knowledge Management.



안 승 호

An, Seungho is an assistant professor of Department of Business at Soongsil University. He received a Ph.d in Business Administration from Michigan State University. His research interests are area of Macromarketing, Retailing, International Marketing.