

A CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR FEYNMAN'S OPERATIONAL CALCULUS : THE CASE OF TIME DEPENDENT NONCOMMUTING OPERATORS

BYUNG MOO AHN* AND CHOON HO LEE

ABSTRACT. Feynman's operational calculus for noncommuting operators was studied via measures on the time interval. We investigate that if a sequence of p -tuples of measures converges to another p -tuple of measures, then the corresponding sequence of operational calculi in the time dependent setting converges to the operational calculus determined by the limiting p -tuple of measures.

1. Introduction

Let X be a separable Banach space over the complex numbers and let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denote the space of bounded linear operators on X . Fix $T > 0$. For $i = 1, \dots, p$ let $A_i : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ be maps that are measurable in the sense that $A_i^{-1}(E)$ is a Borel set in $[0, T]$ for any strong operator open set $E \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$. To each $A_i(\cdot)$ we associate a finite continuous Borel measure μ_i on $[0, T]$ and we require that, for each i ,

$$r_i = \int_{[0, T]} \|A_i(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} |\mu_i|(ds) < \infty.$$

Given a positive integer p and p positive numbers r_1, \dots, r_p , let $\mathbb{A}(r_1, \dots, r_p)$ be the space of complex-valued functions of p complex variables $f(z_1, \dots, z_p)$, which are analytic at $(0, \dots, 0)$, and are such that their power series expansion

$$(1) \quad f(z_1, \dots, z_p) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_p^{m_p}$$

Received February 5, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A60.

Key words and phrases: Feynman's operational calculus, disentangling.

*This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2001-002-D00035).

converges absolutely, at least on the closed polydisk $|z_1| \leq r_1, \dots, |z_p| \leq r_p$. Such functions are analytic at least in the open polydisk $|z_1| < r_1, \dots, |z_p| < r_p$.

For $f \in \mathbb{A}(r_1, \dots, r_p)$ given by (1), we let

$$(2) \quad \|f\| = \|f\|_{\mathbb{A}(r_1, \dots, r_p)} := \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| r_1^{m_1} \dots r_p^{m_p}.$$

The function on $\mathbb{A}(r_1, \dots, r_p)$ defined by (2) makes $\mathbb{A}(r_1, \dots, r_p)$ into a commutative Banach algebra [3].

To the algebra $\mathbb{A}(r_1, \dots, r_p)$ we associate a disentangling algebra by replacing the z_i 's with formal commuting objects $(A_i(\cdot), \mu_i \checkmark), i = 1, \dots, p$. Consider the collection $\mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))$ of all expressions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark)) \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} ((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark))^{m_1} \dots ((A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))^{m_p} \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $m_1, \dots, m_p = 0, 1, \dots$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad & \|f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))\| \\ &= \|f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))\|_{\mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))} \\ &:= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| r_1^{m_1} \dots r_p^{m_p} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where $r_i = \int_{[0, T]} \|A_i(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} |\mu_i|(ds), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

Rather than using the notation $(A_i(\cdot), \mu_i \checkmark)$ below, we will often abbreviate to $A_i(\checkmark)$, especially when carrying out calculations. We will often write \mathbb{D} in place of $\mathbb{D}(A_1(\checkmark), \dots, A_p(\checkmark))$ or $\mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))$.

Adding and scalar multiplying such expressions coordinatewise, we can easily see that $\mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))$ is a vector space and that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{D}}$ defined by (3) is a norm. The normed linear space $(\mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark)), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{D}})$ can be identified with the weighted l_1 -space, where the weight at the index (m_1, \dots, m_p) is $r_1^{m_1} \dots r_p^{m_p}$. It follows that $\mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))$ is a commutative Banach algebra with identity [7].

We refer to $\mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))$ as the disentangling algebra associated with the p -tuple $((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))$.

For $m = 0, 1, \dots$, let S_m denote the set of all permutations of the integers $\{1, \dots, m\}$, and given $\pi \in S_m$, we let

$$\Delta_m(\pi) = \{(s_1, \dots, s_m) \in [0, T]^m : 0 < s_{\pi(1)} < \dots < s_{\pi(m)} < T\}.$$

Now for nonnegative integers m_1, \dots, m_p and $m = m_1 + \dots + m_p$, we define

$$C_i(s) = \begin{cases} A_1(s), & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, m_1\} \\ A_2(s), & \text{if } i \in \{m_1 + 1, \dots, m_1 + m_2\} \\ \vdots \\ A_p(s), & \text{if } i \in \{m_1 + \dots + m_{p-1} + 1, \dots, m\} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and for all $0 \leq s \leq T$.

DEFINITION 1. Let $P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(z_1, \dots, z_p) = z_1^{m_1} \dots z_p^{m_p}$. We define the action of the disentangling map on this monomial by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot \checkmark), \dots, A_p(\cdot \checkmark)) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} ((A_1(\cdot \checkmark))^{m_1} \dots (A_p(\cdot \checkmark))^{m_p}) \\ &:= \sum_{\pi \in S_m} \int_{\Delta_m(\pi)} C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)}) \dots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)}) \\ & \quad (\mu_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_p^{m_p})(ds_1, \dots, ds_m). \end{aligned}$$

Finally for $f \in \mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1 \checkmark), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p \checkmark))$ given by

$$f(A_1(\cdot \checkmark), \dots, A_p(\cdot \checkmark)) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} (A_1(\cdot \checkmark))^{m_1} \dots (A_p(\cdot \checkmark))^{m_p}$$

we set

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f(A_1(\cdot \checkmark), \dots, A_p(\cdot \checkmark)) \\ &:= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot \checkmark), \dots, A_p(\cdot \checkmark)). \end{aligned}$$

We will often use the alternative notation :

$$P_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot)) = \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot \checkmark), \dots, A_p(\cdot \checkmark))$$

and

$$f_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot)) = \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f(A_1(\cdot \checkmark), \dots, A_p(\cdot \checkmark)).$$

The following result is Proposition 2.2 of [7].

PROPOSITION 1. The disentangling map $\mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}$ is a bounded linear operator from $\mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p))$ to $\mathcal{L}(X)$. In fact, $\|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}\| \leq 1$.

2. Stability theorem

Let $\{\nu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of Borel probability measures on $[0, T]$. We say that ν_n converges weakly to a Borel probability measure ν and write $\nu_n \rightharpoonup \nu$ provided that

$$\int_{[0, T]} b(s) \nu_n(ds) \rightarrow \int_{[0, T]} b(s) \nu(ds)$$

for every bounded continuous function b on $[0, T]$.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $A_i : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ be continuous for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Let $\{\mu_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be sequences of continuous Borel probability measures on $[0, T]$ such that $\mu_{i,n} \rightharpoonup \mu_i$ for each i . Then for any nonnegative integers m_1, \dots, m_p and for any $\phi \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\phi \\ &= P_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\phi. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. $\{\mu_{1,n}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{p,n}^{m_p}\}$ is a sequence of continuous probability measures on $[0, T]^m$ since each term in the product is a continuous probability measure. And $[0, T]^m$ is separable. By Theorem 3.2 of [1] $\mu_{1,n}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{p,n}^{m_p} \rightharpoonup \mu_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_p^{m_p}$ since $\mu_{i,n} \rightharpoonup \mu_i$ for each i . For each $\phi \in X$, $C_{\pi(m)}(\cdot) \dots C_{\pi(1)}(\cdot)\phi : [0, T]^m \rightarrow X$ is continuous for each $\pi \in S_m$. From Theorem 5.1 of [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta_m(\pi)} C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)}) \dots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)})\phi \\ & \quad (\mu_{1,n}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{p,n}^{m_p})(ds_1, \dots, ds_m) \\ &= \int_{\Delta_m(\pi)} C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)}) \dots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)})\phi \\ & \quad (\mu_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_p^{m_p})(ds_1, \dots, ds_m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the conclusion follows. □

LEMMA 3. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p, \mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ be continuous probability measures. Suppose for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$

$$\bar{r}_i = \sup\{r_i, r_{i,1}, \dots, r_{i,n}, \dots\} < \infty$$

where $r_i = \int_{[0,T]} \|A_i(s)\| |\mu_i|(ds)$ and $r_{i,n} = \int_{[0,T]} \|A_i(s)\| |\mu_{i,n}|(ds)$. Then for any

$$f \in \mathbb{A}(\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_p),$$

$$f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1\tilde{\cdot}), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p\tilde{\cdot})) \in \mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1\tilde{\cdot}), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p\tilde{\cdot}))$$

and

$$f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n}\tilde{\cdot}), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n}\tilde{\cdot})) \in \mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n}\tilde{\cdot}), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n}\tilde{\cdot}))$$

for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

PROOF. Suppose that

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_p) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} z_1^{m_1} \dots z_p^{m_p}$$

such that $\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \bar{r}_1^{m_1} \dots \bar{r}_p^{m_p} < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1\tilde{\cdot}), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p\tilde{\cdot}))\| \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \left[\int_{[0,T]} \|A_1(s)\| |\mu_1|(ds) \right]^{m_1} \dots \\ & \quad \left[\int_{[0,T]} \|A_p(s)\| |\mu_p|(ds) \right]^{m_p} \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| r_1^{m_1} \dots r_p^{m_p} \\ &\leq \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \bar{r}_1^{m_1} \dots \bar{r}_p^{m_p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1\tilde{\cdot}), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p\tilde{\cdot})) \in \mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1\tilde{\cdot}), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p\tilde{\cdot}))$. Similarly we can check that $f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n}\tilde{\cdot}), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n}\tilde{\cdot})) \in \mathbb{D}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n}\tilde{\cdot}), \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n}\tilde{\cdot}))$. \square

THEOREM 4. *Let the hypotheses of Proposition 2 be satisfied. Further suppose that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\bar{r}_i, r_i, r_{i,n}$ are given as in Lemma 3. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}}$ denote the disentangling map corresponding to the n^{th} term of sequences of measures. Then for any $f \in \mathbb{A}(\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_p)$, and for any $\phi \in X$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n})\tilde{\gamma}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n})\tilde{\gamma})\phi \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1)\tilde{\gamma}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p)\tilde{\gamma})\phi. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n})\tilde{\gamma}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n})\tilde{\gamma})\phi \\ & \quad - \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1)\tilde{\gamma}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p)\tilde{\gamma})\phi\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} P_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\phi \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} P_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\phi \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \|P_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\phi \\ & \quad - P_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \|P_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\phi \\ & \quad - P_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\phi\| \\ &\leq |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \left[\|P_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|P_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))\| \right] \|\phi\| \\ &\leq |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \left[\int_{[0, T]} \|A_1(s)\| |\mu_{1,n}|(ds)^{m_1} \dots \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_{[0, T]} \|A_p(s)\| |\mu_{p,n}|(ds)^{m_p} + \int_{[0, T]} \|A_1(s)\| |\mu_1|(ds)^{m_1} \dots \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_{[0, T]} \|A_p(s)\| |\mu_p|(ds)^{m_p} \right] \|\phi\| \\ &= |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \left[r_{1,n}^{m_1} \dots r_{p,n}^{m_p} + r_1^{m_1} \dots r_p^{m_p} \right] \|\phi\| \\ &\leq 2|c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \bar{r}_1^{m_1} \dots \bar{r}_p^{m_p} \|\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \bar{r}_1^{m_1} \dots \bar{r}_p^{m_p} < \infty$, by Proposition 2 and Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain the result. \square

THEOREM 5. *Let $A_i : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ be measurable for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Let $\{\mu_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ be sequences of continuous Borel probability measures on $[0, T]$ such that $\mu_{i,n} \rightarrow \mu_i$ for each i . Further assume that $M_i := \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|A_i(s)\| < \infty$ for each $i = 1, \dots, p$. Then for any $f \in \mathbb{A}(M_1, \dots, M_p)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n})\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n})\tilde{\cdot}) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1)\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p)\tilde{\cdot}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First we consider $P_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}(A_1(\cdot), \dots, A_p(\cdot))$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}} P_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n})\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n})\tilde{\cdot}) \\ & \quad - \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} P_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1)\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p)\tilde{\cdot})\| \\ &= \|\sum_{\pi \in S_m} \int_{\Delta_m(\pi)} C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)}) \dots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)}) (\mu_{1,n}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{p,n}^{m_p}) \\ & \quad (ds_1, \dots, ds_m) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\pi \in S_m} \int_{\Delta_m(\pi)} C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)}) \dots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)}) (\mu_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_p^{m_p}) \\ & \quad (ds_1, \dots, ds_m)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{\pi \in S_m} \int_{\Delta_m(\pi)} \|C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)}) \dots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)})\| \\ & \quad |\mu_{1,n}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{p,n}^{m_p}(ds_1, \dots, ds_m) - \mu_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_p^{m_p}(ds_1, \dots, ds_m)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\pi \in S_m} M_1^{m_1} \dots M_p^{m_p} |\mu_{1,n}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{p,n}^{m_p}(\Delta_m(\pi)) - \\ & \quad \mu_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_p^{m_p}(\Delta_m(\pi))|. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\{\mu_{1,n}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{p,n}^{m_p}\}$ is a sequence of continuous probability measures on $[0, T]^m$. Since $[0, T]^m$ is separable and $\mu_{i,n} \rightarrow \mu_i$ for each i , $\mu_{1,n}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{p,n}^{m_p} \rightarrow \mu_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_p^{m_p}$ using Theorem 3.2 of [1]. We can apply (v) of Theorem 2.1 of [1] to conclude that

$$|\mu_{1,n}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{p,n}^{m_p}(\Delta_m(\pi)) - \mu_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mu_p^{m_p}(\Delta_m(\pi))| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We therefore conclude

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, n, \dots, \mu_p, n} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1, n}) \sim, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p, n}) \sim) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1) \sim, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p) \sim). \end{aligned}$$

We now turn to $\mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1) \sim, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p) \sim)$. For $f \in \mathbb{A}(M_1, \dots, M_p)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, n, \dots, \mu_p, n} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1, n}) \sim, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p, n}) \sim) \\ & \quad - \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1) \sim, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p) \sim)\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, n, \dots, \mu_p, n} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1, n}) \sim, \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \left. (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p, n}) \sim) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1, \dots, m_p} \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1) \sim, \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \left. (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p) \sim) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, n, \dots, \mu_p, n} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1, n}) \sim, \dots, \\ & \quad (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p, n}) \sim) \\ & \quad - \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1) \sim, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p) \sim)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, n, \dots, \mu_p, n} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1, n}) \sim, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p, n}) \sim) \\ & \quad - \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1) \sim, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p) \sim)\| \\ & \leq |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \left[\|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, n, \dots, \mu_p, n} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1, n}) \sim, \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \left. (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p, n}) \sim)\| + \|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} P^{m_1, \dots, m_p}((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1) \sim, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p) \sim)\| \right] \\ & \leq |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| \left[\left[\int_{[0, T]} \|A_1(s)\| |\mu_{1, n}|(ds) \right]^{m_1} \dots \left[\int_{[0, T]} \|A_p(s)\| |\mu_{p, n}|(ds) \right]^{m_p} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left[\int_{[0, T]} \|A_1(s)\| |\mu_1|(ds) \right]^{m_1} \dots \left[\int_{[0, T]} \|A_p(s)\| |\mu_p|(ds) \right]^{m_p} \right] \\ & \leq 2|c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| M_1^{m_1} \dots M_p^{m_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_p=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1, \dots, m_p}| M_1^{m_1} \dots M_p^{m_p} < \infty$, by (4) and Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain the result. \square

COROLLARY 6. Assume the same hypotheses as in Theorem 5. Then for any $f \in \mathbb{A}(M_1, \dots, M_p)$, and for any $\phi \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n})\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n})\tilde{\cdot})\phi \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1)\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p)\tilde{\cdot})\phi. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let $f \in \mathbb{A}(M_1, \dots, M_p)$. Then for any $\phi \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n})\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n})\tilde{\cdot})\phi \\ & \quad - \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1)\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p)\tilde{\cdot})\phi\| \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{T}_{\mu_{1,n}, \dots, \mu_{p,n}} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_{1,n})\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_{p,n})\tilde{\cdot}) \\ & \quad - \mathcal{T}_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p} f((A_1(\cdot), \mu_1)\tilde{\cdot}, \dots, (A_p(\cdot), \mu_p)\tilde{\cdot})\| \|\phi\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Theorem 5. This finishes the proof. \square

References

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [2] R. Feynman, *An operator calculus having application in quantum electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **84** (1951), 108–128.
- [3] B. Jefferies and G. W. Johnson, *Feynman's operational calculi for noncommuting operators: Definitions and elementary properties*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **8** (2001), 153–178.
- [4] ———, *Feynman's operational calculi for noncommuting operators: Tensors, ordered supports and disentangling an exponential factor*, Math. Notes **70** (2001), 744–764.
- [5] ———, *Feynman's operational calculi for noncommuting operators: Spectral theory*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **5** (2002), 171–199.
- [6] ———, *Feynman's operational calculi for noncommuting operators: The monogenic calculus*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras **11** (2002), 233–265.
- [7] B. Jefferies, G. W. Johnson and L. Nielsen, *Feynman's operational calculi for time dependent noncommuting operators*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **38** (2001), 193–226.
- [8] G. W. Johnson and M. L. Lapidus, *The Feynman integral and Feynman operational calculus*, Oxford U. Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [9] ———, *Generalized Dyson series, generalized Feynman diagrams, The Feynman integral and Feynman's operational calculus*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1986), 1–78.
- [10] G. W. Johnson and L. Nielsen, *A stability theorem for Feynman's operational calculi*, Conf. Proc. Canadian Math. Soc. : Conference in honor of Sergio Albeverio's 60th birthday **29** (2000), 351–365.

Byung Moo Ahn
Department of Mathematics
Soonchynhyang University Asan
Chungnam 336-745, Korea
E-mail: anbymo@sch.ac.kr

Choon Ho Lee
Department of Mathematics
Hoseo University Asan
Chungnam 336-795, Korea
E-mail: chlee@math.hoseo.ac.kr