

PROPERTIES ON TYPES OF PRIMITIVE NEAR-RINGS

YONG UK CHO

ABSTRACT. Throughout this paper, we will consider that R is a near-ring and G an R -group. We initiate the study of monogenic, strongly monogenic R -groups, 3 types of nonzero R -groups and their basic properties. At first, we investigate some properties of D.G. (R, S) -groups, faithful R -groups, monogenic R -groups, simple and R -simple R -groups. Next, we introduce modular right ideals, t -modular right ideals and 3 types of primitive near-rings. The purpose of this paper is to investigate some properties of primitive types near-rings and their characterizations.

1. Introduction

Let R be a (left) near-ring. If R has a unity 1, then R is called *unitary*. If 0 is the neutral element of the group $(R, +)$, then the left distributivity law implies the identity $a0 = 0$ for all $a \in R$. However, $0a$ is not equal to 0, in general. An element d in R is called *distributive* if $(a + b)d = ad + bd$ for all a and b in R . A near-ring R with $(R, +)$ is abelian is called an *abelian* near-ring.

We consider the following notations: Given a near-ring R , $R_0 = \{a \in R \mid 0a = 0\}$ is called the *zero symmetric part* of R ,

$$R_c = \{a \in R \mid 0a = a\} = \{a \in R \mid ra = a, \text{ for all } r \in R\}$$

which is called the *constant part* of R , and

$$R_d = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is distributive}\}$$

is called the *distributive part* of R .

Received March 28, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16Y30.

Key words and phrases: simple, R -simple, monogenic, strongly monogenic, faithful R -groups, D.G. (R, S) -group, 3 types of R -groups, modular right ideals, t -modular right ideals and 3 types of primitive near-rings.

We note that R_0 and R_c are subnear-rings of R , but R_d is not a subnear-ring of R . A near-ring R with the extra axiom $0a = 0$ for all $a \in R$, that is, $R = R_0$ is said to be *zero symmetric*, also, in case $R = R_c$, R is called a *constant* near-ring, and in case $R = R_d$, R is called a *distributive* near-ring. From the Pierce decomposition theorem, we get

$$R = R_0 \oplus R_c$$

as additive groups. So every element $a \in R$ has a unique representation of the form $a = b + c$, where $b \in R_0$ and $c \in R_c$.

One defines a subset H of R such that $RH \subseteq H$ is called a *left R -subset* of R , a subset H of R such that $HR \subseteq H$ is called a *right R -subset* of R , and a left and right R -subset H is said to be a (*two-sided*) R -subset of R .

Let $(G, +)$ be a group (not necessarily abelian). In the set

$$M(G) := \{f \mid f : G \longrightarrow G\}$$

of all the self maps of G , if we define the sum $f + g$ of any two mappings f, g in $M(G)$ by the rule $x(f + g) = xf + xg$ for all $x \in G$ (called the *pointwise addition of maps*) and the product $f \cdot g$ by the rule $x(f \cdot g) = (xf)g$ for all $x \in G$, then $(M(G), +, \cdot)$ becomes a near-ring. It is called the *near-ring of self maps* on G .

Also, if we define the set

$$M_0(G) := \{f \in M(G) \mid of = o\}$$

for additive group G with identity o , then $(M_0(G), +, \cdot)$ is a zero symmetric near-ring.

Let R and S be two near-rings. Then a mapping θ from R to S is called a *near-ring homomorphism* if for all $a, b \in R$, (i) $(a+b)\theta = a\theta + b\theta$ and (ii) $(ab)\theta = a\theta b\theta$.

Let R be any near-ring and G an additive group. Then G is called an *R -group* if there exists a near-ring homomorphism

$$\theta : (R, +, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M(G), +, \cdot).$$

Such a homomorphism θ is called a *representation* of R on G , we write that xr (right scalar multiplication in R) for $x(\theta_r)$ for all $x \in G$ and $r \in R$. If R is unitary, then R -group G is called *unitary*. Thus an R -group is an additive group G satisfying (i) $x(a + b) = xa + xb$, (ii)

$x(ab) = (xa)b$ and (iii) $x1 = x$ (If R has a unity 1), for all $x \in G$ and $a, b \in R$. Sometimes, we abbreviate this R -group G simply as G_R .

We note that R itself is an R -group called the *regular R -group*.

Moreover, naturally, every group G has an $M(G)$ -group structure, from the representation of $M(G)$ on G given by applying the $f \in M(G)$ to the $x \in G$ as a scalar multiplication xf . Also, $M(G)$ is a regular $M(G)$ -group.

An R -group G with the property that for each $x, y \in G$ and $a \in R$, $(x + y)a = xa + ya$ is called a *distributive R -group*, and also an R -group G with $(G, +)$ is abelian is called an *abelian R -group*. For example, if $(G, +)$ is abelian, then $M(G)$ is an abelian near-ring and moreover, G is an abelian $M(G)$ -group, on the other hand, every distributive near-ring R is a distributive R -group. We will make an exhibition that the existence of distributive abelian R -groups in this chapter 2.

We denote that the neutral element of G as o , this is different from the neutral element 0 of the near-ring R , also we write the trivial groups (or ideals) of G and R are $\{o\} =: o$ and $\{0\} =: 0$ respectively.

A representation θ of R on G is called *faithful* if $Ker\theta = \{0\}$. In this case, we say that G is called a *faithful R -group*, or that R acts *faithfully* on G .

For an R -group G , a subgroup T of G such that $TR \subseteq T$ is called an *R -subgroup* of G , and an *R -ideal* of G is a normal subgroup N of G such that $(N + x)a - xa \subseteq N$ for all $x \in G, a \in R$. The R -ideals of the regular group R are precisely the right ideals of R . Also, a subgroup V of G such that $VR \subseteq V$ is called an *R -subset* of G .

Let G, T be two additive groups (not necessarily abelian). Then the set

$$M(G, T) := \{f \mid f : G \longrightarrow T\}$$

of all maps from G to T becomes an additive group under pointwise addition of maps. Since $M(T)$ is a near-ring of self maps on T , we note that $M(G, T)$ is an $M(T)$ -group with a scalar multiplication:

$$M(G, T) \times M(T) \longrightarrow M(G, T)$$

defined by $(f, g) \longmapsto f \cdot g$, where $x(f \cdot g) = (xf)g$ for all $x \in G$.

Let G and T be two R -groups. Then the mapping $f : G \longrightarrow T$ is called a *R -group homomorphism* if for all $x, y \in G$ and $a \in R$, (i) $(x + y)f = xf + yf$ and (ii) $(xa)f = (xf)a$. In this paper, we call that the mapping $f : G \longrightarrow T$ with the condition $(xa)f = (xf)a$ is an *R -map* (or *R -homogeneous map* [7]).

Also, we can replace R -group homomorphism by R -group monomorphism, R -group epimorphism, R -group isomorphism, R -group endomorphism and R -group automorphism, if these terms have their usual meanings as for modules ([1]).

A near-ring R is called *distributively generated* (briefly, *D.G.*) by S if

$$(R, +) = gp \langle S \rangle = gp \langle R_d \rangle$$

where S is a semigroup of distributive elements in R .

In particular, $S = R_d$ (this is motivated by the set of all distributive elements of R is multiplicatively closed and contain the unity of R if it exists), where $gp \langle S \rangle$ is a group generated by S , we denote this D.G. near-ring R which is generated by S is (R, S) .

On the other hand, the set of all distributive elements of $M(G)$ are obviously the set $End(G)$ of all endomorphisms of the group G , that is,

$$(M(G))_d = End(G)$$

which is a semigroup under composition, but not yet a near-ring. Here we denote that $E(G)$ is the D.G. near-ring generated by $End(G)$, that is,

$$E(G) = (M(G)), End(G).$$

Obviously, $E(G)$ is a subnear-ring of $(M_0(G), +, \cdot)$. Thus we say that $E(G)$ is the *endomorphism near-ring* of the group G .

Let (R, S) and (T, U) be D.G. near-rings. Then a near-ring homomorphism

$$\theta : (R, S) \longrightarrow (T, U)$$

is called a *D.G. near-ring homomorphism* if $S\theta \subseteq U$. Clearly, any near-ring epimorphism $\theta : (R, S) \longrightarrow (T, U)$ is a D.G. near-ring homomorphism.

Note that a semigroup homomorphism $\theta : S \longrightarrow U$ is a D.G. near-ring homomorphism if it is a group homomorphism from $(R, +)$ to $(T, +)$ ([5], [6]).

For the remainder concepts and results on near-rings and R -groups, we refer to J. D. P. Meldrum [9], and G. Pilz [10].

2. Some properties on monogenic R -Groups

There is a module like concept as follows: Let (R, S) be a D.G. near-ring. Then an additive group G is called a *D.G. (R, S) -group* if there

exists a D.G. near-ring homomorphism

$$\theta : (R, S) \longrightarrow (M(G), \text{End}(G)) = E(G)$$

such that $S\theta \subseteq \text{End}(G)$. If we write that xr instead of $x(\theta_r)$ for all $x \in G$ and $r \in R$, then an D.G. (R, S) -group is an additive group G satisfying the following conditions:

$$x(rs) = (xr)s, \quad x(r + s) = xr + xs,$$

for all $x \in G$ and all $r, s \in R$, and

$$(x + y)s = xs + ys,$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and all $s \in S$.

Such a homomorphism θ is called a *D.G. representation* of (R, S) on G . This D.G. representation is said to be *faithful* if $\text{Ker}\theta = \{0\}$. In this case, we say that G is called a *faithful D.G. (R, S) -group*. For any R -group G , we define also the set

$$M_R(G) := \{f \in M(G) \mid (xr)f = (xf)r, \text{ for all } x \in G, r \in R\}$$

of all R -maps on G .

Let R be a near-ring and let G be an R -group. If there exists x in G such that $G = xR$, that is, $G = \{xr \mid r \in R\}$, then G is called a *monogenic R -group* and the element x is called a *generator* of G , more specially, if G is monogenic and for each $x \in G$, $xR = 0$ or $xR = G$, then G is called a *strongly monogenic R -group*.

For any group G , $M(G)$ -group G and $M_0(G)$ -group G are strongly monogenic which are appeared in G. Pilz [10]. It is clearly proved that $G \neq 0$ if and only if $GR \neq 0$ for any monogenic or strongly monogenic R -group G .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let R be a near-ring and G an R -group. Then we have the basic concepts:*

- (1) *If I is a right ideal of R , then $IR_0 \subseteq I$.*
- (2) *If A is an R -ideal of G , then A is an R_0 -subgroup of G .*

From this useful lemma, we obtain the following several properties.

LEMMA 2.2. *For a near-ring R , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *R is a zero symmetric near-ring.*
- (2) *Every right ideal of R is an R -subgroup of R .*

PROOF. (1) \implies (2) is obtained from the Lemma 2.1 (1).

(2) \implies (1) Suppose that every right ideal of R is an R -subgroup of R . Since 0 is clearly a right ideal of R , 0 is an R -subgroup of R . Thus $0R = 0$. This implies that $R = R_0$. \square

LEMMA 2.3 ([10]). For an R -group G , we have the following:

- (1) For any x in G , xR is an R -subgroup of G .
- (2) For any R -subgroup A of G , we have that $oR = oR_c \subseteq A$.

In Lemma 2.3 (2), oR is the smallest R -subgroup of G under all R -subgroups of G . So throughout this paper, we will write that

$$oR = oR_c =: \Omega.$$

We note that if R is zero symmetric, then $\Omega = \{o\} =: o$, and $\Omega = xR_c$ for all $x \in G$.

From this Lemma 2.3 (2), we define the following concepts: An R -group G is called *simple* if G has no non-trivial ideal, that is, G has no ideals except o and G . Similarly, we can define simple near-ring as ring case. Also, R -group G is called *R -simple* if G has no R -subgroups except Ω and G .

LEMMA 2.4. For an R -group G and A is a subgroup of G , we have the following:

- (1) A is an R -ideal of G if and only if A is an R_0 -ideal of G .
- (2) A is an R -subgroup of G if and only if A is an R_0 -subgroup of G and $\Omega \subseteq A$.

PROOF. (1) Necessity is obvious. Suppose A is an R_0 -ideal of G . Let $a \in A$, $x \in G$ and $r \in R$. Then since $R = R_0 \oplus R_c$, we rewrite that $r = s + t$, where $s \in R_0$ and $t \in R_c$. Thus we have

$$(a+x)r - xr = (a+x)(s+t) - x(s+t) = (a+x)s + (a+x)t - xt - xs$$

Here, since $t \in R_c$, $(a+x)t - xt = t - t = 0$ so that $(a+x)r - xr = (a+x)s - xs$. Also since $s \in R_0$ and A is an R_0 -ideal of G , $(a+x)s - xs \in A$, that is $(a+x)r - xr \in A$. Consequently, A is an R -ideal of G .

(2) This statement can be proved as a similar method of the proof of (1). \square

Lemma 2.1 (2) and Lemma 2.4 imply the following statement.

PROPOSITION 2.5. For an R -group G with $\Omega \neq o$, we have the following:

- (1) $G = \Omega$ if and only if G is strongly monogenic.
- (2) R_0 -simplicity implies simplicity for G .

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let G be an abelian D.G (R, S) -group. Then the set $M_R(G) := \{f \in M(G) \mid (xr)f = (xf)r, \text{ for all } x \in G, r \in R\}$ is a subnear-ring of $M(G)$.

PROOF. Let $f, g \in M_R(G)$. For any $x \in G$ and $r \in R$, since R is a D.G. near-ring generated by S , consider that

$$r = \delta_1 s_1 + \delta_2 s_2 + \delta_3 s_3 + \dots + \delta_n s_n,$$

where $\delta_i = 1, \text{ or } -1$ and $s_i \in S$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & (xr)(f + g) \\ &= (xr)f + (xr)g = (xf)r + (xg)r \\ &= xf(\delta_1 s_1 + \delta_2 s_2 + \dots + \delta_n s_n) + xg(\delta_1 s_1 + \delta_2 s_2 + \dots + \delta_n s_n) \\ &= xf\delta_1 s_1 + xg\delta_1 s_1 + xf\delta_2 s_2 + xg\delta_2 s_2 + \dots + xf\delta_n s_n + xg\delta_n s_n \\ &= \delta_1 xfs_1 + \delta_1 xgs_1 + \delta_2 xfs_2 + \delta_2 xgs_2 + \dots + \delta_n xfs_n + \delta_n xgs_n \\ &= \delta_1(xfs_1 + xgs_1) + \delta_2(xfs_2 + xgs_2) + \dots + \delta_n(xfs_n + xgs_n) \\ &= \delta_1(xf + xg)s_1 + \delta_2(xf + xg)s_2 + \dots + \delta_n(xf + xg)s_n \\ &= (xf + xg)\delta_1 s_1 + (xf + xg)\delta_2 s_2 + \dots + (xf + xg)\delta_n s_n \\ &= (xf + xg)(\delta_1 s_1 + \delta_2 s_2 + \dots + \delta_n s_n) \\ &= (xf + xg)r = x(f + g)r. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have the following equalities:

$$(xr)(-f) = -(xr)f = -(xf)r = x(-f)r$$

and

$$(xr)f \cdot g = ((xr)f)g = ((xf)r)g = (xf)gr = x(f \cdot g)r.$$

Thus $M_R(G)$ is a subnear-ring of $M(G)$. □

In ring and module theory, we obtain the following important structure for near-ring and R -group theory:

COROLLARY 2.7 (C. J. MAXSON [7]). Let R be a ring and V a right R -module. Then $M_R(V) := \{f \in M(V) \mid (xr)f = (xf)r, \text{ for all } x \in V, r \in R\}$ is a subnear-ring of $M(V)$.

EXAMPLE 2.8. If R is a distributive unitary near-ring, then R is a ring (See [10, 1.107]). Furthermore, if R is a distributive unitary near-ring, then every unitary R -group is abelian, and a unitary R -module.

PROOF. Let G be a unitary R -group. Then $x(2) = x(1+1) = x+x$, for all $x \in G$. Thus we see that

$$x+y+x+y = (x+y)(2) = x(2) + y(2) = x+x+y+y,$$

for all $x, y \in G$. This implies that $(G, +)$ is abelian. Since $R = S$, the set of all distributive elements as a D.G. near-ring of R , $(x+y)r = xr+yr$, for all $x, y \in G$ and all $r \in R$. Hence G becomes a unitary R -module. \square

LEMMA 2.9 ([8]). Let (R, S) be a D.G. near-ring. Then all R -subgroups and all R -homomorphic images of a D.G. (R, S) -group are also D.G. (R, S) -groups.

Let G be an R -group and K, K_1 and K_2 be subsets of G . Define

$$(K_1 : K_2) := \{a \in R \mid K_2 a \subseteq K_1\}.$$

We abbreviate that for $x \in G$, $(\{x\} : K_2) =: (x : K_2)$ ($o : K$) is called the *annihilator* of K , sometimes denoted it by $A(K)$. Easily, we can drive that G is a faithful R -group if $A(G) = \{0\}$, that is, $(o : G) = \{0\}$.

LEMMA 2.10 ([3]). Let G be an R -group and K_1 and K_2 subsets of G . Then we have the following conditions:

- (1) If K_1 is a normal subgroup of G , then $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a normal subgroup of a near-ring R .
- (2) If K_1 is an R -subgroup of G , then $(K_1 : K_2)$ is an R -subgroup of R as an R -group.
- (3) If K_1 is an R -ideal of G and K_2 is an R -subset of G , then $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

PROOF. (1) and (2) are proved by G. Pilz [10] and J. D. P. Meldrum [9]. Now, we prove only (3) : Using the condition (1), $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a normal subgroup of R . Let $a \in (K_1 : K_2)$ and $r \in R$. Then

$$K_2(ra) = (K_2r)a \subseteq K_2a \subseteq K_1,$$

since K_2 is an R -subset of G , $K_2r \subseteq K_2$ so that $ra \in (K_1 : K_2)$. Whence $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a left ideal of R .

Next, let $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and $a \in (K_1 : K_2)$. Then

$$k\{(a + r_1)r_2 - r_1r_2\} = (ka + kr_1)r_2 - kr_1r_2 \in K_1$$

for all $k \in K_2$, since $K_2a \subseteq K_1$ and K_1 is an ideal of G . Thus $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a right ideal of R . Consequently, $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a two-sided ideal of R . \square

COROLLARY 2.11 ([9], [10]). *Let R be a near-ring and G an R -group.*

- (1) *For any $x \in G$, $(o : x)$ is a right ideal of R .*
- (2) *For any R -subset K of G , $(o : K)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .*
- (3) *For any subset K of G , $(o : K) = \bigcap_{x \in K} (o : x)$.*

REMARK 2.12. For any R -group homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow T$, we have $(o : G) \subseteq (o : f(G))$. So every monomorphic image of a faithful R -group is also faithful. Moreover, for any R -group isomorphism $f : G \rightarrow T$, we have $(o : G) = (o : T)$. In this case, G is faithful if and only if T is faithful.

The following statement is proved very easily, but it is important later.

LEMMA 2.13 [10]. *Let G be a faithful R -group. Then we have the following conditions:*

- (1) *If $(G, +)$ is abelian, then $(R, +)$ is abelian.*
- (2) *If G is distributive, then R is distributive.*

From this Lemma, we get the following Proposition:

PROPOSITION 2.14. *If G is a distributive abelian faithful R -group, then R is a ring.*

PROPOSITION 2.15. *Let R be a near-ring and G an R -group. Then we have the following conditions:*

- (1) *$A(G)$ is a two-sided ideal of R . Moreover G is a faithful $R/A(G)$ -group.*
- (2) *For any $x \in G$, we get $xR \cong R/(o : x)$ as R -groups.*

PROOF. (1) By Corollary 2.11 and Lemma 2.10, $A(G)$ is a two-sided ideal of R . We now make G an $R/A(G)$ -group by defining, for $x \in R$, $A(G) + r \in R/A(G)$, the action $x(A(G) + r) = xr$. If $A(G) + r = A(G) + s$, then $r - s \in A(G)$ hence $x(r - s) = 0$ for all x in G , that is to say, $xr = xs$. This tells us that

$$x(A(G) + r) = xr = xs = x(A(G) + s)$$

Thus the action of $R/A(G)$ on G has been shown to be well defined. The verification of the structure of an $R/A(G)$ -group is a routine triviality. Finally, to see that G is a faithful $R/A(G)$ -group, we note that if $x(A(G) + r) = o$ for all $x \in G$, then by the definition of $R/A(G)$ -group structure, we have $xr = o$. Hence $r \in A(G)$, that is, $A(G) + r = A(G)$. This says that only the zero element of $R/A(G)$ annihilates all of G . Thus G is a faithful $R/A(G)$ -group.

(2) For any $x \in G$, clearly xR is an R -subgroup of G . The map $\phi : R \rightarrow xR$ defined by $\phi(r) = xr$ is an R -group epimorphism, so that from the isomorphism theorem for R -groups, since the kernel of ϕ is $(o : x)$, we deduce that

$$xR \cong R/(o : x)$$

as R -groups. □

PROPOSITION 2.16. *If (R, S) is a D.G. near-ring, then every monogenic R -group is a D.G. (R, S) -group.*

PROOF. Let G be a monogenic R -group with x as a generator. Then the map $\phi : r \mapsto xr$ is an R -group epimorphism from R to G . We see that by Proposition 2.15 (2),

$$G \cong R/A(x),$$

where $A(x) = (o : x) = \text{Ker}\phi$. From the Lemma 2.9, we obtain that G is a D.G. (R, S) -group. □

LEMMA 2.17. *Let G be an R -group. Then G is faithful if and only if for each $x \in G$, $R \cong xR$.*

PROOF. Suppose G is a faithful R -group. Then we can easily define the map $f : a \mapsto xa$ which is an R -group epimorphism from R to xR as R -groups for each $x \in G$.

To show that f is one-one, if $f(a) = f(b)$ for $a, b \in R$, then $xa = xb$, that is, $x(a - b) = o$ for all $x \in G$. This implies that $a - b \in \bigcap_{x \in G} (o : x)$, which is equal to $(o : G) = A(G)$ from Proposition 2.11 (3). Since G is faithful, $a - b = 0$. Hence for all $x \in G$, $R \cong xR$.

Conversely, assume the condition that $R \cong xR$ for all $x \in G$. Consider the map $f : R \rightarrow xR$ given by $a \mapsto xa$ is an R -group isomorphism. To show that G is faithful, take any element $a \in A(G)$, that is, $Ga = o$. This implies that for all $x \in G$, $xa = o$, that is, $f(a) = o$. Since f is an R -group isomorphism, $a = 0$.

Consequently, G is faithful. □

The following statement is a generalization of the Proposition 2.14.

PROPOSITION 2.18 [3]. *Let (R, S) be a D.G. near-ring. If G is an abelian faithful D.G. (R, S) -group, then R is a ring.*

The following proposition is useful in chapter 3 to make R -group of type 2 which is the analogous notion of simplicity, and primitive near-ring of type 2 which is the similar notion of primitivity in ring and module theory.

PROPOSITION 2.19. *Let G be a monogenic R -group with generator x . Then we have the following properties:*

- (1) *For any right ideal I of R , xI is an R -ideal of G .*
- (2) *If I is a left R -subgroup of R and xI is an R -ideal of G , then $(xI : x)$ is an ideal of R .*
- (3) *If e is a right identity of R and if G is a faithful R -group, then e is a two-sided identity of R .*
- (4) *If G is R_0 -simple, then either $GR = o$ or G is strongly monogenic.*

PROOF. (1) Let $a \in G$. Then there exists $t \in R$ such that $a = xt$. Thus for each $xy \in xI, r \in R$, and $a \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a + xy)r - ar &= (xt + xy)r - (xt)r = x(t + y)r - x(tr) \\ &= x\{(t + y)r - tr\} \in xI. \end{aligned}$$

In this same method, it is easily shown that xI is an additive normal subgroup of G . Therefore xI is an R -ideal of G .

(2) For any $y \in I$ and $a, b \in R$, we obtain the following equality:

$$x\{(y + a)b - ab\} = x(y + a)b - x(ab) = (xy + xa)b - (xa)b \in xI.$$

Hence $(y + a)b - ab \in (xI : x)$. In this way, we can show that $(xI : x)$ is an additive normal subgroup of R . Consequently, $(xI : x)$ is an ideal of R .

(3) First, let e is a right identity of R and $g = xt$ be any element in G . Then we have the relation that

$$ge = (xt)e = x(te) = xt = g$$

Next, let r be any element of R and g be an arbitrary element in G . Then one gets the following equality that

$$g(er - r) = g(er) + g(-r) = (ge)r - gr = gr - gr = o$$

Thus $(er - r) \in (o : G) = A(G)$. Since G is faithful, above this equality implies that $er - r = 0$, that is, $er = r$. Hence e is a two-sided identity of R .

(4) Suppose that G is R_0 -simple and $G = GR \neq o$. Then G has no R -subgroups except $\Omega = o$ and G . Let $x \in G$ and $xR \neq o$. Then since xR is an R -subgroup, moreover an R_0 -subgroup by Lemma 2.4 (2) of G , $G = xR$. Hence G is strongly monogenic. \square

3. Some properties on types of primitive near-rings

In chapter 2, we studied some properties of monogenic R -groups, faithful R -groups and introduced simplicity and R -simplicity concepts of R -groups.

From now on, we shall introduce several types of R -groups and several types of near-rings. Also, we will investigate some properties of primitivity types of near-rings and their characterizations.

Since 1963, Betsch [2] introduced three primitivity types of near-rings. However, the research has been concentrated mostly to the zero symmetric near-rings. As we showed in introduction, in general, near-rings appear as certain sets of group transformations and zero symmetric near-rings correspond to sets of zero preserving transformations. There are lots of interesting transformation near-rings whose elements do not preserve zero in general, for example, near-rings of affine functions on modules, near-rings of polynomial functions on groups or rings, near-rings of continuous functions on topological groups etc.

Let G be a nonzero R -group. Then there are 3 types of R -groups:

- (1) G is said to be of *type 0* if it is simple and monogenic;

- (2) G is said to be of *type 1* if it is simple and strongly monogenic;
- (3) G is said to be of *type 2* if it is R_0 -simple.

In this definitions, the notion of (3) is a generalization of type 2 R -group in G. Pilz [10] and K. Kaarli [4]. In ring and module theory, the R -group of type 2 is the same concept of an irreducible ring module.

The previous Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.5 implies the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let G be an R -group. Then we get the following conditions:*

- (1) G is of type 2 implies G is of type 1 implies G is of type 0.
- (2) If G is of type 1 or G type 2, then $\Omega = 0$ or $\Omega = G$.
- (3) If G is unitary R_0 -group, then G is of type 1 if and only if G is of type 2.

For example, if $G = Z_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $R_1 := \{f \in M_0(G) \mid 2f = 0 \text{ or } 2\}$, $R_2 := \{f \in M_0(G) \mid 2f = 0\}$ and $R_3 := \{f \in M_0(G) \mid 3f = 0\}$, then we get the following:

- (1) R_1 -group G is of type 0 but not of type 1;
- (2) R_2 -group G is of type 1 but not of type 2;
- (3) R_3 -group G is of type 2.

Now we will consider changes of near-rings, for example, an R -group G_R change into $G_{R/I}$ for some ideal I of R , G_{R_0} and G_{R_c} . This changes will be an important tool in later consideration.

LEMMA 3.2 [10]. *Let G be an additive group, I be an ideal of a near-ring R and $t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.*

- (1) *If G is an R -group with $I \subseteq (o : G)$, then for all $x \in G$ and all $a \in R$, $x(I+a) = xa$ makes G into an R/I -group. Moreover, we get the following two conditions: If G_R is of type t , then $G_{R/I}$ is of type t . If G_R is faithful, then $G_{R/I}$ is also faithful.*
- (2) *If G is R/I -group, then for all $x \in G$ and all $a \in R$, $xa = x(I+a)$ makes G into an R -group in a natural way.*

Observe that $(R/I)_0 = \{I + a \mid a \in R_0\} = R_0/I$.

The relation between G_R and G_{R_0} is particularly important as following.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let G be an R -group. Then we get the followings:*

- (1) G_R is simple if and only if G_{R_0} is simple.

- (2) If G_{R_0} is monogenic by x , then G_R is monogenic by x .
 (3) If G_{R_0} is strongly monogenic, then G_R is strongly monogenic or $o \neq \Omega \neq G$.
 (4) If G is R_0 -simple, then G is R -simple.

PROOF. (1) From the Lemma 2.4 (1), since any R -ideal of G is an R_0 -ideal of G , and also the converse is hold, this condition (1) is true.

(2) Suppose that G_{R_0} is monogenic by x . Then $G = xR_0 \subseteq xR \subseteq G$. Hence $G = xR$ and G_R is monogenic by x .

(3) Suppose that G_{R_0} is strongly monogenic. Then by the condition (2), G_R is monogenic. Let $x \in G$ and assume that $o \neq \Omega \neq G$ is not true, that is, $o = \Omega$ or $\Omega = G$.

i) In case $o = \Omega$, we see that

$$xR = x(R_0 + R_c) = xR_0 + xR_c = xR_0 + \Omega = xR_0$$

So this is o or G , because of G_{R_0} is strongly monogenic.

ii) In case $\Omega = G$, we get $xR = x(R_0 + R_c) = xR_0 + xR_c = xR_0 + \Omega = G$.

Consequently, from i) and ii), G_R is strongly monogenic.

(4) This condition is clear by the Lemma 2.4 (2). \square

We remark that the converse of Proposition 3.3 (4) is not true: For example, let $R = M_c(Z_4) = \{0_c, 1_c, 2_c, 3_c\}$, where the lower subscript letter c of n_c means constant function into n . Then $R_0 = \{0_c\}$, and R -group Z_4 is R -simple but not R_0 -simple, since $\{0, 2\}$ is R_0 -subgroup of Z_4 .

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let G be an R -group and let $t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

- (1) If G_R is of type t , then G_{R_0} is of type t or $GR_0 = o$.
 (2) If G_{R_0} is of type t (for $t=1$ assume that $\Omega = o$ or $\Omega = G$ in G_R), then G_R is of type t .

PROOF. (1) Already we know that G_R is simple if and only if G_{R_0} is simple by Proposition 3.3 (1). Let G_R is monogenic by x . At first, we see that R_0 is a right ideal of R , indeed for any $a \in R_0$, any $r, s \in R$, since $o\{(a+r)s - rs\} = (oa + or)s - ors = ors - ors = o$, $(a+r)s - rs \in R_0$. By Proposition 2.19 (1), For this right ideal R_0 of R , xR_0 is an R -ideal of G_R . Since G_R is simple, $xR_0 = o$ or $xR_0 = G$.

i) In case $xR_0 = G$, obviously, G_{R_0} is monogenic by x .

ii) In case $xR_0 = o$, then $G = xR = x(R_0 + R_c) = xR_0 + xR_c = o + \Omega = \Omega$. This implies that for any $y \in G$, $yR = G$. Again by Proposition 2.19

(1), for any $y \in G$, $yR_0 = o$ or $yR_0 = G$. Thus in either case, G_{R_0} is monogenic or $GR_0 = o$. Hence G_R is of type 0 implies that G_{R_0} is of type 0 or $GR_0 = o$.

Next, If G_R is of type 1, then G_R is strongly monogenic, so $\Omega = o$ or $\Omega = G$.

In case $\Omega = o$, then since for each $x \in G$,

$$xR = x(R_0 + R_c) = xR_0 + xR_c = xR_0 + \Omega = xR_0$$

G_{R_0} is again of type 1.

In case $\Omega = G$, then each $x \in G$ generates G_R by Lemma 2.3 (1) and (2). So again by Proposition 2.19 (1), for any $x \in G$, $xR_0 = o$ or $xR_0 = G$.

Consequently, in either case, G_{R_0} is either of type 1 or $GR_0 = o$. The assertion for $t = 2$ is trivial since every R_0 -subgroup of G_{R_0} is R_0 -subgroup of G_R .

(2) This condition is proved by using the Proposition 3.3. □

Any right ideal I of a near-ring R is called *modular* if there exists $e \in R$ such that $a - ea \in I$ for all $a \in R$. In this case, we also say that I is “modular by e ” and that e is a left identity modulo I , since for each $a \in R$, $ea \equiv a \pmod I$.

In all what follows, t will be any number $\in \{0, 1, 2\}$ unless otherwise specified. A right ideal I of R is called *t-modular* if I is modular and R/I is an R -group (via $(I+a)r = I+ar$ for any $I+a \in R/I$ and $r \in R$) of type t .

LEMMA 3.5. *For any right ideal I of a near-ring R , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) I is modular.
- (2) There exists a monogenic R -group G with generator x such that $I = (o : x)$.

PROOF. (1) \implies (2) Suppose I is modular by e . Then $G := R/I$ is monogenic by $I + e =: x$. Indeed, since I is modular by e , $a - ea \in I$, that is, $I + a = I + ea$, we deduce that

$$(I + e)R = \{(I + e)a \mid a \in R\} = \{I + a \mid a \in R\} = R/I$$

Moreover, $a \in (o : x) = (o : I + e)$ if and only if $I + a = I + ea = I + o$ if and only if $a \in I$. Thus $I = (o : x)$.

(2) \implies (1) Suppose that G is a monogenic R -group with generator x such that $I = (o : x)$. Then there exists an element $e \in R$ such that $x = xe$. On the other hand, for any $a \in R$, $xa = xea$, this implies that $x(a - ea) = o$, that is, $(a - ea) \in (o : x) = I$. Therefore I is modular by e . \square

Applying Proposition 2.15 (2) and Lemma 3.5, we get the following first statement:

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let R be a near-ring and I be a right ideal of R .*

- (1) *If I is modular, then $(I : R) \subseteq I$.*
- (2) *If I is modular by e , then $(I : R) = (I : eR)$ which is the largest ideal of R contained in I .*

PROOF. (1) By Lemma 3.5, we take a monogenic R -group $G := R/I$ with generator x such that $I = (o : x)$. Then $(I : R) = (o : R/I) = (o : G) \subseteq (o : x) = I$.

(2) First, from $eR \subseteq R$ we have $(I : R) \subseteq (I : eR)$. For converse inclusion, let $r \in (I : eR)$. Then for any $a \in R$, $ear \subseteq I$. On the other hand, since I is modular by e , $ar - ear \in I$ for $ar \in R$. Hence for all $a \in R$, $ar \in I$, that is, $Rr \subseteq I$. Thus $r \in (I : R)$. So we proved that $(I : R) = (I : eR)$.

Next, from the Lemma 2.10 (1) and (3), $(I : R)$ is a two-sided ideal of R , and from the condition (1), $(I : R) \subseteq I$. If J is a two-sided ideal of R with $J \subseteq I$, then since J is a left ideal of R ,

$$RJ \subseteq J \subseteq I$$

This implies that $J \subseteq (I : R)$. Therefore $(I : R) = (I : eR)$ is the largest ideal of R contained in I . \square

DEFINITION 3.7. Let R be a near-ring and I be an ideal of R .

- (1) R is called *t -primitive on G_R* if G is faithful and of type t ;
- (2) R is called *t -primitive* if there exists an R -group G such that R is t -primitive on G_R ;
- (3) I is called *t -primitive* if R/I is a t -primitive near-ring.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let I be an ideal of R . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) I is *t -primitive*.

- (2) There exists an R/I -group G such that G is faithful and of type t .
- (3) There exists an R -group G such that $I = (o : G)$ and G is of type t .
- (4) There exists a right ideal J of R such that $I = (J : R)$ and J is t -modular.

PROOF. (1) \iff (2) By the definition of t -primitive ideal, I is t -primitive if and only if R/I is a t -primitive near-ring if and only if there exists an R/I -group G such that R/I is t -primitive on $G_{R/I}$ if and only if there exists an R/I -group G such that G is faithful and of type t .

(2) \iff (3) We can prove this condition by defining $x(I + a) = xa$ and the fact G is faithful if and only if $I = (o : G)$ using the Lemma 3.2 (1) and (2).

(3) \implies (4) Consider a nonzero monogenic R -group $G = xR$ and $J = (o : x)$. Then by Corollary 2.11 (1), J is a right ideal of R , moreover this J is modular by Lemma 3.5. Again by Proposition 2.15 (2),

$$R/J = R/(o : x) \cong xR = G$$

Hence J is t -modular. Finally, $I = (o : G) = (o : R/J) = (J : R)$.

(4) \implies (3) Assume the condition (4). If we take $R/J =: G$, since J is t -primitive, J is modular and G is an R -group of type t and as above $I = (J : R) = (o : R/J) = (o : G)$. □

COROLLARY 3.9. For any near-ring R , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is t -primitive.
- (2) $\{o\}$ is a t -primitive ideal of R .
- (3) There exists an R -group G such that $o = (o : G)$ and G is of type t .
- (4) There exists a right ideal J of R such that $o = (J : R)$ and J is t -modular.

PROPOSITION 3.10. Let G be an R -group. If R is simple and G is of type t , then R is t -primitive on G .

PROOF. The Corollary 2.11 (2) implies that $(o : G)$ is an ideal of R . Since R is simple, so $(o : G) = 0$ or $(o : G) = R$.

i) In case $(o : G) = 0$, then G is faithful. So we are done.

ii) The case $(o : G) = R$ does not arise, for if the case $(o : G) = R$ arise, then $GR = o$. Since G is of type t , $G \neq o$ and monogenic with some

generator $x \in G$, thus $o \neq G = xR \subseteq GR = o$. This is a contradiction. Consequently, R is t -primitive on G . \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. This work was done while the author was visiting the Center of Ring Theory and Its Applications at Ohio University, Athens, OH. 45701. Also, the author is grateful for the kind hospitality he enjoyed during his visit at his sabbatical year 2002.

References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1974.
- [2] G. Betsch, *Primitive near-rings*, Math. Z. **130** (1973), 351–361.
- [3] Y. U. Cho, *On faithful monogenic R -groups and related substructures*, J. of Natural Science Institute at Silla Univ. **11** (2002), 27–43.
- [4] K. Kaarli, *Primitivity and simplicity of non-zero symmetric near-rings*, Comm. Algebra **26(11)** (1998), 3691–3708.
- [5] C. G. Lyons and J. D. P. Meldrum, *Characterizing series for faithful $D.G.$ near-rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 221–227.
- [6] S. J. Mahmood and J. D. P. Meldrum, *$D.G.$ near-rings on the infinite dihedral groups*, Near-rings and Near-fields (1987), Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.(North-Holland), 151–166.
- [7] C. J. Maxson and A. B. Van der Merwe, *Forcing linearity numbers for modules over rings with nontrivial idempotents*, J. Algebra **256** (2002), Academic Press, 66–84.
- [8] J. D. P. Meldrum, *Upper faithful $D.G.$ near-rings*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **26** (1983), 361–370.
- [9] ———, *Near-rings and their links with groups*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, London, Melbourne, 1985.
- [10] G. Pilz, *Near-rings*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1983.

Department of Mathematics
 College of Natural Sciences
 Silla University
 Pusan 617-736, Korea
E-mail: yucho@silla.ac.kr