

## THE STABILITY OF A MIXED TYPE FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITY WITH THE FIXED POINT ALTERNATIVE

KYOO-HONG PARK AND YONG-SOO JUNG

ABSTRACT. In this note, by using the fixed point alternative, we investigate the modified Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the following mixed type functional inequality which is either cubic or quadratic:

$$\|8f(x - 3y) + 24f(x + y) + f(8y) - 8[f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - y) + 2f(2y)]\| \leq \varphi(x, y).$$

### 1. Introduction

*Under what condition does there exist a homomorphism near an approximately homomorphism between a group and a metric group?* This is called the stability problem of functional equations which was first raised by S. M. Ulam [27] in 1940. In the next year, D. H. Hyers [7] answers the problem of Ulam under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mappings was given by Th. M. Rassias in [19]. The terminology Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability originates from this historical background. Since then, a great deal of work has been done by a number of authors (for instance, [2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]).

In particular, one of the important functional equations studied is the following functional equation [1, 4, 12, 13, 14]:

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y).$$

The quadratic function  $f(x) = qx^2$  is a solution of this functional equation, and so one usually call the above functional equation quadratic.

---

Received October 16, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B72, 39B52.

Key words and phrases: stability, cubic function, quadratic function, fixed point alternative.

The Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional inequality

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq \delta$$

was first proved by F. Skof [26] for a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  is a normed space and  $Y$  a Banach space. In [4], S. Czerwik generalized the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional inequality.

On the other hand, consider the functional equation (see [11] and cf. [17])

$$(1) \quad f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 2f(x+y) + 2f(x-y) + 12f(x).$$

The equation (1) is satisfied by the cubic function  $f(x) = cx^3$  and hence, for convenience in this note, we promise that the equation (1) is called a cubic functional equation and that every solution of the equation (1) is said to be a cubic function.

The stability result for the cubic functional inequality

$$\|f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) - 2f(x+y) - 2f(x-y) - 12f(x)\| \leq \rho(x, y)$$

was obtained by K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim [11], where  $f$  is a function from the normed space  $X$  to the Banach space  $Y$ .

Now, let us introduce the following functional equation:

$$(2) \quad 8f(x-3y) + 24f(x+y) + f(8y) = 8[f(x+3y) + 3f(x-y) + 2f(2y)].$$

It is easy to see that all the real-valued functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of mixed type, i.e., either  $f(x) = cx^3$  or  $f(x) = qx^2$ , satisfy the functional equation (2). Our main goal in this note is to investigate the modified Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the following mixed type functional inequality for a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  is a normed space and  $Y$  a Banach space:

$$(3) \quad \|8f(x-3y) + 24f(x+y) + f(8y) - 8[f(x+3y) + 3f(x-y) + 2f(2y)]\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

by using the fixed point alternative [15, 16].

## 2. Stability of inequality (3)

For explicitly later use, we first state the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** (*The alternative of fixed point [15]*) Suppose that we are given a complete generalized metric space  $(\Omega, d)$  and a strictly contractive mapping  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  with Lipschitz constant  $\Lambda$ . Then, for each

given  $x \in \Omega$ , either

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty \text{ for all } n \geq 0,$$

or

There exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that

- $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ ;
- the sequence  $(T^n x)$  is convergent to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $T$ ;
- $y^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$  in the set  $\Delta = \{y \in \Omega : d(T^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;
- $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\Lambda} d(y, Ty)$  for all  $y \in \Delta$ .

Now let us prove the modified Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the functional inequality (3) by using the fixed point alternative as in [16].

From now on, let  $X$  be a real vector space and  $Y$  be a real Banach space. Given a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we set

$$Df(x, y) := 8f(x - 3y) + 24f(x + y) + f(8y) - 8[f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - y) + 2f(2y)]$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Let  $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a given function. Let  $\psi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the function defined by

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{x}{8}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{8}, -\frac{x}{8}\right) \right]$$

for all  $x \in X$  such that there exists a constant  $L < 1$  satisfying the inequality

$$(4) \quad \psi(x) \leq L \cdot \lambda_i^3 \cdot \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\lambda_i = 2$  if  $i = 0$  and  $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $i = 1$ . Furthermore, assume that the identity

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\lambda_i^n x, \lambda_i^n y)}{\lambda_i^{3n}} = 0$$

holds for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\lambda_i = 2$  if  $i = 0$  and  $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $i = 1$ .

Similarly, we define a function  $\phi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{8}\right) + \varphi\left(0, -\frac{x}{8}\right) \right]$$

for all  $x \in X$ , and suppose that there exists a constant  $M < 1$  satisfying the inequality

$$(6) \quad \phi(x) \leq M \cdot \mu_j^2 \cdot \phi\left(\frac{x}{\mu_j}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\mu_j = 4$  if  $j = 0$  and  $\mu_j = \frac{1}{4}$  if  $j = 1$ . Moreover, the identity

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\mu_j^n x, \mu_j^n y)}{\mu_j^{2n}} = 0$$

holds for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\mu_j = 4$  if  $j = 0$  and  $\mu_j = \frac{1}{4}$  if  $j = 1$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional inequality*

$$(8) \quad \|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . If we take the conditions (4), (5), (6) and (7), then there exist a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  and a unique quadratic function  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$(9) \quad \|f(x) - (C(x) + Q(x))\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \psi(x) + \frac{M^{1-j}}{1-M} \phi(x),$$

$$(10) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - C(x) \right\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \psi(x)$$

and

$$(11) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq \frac{M^{1-j}}{1-M} \phi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $i, j = 0, 1$ .

The functions  $C$  and  $Q$  are given by

$$C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda_i^n x) - f(-\lambda_i^n x)}{2 \cdot \lambda_i^{3n}} \text{ and } Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\mu_j^n x) + f(-\mu_j^n x)}{2 \cdot \mu_j^{2n}}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , respectively, where  $i, j = 0, 1$ .

**PROOF.** Consider the set

$$\Omega := \{k : k : X \rightarrow Y, k(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on  $\Omega$ :

$$d_\psi(k, l) = \inf\{K > 0 : \|k(x) - l(x)\| \leq K\psi(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $(\Omega, d_\psi)$  is complete.

Suppose first that we take the conditions (4) and (5). If we define a function  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  by

$$Tk(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_i^3} k(\lambda_i x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , then we obtain from (4) that for all  $k, l \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\psi(k, l) < K &\implies \|k(x) - l(x)\| \leq K\psi(x), \quad x \in X \\ &\implies \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda_i^3} k(\lambda_i x) - \frac{1}{\lambda_i^3} l(\lambda_i x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_i^3} K\psi(\lambda_i x), \quad x \in X \\ &\implies \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda_i^3} k(\lambda_i x) - \frac{1}{\lambda_i^3} l(\lambda_i x) \right\| \leq LK\psi(x), \quad x \in X \\ &\implies d_\psi(Tk, Tl) \leq LK. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that

$$d_\psi(Tk, Tl) \leq Ld_\psi(k, l)$$

for all  $k, l \in \Omega$ , that is,  $T$  is a strictly contractive self-mapping of  $\Omega$  with the Lipschitz constant  $L$ .

Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be the function defined by  $g(x) = \frac{1}{2} [f(x) - f(-x)]$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then we have  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g(-x) = -g(x)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad \|Dg(x, y)\| &= \|8g(x - 3y) + 24g(x + y) + g(8y) \\ &\quad - 8[g(x + 3y) + 3g(x - y) + 2g(2y)]\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(x, y) + \varphi(-x, -y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Putting  $y := x$  in (12) yields

$$(13) \quad \|g(8x) - 8g(4x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(-x, -x)],$$

which, by setting  $x := \frac{x}{4}$  in (13) and using (4) with the case  $i = 0$ , gives

$$\left\| g(x) - \frac{g(2x)}{2^3} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^3} \psi(2x) \leq L\psi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , that is,  $d_\psi(g, Tg) \leq L < \infty$ .

If we substitute  $x := \frac{x}{8}$  in (13) and use (4) with the case  $i = 1$ , then we see that

$$\left\| g(x) - 2^3 g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \psi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , that is,  $d_\psi(g, Tg) \leq 1 < \infty$ .

Now, from the fixed point alternative in both cases, it follows that there exists a fixed point  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $T$  in  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $C(2x) = 8C(x)$  holds for all  $x \in X$  such that

$$(14) \quad C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(\lambda_i^n x)}{\lambda_i^{3n}}$$

for all  $x \in X$  since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n g, C) = 0$ .

To show that the function  $C$  is cubic, let us replace  $x$  and  $y$  by  $\lambda_i^n x$  and  $\lambda_i^n y$  in (12), respectively and divide by  $\lambda_i^{3n}$ . Then it follows from (5) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DC(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Dg(\lambda_i^n x, \lambda_i^n y)\|}{\lambda_i^{3n}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\lambda_i^n x, \lambda_i^n y) + \varphi(-\lambda_i^n x, -\lambda_i^n y)}{\lambda_i^{3n}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , namely,  $C$  satisfies the functional equation (2). Since the identity  $C(2x) = 8C(x)$  holds for all  $x \in X$ , the equation (2) is reduced to the form

$$(15) \quad C(x + 3y) + 3C(x - y) = C(x - 3y) + 3C(x + y) + 48C(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let us replace  $x$  by  $-x$  in (14). Then it follows from the oddness of  $g$  that  $C$  is odd, and hence interchanging  $x$  and  $y$  in (15) yields

$$(16) \quad C(3x + y) + C(3x - y) = 3C(x + y) + 3C(x - y) + 48C(x).$$

If we put  $y := -x + y$  and  $y := -x - y$  in (16), respectively and compare the results, then we obtain

$$(17) \quad C(4x + y) + C(4x - y) = 2C(2x + y) + 2C(2x - y) + 96C(x).$$

Finally, replacing  $y$  by  $2y$  in (17) and using  $C(2x) = 8C(x)$ , we see that  $C$  satisfies the functional equation (1). Therefore  $C$  is cubic.

According to the fixed point alternative, since  $C$  is the *unique* fixed point of  $T$  in the set  $\Delta = \{k \in \Omega : d_\psi(g, k) < \infty\}$ ,  $C$  is the unique function such that

$$\|g(x) - C(x)\| \leq K\psi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and some constant  $K > 0$ . Again using the fixed point alternative, we have

$$d_\psi(g, C) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d_\psi(g, Tg),$$

and so we obtain the inequality

$$d_\psi(g, C) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}$$

which yields the inequality (10), where  $i = 0, 1$ .

As in the previous case, by introducing the following generalized metric on  $\Omega$ :

$$d_\phi(k, l) = \inf\{R > 0 : \|k(x) - l(x)\| \leq R\phi(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\},$$

we also see that  $(\Omega, d_\phi)$  is complete.

Assume now that we take the conditions (6) and (7). Defining a function  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  by

$$Sk(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_j^2} k(\mu_j x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , we obtain from (6) that for all  $k, l \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(k, l) < R &\implies \|k(x) - l(x)\| \leq R\phi(x), \quad x \in X \\ &\implies \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_j^2} k(\mu_j x) - \frac{1}{\mu_j^2} l(\mu_j x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\mu_j^2} R\phi(\mu_j x), \quad x \in X \\ &\implies \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_j^2} k(\mu_j x) - \frac{1}{\mu_j^2} l(\mu_j x) \right\| \leq MR\phi(x), \quad x \in X \\ &\implies d_\phi(Sk, Sl) \leq MR. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that

$$d_\phi(Sk, Sl) \leq Md_\phi(k, l)$$

for all  $k, l \in \Omega$ , that is,  $S$  is a strictly contractive self-mapping of  $\Omega$  with the Lipschitz constant  $M$ .

Let  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  be the function defined by  $h(x) = \frac{1}{2} [f(x) + f(-x)]$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then we have  $h(0) = 0$ ,  $h(-x) = h(x)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad \|Dh(x, y)\| &= \|8h(x - 3y) + 24h(x + y) + h(8y) \\ &\quad - 8[h(x + 3y) + 3h(x - y) + 2h(2y)]\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(x, y) + \varphi(-x, -y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . By setting  $x := 0$  in (18) and then letting  $y := x$ , we get

$$(19) \quad \|h(8x) - 16h(2x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(0, x) + \varphi(0, -x)].$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (19) and then employing (6) with  $j = 0$ , we obtain

$$(20) \quad \left\| h(x) - \frac{h(4x)}{4^2} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4^2} \phi(4x) \leq M\phi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , that is,  $d_\phi(h, Sh) \leq M < \infty$ .

If we substitute  $x := \frac{x}{8}$  in (19) and use (6) with the case  $j = 1$ , then we see that

$$\left\| h(x) - 4^2 h\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \right\| \leq \phi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , that is,  $d_\phi(h, Sh) \leq 1 < \infty$ .

Now, by applying the fixed point alternative in both cases, we see that there exists a fixed point  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $S$  in  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $Q(4x) = 16Q(x)$  holds for all  $x \in X$  such that

$$(21) \quad Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(\mu_j^n x)}{\mu_j^{2n}}$$

for all  $x \in X$  since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(S^n h, Q) = 0$ .

To show that the function  $Q$  is quadratic, let us replace  $x$  and  $y$  by  $\mu_j^n x$  and  $\mu_j^n y$  in (18), respectively and divide by  $\mu_j^{2n}$ . Then it follows from (7) and (21) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DQ(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Dh(\mu_j^n x, \mu_j^n y)\|}{\mu_j^{2n}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\mu_j^n x, \mu_j^n y) + \varphi(-\mu_j^n x, -\mu_j^n y)}{\mu_j^{2n}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  which means that  $Q$  satisfies the functional equation (2). Since the identity  $Q(4x) = 16Q(x)$  holds for all  $x \in X$ , the equation (2) is reduced to the form

$$(22) \quad Q(x + 3y) + 3Q(x - y) = Q(x - 3y) + 3Q(x + y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . By (21), it is immediate that  $Q(0) = 0$  and  $Q$  is even. Let us replace  $x$  by  $y$  in (22) and then put  $y := \frac{y}{2}$ . Then we get  $Q(2y) = 4Q(y)$ . If we set  $x := 3y$  in (22) and use  $Q(2y) = 4Q(y)$ , then we have  $Q(3y) = 9Q(y)$ .

Substituting  $x := x - y$  and  $x := x + y$  in (22), respectively and then comparing the results, we obtain

$$(23) \quad Q(x + 4y) + 2Q(x - 2y) = Q(x - 4y) + 2Q(x + 2y).$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $2x$  in (23) and using  $Q(2y) = 4Q(y)$ , we have

$$(24) \quad Q(x + 2y) + 2Q(x - y) = Q(x - 2y) + 2Q(x + y).$$

From the substitutions  $x := x + y$  and  $y := x - y$  in (24), we deduce

$$Q(3x - y) + 8Q(y) = Q(x - 3y) + 8Q(x),$$

and replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  gives

$$Q(3x + y) + 8Q(y) = Q(x + 3y) + 8Q(x),$$

that is,

$$(25) \quad Q(3x + y) - Q(x + 3y) = 8Q(x) - 8Q(y).$$



Setting  $x + y$  instead of  $x$  in (24), we get

$$(26) \quad Q(x + 3y) + 2Q(x) = 2Q(x + 2y) + 2Q(x - y)$$

and interchanging  $x$  and  $y$  in (26) yields

$$(27) \quad Q(3x + y) + 2Q(y) = 2Q(2x + y) + 2Q(x - y).$$

If we subtract (27) from (26) and use (25), we obtain

$$(28) \quad Q(x + 2y) + 3Q(x) = Q(2x + y) + 3Q(y)$$

which, by putting  $y := 2y$  in (28) and using  $Q(2y) = 4Q(y)$ , leads to

$$(29) \quad Q(x + 4y) + 3Q(x) = 4Q(x + y) + 12Q(y).$$

Interchanging  $x$  with  $y$  in (29) gives

$$(30) \quad Q(4x + y) + 3Q(y) = 4Q(x + y) + 12Q(x),$$

and by replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  in (30), we arrive at

$$(31) \quad Q(4x - y) + 3Q(y) = 4Q(x - y) + 12Q(x).$$

Comparing (30) with (31), we have

$$(32) \quad Q(4x + y) + Q(4x - y) + 6Q(y) = 4Q(x + y) + 4Q(x - y) + 24Q(x).$$

Now utilizing the substitutions  $x := x + \frac{y}{2}$  and  $y := x - \frac{y}{2}$  in (28), we obtain

$$Q(3x) + 3Q(x + y) = Q\left(3\left(x + \frac{y}{2}\right)\right) + 3Q\left(x - \frac{y}{2}\right),$$

and letting  $y := -y$  in this relation yields

$$Q(3x) + 3Q(x - y) = Q\left(3\left(x - \frac{y}{2}\right)\right) + 3Q\left(x + \frac{y}{2}\right).$$

Since  $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$  and  $Q(3x) = 9Q(x)$ , we add the above two relations to obtain

$$(33) \quad Q(2x + y) + Q(2x - y) = Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) + 6Q(x).$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $2x$  in (33), we get

$$Q(4x + y) + Q(4x - y) = Q(2x + y) + Q(2x - y) + 24Q(x)$$

which, by (33), gives

$$(34) \quad Q(4x + y) + Q(4x - y) = Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) + 30Q(x).$$

By comparing (32) with (34), we conclude that

$$Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y)$$

which implies that  $Q$  is quadratic.

In view of the fixed point alternative, since  $Q$  is the *unique* fixed point of  $S$  in the set  $\Delta = \{k \in \Omega : d_\phi(h, k) < \infty\}$ ,  $Q$  is the unique function such that

$$\|h(x) - Q(x)\| \leq R\phi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and some constant  $R > 0$ . Finally, again using the fixed point alternative, we have

$$d_\phi(h, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-M} d_\phi(h, Sh),$$

and so we obtain the inequality

$$d_\phi(h, Q) \leq \frac{M^{1-j}}{1-M}$$

which yields the inequality (11), where  $j = 0, 1$ .

Since we have  $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - (C(x) + Q(x))\| &\leq \|g(x) - C(x)\| + \|h(x) - Q(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \psi(x) + \frac{M^{1-j}}{1-M} \phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $i, j = 0, 1$ . We complete the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

From Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary concerning the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability [19] of the functional inequality (3).

Let  $p \neq 2, 3$  be any real number. For the convenience, set

$$\chi_1(p) := \frac{1}{2^{2p+2} \cdot (2^{p-3} - 1)}, \quad \chi_2(p) := \frac{1}{2^{3p-1} \cdot (2^{3-p} - 1)}$$

and

$$\chi_3(p) := \frac{1}{2^{p+4} \cdot (4^{p-2} - 1)}, \quad \chi_4(p) := \frac{1}{2^{3p} \cdot (4^{2-p} - 1)}.$$

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and let  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ ,  $p \neq 2, 3$  be real numbers. Suppose that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Then there exist a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  and a unique quadratic function  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - (C(x) + Q(x))\| \leq \chi(p)\varepsilon\|x\|^p,$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - C(x) \right\| \leq \chi_t(p)\varepsilon\|x\|^p \quad (t = 1 \text{ or } 2)$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq \chi_t(p)\varepsilon\|x\|^p \quad (t = 3 \text{ or } 4),$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where

$$\chi(p) = \begin{cases} \chi_1(p) + \chi_3(p) & \text{if } p > 3 \\ \chi_2(p) + \chi_3(p) & \text{if } 2 < p < 3 \\ \chi_2(p) + \chi_4(p) & \text{if } p < 2. \end{cases}$$

The functions  $C$  and  $Q$  are given by

$$C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda_i^n x) - f(-\lambda_i^n x)}{2 \cdot \lambda_i^{3n}} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\mu_j^n x) + f(-\mu_j^n x)}{2 \cdot \mu_j^{2n}}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , respectively, where  $i = 0$  if  $p < 3$  and  $i = 1$  if  $p > 3$ ,  $j = 0$  if  $p < 2$  and  $j = 1$  if  $p > 2$ .

PROOF. Let  $\varphi(x, y) := \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $p \neq 3$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\varphi(\lambda_i^n x, \lambda_i^n y)}{\lambda_i^{3n}} = (\lambda_i^n)^{p-3} \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is, (5) is true.

Since the identity

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i^3} \psi(\lambda_i x) = \lambda_i^{p-3} 2^{1-3p} \varepsilon \|x\|^p = \lambda_i^{p-3} \psi(x)$$

holds for all  $x \in X$ , we see that the inequality (4) holds with either  $L = 2^{p-3}$  ( $p < 3$ ) or  $L = \frac{1}{2^{p-3}}$  ( $p > 3$ ).

On the other hand, letting  $p \neq 2$ , the relation

$$\frac{\varphi(\mu_j^n x, \mu_j^n y)}{\mu_j^{2n}} = (\mu_j^n)^{p-2} \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  holds for all  $x, y \in X$ , therefore (7) is true. Since the identity

$$\frac{1}{\mu_j^2} \phi(\mu_j x) = \mu_j^{p-2} 2^{-3p} \varepsilon \|x\|^p = \mu_j^{p-2} \phi(x)$$

holds for all  $x \in X$ , we see that the inequality (6) holds with either  $M = 4^{p-2}$  ( $p < 2$ ) or  $M = \frac{1}{4^{p-2}}$  ( $p > 2$ ).

Hence we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\psi(x) + \frac{M^{1-j}}{1-M}\phi(x) &:= \chi(p)\varepsilon\|x\|^p \\ &= \begin{cases} (\chi_1(p) + \chi_3(p))\varepsilon\|x\|^p & \text{if } p > 3 \\ (\chi_2(p) + \chi_3(p))\varepsilon\|x\|^p & \text{if } 2 < p < 3 \\ (\chi_2(p) + \chi_4(p))\varepsilon\|x\|^p & \text{if } p < 2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  which completes the proof of the corollary.  $\square$

The following corollary is the Hyers-Ulam stability of the inequality (3) which is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $\theta \geq 0$  be a real number. Suppose that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \theta$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Then there exist a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  and a unique quadratic function  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - (C(x) + Q(x))\| \leq \frac{37}{210}\theta,$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - C(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{7}\theta$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{30}\theta$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

The functions  $C$  and  $Q$  are given by

$$C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda_i^n x) - f(-\lambda_i^n x)}{2 \cdot \lambda_i^{3n}} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\mu_j^n x) + f(-\mu_j^n x)}{2 \cdot \mu_j^{2n}}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , respectively, where  $i, j = 0, 1$ .

## References

- [1] J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [2] J. Baker, *The stability of the cosine equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 411–416.
- [3] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **27** (1984), 76–86.
- [4] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **62** (1992), 59–64.

- [5] V. A. Faiziev, Th. M. Rassias and P. K. Sahoo, *The space of  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -additive mappings on semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2002), no. 11, 4455–4472.
- [6] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [7] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [9] ———, *On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 425–430.
- [10] D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math. **44** (1992), 125–153.
- [11] K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim, *The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **274** (2002), no. 2, 867–878.
- [12] S.-M. Jung, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **222** (1998), 126–137.
- [13] Y.-S. Jung and K.-H. Park, *On the stability of the functional equation  $f(x + y + xy) = f(x) + f(y) + xf(y) + yf(x)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **274** (2002), no. 2, 659–666.
- [14] Pl. Kannappan, *Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces*, Results Math. **27** (1995), 368–372.
- [15] B. Margolis and J. B. Diaz, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1968), no. 74, 305–309.
- [16] V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca, (to appear in vol. IV on 2003).
- [17] J. M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam stability problem for cubic mappings*, Glas. Mat. **36** (2001), no. 1, 63–72.
- [18] ———, *On the Ulam stability of the mixed type mappings on restricted domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **276** (2002), 747–762.
- [19] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [20] ———, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 264–284.
- [21] ———, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Math. Appl. **62** (2000), 23–130.
- [22] Th. M. Rassias (Ed.), *Functional Equations and inequalities*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht/ Boston/ London, 2000.
- [23] Th. M. Rassias and J. Tabor, *What is left of Hyers-Ulam stability ?*, Journal of Natural Geometry **1** (1992), 65–69.
- [24] ———, *Stability of mappings of Hyers-Ulam type*, Hadronic Press, Inc., Florida, 1994.
- [25] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the behavior of mappings which does not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [26] F. Skof, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **53** (1983), 113–129.

- [27] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, (1960) Chap. VI, Science ed., Wiley, New York.

Kyoo-Hong Park  
Department of Mathematics Education  
Seowon University  
Chungbuk 361-742, Korea  
*E-mail*: parkkh@seowon.ac.kr

Yong-Soo Jung  
Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Taejon 305-764, Korea  
*E-mail*: ysjung@math.cnu.ac.kr