

## MINIMAL DIGITAL PSEUDOTORUS WITH $k$ -ADJACENCY, $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$

SANG-EON HAN

**Abstract.** In this paper, three kinds of minimal digital pseudotori  $DT_6, DT'_{18}, DT''_{26}$ , which are derived from the minimal simple 4- and 8-curves,  $MSC_4$  and  $MSC'_8$ , are shown and are proved not to be digitally  $k$ -homotopy equivalent to each other, where  $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$ . Furthermore, the digital topological properties of the minimal digital  $k$ -pseudotori are investigated in the digital homotopical point of view, where  $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$ (resp.  $\mathbb{N}$ ) represent the set of integers (resp. natural numbers) and let  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  be the set of points in the Euclidean  $n$ -dimensional space with integer coordinates.

A *digital picture* is commonly represented as a quadruple  $(\mathbb{Z}^n, k, \bar{k}, X)$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  is the set of finite points,  $k$  represents an adjacency relation for  $X$ , and  $\bar{k}$  represents an adjacency relation for  $\mathbb{Z}^n - X$  [1, 2, 8]. We say that the pair  $(X, k)$  is a *digital image*. For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \leq b$ , the set  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} | a \leq n \leq b\}$  is called a *digital interval* with 2-adjacency [1].

The study on a digital image with a  $k$ -connectedness is an important part of discrete geometry. So far, digital images have been studied

---

Received January 26, 2004. Revised April 10, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subjects Classification:51D25, 65D18, 68R10, 68RXX, 68T10, 68U05.

Key words and phrases: digital  $k$ -fundamental group, minimal simple  $k$ -curve, minimal digital 26-pseudotorus.

under the standard  $k$ -adjacency with relation to the digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuity, the digital  $k$ -homotopy and the digital  $k$ -fundamental group, where  $k, k_0, k_1 \in A_n := \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x = 3^n - 1 \text{ or } 2n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , but not  $n \neq 3$ . For  $n = 3$ ,  $k, k_0, k_1 \in \{6, 18, 26\}$  [1, 2, 8].

A digital  $k$ -fundamental group was studied in terms of the pointed digital homotopy [1] which is derived from the notion of digital continuity presented in [1, 2].

In this paper, we follow the notions of the digital continuity and the digital homotopy introduced in [1, 2].

The digital homeomorphism have come in use to the classification of digital images, to the study of a digital retract and an extension [2].

Digital images are now investigated with relation to digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuity, digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homeomorphism [1, 2, 3, 4] and digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy equivalence [5] with the following general adjacency relations, where  $k_i \in \{3^n - 1 (n \geq 2), 18 (n = 3), 2n (n \geq 1)\}$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

In this paper, three kinds of minimal digital  $k$ -pseudotori in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  are studied, where  $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$ . Namely,  $DT_6$ ,  $DT'_8$  and  $DT''_{26}$  are derived from the minimal simple closed 4- and 8-curves,  $MSC_4$  and  $MSC'_8$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  [4].

Furthermore, the digital topological properties of the minimal digital 6, 18 and 26-pseudotori are investigated via their digital homotopical properties, digital  $k$ -contractibility and digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy equivalence [5].

## 2. Definitions and preliminaries

The convenient digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuity in terms of a digital  $k_i$ -connectedness with the standard  $k_i$ -adjacency was shown,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  [1]. Meanwhile, in order to study the pointed digital homotopy theory intensively, we need recall the digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuity of [1, 2] with the general  $k$ -adjacency relations.

**Definition 2.1.** [1] In two digital pictures  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n_0}, k_0, \bar{k}_0, X)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n_1}, k_1, \bar{k}_1, Y)$ , we say that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is digitally  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous at  $x \in X$  if  $f$  satisfies the following: For a given point  $x \in X$  and every  $k_0$ -connected subset containing  $x$ ,  $O_{k_0}(x)$ ,  $f(O_{k_0}(x))$  is  $k_1$ -connected, where  $k_i \in \{3^{n_i} - 1 (n_i \geq 2), 18 (n_i = 3), 2n_i (n_i \geq 1)\}, i \in \{0, 1\}$ [1].

If  $f$  is digitally  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous at any point  $x \in X$ , then  $f$  is called a digitally  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous map.  $\square$

For a digital image  $X$  with  $k$ -adjacency and its subimage  $A$ , we call  $(X, A)$  a digital image pair with  $k$ -adjacency. In two digital pictures  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n_0}, k_0, \bar{k}_0, (X, A))$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n_1}, k_1, \bar{k}_1, (Y, B))$ , we say that  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  is digitally  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is digitally  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous and  $f(A) \subset B$ .

In a digital image  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ , two distinct points  $x, y \in X$  are called  $k$ -connected [8] if there is a  $k$ -path  $f : [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$  which the image is a sequence  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$  from the set of points  $\{f(0) = x_0 = x, f(1) = x_1, \dots, f(m) = x_m = y\}$  such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  are  $k$ -adjacent,  $i \in [0, m-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}, m \geq 1$ . The length of a  $k$ -path is the number  $m$  above [1, 6].

In [1, 2], the digital homotopy was introduced, we now define the digital relative  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy on  $A$  for some subimage  $A$  as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, k_0) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n_0}$  and  $(Y, k_1) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n_1}$  be digital images, and  $A \subset X$ . Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous functions. Suppose there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and a function  $F : X \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$  such that

- for all  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $F(x, m) = g(x)$ ;
- for all  $x \in X$ , the induced function  $F_x : [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $F_x(t) = F(x, t)$  is  $(2, k_1)$ -continuous for all  $t \in [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ;
- for all  $t \in [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the induced function  $F_t : X \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $F_t(x) = F(x, t)$  is  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous for all  $x \in X$ ; and
- for all  $t \in [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $F_t(x) = x$  for  $x \in A$ , *i.e.* the induced map  $F_t$  on  $A$  is fixed.

Then we call  $F$  a relative  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy on  $A$  between  $f$  and  $g$ , and we say that  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopic on  $A$  in  $Y$ .  $\square$

Especially, if  $A = \{x_0\} \subset X$ , then we say that  $F$  is a *pointed*  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy at  $\{x_0\}$  [1].

Roughly, for  $A \subset X$ , digitally continuous functions  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are relatively homotopic on  $A$  if there is a continuous deformation of  $f$  with  $A$  fixed in  $Y$  and finally, the deformed function coincides with  $g$ .

If the identity map  $1_X$  is relatively  $(k, k)$ -homotopic on  $\{x_0\}$  in  $X$  to a constant map with image consisting of some  $x_0 \in X$ , then we say that  $(X, x_0)$  is *pointed  $k$ -contractible* [2].

Especially, for the case of a digital  $(k, k)$ -homotopy, we call it a digital  $k$ -homotopy and use the notation:  $f \simeq_{d.k.h} g$  instead of  $f \simeq_{d.(k,k).h} g$ .

Furthermore, if  $A$  is a singleton set  $\{p\}$  in Definition 2.2, then  $(X, p)$  is called a *pointed digital image* [1].

Furthermore, we say that the image  $X$  is  $k$ -contractible if  $1_X \simeq_{d.k.h} c_{\{x_0\}}$ , where  $c_{\{x_0\}}$  is a constant map for some  $x_0 \in X$  [2].

We say that a digitally  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $k_1$ -nullhomotopic in  $Y$  if  $f$  is digitally  $k_1$ -homotopic in  $Y$  to a constant function  $c_{\{y_0\}}$ ,  $y_0 \in Y$  [1].

Concretely, for a pointed digital image  $(X, p)$ , a  $k$ -loop  $f$  based at  $p$  is a  $k$ -path in  $X$  with  $f(0) = p = f(m)$ , where the number  $m$  depends on the  $k$ -path above. And we put  $F_1^k(X, p) = \{f | f \text{ is a } k\text{-loop based at } p\}$ .

For maps  $f, g \in F_1^k(X, p)$ , i.e.,  $f : [0, m_1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (X, p)$  with  $f(0) = p = f(m_1)$  and  $g : [0, m_2]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (X, p)$  with  $g(0) = p = g(m_2)$ , we get a map  $f * g : [0, m_1 + m_2]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (X, p)$  as follows:

$f * g : [0, m_1 + m_2]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (X, p)$  is defined by

$f * g(t) = f(t), 0 \leq t \leq m_1$ , and  $g(t - m_1), m_1 \leq t \leq m_1 + m_2$ . Then  $f * g \in F_1^k(X, p)$ [7].

We denote the digital  $k$ -homotopy class of  $f$  by  $[f]$ . Obviously, the homotopy class  $[f * g]$  depends on the homotopy classes  $[f]$  and  $[g]$ .

Furthermore, for any  $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in F_1^k(X, p)$  such that  $f_1 \in [f_2], g_1 \in [g_2]$ , we get the map  $f_1 * g_1 \in [f_2 * g_2]$ , i.e.,  $[f_1 * g_1] = [f_2 * g_2]$  [1].

Then  $\pi_1^k(X, p) = \{[f] | f \in F_1^k(X, p)\}$  is a group with an operation,  $[f] \cdot [g] = [f * g]$  [7], which is called the digital  $k$ -fundamental group of a pointed digital image  $(X, p)$  [1].

Actually, if  $p$  and  $q$  belong to the same  $k$ -connected component of  $X$ , then  $\phi : \pi_1^k(X, p) \rightarrow \pi_1^k(X, q)$  is an isomorphism [1].

For digital pictures  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n_0}, k_0, \bar{k}_0, X)$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n_1}, k_1, \bar{k}_1, Y)$  and a digitally  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous based map  $h : (X, p) \rightarrow (Y, q)$ , the map  $h$  induces a digital fundamental group  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homomorphism [1] as follows.

Define  $\pi_1^{(k_0, k_1)}(h) = h_* : \pi_1^{k_0}(X, p) \rightarrow \pi_1^{k_1}(Y, q)$  by the equation  $h_*([f_1]) = [h \circ f_1]$ , where  $[f_1] \in \pi_1^{k_0}(X, p)$ , which is well defined. Particularly, if  $k_0 = k_1$ , we use the following notation,  $\pi_1^{k_0}(h)$  [1]. If  $X$  is  $k$ -contractible, then  $\pi_1^k(X, p)$  is trivial [1].

### 3. Minimal simple closed $k$ -curves and digital 26-pseudotori

For classifying digital images, we need special relations among digital images with  $k$ -adjacency relations. One of them is a digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homeomorphism as follows: For digital images  $X$  with  $k_0$ -adjacency,  $Y$  with  $k_1$ -adjacency, a map  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homeomorphism* if  $h$  is digitally  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous and bijective and further  $h^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is digitally  $(k_1, k_0)$ -continuous [3, 4]. Then we denote it by  $X \approx_{d.(k_0, k_1).h} Y$ . If  $k_0 = k_1$ , we say that it a digital homeomorphism [1, 2].

For a digital image  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ , distinct two points  $x, y \in X$  are called  $k$ -connected [8] if there is a  $k$ -path  $f : [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$  whose image is a sequence  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$  from the set of points  $\{f(0) = x_0 = x, f(1) = x_1, \dots, f(m) = x_m = y\}$  such that  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  are  $k$ -adjacent,  $i \in [0, m - 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}, m \geq 1$ . The length of a  $k$ -path is the number  $m$  [8]. And a simple  $k$ -curve is considered as a sequence  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$  of an image

of the  $k$ -path such that  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are  $k$ -adjacent if and only if  $j = i + 1$  or  $j = i - 1$  [1].

For one of the general  $k$ -adjacency relations on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , a *simple closed  $k$ -curve* in  $X$  [1] is the image of a  $(2, k)$ -continuous function  $f : [0, m - 1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(i)$  and  $f(j)$  are  $k$ -adjacent if and only if either  $j = i + 1(\text{mod } m)$  or  $i = j + 1(\text{mod } m)$ . And a *closed  $k$ -curve* in  $X$  is the image of a  $(2, k)$ -continuous function  $f : [0, m - 1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(i)$  and  $f(j)$  are  $k$ -adjacent if either  $j = i + 1(\text{mod } m)$  or  $i = j + 1(\text{mod } m)$ .

Now we introduce the minimal simple closed curves in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . For clarifying the digital  $k$ -connectedness, we use the subscript  $k$  for the denotation of the minimal simple closed  $k$ -curves by  $MSC_k$  or  $MSC'_k$  according to  $k \in \{4, 8\}$ , *i.e.*,  $MSC_8, MSC_4$  and  $MSC'_8$  [4]:

(1) Let  $MSC_8$  be the set which is digitally homeomorphic to the image,

$$\{(0, 0), (-1, 1), (-2, 0), (-2, -1), (-1, -2), (0, -1)\} [3, 4].$$

(2) Let  $MSC_4$  be the set which is digitally homeomorphic to the image,

$$\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (-1, 1), (-2, 1), (-2, 0), (-2, -1), (-1, -1), (0, -1)\} [3, 4].$$

(3) Let  $MSC'_8$  be the set which is digitally homeomorphic to the image,

$$\{(0, 0), (-1, 1), (-2, 0), (-1, -1)\} [3, 4].$$

Actually,  $MSC_8$  is not 8-contractible [4] and  $MSC_4$  and  $MSC'_8$  are not 4-contractible either [4]. But  $MSC_4$  and  $MSC'_8$  are 8-contractible (Theorem 3.1).

**Theorem 3.1** [1] The minimal simple closed 4-curve,  $MSC_4$  is 8-contractible.

The minimal simple closed  $k$ -curves,  $MSC_8, MSC_4$  and  $MSC'_8$  above are distinct up to a digital homeomorphism [3, 4].

For the digital images  $X$  with  $k_1$ -adjacency and  $Y$  with  $k_2$ -adjacency, the product digital image  $X \times Y = \{(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}$  with  $k_3$ -adjacency is taken [6]. The  $k_3$ -adjacency depends on the  $k_1$ - and  $k_2$ -adjacency relations [6].

Actually,  $X \times Y$  is digitally homeomorphic to  $Y \times X$  with the  $k_t$ -adjacency [6] above.

Furthermore, from the minimal simple closed  $k$ -curves,  $MSC_4$  and  $MSC'_8$ , the following product images are established [6]:

- (1)  $(MSC_4 \times MSC_4, 32) \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$ ,
- (2)  $(MSC_4 \times MSC'_8, 64) \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$  and
- (3)  $(MSC'_8 \times MSC'_8, 80) \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$ .

Moreover, we get the following minimal digital  $k$ -pseudotori in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  with relation to the digital homeomorphism, where  $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$ , *i.e.*,

- (4)  $MSC_4 \times MSC_4 \approx_{d.(32,6)\cdot h} DT_6$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}^3, 6, 26, DT_6)$ ,
- (5)  $MSC_4 \times MSC'_8 \approx_{d.(64,18)\cdot h} DT'_{18}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}^3, 18, 6, DT'_{18})$ ,
- (6)  $MSC'_8 \times MSC'_8 \approx_{d.(80,26)\cdot h} DT''_{26}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}^3, 26, 6, DT''_{26})$ ,

For clarifying the digital  $k$ -connectivity of the minimal digital pseudotorus in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ , where  $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$ , we use the subscript  $k$  like  $DT_6, DT'_{18}$  and  $DT''_{26}$ .

We prove that the digital  $k$ -pseudotori in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ ,  $DT_6, DT'_{18}$  and  $DT''_{26}$  are not digitally 6-, 18-, or 26-homotopy equivalent to each other in section 5.

### 5. Digital topological properties of the digital 26-pseudotori

The notion of digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy equivalence is now introduced in order to classify digital images.

**Definition 5.1.**[5] Given two digital pictures  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n_0}, k_0, \bar{k}_0, X)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n_1}, k_1, \bar{k}_1, Y)$ , if there are a digitally  $(k_0, k_1)$ -continuous map  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  and a digitally  $(k_1, k_0)$ -continuous map  $l : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $l \circ h \simeq_{d.k_0\cdot h} 1_X$

and  $h \circ l \simeq_{d.k_1.h} 1_Y$ , then the map  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy equivalence. And we use the notation,  $X \simeq_{d.(k_0, k_1).h.e} Y$ . Furthermore, if  $k_0 = k_1$ , we call  $h$  a digital  $k_0$ -homotopy equivalence and denote it by  $X \simeq_{d.k_0.h.e} Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2** The minimal simple closed  $k$ -curves  $MSC_4$ ,  $MSC_8$  and  $MSC'_8$  are distinct up to the digital  $k$ -homotopy equivalence,  $k \in \{4, 8\}$  except that  $MSC_4 \simeq_{d.8.h.e} MSC'_8$ .

*Proof.* We can easily see the following cases:  $MSC_4$  is not digitally 4- or 8-homotopy equivalent to  $MSC'_8$ ,  $MSC_4$  is not digitally 4- or 8-homotopy equivalent to  $MSC_8$  either,  $MSC_8$  is not digitally 8-homotopy equivalent to  $MSC'_8$ , and finally  $MSC_8$  is not digitally 8-homotopy equivalent to  $MSC_4$  either.

Finally, we only prove the following:  $MSC_4 \simeq_{d.8.h.e} MSC'_8$ . Meanwhile, we can assume  $MSC'_8$  to be a subimage of  $MSC_4$ . Let us consider  $MSC_4 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (-1, 1), (-2, 1), (-2, 0), (-2, -1), (-1, -1), (0, -1)\}$  and assume  $MSC'_8 = \{(0, 0), (-1, 1), (-2, 0), (-1, -1)\}$ . Then we consider two digital continuous maps,  $l : MSC'_8 \rightarrow MSC_4$  as the inclusion and  $h : MSC_4 \rightarrow MSC'_8$  is mapped as follows:

$h((0, 1)) = (0, 0)$ ,  $h((-2, 1)) = (-1, 1)$ ,  $h((-2, -1)) = (-2, 0)$ ,  $h((0, -1)) = (-1, -1)$  and for all point  $p \in \{(0, 0), (-1, 1), (-2, 0), (-1, -1)\}$ ,  $h(p) = p$ . Then we get  $MSC_4 \simeq_{d.8.h.e} MSC'_8$ , as required.  $\square$

For three kinds of minimal digital  $k$ -pseudotori, where  $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$ ,  $DT_6$ ,  $DT'_{18}$ , and  $DT''_{26}$ , we get the digital  $k$ -fundamental groups of them. And we now prove that  $DT_6$ ,  $DT'_{18}$  and  $DT''_{26}$  are not digitally  $k$ -homotopy equivalent to each other, where  $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$ .



**Theorem 5.3** The group  $\pi_1^k(DT''_{26}, t_0)$  is trivial, where  $t_0 \in DT''_{26}$  and  $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $DT''_{26}$  is assumed to be 26-homeomorphic to  $\cup_{i \in M} T_i$  below, where  $M = [1, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}}$

$$T_1 = \{t_0 = (0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (2, 0, 0), (1, 0, -1)\},$$

$$T_2 = \{(-1, 1, 0), (-1, 2, 1), (-1, 3, 0), (-1, 2, -1)\},$$

$$T_3 = \{(-2, 0, 0), (-3, 0, 1), (-4, 0, 0), (-3, 0, -1)\} \text{ and}$$

$$T_4 = \{(-1, -1, 0), (-1, -2, 1), (-1, -3, 0), (-1, -2, -1)\},$$

$DT''_{26}$  is proved to be 26-contractible from the similar method as proof of Theorem 3.1. And further, each point in  $DT''_{26}$  is distinct from each other with respect to the  $k$ -connectedness, where  $k \in \{18, 6\}$ . Then we get easily that  $\pi_1^k(DT''_{26}, t_0)$  is group isomorphic to the trivial group, where  $k \in \{18, 6\}$ .  $\square$

Similarly, we observe that  $\pi_1^k(DT_6, p_1)$  is a trivial group, where  $k \in \{18, 26\}$ , but  $\pi_1^6(DT_6, p_1)$  is not abelian group for  $p_1 \in DT_6[6]$ .

**Theorem 5.4** The minimal digital pseudotori,  $DT_6, DT'_{18}$  and  $DT''_{26}$ , are different from each other up to the digital  $k$ -homotopy equivalence,  $k \in \{6, 18, 26\}$ .

*Proof.* The digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy equivalence preserves the digital  $k_0$ -contractibility into  $k_1$ -contractibility [5]. More precisely,  $DT''_{26}$  is 26-contractible, but  $DT'_{26}$  is not  $k$ -contractible,  $k \in \{18, 6\}$ . Further,  $DT''_{26}$  can not be digitally 26- or 6-homotopy equivalent to  $DT_6$ . Similarly,  $DT'_{18}$  must not be digitally 18- or 26-homotopy equivalent to  $DT''_{26}$  either, and  $DT'_{18}$  is not be digitally 18- or 6-homotopy equivalent to  $DT_6$ .

Moreover, since the digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy equivalence preserves the digital  $k_0$ -fundamental group into the digital  $k_1$ -fundamental group

[5] we can see that  $DT_6$ ,  $DT'_{18}$  and  $DT''_{26}$  are distinct from each other  
Theorems 5.3 and 5.4.  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. Boxer , A classical construction for the digital fundamental group, *Jour. of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*,10 (1999) , 51-62
- [2] ——— , Digitally continuous functions, *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 15(1994), 833-839
- [3] S. E. Han , *Computer Topology and Its Applications*, *Honam Math. Jour.* 25 (2003), 153-162
- [4] ——— , Digital  $(k_0, k_1)$ -covering map and its properties, *Honam Math. Jour.* 26(No.1) (2004),107-117
- [5] ——— ,  $(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy equivalence and its applications, submitted
- [6] ——— , Non-product property of the digital fundamental group, *Information Sciences*(to appear), Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com),(2004)
- [7] E. Khalimsky, Motion, deformation, and homotopy in finite spaces , *Proceedings IEEE International Conferences on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*(1987), 227-234
- [8] A. Rosenfeld , Continuous functions on digital pictures, *Pattern Recognition Letters*,4 (1986), 177-184

Department of Computer and Applied Mathematics,  
College of Natural Science, Honam University,  
Gwangju, 506 - 714, Korea  
e-mail:sehan@honam.ac.kr,  
Tel: 82-62-940-5421,  
Fax: 82-62-940-5644