Effect of Meteorological Elements on Yield of Malting Barley in Yeosu Area

Byung-Sun Kwon*, and Jeong-Sik Shin¹⁾

College of Agriculture and Life Science, Sunchon Nat'l Univ., Sunchon 540-742, Korea ¹⁾College of Nature Science, Sunchon Nat'l Univ., Sunchon 540-742, Korea

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to investigate the relationship between yearly variations of climatic elements and yearly variations of productivity in malting barley. In addition, correlation coefficients among yield and yield components were estimated. The data of yield and yield components were investigated for 10 years from 1991 to 2000. The meteorological data gathered at the Yeosu Weather Station for the same period were used to find out the relationships between climatic elements and productivity. Yearly varation of the amount of precipitation in December and January were large with coefficients of variation(c. v.) of 97.9, 51.3%, respectively, but the variation of the maximum temperature and minimum temperature in April were relative small. Yield, weight of 1,000 grains and culm length were greatly with c. v. of 37.3, 49.3 and 41.3%, respectively, spike length and number of spikes show more or less c. v. of 3.8, 24.7% respectively and number of grains per spike show still less variation with c. v. of 9.4%. Correlation coefficients between temperature of mean, maximum and minimum in February and seed yield and yield components were positively significant at level of 5.1%, respectively. Correlation coefficients between precipitation of April and seed yield were positively significant correlation at the level of 5.1%, respectively, but the duration of sunshine in April and seed yield were negatively significant at the level of 5.1%, respectively. Correlation coefficients of those, yield components and yield, culm length, spike length, number of grains per spike, number of spikes per m², weight of 1,000 grains and seed yield were positively significant at the level of 5.1% respectively.

Key words: climatic elements, productivity of malting barley

INTRODUCTION

Crop has a close relation the environmental effects, especially weather condition. Many scholars reported(Kwon, 1993; Kwon *et al.*, 1986: Kwon *et al.*, 1982; Cho and Chung 1979; Choi *et al.*, 1993; Hyun,

1982; Lee *et al.*, 1977; Li, 1982; Robert, 1982; Ryu *et al.*, 1977; Rhu *et al.*, 1975; Won *et al.*, 1983) the results of research on weather and growth of crops and recently the research of meteorological effect evaluation and yield predicition has been developed.

This experiment develops the estimated equation of malting barley yield with a lot demands and analysis the

^{*}Corresponding author: Byung-Sun Kwon, E-mail: kbs@sunchon.ac.kr

relation of weather. conditions to malting barley growth and yield at Yeosu area, Jeonnam in order to obtain the basic data of relation of crop to weather conditions and to develop safe cultivation and production techniques according to changes of meteorological environments and then the following results are reported.

We wish to show our thanks to related agencies and farm house which cooperated to carry out this research.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This experiment uses the yield produced from 1991 to 2000 and meteorological data observed during the cultivation period as shown in Table 1, and induces the estimated equations of correlation among growth, yield and weather conditions, dispersions and yield.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Variabilities of Meteorological Elements

The weather conditions from 1991 to 2000 malting barley growth, variability of yield character and the variabilities of meteorological elements during malting barley growth period are shown in Table 2.

The greatest variabilities of meteorological elements are precipitation of December and January with c. v. of 97.9, 53.1%, respectively, but the variation of the temperature of mean, maximum and minimum in April were relative small with c. v. of 5.7, 5.0, 6.2%, respectively.

Considering the variabilities of yield character during the cultivation period in Table 3, while the variability coefficient of number of grains per spike was very low as 9.4% and it is governed by genetic characters to variety, those of the culm length, weight of 1,000 grains and seed yield were high as 41.3, 49.3, 37.3%, respectively, and it is influenced by environmental factors in some degree and such a trend was shown as 28.50% in fresh weight of stem of rush(Kwon, 1993), 30.20% in soybean(Won et al., 1983) and 14.24% in barley(Kim et al., 1993) but in case of mat rush the fiber yield was 6.3%(Kwon et al., 1993) and it is considered that these results were due to the differences in character of crpos.

Correlation among Meteorological Elements, Malting Barley Growth and Yield.

As shown in Table 4. There are positive correlation between temperature of October, November, December, January, February, March, April and May in mean, maximum and minimum and yield, and then it is found that high temperature condition in October, November, December, January, February, March, April and May has a favorable influence on the yield.

In the precipitation, there is highly significant negative correlations between the precipitation of October, November and April and yield, negative correlations between precipitation of the other month, December, January, February, March and May and yield and then it is found that small precipitation condition in September and October have a favorable influence on the yield.

In the duration of sunshine, a negative correlation between the duration of all growth periods, October,

Table 1. Cultivated area and yield of malting barley in Yeosu area

Year	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Cultivation area(ha)	2,473.0	2,688.0	2,242.0	2,126.0	1,464.0	1,224.0	1,090.0	955.5	979.0	979.1
Yield (kg/10a)	302.0	309.0	306.3	302.3	315.0	313.0	370.0	377.0	238.0	296.0

Table 2. Variabilities of meteorological elements for 10 experimental years(1991-200)

Metearological elements	Month	Max.	Min.	Mean	±S.D	Range	C.V.(%)
	Oct.	19.1	16.6	17.9	1.45	2.5	7.9
	Nov.	13.1	9.8	11.2	0.76	3.3	6.8
	Dec.	6.9	3.8	5.4	0.56	3.1	10.4
Air temperature	Jan.	4.2	2.1	3.2	0.54	2.1	16.8
(°C)Mean	Feb.	6.4	2.3	4.4	0.36	4.1	8.2
	Mar.	9.2	6.3	7.8	0.69	2.9	8.8
	Apr.	15.0	11.3	13.2	0.75	3.7	5.7
	May	18.8	16.6	17.7	4.43	2.2	25.0
	Oct.	22.4	20.4	21.4	1.54	2.0	7.2
	Nov.	17.2	14.0	15.6	0.81	3.2	5.2
	Dec.	11.0	8.3	9.7	0.74	2.7	7.6
Air temperature	Jan.	8.5	6.0	7.3	0.71	2.5	9.7
(°C)Max.	Feb.	10.1	6.8	8.5	1.49	3.3	17.5
	Mar.	13.2	10.8	12.0	0.82	2.4	6.8
	Apr.	18.5	15.9	17.2	0.86	2.6	5.0
	May	22.5	20.9	21.7	1.55	1.6	7.1
)	Oct.	16.2	14.0	15.1	1.29	2.2	8.5
	Nov.	9.8	5.6	7.7	0.57	4.2	7.4
	Dec.	3.3	0.5	1.9	0.21	2.8	11.1
Air temperature	Jan.	1.0	-1.7	-0.4	1.72	0.7	43.0
(°C)Min.	Feb.	3.4	-1.5	1.0	0.45	1.9	45.0
	Mar.	5.6	2.7	4.2	0.48	2.9	11.4
	Apr.	12.2	7.4	9.8	0.61	4.8	6.2
	May	15.6	13.0	14.3	1.26	2.6	8.8
	Oct.	201.0	18.8	110.0	45.5	182.2	41.4
	Nov.	219.5	9.7	114.6	22.2	209.8	19.4
	Dec.	59.6	0.0	29.8	29.2	59.6	97.9
Percipitation	Jan.	54.0	8.7	31.4	16.1	22.6	51.3
(mm)	Feb.	65.1	0.7	32.9	10.7	32.2	32.5
	Mar.	164.2	37.5	100.9	22.8	63.3	22.6
	Apr.	193.1	47.2	96.6	24.4	96.5	25.3
	May	250.1	46.1	148.1	18.3	204.0	12.4
	Oct.	271.9	164.3	218.1	38.4	107.6	17.6
	Nov.	243.1	161.9	202.5	33.3	40.6	16.4
Duration of sunshine (hr)	Dec.	232.0	179.4	205.7	35.1	26.3	17.1
	Jan.	235.6	166.6	201.1	35.3	34.5	17.6
	Feb.	229.4	157.1	193.3	34.2	36.1	17.7
	Mar.	239.2	150.8	195.0	31.5	44.0	16.2
	Apr.	260.4	155.1	207.8	29.8	52.6	14.3
	May	288.0	189.4	238.7	32.9	98.6	13.8

Table 4. Correlation coefficients between agronomic characters and meteorological factors in each month

Meteorological	Month	Culm	Spike	No. of	No. of	Wt. of 1,000	Seed yiel
factors	Monu	length(cm)	length(cm)	grains/spike	spikes/m²	grains(g)	(kg/10a)
	Oct.	0.394*	0.278	0.604**	0.280	0.290	0.351*
	Nov.	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.081	0.002	0.165
	Dec.	0.055	0.013	0.216	0.157	0.107	0.370*
Air temperature	Jan.	0.425*	0.376*	0.309	0.357*	0.307	0.399*
(°C)Mean	Feb.	0.534**	0.488**	0.567**	0.396*	0.440*	0.409*
	Mar.	0.295	0.167	0.458**	0.102	0.117	0.288
	Apr.	0.174	0.103	0.276	0.069	0.135	0.259
	May	0.196	0.140	0.436*	0.227	0.123	0.259
	Oct.	0.655**	0.617**	0.671**	0.574**	0.574**	0.501*
	Nov.	0.152	0.157	0.071	0.124	0.156	0.198
	Dec.	0.121	0.087	0.299	0.259	0.204	0.396*
Max.	Jan.	0.322	0.193	-0.297	0.152	0.193	0.325
wax.	Feb.	0.601**	0.570**	0.580**	0.450**	0.521**	0.439*
	Mar.	0.320	0.154	0.441*	0.084	0.146	0.375*
	Apr.	0.027	-0.018	-0.022	-0.102	0.046	0.112
	May	0.094	0.096	0.300	0.238	0.131	0.183
	Oct.	0.215	0.072	0.474**	0.096	0.119	0.240
	Nov.	0.141	0.133	0.123	0.019	0.117	0.087
	Dec.	0.054	0.001	0.186	0.111	0.084	0.365*
Min.	Jan.	0.442*	0.417*	0.346	0.409*	0.297	0.389*
lymm.	Feb.	0.527**	0.481**	0.568**	0.401*	0.456**	0.420*
	Mar.	0.225	0.147	0.362*	0.077	0.101	0.190
	Apr.	0.163	0.073	0.329	0.072	0.085	0.268
	May	0.170	0.084	0.418*	0.198	0.073	0.346
	Oct.	-0.536**	-0.525**	-0.372*	-0.541**	-0.625**	-0.645*
	Nov.	-0.369*	-0.383*	0.289	0.443*	-0.309	-0.501*
	Dec.	-0.179	-0.287	0.006	0.335	-0.286	-0.305
Precipitation (mm)	Jan.	-0.338	-0.294	-0.190	-0.302	-0.397*	-0.575*
	Feb.	-0.198	-0.262	0.141	0.238	-0.326	-0.134
	Mar.	-0.235	-0.114	-0.138	-0.055	-0.266	-0.394
	Apr.	-0.434	-0.477**	-0.503*	-0.467**	-0.457**	-0.401
	May	-0.127	-0.163	-0.006	-0.071	-0.105	-0.088
-	Oct.	-0.212	-0.342	-0.060	-0.297	-0.313	-0.122
	Nov.	-0.080	-0.052	-0.041	-0.109	-0.044	-0.104
	Dec.	-0.029	-0.006	-0.168	0.027	-0.037	-0.114
Duration of	Jan.	-0.028	-0.060	-0.004	-0.037	-0.128	-0.224
sunshine (hr)	Feb.	-0.290	0.231	-0.354*	-0.288	-0.329	-0.471*
	Mar.	-0.324	-0.178	-0.284	-0.150	-0.229	-0.401
	Apr.	-0.320	-0.240	-0.502**	-0.277	-0.258	-0.434*
	May	-0.504**	-0.407*	-0.493**	-0.479**	-0.539**	-0.767*

November, December, January, February, March, April and May and yield is shown and there is highly significant negative correlation between duration of sunshine of May and yield, then it is found that small duration of sunshine for the all growth periods of October, November, December, January, February, March, April and May have a profitable influence on the yield.

Correlation between Growth and Yield and Yield Characters

As shown in Table 5, in correlation between growth and yield characters, a highly significant positive correlation among the culm length, spike length, number of grains per spike, number of spikes per m², weight of 1,000 grains and yield is shown and the more yield is, the more malting barley yield is.

LITERATURE CITED

- Cho, C. H., E. S. Lee, Y. W. Ha and J. I. Lee. 1982. Meteorological constraints and countermeasures in winter corp production. Korean J. Crop Sci. 27(4):411-434.
- Cho, C. H. and T. Y. Chung. 1979. Effects of temperature and day length on growth and grain yield in wheat. Korea J. Crop Sci. 24(2):35-41.
- Choi, S. I., C. J. Whang, S. P. Nho and D. G. Lee. 1979. Studies ell the effect of different of climatic environment during nursery period on rice growth

- and yield. Korean J. Crop Sci. 24(2):65-73.
- Hyun, J. S. 1982. Meteorological condition and pest management. Korean J. Crop Sci. 27(4):361-370.
- Kim, D, H., H. H. Chung, D. J. Kang and Y. S. Lee. 1993. Effects of meteorological factors on the growth and yield estimation of barely In Chinju area. RDA. J. Agri. Sci. 35(1):81-88.
- Kwon, B. S., J. I. Lee and H. J. Park. 1986. The relationship between meteorological factors and rapeseed yield Korean J. Crop Sci. 31(3):383-386.
- Kwon, B. S., J. T Lim, D. H. Chung and J. J. Hwang. 1994. The relationship between meteorological factors and growth and yield of Alisma plantago L. in Seungju Area. Korean J. Medicinal Crop Sci. 2(1): 7-13.
- Lee, M. H., T. G. Min, J. H. O. Choi. 1977. Studies on the low temperature injury at seeding stages of newly developed rice varieties. Korean J Crop Sci. 22(2):23-26.
- Li, G. C. 1982. Agriculture pollution and its counter measures with special consideration of pesticides. Korean soc. Crop Sci. vol. 18:55-88.
- Rhu, I. S., J. H. Lee and Y. W. Kwon. 1982. Improvement ill rice cultural techniques against unfavorable weather condition. Korean J. Crop Sci. 27(4):385-397.
- Robert, H. 1982. Effects of climatic condition on stability and efficiency of crop production. Korean J. Crop Sci. 27(4):296-313.
- Ryu, I. S., H. K. Shin, C. H. Cho and S. H. Bae. 1977.

Table 5. Correlation coefficients between yield components and yield

Characters	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)
1) Culm length (cm)	0.971**	0.935**	0.932**	0.946**	0.915**
2) Spike length (cm)		0.860**	0.970**	0.968**	0.859**
3) No. of grains/spike			0.854**	0.819**	0.891**
4) No. of spike / m ²				0.950**	0.889**
5) Wt. of 1,000 grains (g)					0.886**
6) Seed yield (kg/10a)					-

Korean J.Plant.Res. 6(3) 159~164(2003)

Influences of regional climatic factors on protein content and sedimentation value of wheat flour. Korean J. Crop Sci. 22(2):65-70.

Won, J. L., Y H. Choi, H. S. Song and S. H. Kwon. 1983. The relationship between meteorological

factors and soybean seed yield. Korean J. Crop Sci. 28(3):351-357.

(Received Oct. 5, 2003) (Accepted Nov. 10, 2003)