

# Some good extensions of compactness

Yong Chan Kim and S.E. Abbas

Department of Mathematics, Kangnung National University

## Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce good definitions of compactness, almost compactness, near compactness, weak compactness, and S-closedness in fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense. These compactness related concepts are defined for arbitrary fuzzy sets and some of their properties studied.

**Key Words** : Fuzzy compactness, Fuzzy almost compactness, Fuzzy near compactness, Fuzzy weak compactness, Good extension

## 1. Introduction

Sostak [20] introduced the fundamental concept of a fuzzy topological structure, as an extension of both crisp topology and fuzzy topology [4], in the sense that not only the objects are fuzzified, but also the axiomatics. Sostak [21,22] gave some rules and showed how such an extension can be realized. Chattopadhyay[5,6] and Ramadan[7,9] have redefined the same concept. Hohle and Sostak [14] introduce the concept of an  $L$ -fuzzy topologies and establish their corresponding convergence theory for any lattice  $L$ .

Compactness is one of the most important notions in topology. Since fuzzy topological spaces were introduced in [20,14], many papers on this problem have been written and a lot of different kinds of fuzzy compactness have been introduced and studied [7,10,11,12,19].

The aim of this paper is to introduce some good types of compactness in fuzzy topological spaces in view of the definition of Sostak, namely, compactness, almost compactness, near compactness, weak compactness and S-closedness, and study some of its interesting properties and characterizations.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper,  $I$  stands for the unit interval  $[0,1]$ ,  $I_0 = (0, 1]$ ,  $I_1 = [0, 1)$ ,  $I^X =$  the set of all fuzzy subsets of  $X$ . For  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $\underline{1} \in I^X$  where  $\underline{1}(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ . For  $\lambda \in I^X$ ,  $\lambda^c = \underline{1} - \lambda$ . For an ordinary subset  $A$  of  $X$ , we denote by  $\chi_A$ , the characteristic function of  $A$ .

**Definition 2.1 [4.12]** A mapping  $\tau: I^X \rightarrow I$  is called a fuzzy topology on  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (O1)  $\tau(\underline{0}) = \tau(\underline{1}) = 1$ ,
- (O2)  $\tau(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) \geq \tau(\mu_1) \wedge \tau(\mu_2)$ , for any  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^X$ .
- (O3)  $\tau(\bigvee_{i \in I} \mu_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in I} \tau(\mu_i)$ , for any  $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I} \subset I^X$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy topological space (fts, for short).

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts. A mapping

$F_\tau: I^X \rightarrow I$  defined by  $F_\tau(\lambda) = \tau(\lambda^c)$  is called a fuzzy cotopology on  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (F1)  $F_\tau(\underline{0}) = F_\tau(\underline{1}) = 1$ ,
- (F2)  $F_\tau(\mu_1 \vee \mu_2) \geq F_\tau(\mu_1) \wedge F_\tau(\mu_2)$ , for  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^X$ .
- (F3)  $F_\tau(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \mu_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in I} F_\tau(\mu_i)$ , for any  $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I} \subset I^X$ .

**Theorem 2.3[8]** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts. For each  $\lambda \in I^X$ , we define the operators  $cl, int: I^X \rightarrow I^X$  as

$$cl(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \in I^X \mid \lambda \leq \mu, F_\tau(\mu) > 0 \}.$$

$$int(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ \mu \in I^X \mid \lambda \geq \mu, \tau(\mu) > 0 \}.$$

For each  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$ , they satisfy the followings:

- (1)  $cl(\underline{0}) = \underline{0}$ .
- (2)  $\lambda \leq cl(\lambda)$ .
- (3)  $cl(\lambda) \vee cl(\mu) = cl(\lambda \vee \mu)$ .
- (4)  $cl(cl(\lambda)) = cl(\lambda)$ .
- (5)  $cl(\lambda)^c = int(\lambda^c)$  and  $int(\lambda)^c = cl(\lambda^c)$
- (6) If  $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , then  $\lambda = int(\lambda)$ ,
- (7) If  $F_\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , then  $\lambda = cl(\lambda)$ .

Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be fts's. A function  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is called continuous iff

$$\tau_2(\lambda) \leq \tau_1(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \text{ for all } \lambda \in I^Y.$$

**Definition 2.4** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts and  $\lambda \in I^X$ .

---

접수일자 : 2003년 2월 6일  
 완료일자 : 2003년 4월 18일  
 이 논문은 2003년도 강릉대학교 교수연구년 연구지원에 의하여 수행되었습니다.

- (1)  $\lambda$  is fuzzy semi-open iff there exists  $\mu \in I^X$  with  $\tau(\mu) > 0$  such that  $\mu \leq \lambda \leq \text{cl}(\mu)$ .
- (2)  $\lambda$  is fuzzy regular open iff  $\lambda = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda))$ .
- (3)  $\lambda$  is fuzzy regular closed iff  $\lambda = \text{cl}(\text{int}(\lambda))$ .

### 3. Some good extensions of compactness

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(X, T)$  be an ordinary topological space and  $\alpha \in I$ . A mapping  $\lambda: (X, T) \rightarrow I$ , where  $I$  has its usual topology, is said to be  $\alpha$ -lower semi-continuous if and only if for every  $t \in I_1$  with  $\alpha > t$ ,  $\lambda^{-1}(t, 1] \in T$

If  $\lambda$  is lower semi-continuous, then  $\lambda$  is  $\alpha$ -lower semi-continuous for every  $\alpha \in I$ . Moreover,  $\lambda$  is 1-lower semi-continuous iff  $\lambda$  is lower semi-continuous. Naturally, every mapping from  $(X, T)$  to  $I$  is 0-lower semi-continuous.

**Definition 3.2** [1,18] Let  $(X, T)$  be an ordinary topological space.

- (1) Define the mapping  $\mathcal{W}(T): I^X \rightarrow I$  by
 
$$\mathcal{W}(T)(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ \alpha \in I_1 \mid \alpha\text{-lower semi-continuous} \}$$

$$= \bigvee \{ \alpha \in I_1 \mid \lambda^{-1}(\alpha, 1] \in T \}$$

Then  $\mathcal{W}(T)$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$ .

- (2) Define the mapping  $\mathcal{W}(T_c): I^X \rightarrow I$  by
 
$$\mathcal{W}(T_c)(\lambda) = \mathcal{W}(T)(\lambda^c)$$

$$= \bigvee \{ \alpha \in I_1 \mid \lambda^{-1}[1 - \alpha, 1] \in T_c \},$$

where  $T_c = \{ A \mid A^c \in T \}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{W}(T_c)$  is a fuzzy cotopology on  $X$ .

This provides a goodness of extension criterion for fuzzy topological properties. Recall that a fuzzy extension of a topological property of  $(X, T)$  is said to be good when it is possessed by  $\mathcal{W}(T)$  iff the original property is possessed by  $T$ .

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $(X, T)$  be an ordinary topological space and  $\text{Int}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Cl}(A)$ ) denoted interior (resp. closure) of  $A$  in  $(X, T)$ . For each  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $t \in I_1$ ,  $\text{int}(\lambda)$  (resp.  $\text{cl}(\lambda)$ ) denote interior (resp. closure) of  $A$  in  $(X, \mathcal{W}(T))$ .

- (1)  $\text{cl}(\lambda)^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq \text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}(t, 1])$ 

$$\subseteq \text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}[t, 1]) \subseteq \text{cl}(\lambda)^{-1}[t, 1].$$
- (2)  $\text{int}(\lambda)^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq \text{Int}(\lambda^{-1}(t, 1])$ 

$$\subseteq \text{Int}(\lambda^{-1}[t, 1]) \subseteq \text{int}(\lambda)^{-1}[t, 1].$$

**Proof** (1) We will prove that any closed set  $C$  in  $(X, T)$  with  $\lambda^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq C$  satisfies  $\text{cl}(\lambda)^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq C$ . Now let  $\lambda^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq C$ .  $C$  is closed in  $(X, T)$  and let  $\mu: X \rightarrow I$  defined by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in C, \\ t, & x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each  $s \in I_1$ , we have

$$\mu^{-1}[s, 1] = \begin{cases} X, & s \leq t, \\ C, & s > t. \end{cases}$$

So,  $\mu^{-1}[s, 1]$  is closed set in  $(X, T)$ , then  $\mu^c$  is lower semi-continuous. Hence

$$\mathcal{W}(T)(\mu^c) = \mathcal{W}(T_c)(\mu) = 1.$$

We also have that  $\lambda \leq \mu$ . Hence  $\text{cl}(\lambda) \leq \mu$ . Thus  $\text{cl}(\lambda)(x) > t$  implies  $\mu(x) > t$  and  $x \in C$ . It follows that  $\text{cl}(\lambda)^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq \text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}(t, 1])$ . Clearly, since  $\lambda \leq \text{cl}(\lambda)$ ,

$$\lambda^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq \text{cl}(\lambda)^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq \text{cl}(\lambda)^{-1}[t, 1].$$

Let  $x \notin \text{cl}(\lambda)^{-1}[t, 1]$ . Then  $\text{cl}(\lambda)(x) < t$ . By the definition of  $\text{cl}(\lambda)$ , there exists  $\mu \in I^X$  with  $\lambda \leq \mu$  and  $\mathcal{W}(T)(\mu^c) > 0$  such that

$$\text{cl}(\lambda)(x) \leq \mu(x) < t.$$

Since  $\lambda^{-1}[t, 1] \subseteq \mu^{-1}[t, 1] \in T_c$ ,

$$\text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}[t, 1]) \subseteq \mu^{-1}[t, 1].$$

Thus,  $x \notin \text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}[t, 1])$ . Hence,

$$\text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}[t, 1]) \subseteq \text{cl}(\lambda)^{-1}[t, 1].$$

(2) Similarly, for interiors clearly

$$\text{int}(\lambda)^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq \lambda^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq \lambda^{-1}[t, 1].$$

Since  $\text{int}(\lambda)^{-1}(t, 1] \in T$ , so

$$\text{int}(\lambda)^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq \text{Int}(\lambda^{-1}(t, 1]) \subseteq \text{Int}(\lambda^{-1}[t, 1]).$$

Secondly, let  $U \subseteq \lambda^{-1}[t, 1]$ , where  $U$  is open in  $T$ . Defining  $\mu \in I^X$  by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} t, & x \in U, \\ 0, & x \notin U, \end{cases}$$

then, for all  $s \in I_1$ ,

$$\mu^{-1}(s, 1] = \begin{cases} \phi, & s \geq t, \\ U, & s < t. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\mu^{-1}(s, 1] \in T$  for all  $s \in I_1$ ,

so,  $\mathcal{W}(T)(\mu) = 1$  and  $\mu \leq \lambda$ . So,

$\mu \leq \text{int}(\lambda)$ . Hence  $x \in C$  so  $\text{int}(\lambda)(x) \geq t$ . Thus

$$\text{Int}(\lambda^{-1}[t, 1]) \subseteq \text{Int}(\lambda)^{-1}[t, 1].$$

**Corollary 3.4** In above lemma, we have

$$\chi_{\text{Cl}(A)} = \text{cl}(\chi_A) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\text{Int}(A)} = \text{int}(\chi_A).$$

**Proof** Taking  $\lambda = \chi_A$  in Lemma 3.3(1), we have

$$\text{cl}(\chi_A)^{-1}(t, 1] \subseteq \text{Cl}(\chi_A^{-1}(t, 1])$$

$$\subseteq \text{Cl}(\chi_A^{-1}[t, 1]) \subseteq \text{cl}(\chi_A)^{-1}[t, 1],$$

for all  $t \in I_1$ . Since

$$\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}(\chi_A^{-1}(t, 1]) \subseteq \text{cl}(\chi_A)^{-1}[t, 1],$$

we have  $\text{cl}(\chi_A)(x) \geq t$  for all  $x \in \text{cl}(A)$  and  $t \in I_1$ .

Hence  $\text{cl}(\chi_A)(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \text{cl}(A)$ . But for  $x \notin \text{cl}(A)$ , then  $x \notin \text{cl}(\chi_A)^{-1}(t, 1]$ .

Since  $\text{cl}(\chi_A)(x) \leq t$  for all  $t \in I_1$ , then

$$\text{cl}(\chi_A) = \chi_{\text{cl}(A)}.$$

We can prove the dual argument for interiors.

**Lemma 3.5** In Lemma 3.3, let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ .

(a) If  $A$  is semiopen in  $(X, T)$ , then  $\chi_A$  is fuzzy semiopen in  $(X, \mathcal{W}(T))$ .

(b) A set  $A$  is regular open in  $(X, T)$  iff  $\chi_A$  is fuzzy regular open in  $(X, \mathcal{W}(T))$ .

**Proof** (a) Let  $A$  be semiopen in  $(X, T)$ . Then there exists an open set  $G$  in  $(X, T)$  such that  $G \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Cl}(G)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{W}(T)(\chi_G) = 1$  and  $\chi_G \leq \chi_A \leq \chi_{\text{Cl}(G)} = \text{cl}(\chi_G)$ , so  $\chi_A$  is fuzzy semiopen.

(b) From Corollary 3.4, it easily proved that

$$A = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)) \text{ iff } \chi_A = \chi_{\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))} = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\chi_A))$$

**Definition 3.6** A fts  $(X, T)$  is fuzzy compact

iff for each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  and  $\alpha \in I_1$  with  $\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$

for all  $\lambda \in \beta$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \alpha$ , and for each  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha]$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\beta_0 \subseteq \beta$

such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta_0} \lambda \geq \alpha - \epsilon$ .

In the crisp case of  $\tau$ , fuzzy compactness coincides with Lowen's fuzzy compactness [16].

**Theorem 3.7**  $(X, \mathcal{W}(T))$  is fuzzy compact iff  $(X, T)$  is compact. Thus fuzzy compactness is a good extension of compactness.

**Proof** Let  $\{G_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  be an open cover of  $X$  in  $T$ . Then the family  $\{\chi_{G_i} \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  is a family of fuzzy sets in  $X$  with  $\sup_{i \in \Gamma} \chi_{G_i} = 1 > \alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in I_1$ . Since the characteristic function of every open set is 1-lower semi-continuous, then  $\mathcal{W}(T)(\chi_{G_i}) = 1 > \alpha$ , for all  $i \in \Gamma$  and  $\alpha \in I_1$ . Since  $(X, \mathcal{W}(T))$  is fuzzy compact, for all  $\epsilon \in I_0$ , there exist  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\sup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} (\chi_{G_i}) \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

i.e.,  $\sup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} (\chi_{G_i}) = 1$  So  $\bigcup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} G_i = X$  and  $(X, T)$  is compact.

Conversely, let  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $\mathcal{W}(T)(\lambda) > \alpha$

for each  $\lambda \in \beta$  and  $\alpha \in I_1$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \alpha$$

and let  $0 < \epsilon \leq \alpha$ . Take  $t$  such that  $\alpha - \epsilon < t < \alpha$ .

From  $\mathcal{W}(T)(\lambda) > \alpha > t$ , for all  $\lambda \in \beta$ ,

we have  $\lambda^{-1}(t, 1] \in T$  for each  $\lambda \in \beta$ .

Then  $\{\lambda^{-1}(t, 1]\}_{\lambda \in \beta}$  is a collection of open sets covering  $X$ . Otherwise, there exists  $x \in X$  such that,

$(\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda)(x) \leq t < \alpha$  contradicting the fact that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \alpha$ . Since  $(X, T)$  is compact, there exists a

finite subfamily  $\beta_0 = \{\lambda_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\{\lambda_i^{-1}(t, 1] \mid \lambda_i \in \beta_0\}$  covers  $X$ , i.e.  $\sup_{\lambda_i \in \beta_0} \lambda_i \geq \alpha - \epsilon$ .

Otherwise, there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda_i \in \beta_0} \lambda_i(x) < \alpha - \epsilon < t.$$

Then  $x \notin (\sup_{\lambda_i \in \beta_0} \lambda_i)^{-1}(t, 1]$  contradicting the covering property of  $\{\lambda_i^{-1}(t, 1] \mid \lambda_i \in \beta_0\}$ .

**Definition 3.8** A fts  $(X, T)$  is fuzzy almost compact

iff for each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  and  $\alpha \in I_1$  with  $\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$

for all  $\lambda \in \beta$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \alpha$ , and for each  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha]$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\beta_0 \subseteq \beta$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta_0} \text{cl}(\lambda) \geq \alpha - \epsilon$ .

In the crisp case of  $\tau$ , fuzzy almost compactness

coincides with  $L_0$ -fuzzy almost compactness introduced by Bulbul and Warner [3].

**Theorem 3.9**  $(X, \mathcal{W}(T))$  is fuzzy almost compact iff  $(X, T)$  is almost compact. Thus fuzzy almost compactness is a good extension of almost compactness.

**Proof** Let  $\{G_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  be an open cover of  $(X, T)$ .

Then  $\{\chi_{G_i} \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  is a family of fuzzy sets in  $X$

with  $\sup_{i \in \Gamma} \chi_{G_i} = 1 > \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{W}(T)(\chi_{G_i}) = 1 > \alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in I_1$ . Since  $(X, \mathcal{W}(T))$  is fuzzy almost compact, there exist  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\sup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} (\text{cl}(\chi_{G_i})) \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

for all  $\epsilon \in I_0$ , i.e.,

$$\sup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} (\text{cl}(\chi_{G_i})) = 1.$$

By Corollary 3.4,  $\text{cl}(\chi_{G_i}) = \chi_{\text{Cl}(G_i)}$  So,

$$\sup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \chi_{\text{Cl}(G_i)} = 1.$$

Then  $\bigcup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \text{Cl}(G_i) = X$ , and  $(X, T)$  is almost compact.

Conversely, let  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $\mathcal{W}(T)(\lambda) > \alpha$  for  $\lambda \in \beta$  and  $\alpha \in I_1$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \alpha$ . Let  $0 < \epsilon \leq \alpha$  and take  $t$  such that  $\alpha - \epsilon < t < \alpha$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}(T)(\lambda) > \alpha > t$ , for all  $\lambda \in \beta$ , we have  $\lambda^{-1}(t, 1] \in T$  for each  $\lambda \in \beta$ . Then  $\{\lambda^{-1}(t, 1]\}_{\lambda \in \beta}$  is a collection of open sets covering  $X$ . Since  $(X, T)$  is almost compact, there exists a finite subfamily  $\beta_0 = \{\lambda_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\{\text{Cl}(\lambda_i^{-1}(t, 1]) \mid \lambda_i \in \beta_0\}$$

covers  $X$ . Then by Lemma 3.3(1),

$$\{\text{cl}(\lambda_i)^{-1}(t, 1] \mid \lambda_i \in \beta_0\}$$

covers  $X$ . And  $\sup_{\lambda_i \in \beta_0} \text{cl}(\lambda_i) \geq \alpha - \varepsilon$ .

Otherwise, there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda_i \in \beta_0} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)(x) < \alpha - \varepsilon < t.$$

Then  $x \notin (\sup_{\lambda_i \in \beta_0} \text{cl}(\lambda_i))^{-1}[t, 1]$

contradicting the covering property of

$$\{\text{cl}(\lambda_i)^{-1}[t, 1] \mid \lambda_i \in \beta_0\}.$$

**Definition 3.10** A fts  $(X, T)$  is fuzzy nearly compact iff for each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  and  $\alpha \in I_1$  with  $\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$

for all  $\lambda \in \beta$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \alpha$ , and for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha]$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\beta_0 \subseteq \beta$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta_0} \text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda)) \geq \alpha - \varepsilon$ .

In the crisp case of  $\tau$ , fuzzy near compactness coincides with  $L_0$ -fuzzy near compactness introduced by Bulbul and Warner [3].

**Theorem 3.11**  $(X, \mathbb{W}(T))$  is fuzzy nearly compact iff  $(X, T)$  is nearly compact. Thus fuzzy near compactness is a good extension of nearcompactness.

**Proof** The proof follows closely the argument of the preceding theorem. Assuming  $(X, \mathbb{W}(T))$  to be fuzzy nearly compact, proceed as before to obtain

$i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\sup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \text{int}(\text{cl}(\chi_{G_i})) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in I_0$ , i.e.,

$$\sup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \text{int}(\text{cl}(\chi_{G_i})) = 1$$

By Corollary 3.4,  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\chi_{G_i})) = \chi_{\text{Int Cl}(G_i)}$

$$\sup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \chi_{\text{Int Cl}(G_i)} = 1.$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(G_i)) = X$$

Hence  $(X, T)$  is nearly compact.

Conversely, proceeding again as before, we obtain a finite subcollection  $\{\lambda_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$\{\text{Int Cl}(\lambda_i^{-1}(t, 1)) \mid \lambda_i \in \beta_0\}$  covers  $X$ . Then by

Lemma 3.3(1) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\lambda_i^{-1}(t, 1))) &\subseteq \text{Int}(\text{cl}(\lambda)^{-1}[t, 1]) \\ &\subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda))^{-1}[t, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\{(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda_i)))^{-1}[t, 1] \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  covers  $X$ . Thus,  $\sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda_i)) \geq \alpha - \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 3.12** A fts  $(X, T)$  is fuzzy weakly compact iff for each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  of regular open sets and  $\alpha \in I_1$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \alpha$ , and for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha]$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\beta_0 \subseteq \beta$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta_0} \text{cl}(\lambda) \geq \alpha - \varepsilon$ .

In the crisp case of  $\tau$ , fuzzy weak compactness coincides with  $L_0$ -fuzzy weak compactness introduced by

Bulbul and Warner [3].

**Theorem 3.13**  $(X, \mathbb{W}(T))$  is fuzzy weakly compact iff  $(X, T)$  is weakly compact. Thus fuzzy weak compactness is a good extension of weak compactness.

**Proof** Replacing the open sets of Theorem 3.9, by regular open sets, again there exist  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $\sup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \text{cl}(\chi_{G_i}) = 1$

So,  $\bigcup_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \text{Cl}(G_i) = X$  and  $(X, T)$  is weakly compact.

Again, conversely, taking  $\beta \subseteq I^X$  to consist of fuzzy regular open sets, we obtain  $\{\lambda^{-1}(t, 1)\}_{\lambda \in \beta}$  as a collection of open sets covering  $X$ . Since  $\lambda^{-1}(t, 1)$  is open, then  $\lambda^{-1}(t, 1) \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}(t, 1)))$ . Since

$$\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}(t, 1))) = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}(t, 1)))))$$

then  $\{\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\lambda^{-1}(t, 1))) \mid \lambda \in \beta\}$  is a collection of regular open sets covering  $X$ . Since  $(X, T)$  is weakly compact, there exists a finite subcollection

$$\{\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\lambda_i^{-1}(t, 1))) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ such that}$$

$$X = \bigcup_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\lambda_i^{-1}(t, 1)))).$$

Since

$$\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\lambda_i^{-1}(t, 1))) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda_i))^{-1}[t, 1] = \lambda_i^{-1}[t, 1],$$

$\{\text{Cl}(\lambda_i^{-1}[t, 1]) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  covers  $X$ .

By Lemma 3.3(1),

$$X = \bigcup_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)^{-1}[t, 1].$$

Hence,  $\sup_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \text{cl}(\lambda_i) \geq \alpha - \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 3.14** A fts  $(X, T)$  is S-closed iff for each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  of fuzzy semiopen sets and  $\alpha \in I_1$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \alpha$ , and for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha]$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\beta_0 \subseteq \beta$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \beta_0} \text{cl}(\lambda) \geq \alpha - \varepsilon.$$

**Theorem 3.15**  $(X, \mathbb{W}(T))$  is S-closed iff  $(X, T)$  is S-closed. So it is a good extension.

**Proof** Taking  $\{G_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$  to be a semiopen cover,

we get  $\{\chi_{G_i}\}_{i \in \Gamma}$  a family of fuzzy semiopen sets from Lemma 3.5(a). The rest of the proof in this direction is exactly as before.

Conversely, let  $\beta$  be a collection of fuzzy semiopen sets and the previous notation for  $\alpha, \varepsilon$  and  $t$ . Since  $\lambda$  is fuzzy semiopen for each  $\lambda \in \beta$ , there exists  $\mu \in I^X$  with  $\mathbb{W}(T)(\mu) \geq \alpha$  such that  $\mu \leq \lambda \leq \text{cl}(\mu)$ . Then  $\mu^{-1}(t, 1) \in T$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{-1}(t, 1) &\subseteq \lambda^{-1}(t, 1) \\ &\subseteq \text{cl}(\mu)^{-1}(t, 1) \subseteq \text{Cl}(\mu^{-1}(t, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\lambda^{-1}(t, 1)$  is semiopen in  $T$  for each  $\lambda \in \beta$ .

So,  $\{\lambda^{-1}(t, 1) \mid \lambda \in \beta\}$  covers  $X$ . Since  $(X, T)$  is  $S$ -closed, there exists a finite family  $\{\lambda_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\{Cl(\lambda_i^{-1}[t, 1]) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ covers } X.$$

The remainder of the proof follows that of Theorem 3.9.

#### 4. Some properties of several fuzzy compactness types

**Theorem 4.1** In a fts  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy nearly compact.
- (b) Each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $F_\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$  for all  $\lambda \in \beta$ , having the property that there exists  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  such that

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \beta} \cdot cl(int(\lambda)) > 1 - \alpha + \epsilon$$

for each finite subfamily  $\beta^* \subset \beta$ , also has the property  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \lambda > 1 - \alpha$

**Proof** ((a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)) Let  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $F_\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$  for all  $\lambda \in \beta$  and  $\alpha \in I_1$  with the given property, i.e., there exists  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  such that, for finite subfamily  $\beta^* \subset \beta$ .

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \cdot cl(int(\lambda)) > 1 - \alpha + \epsilon.$$

Suppose  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \lambda \leq 1 - \alpha$ . Then,  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta^*} (1 - \lambda) \geq \alpha$ .

By (a), for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite family  $\beta^* \subset \beta$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \cdot int(cl(1 - \lambda)) \geq \alpha - \epsilon,$$

which implies that  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \cdot cl(int(\lambda)) \leq 1 - \alpha + \epsilon$ .

But this contradicts the given property of  $\beta$ .

Thus,  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \lambda > 1 - \alpha$ .

((b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a)) Let  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$  for all  $\lambda \in \beta$  with  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \alpha$ . Suppose that  $(X, T)$  is not fuzzy nearly compact. Then there exists  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \cdot int(cl(\lambda)) < \alpha - \epsilon$$

for every finite subfamily  $\beta^* \subset \beta$ . Therefore,

$$1 - \sup_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \cdot int(cl(\lambda)) = \inf_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \cdot (1 - int(cl(\lambda))) > 1 - \alpha + \epsilon.$$

Since,  $1 - int(cl(\lambda)) = cl(int(1 - \lambda))$ , we obtain

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \cdot cl(int(1 - \lambda)) > 1 - \alpha + \epsilon$$

for the above  $\alpha \in I_1$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  and

for every finite subfamily  $\beta^* \subset \beta$ .

Therefore  $\{1 - \lambda \mid \lambda \in \beta\} \subset I^X$  with  $F_\tau(1 - \lambda) > \alpha$  having the property (b). So, by (b) it follows that

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \beta} (1 - \lambda) > 1 - \alpha$$

Therefore we obtain  $1 - \inf_{\lambda \in \beta} (1 - \lambda) < \alpha$  i.e.,  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda < \alpha$

which contradicts the given property of  $\beta$ .

Thus  $(X, \tau)$  must be fuzzy nearly compact.

**Theorem 4.2** In a fts  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy almost compact.
- (b) Each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $F_\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$  for all  $\lambda \in \beta$ , having the property that there exists  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  such that  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta} \cdot int(\lambda) > 1 - \alpha + \epsilon$ , for each finite subfamily  $\beta^* \subset \beta$ , also has the property  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \lambda > 1 - \alpha$ .

**Proof** Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Definition 4.3** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts. A fuzzy set  $\nu \in I^X$  is said to be nearly compact fuzzy set iff for each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$  for all  $\lambda \in \beta$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \nu$  and for each  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  there exists a finite family  $\beta^* \subset \beta$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \cdot int(cl(\lambda)) \geq \nu - \epsilon.$$

In the crisp case of  $\tau$ , the nearly compact fuzzy set coincides with the Lo-fuzzy nearly compact fuzzy set given by Bulbul [2].

**Remark 4.4** A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy nearly compact iff each constant fuzzy set  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ) is a nearly compact fuzzy set.

**Theorem 4.5** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts and  $\nu \in I^X$ .

Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\nu$  is nearly compact fuzzy set.
- (b) Each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $F_\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$  for all  $\lambda \in \beta$ , having the property that there exists  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  such that  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta} \cdot cl(int(\lambda)) > 1 - \nu + \epsilon$  for each finite subfamily  $\beta^* \subset \beta$ , also has the property  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \lambda > 1 - \nu$ .

**Proof** Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Definition 4.6** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts. A fuzzy set  $\nu \in I^X$  is said to be almost compact fuzzy set iff for each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$  for all  $\lambda \in \beta$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda \geq \nu$  and for each  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  there exists a finite family  $\beta^* \subset \beta$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \beta^*} \cdot cl(\lambda) \geq \nu - \epsilon.$$

In the crisp case of  $\tau$ , the almost compact fuzzy set coincides with the Lo-fuzzy almost compact fuzzy set given by Bulbul [2].

**Remark 4.7** A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is fuzzy almost compact iff each constant fuzzy set  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ) is a almost compact fuzzy set.

**Theorem 4.8** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts and  $\nu \in I^X$ .

Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\nu$  is almost compact fuzzy set.
- (b) Each family  $\beta \subset I^X$  with  $F_\tau(\lambda) > \alpha$  for all  $\lambda \in \beta$ ,

having the property that there exists  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  such that  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta} \text{int}(\lambda) > 1 - \nu + \varepsilon$  for each finite subfamily  $\beta^* \subset \beta$ , also has the property  $\inf_{\lambda \in \beta} \lambda > 1 - \nu$ .

**Proof** Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.9** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a fuzzy continuous mapping, then the image of a nearly compact fuzzy set is an almost compact fuzzy set.

**Proof** Let  $\nu$  be any nearly compact fuzzy set in  $X$  and  $\beta \subset I^Y$  with  $\tau_2(\mu) > \alpha$  for all  $\mu \in \beta$  such that  $\sup_{\mu \in \beta} \mu \geq f(\nu)$ . Hence we get  $\sup_{\mu \in \beta} (f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq \nu$

By fuzzy continuity of  $f$ ,  $\tau_1(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq \tau_2(\mu) > \alpha$

for all  $\mu \in \beta$ . Since  $\nu$  is nearly compact fuzzy set in  $X$ , it follows that for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$ , there exists  $\beta^* \subset \beta$  such that

$$\sup_{\mu \in \beta^*} \text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu))) \geq \nu - \varepsilon$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(\nu - \varepsilon)(y) &= \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} (\nu - \varepsilon)(x) \\ &\geq \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} (\nu)(x) - \varepsilon = f(\nu)(y) - \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$f(\sup_{\mu \in \beta^*} \text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu)))) \geq f(\nu - \varepsilon) \geq f(\nu) - \varepsilon.$$

Hence we get

$$\sup_{\mu \in \beta^*} f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu)))) \geq f(\nu) - \varepsilon.$$

But  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu))) \leq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu))$

(Corollary 3.1[8]) Taking direct image of both sides gives:

$$f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu)))) \leq ff^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu)) \leq \text{cl}(\mu),$$

and hence  $\sup_{\mu \in \beta^*} \text{cl}(\mu) \geq f(\nu) - \varepsilon$ . So  $f(\nu)$  is almost compact fuzzy set of  $Y$ .

**Theorem 4.10** The fuzzy continuous image of an almost compact fuzzy set is an almost compact fuzzy set.

**Proof** Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.9.

## References

- [1] H. Aygun, M. W. Warner and S. R. T. Kudri "On smooth L-fuzzy topological spaces" J. Fuzzy Math. 5(2),1997, 321-338,
- [2] A. Bulbul "A note on Lo-fuzzy nearly compact spaces" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 93,1998, 235-239.
- [3] A. Bulbul and M. W. Warner "Good dilutions of fuzzy compactness", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 51,1992, 111-115.
- [4] C. L. Chang, "Fuzzy topological spaces" J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24, 1968, 182-190
- [5] K. C. Chattopadhyay and S. K. Samanta, "Fuzzy topology" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 54, 1993, 207-212
- [6] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra, S. K. Samanta, "Gradation of openness" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 49, 1992, 237-242.
- [7] M. Demirci, "Almost compactness and near compactness in three different topological structures of smooth topological spaces" Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 88 1996, 79-86
- [8] M. Demirci, "On several types of compactness in smooth topological spaces" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 90(1), 1997, 83-88.
- [9] M. K. El Gayyar, E. E. Kerre and A. A. Ramadan, "Almost compactness and near compactness in smooth topological spaces" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 92, 1994, 193-202
- [10] R. Erturk and M. Demirci, "On the compactness in fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense" Math. Vesnik, 50, 1998, 75-81.
- [11] A. H. Es and D.Coker " On several types of degree of fuzzy compactness in fuzzy topological spaces" J. Fuzzy Math. 3(3), 1995, 481-491.
- [12] A. H. Es and D. Coker " On several types of degree of fuzzy compactness" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 87, 1997, 349-359.
- [13] U. Hohle and A. P.Sostak, "A general theory of fuzzy topological spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 73, 1995, 131-149
- [14] U. Hohle and A. P. Sostak, "Axiomatic Foundations of Fixed-Basis fuzzy topology," The Handbooks of Fuzzy sets series, Volume 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (Chapter 3), 1999
- [15] T. Kubiak and A. P.Sostak, "Lower set-valued fuzzy topologies," Quaestiones Mathematicae, 20, 1997, 423-429
- [16] R. Lowen, "Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness" J. Math. Anal. Appl. 56, 1976, 621-633.
- [17] A. A. Ramadan, "Smooth topological spaces" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 48, 1992, 371-375.
- [18] A. A. Ramadan, "On smooth topological spaces III" J. Fuzzy Math., 8(1), 2000,35-64.
- [19] A. A. Ramadan and S. E. Abbas, "On L-smooth compactness" J. Fuzzy Math. 9(1), 2001, 59-73.
- [20] A. P. Sostak, "On a fuzzy topological structure" Suppl. Rend. Circ. Matem. Palerms ser II, 11, 1985, 89-103
- [21] A. P. Sostak, "On the neighborhood structure of a fuzzy topological space" Zb. Rodova Univ. Nis, ser Math, 4, 1990, 7-14
- [22] A. P. Sostak, "Basic structures of fuzzy topology" J. of Math. Sciences, 78(6), 1996, 662-701

저 자 소 개

**김용찬 (Yong Chan Kim) 정회원**

Department of Mathematics, Kangnung National University

**S.E. Abbas**

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sohag,  
Egypt