# Relationship between Meteorological Elements and Yield of Hot Pepper in Yeosu Area of Korea

Byung-Sun Kwon¹¹\*, Seong-kyu Choi², Jeong-Sik Shin³, Jong-Sup Shin⁴, Dong-Young Shin¹¹, Kyu-Hwan Hyun¹¹, Hak-Jin Kim¹¹, Yong-In Kuk⁵, Kyong-Ju Choi⁵, Young-Nam Seo³, Hee-Jin Park²¹ and Sank-Uk Chon⁻¹

Kyong-Ju Choi<sup>9</sup>, Young-Nam Seo<sup>3</sup>, Hee-Jin Park<sup>9</sup> and Sank-Uk Chon<sup>9</sup>

College of Agriculture and Life Science, Sunchon Nat'l Univ. Sunchon 540-742, Korea.

Dept. of Oriental Medicine Resourcs, Sunchon Nat'l Univ Sunchon 540-742, Korea.

Dept. of Biology Sunchon Nat'l Univ. Sunchon 540-742, Korea.

Peosusi Agricultural Techniques Center, Yeosu 550-130, Korea.

Biotechnology Research Institute, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757

Chonnam Provincial R.D.A. Naju 520-830, Korea

Seogang College, Kwangju, 500-742, Korea

Biotechnology Industrialtization Center, Dongshin UniversityNaju 520-811 Korea

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study was conducted to investigate the relationships between yearly variations of meteorological elements and yearly variations of productivity in hot pepper. In addition, correlation coefficients among the yields and yield components were used to find out the relationships between meteorological elements and productivty. Yearly variation of the mean air temperature in May and July showed large with coefficients of variation(C.V.) of 25.0, 8.9%, respectively, but the variation of the duration of sunshine in May were relatiive small. Yield and plant height was greatly with C.V. of 7.14, 11.6%, respectively, diameter of fruit showed more or less C.V. of 2.28% and length of fruit showed less variation. Correlation coefficients between maximum temperature in period of cultivation from May and yield are positively significant at the level of 1%. Correlation Coefficients between precipitation in period of cultivation from May to August and yield are negative significant at the level of 5 and 1%, respectively. Correlation coefficients amount the plant height, length of fruit, diameter of fruit per plant, and seed yield were positively significant at the level of 1%, respectively.

Key Words: meteorological elements, productivity, hot pepper, yield, correlation.

#### INTRODUCTION

Crop has a close relation to the environmental effects, especially weather condition. Many researchers

reported(Kwon et al., 1986; Cho and Chung 1979; Choi et al., 1993; Hyun, 1982; Lee et al., 1977; Li, 1982; Robert, 1982; Ryu et al., 1977; Rhu et al., 1982; Won et al., 1983) on weather and growth of crops and recently

the research of meteorological effect evaluation and yield predicition has been developed.

This experiment develops the estimated equation of hot pepper yield with increasing demands and analysis the relation of weather conditions to hot pepper growth and yield at Yeosu area, Chonnam in order to obtain the basic data of relation of crop to weather conditions and to develop safe cultivation and production techniques according to changes of meteorological environments and then the following results are reported.

We wish to show our thanks to related agencies and farm houses which cooperated to carry out this research.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

This experiment was used the yield produced from 1991 to 2000 and meteorological data observed during the cultivation period as shown in Table 1, and induces the estimated equations of correlation among growth, yield and weather conditions, dispersions and yield.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Variabilities of Meteorological Elements

The weather conditions from 1991 to 2000, hot pepper growth, variability of yield character and the variabilities of meteorological elements during hot pepper growth period are shown in Table 2.

The greatest variabilities of meteorological elements are mean temperature in May. Their efficient of variance1 reach 25.0% while, the greatest temperature change during cultivation period was occurred in July as 8.9% and coefficient of variability was mean temperature 25.0% to the contrary, the mean

temperature of August was 2.98%, maximum temperature of August was 6.2% and minimum temperature of August was 6.9% and it has relatively stable meteorological elements.

Considering the variabilities of yield character during the cultivation period in Table 3, while the variability coefficient of length fruit setting was very low as 2.79% and it is governed by genetic characters peculiar to variety, those of yield and plant height were high as 13.57%, 9.55% and it is influenced by environmental factors in some degree and such a trend was shown as 28.50% in fresh weight of stem of rush(Kwon, 1994), 30.20% in soybean(Won et al., 1983) and 14.24% in barley(Kim et al., 1993) but in case of mat rush the fiber yield was 6.3%(Kwon et al., 1994) and it is considered that these results were due to the differences in character of crops.

### Correlations among Meteorological Elements, Hot pepper Growth and Yield.

As shown in Table 4, it is positive correlations between temperature of May, June, July, August and September in mean, maximum and minimum air temperature and yield and then it is found that high temperature condition from May to September has a favorable influence on the yield.

In the precipitation, a negative correlation between the precipitation of all growth periods, May, June, July, August and September and yield is shown and then it is found that the small precipitation for growth periods of May to september and yield is shown and then rich sunshine for those of May, June, July, August and September produced high yield.

Correlation between growth and yield characters, a

Table 1. Cultivated area and yield of hot pepper in Yeosu area.

Year	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Cultivated area(ha)	286	263	248	283	263	253	257	237	234	174
Total yield (kg/10a)	180	189	166	173	192	214	196	208	209	207

Table 2. Variabilities of meteorological factors for 10 experimental years (1991-2000).

Meteorological		Max	Min	Mean	Range	C.V.(%)	S.D
	May	18.8	16.6	17.7	2.2	25.0	4.43
Air	Jun.	22.0	20.2	21.1	1.8	7.3	1.53
temperature(°C)	Jul.	27.8	22.4	13.9	5.4	8.9	1.24
Mean	Aug.	27.6	23.4	25.5	4.2	6.6	1.68
	Sep.	23.7	21.3	22.5	2.4	7.0	1.58
	May	22.5	20.9	21.7	1.6	7.1	1.55
	Jun.	25.8	22.6	24.2	3.2	6.8	1.64
Max	Jul.	32.0	25.1	28.6	6.9	6.2	1.78
	Aug.	30.8	26.4	28.6	4.4	6.2	1.78
	Sep.	27.8	24.3	26.1	3.5	6.5	1.70
	May	15.6	13.0	14.3	2.6	8.8	1.26
	Jun.	18.9	16.8	17.9	2.1	7.9	1.41
Min.	Jul.	24.9	20.2	22.6	4.7	7.0	1.58
	Aug.	25.0	20.7	22.9	4.3	6.9	1.60
	Sep.	21.3	18.3	19.8	3.0	7.5	1.48
*****	May	250.1	46.1	148.1	204.0	2.7	4.06
Precipitation	Jun.	381.9	31.9	206.9	350.0	2.3	4.80
(mm)	Jul.	546.6	112.5	329.6	434.1	1.8	6.05
	Aug.	603.0	129.9	244.3	473.1	2.1	5.21
	Sep.	246.2	21.9	134.1	224.3	11.1	14.9
	May	288.0	189.4	238.7	98.6	0.1	0.06
Duration of	Jun.	233.9	107.8	170.9	126.1	2.6	4.36
sunshine	Jul.	296.5	120.4	208.5	176.1	2.3	4.81
	Aug.	271.6	131.9	201.8	139.7	2.4	4.74
	Sep.	270.3	177.8	224.1	92.5	3.6	4.99

Table 3. Variabilities of agronomic characters for 10 experimental years.

Max.	Min.	Mean	Range	C.V.(%)	S.D
89.5	74.7	82.1	14.8	11.6	9.55
12.3	7.0	9.7	5.3	28.7	2.79
2.12	1.70	1.91	0.42	2.28	4.35
214.0	165.7	189.9	48.3	7.14	13.57
	89.5 12.3 2.12	89.5 74.7 12.3 7.0 2.12 1.70	89.5       74.7       82.1         12.3       7.0       9.7         2.12       1.70       1.91	89.5     74.7     82.1     14.8       12.3     7.0     9.7     5.3       2.12     1.70     1.91     0.42	89.5     74.7     82.1     14.8     11.6       12.3     7.0     9.7     5.3     28.7       2.12     1.70     1.91     0.42     2.28

highly significant positive correlation among plant height, length of fruit, diameter of fruit and seed yield is shown and the more hot pepper yield is shown and then rich sunshine for those of May, June, July, August and September produced high yield.

Table 4. Correlation coefficients between agronomic characters and meteorological factors in each month.

Meteorological	Mon.	Plant	Fruit	Fruit	Yield of	
factors	ivion.	height(cm)	length (cm)	diameter	fruit(kg/10a	
Air Temperature (°C') Mean	May	0.492*	0.223	0.522**	0.515**	
	Jun.	0.179	0.014	0.072	0.101	
	Jul.	0.181	0.025	0.161	0.089	
	Aug.	0.260	0.212	0.307	0.343	
	Sep.	0.301	0.246	0.247	0.222	
Air Temperatuer (℃) Max.	May	0.673**	0.365*	0.610**	0.596**	
	Jun.	0.417*	0.152	0.353*	0.328	
	Jul.	0.234	0.012	0.240	0.180	
	Aug.	0.257	0.182	0.285	0.299	
	Sep.	0.029	0.528**	0.030	0.082	
Air Temperature (°C) Min.	May	0.332	0.219	0.387*	0.413*	
	Jun.	0.320	0.338	0.465*	0.318	
	Jul.	0.188	0.066	0.149	0.055	
	Aug.	0.296	0.287	0.357*	0.407*	
	Sep.	0.411*	0.016	0.387*	0.396*	
Precipitation (mm)	May	-0.535**	-0.528**	-0.470*	-0.477*	
	Jun.	-0.545**	-0.145	-0.562**	-0.505**	
	Jul.	-0.276	-0.193	-0.389*	-0.383*	
	Aug.	-0.432*	-0.051	-0.412*	-0.477*	
	Sep.	-0.175	-0.442*	-0.192	-0.379*	
Duration of sunshine (hr.)	May	0.347	0.047	0.327	0.224	
	Jun.	0.468*	0.320	0.396*	0.319	
	Aug.	0.080	0.004	0.085	0.073	
	Sep.	0.237	0.495*	0.270	0.375*	

Table 5. Correlation of coefficient between of each character of red pepper for ten years.  $(1,991\sim2,000)$ Plant Fruit Yield(kg/10a) Fruit height(cm) length(cm) diameter(mm) (4) (1) (2) (3) 1.00 0.54\*\* 1 0.98\*\* 0.93\*\* 2 1.00 0.60\*\* 0.68\*\* 3 1.00 0.96\*\* 1.00

significant at 5%, 1% level.

## Correlation between Growth and Yield and Yield Characters

As shown in Table 5, in correlation between growth and yield characters, a highly significant positive correlation among plant height, length of fruit, diameter of fruit and seed yield is shown and the more hot pepper yield was described.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Cho, C. H., E. S. Lee, Y. W. Ha and J. I. Lee. 1982. Meteorological constraints and countermeasures in winter corp production. Korean J. Crop Sci. 27(4):411-434
- Cho, C. H. and T. Y. Chung. 1979. Effects of temperature and day length on growth and grain yield in wheat. Korea J. Crop Sci. 24(2):35-41
- Choi, S. I., C. J. Whang, S. P. Nho and D. G. Lee. 1979. Studies on the effect of different of climatic environment during nursery period on rice growth and yield. Korean J. Crop Sci.24(2):65-73.
- Hyun, J. S. 1982. Meteorological condition and pest management. Korean J. Crop Sci. 27(4):361-370.
- Kim, D, H., H. H. Chung, D. J. Kang and Y. S. Lee. 1993. Effects of meteorological factors on the growth and yield estimation of of barely in Chinju area. RDA. J. Agri. Sci. 35(1):81-88.
- Kwon, B. S., J. I. Lee and H. J. Park. 1986. The relationship between meteorological factors and rapeseed yield Korean J. Crop Sci. 31(3):383-386

- Kwon, B. S., J. T. Lim, D. H. Chung and J. J. Hwang. 1994. The relationship between meteorological factors and growth and yield of Alisma plantago L. in Seungju Area. Korean J. Medicinal Crop Sci. 2(1): 7-13.
- Lee, M. H., T. G. Min, J. H. O. Choi. 1977. Studies on the low temperature injury at seeding stages of newly developed rice varieties. Korean J. Crop Sci. 22(2):23-26
- Li, G, C. 1982. Agriculture pollution and its counter measures with special consideration of pesticides. Korean soc. Crop Sci. vol. 18:55-88
- Rhu, I. S., J. H. Lee and Y. W. Kwon. 1982. Improvement in rice cultural techniques against unfavorable weather condition. Korean J. Crop Sci. 27(4):385-397.
- Robert, H. 1982. Effects of climatic condition on stability and efficiency of crop production. Korean J. Crop Sci. 27(4):296-313.
- Ryu, I. S., H. K. Shin, C. H. Cho and S. H. Bae. 1977. Influences of regional climatic factors on protein content and sedimentation value of wheat flour. Korean J. Crop Sci. 22(2):65-70.
- Won, J. L., Y. H. Choi, H. S. Song and S. H. Kwon. 1983. The relationship between meteorological factors and soybean seed yield. Korean J. Crop Sci. 28(3):351-357.

(Received Feb. 25, 2003) (Accepted Apr. 10, 2003)