THE EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTION OF A TWO-PATCHES PREDATOR-PREY DISPERSION DELAY MODELS WITH FUNCTIONAL RESPONSE

ZHENGQIU ZHANG AND ZHICHENG WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a nonautonomous predator-prey dispersion delay models with functional response is studied. By using the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, the existence of a positive periodic solution for above models is established.

1. Introduction

For many species spatial factors are important in population dynamics, as discussed by many authors. The theoretical study of spatial distribution can be traced back at least as far as Skellem [17], and has been extensively studied in many papers (for example in [6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 18] and references cited therein). Most of the previous papers focused on the coexistence of populations modelled by systems of ordinary differential equations and the stability (local and global) of equilibria. Many existing models deal with a single population dispersing among patches. Some of them deal with competition and predator-prey interactions in patchy environments.

On the other hand, the effect of the past history on the systems' stability is also an important problem in population biology. Recently persistence and stability of a population dynamical system involving time delays have been discussed by some authors (for example [7, 8, 12] and references cited therein). They obtained some sufficient conditions that guarantee permanence of population or stability of positive equilibria or positive periodic solution. Song and Chen [15, 16] extended the autonomous Lotka-Volterra system to a two species nonautonomous

Received June 4, 2002.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 34K13, 34K60.

Key words and phrases: positive periodic solution, predator-prey dispersion delay model, functional response, the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory. Project Supported by NNSF of China (No. 10271044).

dispersion Lotka-Volterra system, and they investigated persistence of the populations and periodic behavior of the system.

In this paper, we consider a nonautonomous predator-prey dispersion delay models with functional response.

$$\begin{cases} x_1'(t) = x_1(t) \left[b_1(t) - a_1(t)x_1(t) - \frac{d_1(t)y(t)}{c_1(t) + x_1(t)} \right] + D_1(t)[x_2(t) - x_1(t)], \\ x_2'(t) = x_2(t)[b_2(t) - a_2(t)x_2(t)] + D_2(t)[x_1(t) - x_2(t)], \\ y'(t) = y(t) \left[-b_3(t) - a_3(t)y(t) + \frac{k_1(t)d_1(t)x_1(t - \tau_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)))}{c_1(t) + x_1(t - \tau_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)))} - \frac{d_2(t)z(t)}{c_2(t) + y(t)} \right], \\ z'(t) = z(t) \left[-b_4(t) - a_4(t)z(t) + \frac{k_2(t)d_2(t)y(t - \tau_2(t, y(t)))}{c_2(t) + y(t - \tau_2(t, y(t)))} \right], \end{cases}$$

where $x_1(t), y(t)$ and z(t) are the densities of prey species x and predator species y and z in patch I at time t respectively; $x_2(t)$ is the density of prey species x in patch I in time t. Predator species y and z are both confined to patch I, while prey species x can disperse between two-patches. $D_i(t)(i=1,2)$ are dispersion coefficients of species x. Species x is the prey of species y, while species y is the prey of species z, then a biological food chain is founded.

Our purpose in this paper is, by using the continuation theorem which was proposed in [2, 3], to study the existence of positive periodic solution of system (1.1). Moreover, since, at present, there are only a few papers which have been published on the existence of periodic solutions of state dependent delay differential equations (say, [19] and references cited therein). We also use the same method to study the existence of periodic solutions of system (1.1). For the work concerning the existence of periodic solutions of delay differential equations which was done by using coincidence degree theory, we refer to [4, 5] and reference cited therein.

2. Main result

In this section, based on the Mawhin's continuation theorem we shall study the existence of at least one positive periodic solution of system (1.1). First, we shall make some preparations.

Let X and Y be real Banach spaces, $L: Dom L \subset X \to Y$ a Fredholm mapping of index zero and $P: X \to X$, $Q: Y \to Y$ continuous projectors such that Im P = Ker L, Ker Q = Im L and $X = Ker L \oplus Ker P$, $Y = Im L \oplus Im Q$. Denote by L_p the restriction of L to $Dom L \cap Ker P$, K_p : Im L

 \rightarrow Ker $P\cap$ DomL the inverse (to L_p) and J:Im $Q\rightarrow$ KerL an isomorphism of ImQ onto KerL.

For convenience of use, we introduce the continuation theorem [2, p.40] as follows.

THEOREM A. Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open bounded set and $N: X \to Y$ be a continuous operator which is L-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ (i.e. $QN: \overline{\Omega} \to Y$ and $K_p(I-Q)N: \overline{\Omega} \to Y$ are compact). Assume

- (a) for each $\lambda \in (0,1)$, $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Dom} L$, $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$;
- (b) for each $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L$, $QNx \neq 0$;
- (c) $deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap KerL, 0\} \neq 0$.

Then Lx = Nx has at least one solution in $\overline{\Omega} \cap \text{Dom} L$.

In what follows we shall use the notations:

$$\overline{f} = \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w f(t)dt, f^l = \min_{t \in [0, w]} |f(t)|, f^M = \max_{t \in [0, w]} |f(t)|,$$

where f is a continuous w-periodic function.

In system (1.1), we always assume the following.

- (H1) $b_i(t)$, $a_i(t)$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), $d_i(t)$, $c_i(t)$, $k_i(t)$ and $D_i(t)$ (i = 1, 2) are positive periodic continuous functions with period w > 0.
- (H2) $\tau_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))$ and $\tau_2(t, y(t))$ are both continuous and w-periodic with respect to t.

We are now in a position to state and prove our main result.

THEOREM 2.1. In addition to (H1) and (H2), assume the following:

(H3)
$$a_3^l(b_1 - D_1)^l > d_1^M(k_1d_1)^M$$
;

(H4)
$$(b_2 - D_2)^l > 0$$
;

(H5)

$$\geq \frac{\frac{(k_1d_1)^l[a_3^l(b_1-D_1)^l-d_1^M(k_1d_1)^M]}{a_1^Ma_3^lc_1^M+(b_1-D_1)^la_3^l}}{a_3^M(a_3^lc_2^M+(k_1d_1)^M)} + b_3^M + \frac{d_2^M(k_2d_2)^M}{a_4^l}.$$

Then system (1.1) has at least one positive w-periodic solution.

Proof. Consider the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du_{1}(t)}{dt} = b_{1}(t) - D_{1}(t) - a_{1}(t)e^{u_{1}(t)} - \frac{d_{1}(t)e^{u_{3}(t)}}{c_{1}(t) + e^{u_{1}(t)}} + D_{1}(t)e^{u_{2}(t) - u_{1}(t)}, \\ \frac{du_{2}(t)}{dt} = b_{2}(t) - D_{2}(t) - a_{2}(t)e^{u_{2}(t)} + D_{2}(t)e^{u_{1}(t) - u_{2}(t)}, \\ \frac{du_{3}(t)}{dt} = -b_{3}(t) - a_{3}(t)e^{u_{3}(t)} + \frac{k_{1}(t)d_{1}(t)e^{u_{1}(t - \tau_{1}(t, e^{u_{1}(t)}, e^{u_{2}(t)}))}}{c_{1}(t) + e^{u_{1}(t - \tau_{1}(t, e^{u_{1}(t)}, e^{u_{2}(t)}))}} - \frac{d_{2}(t)e^{u_{4}(t)}}{c_{2}(t) + e^{u_{3}(t)}}, \\ \frac{du_{4}(t)}{dt} = -b_{4}(t) - a_{4}(t)e^{u_{4}(t)} + \frac{k_{2}(t)d_{2}(t)e^{u_{3}(t - \tau_{2}(t, e^{u_{3}(t)}))}}{c_{2}(t) + e^{u_{3}(t - \tau_{2}(t, e^{u_{3}(t)}))}}, \end{cases}$$

where $b_i(t), a_i(t) (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), d_i(t), c_i(t), k_i(t)$ and $D_i(t)$ (i = 1, 2) are the same as those in (H1), and τ_1 and τ_2 are the same as those in (H2). It is easy to see that system (2.1) has one w-periodic solution $(u_1^*(t), u_2^*(t), u_3^*(t), u_4^*(t))^T$, then $(x_1^*(t), x_2^*(t), y^*(t), z^*(t))^T = (\exp[u_1^*(t)], \exp[u_2^*(t)], \exp[u_3^*(t)], \exp[u_4^*(t)])^T$ is a positive w-periodic solution of system (1.1). So, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that system (2.1) has one w-periodic solution.

In order to apply the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory to establish the existence of w-periodic solution of system (2.1), we take

$$X = Y = \{(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t))^T \in C(R, R^4) : u_i(t+w) = u_i(t), i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

and

$$||(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t))^T|| = \sum_{i=1}^4 \max_{t \in [0, w]} |u_i(t)|,$$

here, $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm. With this norm $||\cdot||$, X is a Banach space. Set

 $L: \text{Dom}L \cap X, L(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t))^T = (u_1'(t), u_2'(t), u_3'(t), u_4'(t))^T,$ where $\text{Dom}L = \{(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t))^T \in C^1(R, R^4)\}, \text{ and } N: X \to X,$

$$N\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1(t) - D_1(t) - a_1(t)e^{u_1(t)} - \frac{d_1(t)e^{u_3(t)}}{c_1(t) + e^{u_1(t)}} + D_1(t)e^{u_2(t) - u_1(t)} \\ b_2(t) - D_2(t) - a_2(t)e^{u_2(t)} + D_2(t)e^{u_1(t) - u_2(t)} \\ -b_3(t) - a_3(t)e^{u_3(t)} + \frac{k_1(t)d_1(t)e^{u_1(t - \tau_1(t,e^{u_1(t)},e^{u_2(t)}))}}{c_1(t) + e^{u_1(t - \tau_1(t,e^{u_1(t)},e^{u_2(t)}))}} - \frac{d_2(t)e^{u_4(t)}}{c_2(t) + e^{u_3(t)}} \\ -b_4(t) - a_4(t)e^{u_4(t)} + \frac{k_2(t)d_2(t)e^{u_3(t - \tau_2(t,e^{u_3(t)}))}}{c_2(t) + e^{u_3(t - \tau_2(t,e^{u_3(t)}))}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define two projectors P and Q as

$$P\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \end{bmatrix} = Q\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\psi} \int_0^w u_1(t)dt \\ \frac{1}{\psi} \int_0^w u_2(t)dt \\ \frac{1}{\psi} \int_0^w u_3(t)dt \\ \frac{1}{\psi} \int_0^w u_4(t)dt \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \end{bmatrix} \in X.$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{Ker} L = R^4$, $\operatorname{Im} L = \{(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t))^T \in X : \int_0^w u_i(t)dt = 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is closed in X and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L = \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L = 4$. Therefore, L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Furthermore, through an easy computation we find that the inverse K_p of L_p has the form

$$K_p: \mathrm{Im}L \to \mathrm{Dom}L \cap \mathrm{Ker}P$$

$$K_{p} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3} \\ u_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{0}^{t} u_{1}(s)ds - \frac{1}{w} \int_{0}^{w} \int_{0}^{\eta} u_{1}(t)dt \\ \int_{0}^{t} u_{2}(s)ds - \frac{1}{w} \int_{0}^{w} \int_{0}^{\eta} u_{2}(t)dt \\ \int_{0}^{t} u_{3}(s)ds - \frac{1}{w} \int_{0}^{w} \int_{0}^{\eta} u_{3}(t)dt \\ \int_{0}^{t} u_{4}(s)ds - \frac{1}{w} \int_{0}^{w} \int_{0}^{\eta} u_{4}(t)dt \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can prove that QN and $K_p(I-Q)N$ are continuous by Lebesgue convergence theorem and that $QN(\overline{\Omega})$, $K_p(I-Q)N(\overline{\Omega})$ are relatively compact for any open bounded set Ω . Therefore, N is L-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. Corresponding to the operator equation $Lx = \lambda Nx$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{du_{1}(t)}{dt} = \lambda \left[b_{1}(t) - D_{1}(t) - a_{1}(t)e^{u_{1}(t)} - \frac{d_{1}(t)e^{u_{3}(t)}}{c_{1}(t) + e^{u_{1}(t)}} + D_{1}(t)e^{u_{2}(t) - u_{1}(t)} \right], \\
\frac{du_{2}(t)}{dt} = \lambda \left[b_{2}(t) - D_{2}(t) - a_{2}(t)e^{u_{2}(t)} + D_{2}(t)e^{u_{1}(t) - u_{2}(t)} \right], \\
\frac{du_{3}(t)}{dt} = \lambda \left[-b_{3}(t) - a_{3}(t)e^{u_{3}(t)} + \frac{k_{1}(t)d_{1}(t)e^{u_{1}(t - \tau_{1}(t,e^{u_{1}(t)},e^{u_{2}(t)}))}}{c_{1}(t) + e^{u_{1}(t - \tau_{1}(t,e^{u_{1}(t)},e^{u_{2}(t)}))}} - \frac{d_{2}(t)e^{u_{3}(t)}}{c_{2}(t) + e^{u_{3}(t)}} \right], \\
\frac{du_{4}(t)}{dt} = \lambda \left[-b_{4}(t) - a_{4}(t)e^{u_{4}(t)} + \frac{k_{2}(t)d_{2}(t)e^{u_{3}(t - \tau_{2}(t,e^{u_{3}(t)}))}}{c_{2}(t) + e^{u_{3}(t - \tau_{2}(t,e^{u_{3}(t)}))}} \right].
\end{cases}$$

Suppose that $(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t))^T \in X$ is a solution of system (2.2) for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Choose $t_i \in [0, w]$ such that

$$u_i(t_i) = \max_{t \in [0, w]} u_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Then it is clear that $u'_i(t_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

From this and system (2.2), we obtain (2.3)

$$b_1(t_1) - D_1(t_1) - a_1(t_1)e^{u_1(t_1)} - \frac{d_1(t_1)e^{u_3(t_1)}}{c_1(t_1) + e^{u_1(t_1)}} + D_1(t_1)e^{u_2(t_1) - u_1(t_1)} = 0$$

$$(2.4) b_2(t_2) - D_2(t_2) - a_2(t_2)e^{u_2(t_2)} + D_2(t_2)e^{u_1(t_2) - u_2(t_2)} = 0,$$

$$(2.5) -b_{3}(t_{3}) - a_{3}(t_{3})e^{u_{3}(t_{3})} + \frac{k_{1}(t_{3})d_{1}(t_{3})e^{u_{1}(t_{3}-\tau_{1}(t_{3},e^{u_{1}(t_{3})},e^{u_{2}(t_{3})}))}}{c_{1}(t_{3}) + e^{u_{1}(t_{3}-\tau_{1}(t_{3},e^{u_{1}(t_{3})},e^{u_{2}(t_{3})}))}} - \frac{d_{2}(t_{3})e^{u_{4}(t_{3})}}{c_{2}(t_{3}) + e^{u_{3}(t_{3})}} = 0$$

and

$$(2.6) -b_4(t_4) - a_4(t_4)e^{u_4(t_4)} + \frac{k_2(t_4)d_2(t_4)e^{u_3(t_4 - \tau_2(t_4, e^{u_3(t_4)}))}}{c_2(t_4) + e^{u_3(t_4 - \tau_2(t_4, e^{u_3(t_4)}))}} = 0.$$

Multiplying (2.3) by $e^{u_1(t_1)}$ gives

$$a_1(t_1)e^{2u_1(t_1)} < (b_1(t_1) - D_1(t_1))e^{u_1(t_1) + D_1(t_1)}e^{u_2(t_1)}$$

that is

(2.7)
$$a_1^l e^{2u_1(t_1)} < (b_1 - D_1)^M e^{u_1(t_1)} + D_1^M e^{u_2(t_2)}.$$

Thus

$$2a_1^le^{u_1(t_1)}<(b_1-D_1)^M+\left\{[(b_1-D_1)^M]^2+4a_1^lD_1^Me^{u_2(t_2)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

from which, by using inequality

$$(2.8) (a+b)^{\frac{1}{2}} < a^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^{\frac{1}{2}}, for a > 0, b > 0,$$

it implies that

(2.9)
$$a_1^l e^{u_1(t_1)} < (b_1 - D_1)^M + \sqrt{a_1^l D_1^M} e^{\frac{u_2(t_2)}{2}}.$$

Multiplying (2.4) by $e^{u_2(t_2)}$, a parallel argument to (2.9) gives

(2.10)
$$a_2^l e^{u_2(t_2)} < (b_2 - D_2)^M + \sqrt{a_2^l D_2^M} e^{\frac{u_1(t_1)}{2}}.$$

Substituting (2.10) into (2.9) gives

$$a_1^l e^{u_1(t_1)} < (b_1 - D_1)^M + \sqrt{\frac{a_1^l D_1^M}{a_2^l}} \left[(b_2 - D_2)^M + \sqrt{a_2^l D_2^M} e^{\frac{u_1(t_1)}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$< (b_1 - D_1)^M + \sqrt{\frac{a_1^l D_1^M}{a_2^l}} \left[\sqrt{(b_2 - D_2)^M} + \sqrt[4]{a_2^l D_2^M} e^{\frac{u_1(t_1)}{4}} \right],$$

from which, it follows that there exists a positive constant ρ_1 such that

$$(2.11) e^{u_1(t_1)} < \rho_1.$$

Substituting (2.11) into (2.10), it follows that there exists a positive constant ρ_2 such that

$$(2.12) e^{u_2(t_2)} < \rho_2.$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.13) a_3^l e^{u_3(t_3)} \le a_3(t_3) e^{u_3(t_3)} < (k_1 d_1)^M$$

and

(2.14)
$$a_4^l e^{u_4(t_4)} \le a_4(t_4) e^{u_4(t_4)} < (k_2 d_2)^M.$$

Therefore for $\forall t \in [0, w]$,

(2.15)
$$u_1(t) < \ln \rho_1$$

(2.16)
$$u_2(t) < \ln \rho_2$$

(2.17)
$$u_3(t) < \ln \frac{(k_1 d_1)^M}{a_2^l} \stackrel{def}{=} \ln \rho_3$$

and

(2.18)
$$u_4(t) < \ln \frac{(k_2 d_2)^M}{a_4^l} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ln \rho_4.$$

Choose $\eta_i \in [0, w]$ such that

$$u_i(\eta_i) = \min_{t \in [0,w]} u_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Then it is clear that

$$u_i'(\eta_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

From this and system (2.2), we have

(2.19)
$$b_1(\eta_1) - D_1(\eta_1) - a_1(\eta_1)e^{u_1(\eta_1)} - \frac{d_1(\eta_1)e^{u_3(\eta_1)}}{c_1(\eta_1) + e^{u_1(\eta_1)}} + D_2(\eta_1)e^{u_2(\eta_1) - u_1(\eta_1)} = 0,$$

$$(2.20) b_2(\eta_2) - D_2(\eta_2) - a_2(\eta_2)e^{u_2(\eta_2)} + D_2(\eta_2)e^{u_1(\eta_2) - u_2(\eta_2)} = 0,$$

$$-b_3(\eta_3) - a_3(\eta_3)e^{u_3(\eta_3)} + \frac{k_1(\eta_3)d_1(\eta_3)e^{u_1(\eta_3 - \tau_1(\eta_3, e^{u_1(\eta_3)}, e^{u_2(\eta_3)}))}}{c_1(\eta_3) + e^{u_1(\eta_3 - \tau_1(\eta_3, e^{u_1(\eta_3)}, e^{u_2(\eta_3)}))}} - \frac{d_2(\eta_3)e^{u_4(\eta_3)}}{c_2(\eta_3) + e^{u_3(\eta_3)}} = 0$$

and

$$(2.22) -b_4(\eta_4) - a_4(\eta_4)e^{u_4(\eta_4)} + \frac{k_2(\eta_4)d_2(\eta_4)e^{u_3(\eta_4 - \tau_2(\eta_4, e^{u_3(\eta_4)}))}}{c_2(\eta_4) + e^{u_3(\eta_4 - \tau_2(\eta_4, e^{u_3(\eta_4)}))}} = 0.$$

From (2.19) and (2.20), we have

(2.23)
$$a_1^M e^{u_1(\eta_1)} \ge a_1(\eta_1) e^{u_1(\eta_1)} > (b_1 - D_1)^l - d_1^M e^{u_3(t_3)}$$
$$> (b_1 - D_1)^l - \frac{d_1^M (k_1 d_1)^M}{a_3^l} > 0$$

and

(2.24)
$$a_2^M e^{u_2(\eta_2)} \ge a_2(\eta_2) e^{u_2(\eta_2)} > (b_2 - D_2)^l > 0.$$

Since $f(x) = \frac{x}{c_1^M + x}$ is increasing with respect to $x \in (0, +\infty)$, from (2.21), we have

$$(2.25) \ a_3^M e^{u_3(\eta_3)} \ge a_3(\eta_3) e^{u_3(\eta_3)} > -b_3^M + \frac{(k_1 d_1)^l e^{u_1(\eta_1)}}{c_1^M + e^{u_1(\eta_1)}} - \frac{d_2^M (k_2 d_2)^M}{a_4^M}.$$

From (2.23) and (2.25), we have

$$(2.26) a_3^M e^{u_3(\eta_3)} > -b_3^M - \frac{d_2^M (k_2 d_2)^M}{a_4^l} + \frac{(k_1 d_1)^l [(b_1 - D_1)^l a_3^l - d_1^M (k_1 d_1)^M]}{a_1^M a_3^l c_1^M + (b_1 - D_1)^l a_3^l - d_1^M (k_1 d_1)^M} > 0.$$

(2.22) gives

$$a_4^M e^{u_4(\eta_4)} \ge a_4(\eta_4) e^{u_4(\eta_4)} > -b_4^M + \frac{(k_2 d_2)^l e^{u_3(\eta_3)}}{c_2^M + e^{u_3(\eta_3)}},$$

from which, together with (2.17) and (2.26), we obtain that

$$(2.27) \quad a_4^M e^{u_4(\eta_4)} > -b_4^M + \frac{a_3^l (k_2 d_2)^l}{a_3^M [a_3^l c_2^M + (k_1 d_1)^M]} \left\{ -b_3^M - \frac{d_2^M (k_2 d_2)^M}{a_4^l} + \frac{(k_1 d_1)^l [(b_1 - D_1)^l a_3^l - d_1^M (k_1 d_1)^M]}{a_1^M a_3^l c_1^M + (b_1 - D_1)^l a_3^l} \right\} > 0.$$

Therefore, from (2.23), (2.24), (2.26) and (2.27), it follows that there exist four positive constants $\delta_i(i=1,2,3,4)$ such that

(2.28)
$$e^{u_i(t)} > \delta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

From (2.15)-(2.18) and (2.28), we obtain

$$|u_i(t)| < \max\{|\ln \rho_i|, |\ln \delta_i|\} \stackrel{def}{=} R_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Clearly, R_i (i=1,2,3,4) are independent of λ . Using the differential mean valued theorem, it follows that there exist some points $\xi_i \in [0, w]$ (i=1,2,3,4) such that when $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T$ is a constant vector,

$$(2.29) QN \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{(b_1 - D_1)} - \overline{a_1}e^{u_1} - \frac{\overline{d_1}e^{u_3}}{c_1(\xi_1) + e^{u_1}} + \overline{D_1}e^{u_2 - u_1} \\ \overline{(b_2 - D_2)} - \overline{a_2}e^{u_2} + \overline{D_2}e^{u_1 - u_2} \\ -\overline{b_3} - \overline{a_3}e^{u_3} + \frac{\overline{k_1}d_1e^{u_1}}{c_1(\xi_2) + e^{u_1}} - \frac{\overline{d_2}e^{u_4}}{c_2(\xi_3) + e^{u_3}} \\ -\overline{b_4} - \overline{a_4}e^{u_4} + \frac{\overline{k_2}d_2e^{u_3}}{c_2(\xi_4) + e^{u_3}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{4} R_i + R_0,$$

here R_0 is taken sufficiently large such that each solution $(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, v^*)^T$ of the following system

$$\begin{cases}
\overline{(b_1 - D_1)} - \overline{a_1} e^{\alpha} - \frac{\overline{d_1} e^r}{c_1(\xi_1) + e^{\alpha}} + \overline{D_1} e^{\beta - \alpha} = 0, \\
\overline{(b_2 - D_2)} - \overline{a_2} e^{\beta} + \overline{D_2} e^{\alpha - \beta} = 0, \\
-\overline{b_3} - \overline{a_3} e^r + \frac{\overline{k_1 d_1} e^{\alpha}}{c_1(\xi_2) + e^{\alpha}} - \frac{\overline{d_2} e^v}{c_2(\xi_3) + e^r} = 0, \\
-\overline{b_4} - \overline{a_4} e^v + \frac{\overline{k_2 d_2} e^r}{c_2(\xi_4) + e^r} = 0,
\end{cases}$$

satisfies $||(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, v^*)^T|| = |\alpha^*| + |\beta^*| + |\gamma^*| + |v^*| < M$, provided that system (2.30) has a solution or a number of solutions. Now we take $\Omega = \{(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t))^T \in X : ||(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T|| < M\}$. This satisfies condition (a) in Theorem A. When $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker} L = \partial\Omega \cap R^4$, $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T$ is a constant vector in R^4 with

 $\sum_{i=1}^{4} |u_i| = M$. If system (2.30) has a solution or a number of solutions, then

$$QN(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)^T.$$

If system (2.30) does not have a solution, then naturally

$$QN \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This prove that condition (b) in Theorem A is satisfied.

Finally we will prove that condition (c) in Theorem A is satisfied. To this end, we define $\phi: \mathrm{Dom} L \times [0,1] \to X$ by

$$\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, \mu) = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{(b_1 - D_1)} - \overline{a_1} & e^{u_1} \\ \overline{(b_2 - D_2)} - \overline{a_2} & e^{u_2} \\ -\overline{b_3} - \overline{a_3} & e^{u_4} + \frac{k_1 d_1 e^{u_1}}{c_1(\xi_2) + e^{u_1}} \\ -\overline{b_4} - \overline{a_4} & e^{u_4} + \frac{k_2 d_2 e^{u_3}}{c_2(\xi_4) + e^{u_3}} \end{bmatrix} + \mu \begin{bmatrix} \overline{-\overline{d_1}} e^{u_3} + \overline{D_1} e^{u_2 - u_1} \\ \overline{D_2} e^{u_1 - u_2} \\ -\overline{d_2} e^{u_4} \\ \overline{c_2(\xi_3) + e^{u_3}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mu \in [0, 1]$ is a parameter.

When $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T \in \partial\Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L = \partial\Omega \cap R^4$, $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T$ is a constant vector in R^4 with $\sum_{i=1}^4 |u_i| = M$. We will show that when $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T \in \partial\Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L$, $\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, \mu) \neq 0$. If the conclusion is not true, i.e., constant vector $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T$ with $\sum_{i=1}^4 |u_i| = M$ satisfies $\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, \mu) = 0$, then from

$$\begin{cases} \overline{(b_1 - D_1)} - \overline{a_1}e^{u_1} - \frac{\mu \overline{d_1}e^{u_3}}{c_1(\xi_1) + e^{u_1}} + \mu \overline{D_1}e^{u_2 - u_1} = 0, \\ \overline{(b_2 - D_2)} - \overline{a_2}e^{u_2} + \mu \overline{D_2}e^{u_1 - u_2} = 0, \\ -\overline{b_3} - \overline{a_3}e^{u_4} + \frac{\overline{k_1d_1}e^{u_1}}{c_1(\xi_2) + e^{u_1}} - \frac{\mu \overline{d_2}e^{u_4}}{c_2(\xi_3) + e^{u_3}} = 0, \\ -\overline{b_4} - \overline{a_4}e^{u_4} + \frac{\overline{k_2d_2}e^{u_3}}{c_2(\xi_4) + e^{u_3}} = 0, \end{cases}$$

by following the arguments of (2.15)-(2.18) and (2.28), magnifying \bar{f} into f^M and reducing \bar{f} into f^l , here f denotes every function in (H1), and magnifying μ into 1 and reducing μ into 0, we can obtain

$$|u_i| < \max\{|\ln \rho_i| |\ln \delta_i|\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} |u_i| < \sum_{i=1}^{4} \max\{|\ln \rho_i|, |\ln \delta_i|\} < M,$$

which contradicts the fact that constant vector $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T$ satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^4 |u_i| = M$. Therefore, $\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, \mu) \neq 0$, when $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L$. Using the property of topological degree and taking J = I: $\text{Im} L \to \text{Ker} L$, $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T \to (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \deg(JQN(u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4)^T,\Omega\cap \mathrm{Ker}L,(0,0,0,0)^T) \\ = & \deg(\phi(u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4,1),\Omega\cap \mathrm{Ker}L,(0,0,0,0)^T) \\ = & \deg(\phi(u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4,0),\Omega\cap \mathrm{Ker}L,(0,0,0,0)^T) \\ = & \deg\bigg\{\bigg[\overline{(b_1-D_1)}-\overline{a_1}e^{u_1},\overline{(b_2-D_2)}-\overline{a_2}e^{u_2}, \\ & -\overline{b_3}-\overline{a_3}e^{u_3}+\frac{\overline{k_1d_1}e^{u_1}}{c_1(\xi_2)+e^{u_1}},-\overline{b_4}-\overline{a_4}e^{u_4}+\frac{\overline{k_2d_2}e^{u_3}}{c_2(\xi_4)+e^{u_3}}\bigg]^T, \\ & \Omega\cap \mathrm{Ker}L,(0,0,0,0)^T\bigg\}. \end{split}$$

In view of the conditions of Theorem 2.1, then the system of algebraic equations:

$$\begin{cases} \overline{(b_1 - D_1)} - \overline{a_1}u = 0, \\ \overline{(b_2 - D_2)} - \overline{a_2}v = 0, \\ -\overline{b_3} - \overline{a_3}m + \frac{\overline{k_1}d_1u}{c_1(\xi_2) + u} = 0, \\ -\overline{b_4} - \overline{a_4}n + \frac{k_2d_2m}{c_2(\xi_4) + m} = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $(u^*, v^*, m^*, n^*)^T$ which satisfies:

$$u^* = \frac{\overline{(b_1 - D_1)}}{\overline{a_1}} > 0,$$

$$v^* = \frac{\overline{(b_2 - D_2)}}{\overline{a_2}} > 0,$$

$$m^* = \frac{1}{\overline{a_3}} \left[-\overline{b_3} + \frac{\overline{k_1 d_1} \overline{(b_1 - D_1)}}{\overline{a_1 c_1(\xi_2) + \overline{(b_1 - D_1)}}} \right] > 0.$$

Since $m^* < \frac{\overline{k_1 d_1}}{\overline{a_3}}$, thus,

$$n^{*} = \frac{1}{\overline{a_{4}}} \left(-\overline{b_{4}} + \frac{\overline{k_{2}d_{2}}m^{*}}{c_{2}(\xi_{4}) + m^{*}} \right)$$

$$> \frac{1}{\overline{a_{4}}} \left(-\overline{b_{4}} + \frac{\overline{a_{3}}\overline{k_{2}d_{2}}m^{*}}{\overline{a_{3}}c_{2}(\xi_{4}) + \overline{k_{1}d_{1}}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\overline{a^{4}}} \left[-\overline{b_{4}} + \frac{\overline{k_{2}d_{2}} \left(-\overline{b_{3}} + \frac{\overline{k_{1}d_{1}} (\overline{b_{1} - D_{1}})}{\overline{a_{3}}c_{2}(\xi_{4}) + \overline{k_{1}d_{1}}} \right) \right] > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\deg(JQN(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4})^{T}, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker}L, (0, 0, 0, 0)^{T})$$

$$= \operatorname{sign} \begin{vmatrix} -\overline{a_{1}}u^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\overline{a_{2}}v^{*} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{\overline{k_{1}}d_{1}c_{1}(\xi_{2})u^{*}}{[c_{1}(\xi_{2})+u^{*}]^{2}} & 0 & -\overline{a_{3}}m^{*} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\overline{k_{2}}d_{2}m^{*}c_{2}(\xi_{4})}{[c_{2}(\xi_{4})+m^{*}]^{2}} & -\overline{a_{4}}n^{*} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \operatorname{sign}(\overline{a_{1}} \ \overline{a_{2}} \ \overline{a_{3}} \ \overline{a_{4}}u^{*}v^{*}m^{*}n^{*})$$

$$= 1.$$

This completes the proof of condition (c) in Theorem A and the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed. \Box

References

- C. Lansun and C. Jian, Nonlinear Dynamical System in Biology, Science Press, Beijing, 1993.
- [2] R. E. Gaines and J. L. Mawhin, Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [3] J. L. Mawhin, Topological Degree Methods in Nonlinear Boundary Value problems, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., No.40, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 1979.
- [4] Y. Li, Periodic solution of a periodic delay predator-prey system, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 1331-1335.
- [5] ______, On a periodic neutral delay Lotka-Volterra system, Nonlinear Anal. 39 (2000), no. 6, 767-778.
- [6] L. J. S. Allen, Persistence, extinction, and critical patch number for island populations, J. Math. Biol. 24 (1987), 617–625.
- [7] E. Beretta and F. Solimano, Global stability and periodic orbits for two patch predator-prey diffusion delay model, Math. Biosci. 85 (1987), 153–183.
- [8] E. Beretta and Y. Takeuchi, Global asymptotic stability of Lotka-Volterra diffusion models with continuous time delays, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 48 (1988), 276–651.

- [9] H. I. Freedman, B. Rai, and P. Waltman, Mathematical model of population interactions with dispersal II: Differential survival in a change of habitat, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 115 (1986), 140–154.
- [10] A. Hastings, Dynamics of a single species in a spatially varying environment: The stabilizing role of high dispersal rates, J. Math. Biol. 16 (1982), 49–55.
- [11] R. D. Holt, Population dynamics in two-patch environments: Some anomalous consequences of an optimal habitat distribution, Theoret. Population Biol. 28 (1985), 181–208.
- [12] Y. Kuang, Delay Differential Equations with Applications in Population Dynamics, Academic Press, New York, 1993.
- [13] Y. Kuang and Y. Takeuchi, Predator-prey dynamics in models of prey dispersal in two-patch environments, Math. Biosci. 120 (1994), 77–98.
- [14] S. A. Levin, Dispersion and population interaction, Amer. Naturalist 108 (1974), 207–228.
- [15] X. Song and L. Chen, Persistence and periodic orbits for two-species predatorprey system with diffusion, Canad. Appl. Math. Quart. 6 (1998), no. 3, 233-244.
- [16] _____, Persistence and global stability for nonautonomous predator-prey system with diffusion and time delay, Comput. Math. Appl. **35** (1998), no. 6, 33–40.
- [17] J. D. Skellem, Random dispersal in theoretical population, Biometrika 38 (1951), 196–216.
- [18] P. R. Vance, The effect of dispersal in population stability in one-species, discrete space population growth models, Amer. Naturalist 123 (1984), 230–254.
- [19] J. K. Hale and S. M. Lunel, Introduction to Functional Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

Department of Applied Mathematics Hunan University Changsha, 410082, P. R. China *E-mail*: zcwang@hnu.net.cn