

**ANALYTIC APPROACH TO DEFORMATION
OF RESOLUTION OF NORMAL ISOLATED
SINGULARITIES: FORMAL DEFORMATIONS**

KIMIO MIYAJIMA

ABSTRACT. We give an analytic approach to the versal deformation of a resolution of a germ of normal isolated singularities. In this paper, we treat only formal deformation theory and it is applied to complete the CR-description of the simultaneous resolution of a cone over a rational curve of degree n in \mathbf{P}^n ($n \leq 4$).

Introduction

Let V be a reduced irreducible normal Stein space with a singularity at $o \in V$. We assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} V \geq 2$ throughout the paper. In [7], we made a CR construction of the versal family of deformation of the germ (V, o) . The subject of this paper is an analytic approach to deformation of resolution of singularities of V . Let $f : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be a resolution of singularities. We consider it as a holomorphic map $(\tilde{V}, f^{-1}(o)) \rightarrow (V, o)$ between germs of complex spaces. Though a normal Stein space is determined by its resolution, it is well known that all families of deformations of \tilde{V} cannot be realized as families of resolutions of deformations of V (cf. [9]). Thus we consider the following deformation functor; for $T \in \mathcal{A}n$,

$$\mathcal{R}es_{\tilde{V} \rightarrow V}(T) := \left\{ F : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \mid \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\omega} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow T \text{ (resp. } \omega : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow T \text{) is a family of} \\ \text{deformations of } \tilde{V} \text{ (resp. } V \text{) and} \\ F : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \text{ is a holomorphic map} \\ \text{satisfying } \omega \circ F = \tilde{\omega} \text{ and } F|_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0} = f \end{array} \right\} / \sim$$

Received February 5, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32G05, 32S30, 32G07.

Key words and phrases: deformation, isolated singularity, simultaneous resolution, CR structure.

Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 14540087.

where $\mathcal{A}n$ denotes the category of germs of analytic spaces with the distinguished point 0 and $(F_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1) \sim (F_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2)$ if there exist isomorphisms $\tilde{\chi} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ and $\chi : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$ satisfying $\tilde{\omega}_2 \circ \tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\omega}_1$, $\omega_2 \circ \chi = \omega_1$, $\chi \circ F_1 = F_2 \circ \tilde{\chi}$, $\tilde{\chi}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0} = \text{id}_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}$ and $\chi|_{\mathcal{V}_0} = \text{id}_V$.

This type of deformation theory was considered for normal surface singularities in [1] by algebraic method. We approach this deformation via deformation of Cauchy-Riemann structure on \tilde{V} in order to connect the deformation of resolution to the deformation of CR-structure on a link of the singularities of V .

In this paper, we will consider only formal deformations. For the actual deformation, we need an extra adjustment near the boundary of the inductive construction in Theorem 4 together with an adjustment of the operators in Section 2 and 3. Since it requires a new technique other than the one used in [7], we will discuss it in the next paper.

In Sections 1, we will $\bar{\partial}$ -theoretically describe the formal theory of deformation of resolution of normal isolated singularities. The main part of this paper is Sections 2 and 3 where we will construct the homotopy operators for the deformation complex which will be introduced in Section 1. Relying on these operators, we can construct a formal versal family of deformation of resolution of normal isolated singularities by the method in [7]. In Section 4, we will apply our construction to the case of a cone over rational curve of degree $n(\leq 4)$ in \mathbf{P}^n and will give a Cauchy-Riemann theoretic description of so-called the Artin-component.

1. Deformation functor and deformation complex

Let V be embedded in \mathbf{C}^N and denote $f : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V \subset \mathbf{C}^N$ a resolution of singularities. Let $\mathcal{R}es_{\tilde{V} \rightarrow V}$ be the functor as above and let

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathit{Def}_{\tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N}(T) \\ := & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\omega} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow T \text{ is a family of deformations of } \tilde{V} \\ \Phi : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N \times T \mid \text{ and } \Phi : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N \times T \text{ is a holomorphic map} \\ \text{satisfying } pr_2 \circ \Phi = \tilde{\omega} \text{ and } \Phi|_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0} = f \end{array} \right\} / \sim \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1, \Phi_1) \sim (\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2, \Phi_2)$ if there exists an isomorphism $\tilde{\chi} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ satisfying $\tilde{\omega}_2 \circ \tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\omega}_1$ and $\tilde{\chi}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0} = \text{id}_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}$.

PROPOSITION 1.1.

$$\mathcal{R}es_{\tilde{V} \rightarrow V} \simeq \mathit{Def}_{\tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N}.$$

Proof. The only non-trivial part of the proof is to show that, for any family $F : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N \times T$ in $\mathcal{D}ef_{\tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N}(T)$, $F(\tilde{V}) \rightarrow T$ is a flat family. We assume that V is an analytic subset of a neighbourhood of a small ball $B \subset \mathbf{C}^N$ centered at the origin $o \in \mathbf{C}^N$. Let $h_1(w, t), \dots, h_m(w, t)$ be generators of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{F(\tilde{V})}$ of $F(\tilde{V})$. By Theorem 1.3 of [5] and IV, Theorem 5.6 of [4], it is enough to show the following property: any $(\mu - 1)$ -th degree polynomials of t , $p_1^{(\mu-1)}(w, t), \dots, p_m^{(\mu-1)}(w, t) \in H^0(B, \mathcal{O}_B)[t]$ satisfying $\sum_{\sigma=1}^m p_\sigma^{(\mu-1)}(w, t)h_\sigma(w, t) = O(t^\mu)$, can be lifted to a polynomials of degree μ , $p_1^{(\mu)}(w, t), \dots, p_m^{(\mu)}(w, t) \in H^0(B, \mathcal{O}_B)[t]$ satisfying $p_\sigma^{(\mu)}(w, t) - p_\sigma^{(\mu-1)}(w, t) = O(t^\mu)$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, m$) and

$$\sum_{\sigma=1}^m p_\sigma^{(\mu)}(w, t)h_\sigma(w, t) = O(t^{\mu+1}).$$

It is proved by the argument of the proof of Theorem 5.1 of [2]. Let $r_\mu(w, t) :=$ the μ -th order term of $\sum_{\sigma=1}^m p_\sigma^{(\mu-1)}(w, t)h_\sigma(w, t)$. Then, by the assumption, $r_\mu(F(z, t), t) = 0$. On the other hand, since $r_\mu(w, t)$ is homogeneous of degree μ in t , we have $r_\mu(F(z, t), t) - r_\mu(F(z, 0), t) = O(t^{\mu+1})$.

Hence, $f^*r_\mu(t) = 0$. It follows that $r_\mu(t)|_V = 0$ since V is normal. Therefore, there exists homogeneous polynomials of degree μ , $p_{1\mu}(w, t), \dots, p_{m\mu}(w, t)$ such that

$$\sum_{\sigma=1}^m (p_\sigma^{(\mu)}(w, t) + p_{\sigma\mu}(w, t))h_\sigma(w, t) = O(t^{\mu+1}).$$

□

We shall consider the deformation functor $\mathcal{D}ef_{\tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N}$. Let $\Theta_{\tilde{V}}$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}$ denote the sheaves of holomorphic vector fields on \tilde{V} and \mathbf{C}^N respectively. Then the infinitesimal deformation of this deformation theory is described by the following fundamental exact sequence;

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Theta_{\tilde{V}} \xrightarrow{df} f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N} \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}$ denotes the coherent sheaf $f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}/\Theta_{\tilde{V}}$.

The following proposition is proved by the same argument as the proof of Proposition 1.5 of [7].

PROPOSITION 1.2. (1) *The space of first order deformations is*
 $\text{Ker}\{H^1(\tilde{V}, \Theta_{\tilde{V}}) \xrightarrow{df} H^1(\tilde{V}, f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N})\},$

(2) The space of obstructions to lifting to higher order deformations is $\text{Ker}\{H^1(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}) \xrightarrow{H} H^1(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}^m)\}$, where H denotes the homomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}^m$ induced from the bundle homomorphism $dh : T^{1,0}\mathbf{C}^N \rightarrow h^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{C}^m$ with denoting $h := (h_1, \dots, h_m)$.

For our treatment of this deformation theory, we shall make a $\bar{\partial}$ -theoretic description of the above cohomology spaces.

Let $K_{\tilde{V}}^{\bullet, \bullet}$ be the following double complex.

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & H^0(\tilde{V}, f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}) & \xrightarrow{H} & H^0(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}^m) & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & 0 & \longrightarrow & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{\tilde{V}}^{0,0} := A_{\tilde{V}}^0(\Theta_{\tilde{V}}) & \xrightarrow{df} & A_{\tilde{V}}^0(f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}) & \xrightarrow{H} & (A_{\tilde{V}}^0)^{\oplus m} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A_{\tilde{V}}^{0,1}(\Theta_{\tilde{V}}) & \xrightarrow{df} & A_{\tilde{V}}^{0,1}(f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}) & \xrightarrow{H} & (A_{\tilde{V}}^{0,1})^{\oplus m} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A_{\tilde{V}}^{0,2}(\Theta_{\tilde{V}}) & \xrightarrow{df} & A_{\tilde{V}}^{0,2}(f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}) & \xrightarrow{H} & (A_{\tilde{V}}^{0,2})^{\oplus m} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \end{array}$$

Then the following proposition is direct.

PROPOSITION 1.3. (1) $H^1(K_{\tilde{V}}^{\bullet, \bullet}) \simeq \text{Ker}\{H^1(\tilde{V}, \Theta_{\tilde{V}}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{V}, f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N})\}$.

(2) $H^2(K_{\tilde{V}}^{\bullet, \bullet}) \simeq \text{Ker}\{H^1(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}^m)\}$.

Let $r : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth exhaustion function which is strictly plurisubharmonic on $\tilde{V} \setminus f^{-1}(0)$; e.g. $r = (\sum_{\beta=1}^N |w_{\beta}|^2) \circ f$. We assume that $dr \neq 0$ on $\tilde{V} \setminus r^{-1}(0)$ and denote $\Omega := \{x \in \tilde{V} | r(x) < c\}$.

We denote $K_{\Omega}^{\bullet, \bullet}$ (resp. $(K_{\Omega}^{\bullet, \bullet}, d)$) the double complex (1.2) with \tilde{V} replaced by $\bar{\Omega}$ (resp. its total simple complex).

PROPOSITION 1.4. $H^q(K_{\tilde{V}}^{\bullet, \bullet}) \simeq H^q(K_{\Omega}^{\bullet, \bullet})$ ($q = 1, 2$).

Proof. For the proof, we recall the isomorphism

$$(1.3) \quad H^1(\bar{\Omega}, E) \simeq H^1(\tilde{V}, E)$$

for a holomorphic vector bundle E over \tilde{V} (cf. [6]).

Let $q = 1$. As Proposition 1.3, we have

$$H^1(K_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet}) \simeq \text{Ker}\{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, \Theta_{\tilde{V}}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{\Omega}, f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N})\}.$$

Hence our isomorphism follows by the isomorphism (1.3) for $E = \Theta_{\tilde{V}}$ or $E = f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}$.

Let $q = 2$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{V}}^{0,q}(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}) := \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}} \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{V}}^{0,q}$ and define $\bar{\partial}(u \otimes \phi) := u \otimes (\bar{\partial}\phi)$, where we denote $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{V}}^{0,q}$ the sheaf of differentiable $(0, q)$ -forms on \tilde{V} . We consider the differential complex $(A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,q}(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}), \bar{\partial})$ and denote its q -th cohomology group by $H^q(\bar{\Omega}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N})$, where we denote $A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,q}(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}) := \{(u \otimes \phi)|_{\bar{\Omega}} \mid u \otimes \phi \in \Gamma(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{V}}^{0,q}(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}))\}$. We remark that the isomorphism

$$(1.4) \quad H^q(\bar{\Omega}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}) \simeq H^q(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N})$$

holds for $q \geq 1$ by the isomorphism (1.3) for $E = \Theta_{\tilde{V}}$ or $E = f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}$ and the following exact sequence together with the five lemma;

$$0 \rightarrow A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,q}(\Theta_{\tilde{V}}) \rightarrow A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,q}(f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}) \rightarrow A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,q}(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}) \rightarrow 0.$$

As Proposition 1.3, we have

$$H^2(K_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet}) \simeq \text{Ker}\{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}^m)\}.$$

Hence, the proposition for $q = 2$ follows by the isomorphism (1.4). \square

2. Analysis on $K_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet}$ ($q = 1$)

Based on the harmonic analysis on $A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,1}(\Theta_{\tilde{V}})$ and $A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,1}(f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N})$, we construct the following operators Z_1 and Q_1 as in [7].

THEOREM 1. *There exist operators $Z_1 : K_{\bar{\Omega}}^1 \rightarrow K_{\bar{\Omega}}^1 \cap \text{Ker } d$ and $Q_1 : K_{\bar{\Omega}}^1 \cap \text{Ker } d \rightarrow K_{\bar{\Omega}}^0$ satisfying*

- (1) $Z_1|_{\text{Ker } d} = \text{id}|_{\text{Ker } d}$,
- (2) $d \circ Q_1 \circ d = d$.

3. Analysis on $K_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet}$ ($q = 2$)

In this section, we will prove the existence of the following Z_2 and Q_2 .

THEOREM 2. *There exist operators $Z_2 : K_{\bar{\Omega}}^2 \rightarrow K_{\bar{\Omega}}^2 \cap \text{Ker } d$ and $Q_2 : K_{\bar{\Omega}}^2 \cap \text{Ker } d \rightarrow K_{\bar{\Omega}}^1$ satisfying*

- (1) $Z_2|_{\text{Ker } d} = \text{id}|_{\text{Ker } d}$,
- (2) $d \circ Q_2 \circ d = d$.

For the proof of this theorem, we need the harmonic analysis for $(A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0, \bullet}(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbb{C}^N}), \bar{\partial})$. Instead of the harmonic theory for the $\bar{\partial}$ -complex with values in the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbb{C}^N}$, we consider the following double complex $L_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & H^0(\bar{\Omega}, f^*\Theta_{\mathbb{C}^N}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \downarrow i & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & A_{\bar{\Omega}}^0(f^*\Theta_{\mathbb{C}^N}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & L_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,0} := A_{\bar{\Omega}}^0(\Theta_{\tilde{V}}) & \xrightarrow{df} & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \\
 & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,1}(f^*\Theta_{\mathbb{C}^N}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,1}(\Theta_{\tilde{V}}) & \xrightarrow{df} & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \\
 & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,2}(f^*\Theta_{\mathbb{C}^N}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A_{\bar{\Omega}}^{0,2}(\Theta_{\tilde{V}}) & \xrightarrow{df} & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \\
 & & \downarrow \bar{\partial} & & \vdots & & \\
 & & \vdots & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Then the following proposition is obvious.

- PROPOSITION 3.1.** (1) $H^1(L_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet}) \simeq H^0(\bar{\Omega}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbb{C}^N})$.
 (2) $H^2(L_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet}) \simeq H^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbb{C}^N})$.

We consider the harmonic theory on (the total simple complex of) the double complex $L_{\tilde{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet}$. Let

$$L_{\tilde{\Omega}}^q := A_{\tilde{\Omega}}^{0,q}(\Theta_{\tilde{V}}) \oplus A_{\tilde{\Omega}}^{0,q-1}(f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N}),$$

$$D(\phi, g) := (\bar{\partial}\phi, \bar{\partial}g + (-1)^q df\phi).$$

We fix hermitian inner products along the fibres of vector bundles $T^{1,0}\tilde{V}$ and $T^{1,0}\mathbf{C}^N$. We define an inner product on $L_{\tilde{\Omega}}^q$ as follows;

$$((\phi, g), (\phi', g')) := (\phi, \phi') + (g, g').$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The boundary condition of D-Neumann problem is*

$$\mathcal{D}_D^q := \{(\theta, \xi) \in L_{\tilde{\Omega}}^q \mid \sigma(\vartheta, dr)\theta|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \sigma(\vartheta, dr)\xi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$$

and

$$D^*(\theta, \xi) = (\vartheta\theta + (-1)^{q-1}F^*\xi, \vartheta\xi) \text{ for } (\theta, \xi) \in \mathcal{D}_D^q,$$

where $\vartheta\xi := \xi - \vartheta N\bar{\partial}\xi$ if $q = 1$ and F^* is a bundle homomorphism satisfying $\langle df\phi, \xi \rangle = \langle \phi, F^*\xi \rangle$ for $\phi \in A_{\tilde{\Omega}}^0(\Theta_{\tilde{V}})$ and $\xi \in A_{\tilde{\Omega}}^0(f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N})$.

Proof. For $(\phi, g) \in L_{\tilde{\Omega}}^{q-1}$ and $(\theta, \xi) \in L_{\tilde{\Omega}}^q$,

$$\begin{aligned} (D(\phi, g), (\theta, \xi)) &= (\bar{\partial}\phi, \theta) + (\bar{\partial}g + (-1)^{q-1}df\phi, \xi) \\ &= (\phi, \vartheta\theta) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \phi, \sigma(\vartheta, dr)\theta \rangle \\ &\quad + (g, \vartheta\xi) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle f, \sigma(\vartheta, dr)\xi \rangle \\ &\quad + (-1)^{q-1}(\phi, F^*\xi). \end{aligned}$$

□

We shall construct the D -Neumann operators for $q \geq 2$ following [3]. Denote

$$Q_D(u, v) := (Du, Dv) + (D^*u, D^*v) + (u, v) \text{ for } (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_D^q$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_D^q$ the completion of \mathcal{D}_D^q with respect to Q_D . Then, since $Q_D(u, u) \geq \|u\|_D^2$ holds for $u \in \mathcal{D}_D^q$, there exists a bounded injective self-adjoint operator $T_D : L_{(2)}^q \rightarrow L_{(2)}^q$ with $T_D(L_{(2)}^q) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_D^q$ and

$$Q_D(T_D u, v) = (u, v) \text{ holds for all } u, v \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_D^q.$$

Let $F_D := T_D^{-1}$. Then $\text{Dom}(F_D) \cap \mathcal{D}_D^q = \{u \in \mathcal{D}_D^q \mid Du \in \mathcal{D}_D^{q+1}\}$ and $F_D u = (\square_D + I)u$ holds.

Let $H_D^q := \text{Ker } \square_D$. Then we have the weak orthogonal decomposition

$$L_{(2)}^q = H_D^q + (\text{Range } \square_D)^c$$

where c denotes closure.

PROPOSITION 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned} & \square_D(\phi, g) \\ &= (\square_{\bar{\partial}}\phi, \square_{\bar{\partial}}g) + ((-1)^{q-1}[\bar{\partial}, F^*]g + F^*df\phi, (-1)^q[\vartheta, df]\phi + dfF^*g). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} D(\phi, g) &= (\bar{\partial}\phi, \bar{\partial}g + (-1)^qdf\phi) \text{ for } (\phi, g) \in L_{\bar{\Omega}}^q \\ D^*(\phi, g) &= (\vartheta\phi + (-1)^{q-1}F^*g, \vartheta g) \text{ for } (\phi, g) \in L_{\bar{\Omega}}^q \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^*D(\phi, g) &= (\vartheta\bar{\partial}\phi + (-1)^qF^*\bar{\partial}g + F^*df\phi, \vartheta\bar{\partial}g + (-1)^q\vartheta df\phi) \\ DD^*(\phi, g) &= (\bar{\partial}\vartheta\phi + (-1)^{q-1}\bar{\partial}F^*g, \bar{\partial}\vartheta g + (-1)^{q-1}df\vartheta\phi + dfF^*g) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have the proposition. \square

By proposition 3.3, we can carry out the standard argument of the estimate in the interior and at the boundary, and the elliptic regularization (cf. [3]). It enables us to conclude the following:

PROPOSITION 3.4. For $q \geq 2$,

- (1) $H_D^q := \text{Ker } \square_D$ is finite dimensional and $H_D^q \subset L_{\bar{\Omega}}^q$,
- (2) range \square_D is closed and we have a strong decomposition $L_{(2)}^q = H_D^q \oplus \text{Range } \square_D$.

Let $N_D : L_{(2)}^q \rightarrow \text{Dom}(F_D)$ be so-called the Neumann operator defined by the above orthogonal decomposition; that is, $Nu = 0$ for $u \in H_D^q$ and N_Du is the unique solution v of $\square_Dv = u$ ($v \perp H_D^q$) if $u \perp H_D^q$. Then we have the following

THEOREM 3. For $q \geq 2$,

- (1) N_D is compact operator,
- (2) $u = \rho_Du + \square_DN_Du$ holds for $u \in L_{(2)}^q$, where ρ_D denotes the orthogonal projection onto H_D^q ,
- (3) $N_D\rho_D = \rho_DN_D = 0$, $\square_DN_D = N_D\square_D$, $DN_D = N_DD$ holds on $\text{Dom}(D)$ and $D^*N_D = N_DD^*$ holds on $\text{Dom}(D^*)$,
- (4) $N_D(L_{\bar{\Omega}}^q) \subset L_{\bar{\Omega}}^q$,

(5) if we denote $\|(\phi, g)\|_k := \|\phi\|_k + \|g\|_k$, then $\|N_D u\|_{k+1} \leq C\|u\|_k$ holds for $u \in L^q_\Omega$.

We apply this harmonic analysis to the construction of the operator Z_2, Q_2 . We note that

$$K^q_\Omega = L^q_\Omega \oplus K^{2,q-2}_\Omega$$

$$d(u, k) = (Du, \bar{\partial}k + (-1)^{q-1}Hu)$$

where we denote $H(\phi, g) := Hg$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $(a_2, b_1, c_{-1}) \in L^2_\Omega \oplus K^{2,0}_\Omega$ and denote

$$\begin{cases} (a'_2, b'_1) := (\rho_H \circ \rho + DD^*N_D)(a_2, b_1) \\ c'_0 := \rho c_0 + \bar{\partial}^*NHb'_1. \end{cases}$$

We define

$$Z_2(a_2, b_1, c_{-1}) := (a'_2, b'_1, c'_0).$$

PROPOSITION 3.5. (1) $dZ_2 = 0$,
 (2) $Z_2|_{\text{Ker } d} = \text{id}|_{\text{Ker } d}$.

Proof. (1)

$$\begin{aligned} d(a'_2, b'_1, c'_0) &= (D(a'_2, b'_1), \bar{\partial}c'_0 - Hb'_1) \\ &= (0, 0, \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*NHb'_1 - Hb'_1) \\ &= (0, 0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

since Hb'_1 is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.

(2) Suppose $d(a_2, b_1, c_{-1}) = (D(a_2, b_1), \bar{\partial}c_0 - Hb_1) = (0, 0, 0)$. Then

$$(a_2, b_1) = (\rho + DD^*N_D)(a_2, b_1),$$

since (a_2, b_1) is D -closed.

Next, since $H^2(L^\bullet_\Omega) \simeq \text{Ker}\{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathcal{T}_{\bar{V}/\mathbb{C}N}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathcal{O}^m_{\bar{V}})\}$ by Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\rho(a_2, b_1) = \rho_H \circ \rho(a_2, b_1).$$

Hence, it follows that $(a'_2, b'_1) = (a_2, b_1)$ and $c'_0 = \rho c_0 + \bar{\partial}^*NHb_1 = \rho c_0 + \bar{\partial}^*N\bar{\partial}c_0 = c_0$. □

Next, we define Q_2 . For $(a'_2, b'_1, c'_0) \in \text{Ker } d \cap K^2_\Omega$, we define

$$\begin{cases} (a''_1, b''_0) := D^*N(a'_2, b'_1) \\ c''_{-1} := c'_0 - Hb''_0 - \bar{\partial}^*NH\rho_D(a'_2, b'_1) \end{cases}$$

and

$$Q_2(a'_2, b'_1, c'_0) := (a''_1, b''_0, c''_{-1}).$$

PROPOSITION 3.6. $dQ_2d = d$.

Proof. Let $(a'_2, b'_1, c'_0) := d(a_1, b_0, c_{-1}) = (D(a_1, b_0), c_{-1} + Hb_0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (a''_1, b''_0) &= D^*ND(a_1, b_0) \\ c''_{-1} &= (c_{-1} + Hb_0) - Hb''_0 - \bar{\partial}^*NH\rho_D(a'_2, b'_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &d(a''_1, b''_0, c''_{-1}) \\ &= (D^*ND(a_1, b_0), (c_{-1} + Hb_0) - Hb''_0 - \bar{\partial}^*NH\rho_D(a'_2, b'_1 + Hb''_0)) \\ &= (D(a_1, b_0), c_{-1} + Hb_0) \\ &= (a'_2, b'_1, c'_0). \end{aligned}$$

□

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

4. An application

Using the operators Z_2, Q_2, Z_1, Q_1 , by the method of [7], we can prove the following

THEOREM 4. Let $d := \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^1(K_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet})$ and $\ell := \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^2(K_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet})$. Then there exist $b_1(t), \dots, b_\ell(t) \in \mathbf{C}[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ and $(\phi(t), g(t), k(t)) \in K_{\bar{\Omega}}^1[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ such that

- (1) $\phi(0) = 0, g(0) = 0, k(0) = 0,$
- (2) $\bar{\partial}\phi(t) - \frac{1}{2}[\phi(t), \phi(t)] \equiv 0 \pmod{(b_1(t), \dots, b_\ell(t))},$
- (3) $(\bar{\partial} - \phi(t))(f + g(t)) \equiv 0 \pmod{(b_1(t), \dots, b_\ell(t))},$
- (4) $(h + k(t)) \circ (f + g(t)) \equiv 0 \pmod{(b_1(t), \dots, b_\ell(t))},$
- (5) the linear term of $(\phi(t), g(t), k(t))$ is $\sum_{\gamma=1}^d t_\gamma(\phi_\gamma, g_\gamma, k_\gamma)$ where $\{(\phi_\gamma, g_\gamma, k_\gamma)\}_{\gamma=1, \dots, d}$ is a basis of $H^1(K_{\bar{\Omega}}^{\bullet, \bullet})$,
- (6) for any family $(\Phi : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N \times \hat{S}) \in \text{Def}_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N}(\hat{S})$ with $\bar{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0$, where \hat{S} is a formal space, there exist a map $\tau : \hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{T}$, a map $G : \bar{\Omega} \times \hat{S} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ commuting with maps $\bar{\Omega} \times \hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \hat{S}$, and a map $\zeta : B \times \hat{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N \times \hat{S}$ with $\tau(0) = 0, G|_{\bar{\Omega} \times 0} = \text{id}_{\bar{\Omega}}, \zeta|_{B \times 0} = \text{id}_B$ and $\Phi \circ G = \zeta \circ (f + g)$ and such that G is holomorphic with respect to the family of complex structures $\phi(\tau(s))$, where we denote by $f + g(t)$ a map $\bar{\Omega} \times \hat{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N \times \hat{T}$ defined by $f + g(t)$.

(7) If $H^2(K_{\overline{\Omega}}^{\bullet,\bullet}) = 0$ then $b_1(t) = \dots = b_\ell(t) = 0$.

Relying on this family, we complete the CR-description of the simultaneous resolution of deformations of a cone over rational curve of degree n in \mathbf{P}^n for $n \leq 4$.

Let $V_n := \mathbf{C}^2/\mathbf{Z}_n$ and $M_n := S^3/\mathbf{Z}_n$ where \mathbf{Z}_n denotes a cyclic group generated by $g_n \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{C}^2)$ with $g_n(z_1, z_2) = (\zeta_n z_1, \zeta_n z_2)$ where $\zeta_n := e^{2\sqrt{-1}\pi/n}$. Then V_n is realized as a normal subvariety of \mathbf{C}^{n+1} . We denote by $f : V_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ the natural inclusion map.

We denote by \bar{Z} , Z and T the vector fields on S^3 defined by $\bar{Z} := z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}$, $Z := \bar{z}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \bar{z}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$ and $T := \sqrt{-1} \left(z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - \bar{z}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \bar{z}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \right)$.

By applying the algorithm in [7] constructing the versal family of stably embeddable deformation of CR structures on M_n (hence, its image in \mathbf{C}^{n+1} bounds the versal family of deformations of V_n), we have

THEOREM 5. ([8]) *The versal family of stably embeddable deformation of the CR structure on M_n is given as follows.*

(1) ($n = 2$) *The parameter space is $(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ and the versal family is given by $\phi(s) = sZ \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ together with a family of holomorphic embeddings*

$$f + f(s) : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1^2 - s\bar{z}_2^2, z_1 z_2 + s\bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2, z_2^2 - s\bar{z}_1^2).$$

(2) ($n = 3$) *The parameter space is $(\mathbf{C}^2, 0)$ and the versal family is given by $\phi(t_0, t_1) = (t_0 \bar{z}_1 + t_1 \bar{z}_2)T \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ together with a family of holomorphic embeddings*

$$\begin{aligned} f + f(t_0, t_1) : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto & \left(z_1^3 - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}z_1 \bar{z}_2(1 + |z_1|^2)t_0 - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 t_1, \right. \\ & z_1^2 z_2 + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}|z|^4 t_0 - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}z_1 \bar{z}_2 |z_2|^2 t_1, \\ & z_1 z_2^2 + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}z_2 \bar{z}_1 |z_1|^2 t_0 - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}|z_2|^4 t_1, \\ & \left. z_2^3 + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 t_0 + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}z_2 \bar{z}_1(1 + |z_2|^2)t_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(3) ($n = 4$) *The parameter space is $(\text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}\{s, t_0, t_1, t_2\}/(st_0, st_1, st_2)), 0)$ and the versal family is given by $\phi(s, t_0, t_1, t_2) = sZ \otimes$*

$\bar{Z}^* + (t_0\bar{z}_1^2 + t_1\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + t_2\bar{z}_2^2)T \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ together with a family of holomorphic embeddings

$$\begin{aligned}
 f + f(s, 0, 0, 0) : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto & (z_1^4 - s2z_1^2\bar{z}_2^2 + s^2\bar{z}_2^4, \\
 & z_1^3z_2 - sz_1\bar{z}_2(|z_2|^2 - |z_1|^2) - s^2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2^3, \\
 & z_1^2z_2^2 + 2s|z_1|^2|z_2|^2 + s^2\bar{z}_1^2\bar{z}_2^2, \\
 & z_1z_2^3 + sz_2\bar{z}_1(|z_2|^2 - |z_1|^2) - s^2\bar{z}_1^3\bar{z}_2, \\
 & z_2^4 - s2z_2^2\bar{z}_1^2 + s^2\bar{z}_1^4),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f + f(0, t_0, t_1, t_2) : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto & (z_1^4 - t_04/3\sqrt{-1}z_1\bar{z}_2(|z_1|^4 + |z_1|^2 + 1) \\
 & - t_14\sqrt{-1}z_1^2\bar{z}_2^2(|z_1|^2/2 + |z_2|^2/6) - t_24/3\sqrt{-1}z_1^3\bar{z}_2^3, \\
 & z_1^3z_2 + t_04/3\sqrt{-1}|z_1|^6 - t_14\sqrt{-1}z_1\bar{z}_2|z_2|^2(|z_1|^2/2 + |z_2|^2/6) \\
 & - t_24/3\sqrt{-1}z_1^2\bar{z}_2^2|z_2|^2, \\
 & z_1^2z_2^2 + t_04/3\sqrt{-1}z_2\bar{z}_1|z_1|^4 + t_14\sqrt{-1}|z_1|^4(|z_1|^2/6 + |z_2|^2/2) \\
 & - t_24/3\sqrt{-1}z_1\bar{z}_2|z_2|^4, \\
 & z_1z_2^3 + t_04/3\sqrt{-1}z_2^2\bar{z}_1^2|z_1|^2 + t_14\sqrt{-1}z_2\bar{z}_1|z_1|^2(|z_1|^2/6 + |z_2|^2/2) \\
 & - t_24/3\sqrt{-1}|z_2|^6, \\
 & z_2^4 + t_04/3\sqrt{-1}z_2^3\bar{z}_1^3 + t_14\sqrt{-1}z_2^2\bar{z}_1^2(|z_1|^2/6 + |z_2|^2/2) \\
 & + t_24/3\sqrt{-1}z_2\bar{z}_1(|z_2|^4 + |z_2|^2 + 1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Where we denote points of M_n by the coordinate (z_1, z_2) of \mathbf{C}^2 (the ambient space of its universal covering).

Let $\tilde{V} = H^{-n}$ and E be the zero-section where H denotes the hyperplane bundle over \mathbf{P}^1 . Then (\tilde{V}, E) is the minimal resolution of V_n . We shall compare families of deformations of CR-structures on M_n as above with deformations in $Def_{\tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n+1}}$.

First, we compare the spaces of first order deformations;

$$\text{Ker}\{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, \Theta_{\tilde{V}}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{\Omega}, f^*\Theta_{\mathbf{C}^N})\} \text{ and } \text{Ker}\{H_{\partial_b}^1(M_n, T') \rightarrow H_{\partial_b}^1(M_n, f^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{C}^N)\}.$$

We will do it via the following isomorphisms of holomorphic vector bundles over M_n .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & 1_{M_n} & \longrightarrow & T' & \longrightarrow & T^{1,0}M_n \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \simeq & & \rho^{1,0} \downarrow \simeq & & d\pi \downarrow \simeq \\
 (4.1) & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi^*H^{-n} & \longrightarrow & T^{1,0}\tilde{V}|_{M_n} \longrightarrow \pi^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{P}^1 \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where π denotes a holomorphic map $M_n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ induced from the projection of the vector bundle $\tilde{V} = H^{-n} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and $\rho^{1,0} : T' \rightarrow T^{1,0}\tilde{V}|_{M_n}$ the projection to the $(1, 0)$ -part with respect to the complex structure of \tilde{V} .

Let $\xi_n := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ where θ denotes the angular coordinate of the fibres of H^{-n} . Then, via the n -th covering map $q_n : \mathbf{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \rightarrow H^{-n} \setminus E$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad dq_n T = n\xi_n.$$

We note that $q_n(S^3) = M_n$ and consider the operation of $N_n := \sqrt{-1}L_{\xi_n}$ on $H_{\bar{\partial}_b}^q(M_n, 1_{M_n})$, $H_{\bar{\partial}_b}^q(M_n, T')$ and $H_{\bar{\partial}_b}^q(M_n, T^{1,0}M_n)$ respectively. We denote $H_{(\nu)}^q(1_{M_n})$, $H_{(\nu)}^q(T')$ and $H_{(\nu)}^q(T^{1,0}M_n)$ the eigenspace belonging to the eigenvalue ν .

PROPOSITION 4.1. $\text{Ker}\{H_{\bar{\partial}_b}^1(M_n, T') \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}_b}^1(M_n, f^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{C}^N)\} \subset H_{(1)}^1(M_n, T')$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-1}L_T(\bar{z}_1^s \bar{z}_2^t Z \otimes \bar{Z}^*) &= (s + t + 4)\bar{z}_1^s \bar{z}_2^t Z \otimes \bar{Z}^* \\ \sqrt{-1}L_T(\bar{z}_1^s \bar{z}_2^t T \otimes \bar{Z}^*) &= (s + t + 2)\bar{z}_1^s \bar{z}_2^t T \otimes \bar{Z}^*, \end{aligned}$$

we infer the proposition from (4.2) and Theorem 5. □

On the other hand, $N_n := \sqrt{-1}L_{\xi_n}$ naturally operates on the pullback bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ of a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{E} over \mathbf{P}^1 and we have a natural isomorphism

$$(4.3) \quad \Gamma_{(\nu)}(M_n, \pi^*\mathcal{E}) \simeq \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{E} \otimes H^\nu) \quad (\nu \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

This provides us a way to extend an element of $A_{M_n}^{0,q}(\pi^*\mathcal{E})$ to $A_{\tilde{V}}^{0,q}(\pi^*\mathcal{E})$ holomorphically along the fibres of H^{-n} .

Since $T^{1,0}\tilde{V}(-\log E)|_{M_n}$ is a pullback bundle, while $T^{1,0}\tilde{V}$ is not, we consider the following isomorphisms instead of (4.1);

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 1_{M_n} & \longrightarrow & T' & \longrightarrow & T^{1,0}M_n \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \rho^{1,0} \downarrow \simeq & & d\pi \downarrow \simeq \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 1_{M_n} & \longrightarrow & T^{1,0}\tilde{V}(-\log E)|_{M_n} & \longrightarrow & \pi^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{P}^1 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since the operations N_n on these bundles commutes with this diagram and with the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -operators, we have the following isomorphisms of eigenspaces of cohomology groups;

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & H_{(\nu)}^q(1_{M_n}) & \longrightarrow & H_{(\nu)}^q(T') & \longrightarrow & H_{(\nu)}^q(T^{1,0}M_n) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow \simeq & & \rho^{1,0} \downarrow \simeq & & d\pi \downarrow \simeq & \\ \longrightarrow & H_{(\nu)}^q(1_{M_n}) & \longrightarrow & H_{(\nu)}^q(T^{1,0}(-\log E)|_{M_n}) & \longrightarrow & H_{(\nu)}^q(\pi^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{P}^1) & \longrightarrow. \end{array}$$

Using these isomorphisms, we can compare the spaces of first order deformations.

- PROPOSITION 4.2. (1) ($n = 2$) *The family of CR structures $\phi(s) = sZ \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ is not extendable to a family of deformations of complex structures on H^{-2} .*
- (2) ($n = 4$) *The family of CR structures $\phi(s, 0, 0, 0) = sZ \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ is not extendable to a family of deformations of complex structures on H^{-4} .*

Proof. We note that $Z \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ defines a non-zero cohomology class in $H_{(1)}^1(M_n, T^{1,0}M_n) \simeq H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, T^{1,0}\mathbf{P}^1 \otimes H)$. Hence, the cohomology class cannot be extended to a cohomology class in $H^1(\tilde{V}, \pi^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{P}^1)$. It implies the impossibility of extending $Z \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ to a cohomology class in $H^1(\tilde{V}, T^{1,0}\tilde{V})$.

Hence the first order deformation of CR structure represented by $sZ \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ is not extendable to a first order deformation of complex structure on \tilde{V} . \square

Next, we prove the following extendability of families of CR structures to families of complex structures, parametrized by another component.

- PROPOSITION 4.3. (1) ($n = 3$) *The family of CR structures on M_3 , $\phi(t_0, t_1)$ is extendable to a family of complex structures on H^{-3} which is stably mapped to \mathbf{C}^4 .*
- (2) ($n = 4$) *The family of CR structures on M_4 , $\phi(0, t_0, t_1, t_2)$ is extendable to a family of complex structures on H^{-4} which is stably mapped to \mathbf{C}^5 .*

Proof. First, we prove that the family of CR structures is extendable to a family of complex structures on \tilde{V} . By the commutative diagram (4.5), the cohomology class of $\bar{z}_1^s \bar{z}_2^t \rho^{1,0}T \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ ($s + t = n - 2$) is extendable to a cohomology class in $H^1(\tilde{V}, T^{1,0}\tilde{V})$. Hence the family of CR structure is extendable to a first order deformation of complex structure on \tilde{V} . Since that extension is holomorphic along the fibres, it preserves the integrability condition. Indeed, the extension to higher order deformation of complex structure is given by

$$\tilde{\phi}(t_0, t_1) := -3\sqrt{-1}(t_0 + t_1\bar{u})/(1 + |u|^2)^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \otimes d\bar{u} \quad (n = 3),$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(t_0, t_1, t_2) := -4\sqrt{-1}(t_0 + t_1\bar{u} + t_2\bar{u}^2)/(1 + |u|^2)^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \otimes d\bar{u} \quad (n = 4),$$

where u (resp. ζ) denotes the inhomogeneous coordinate of \mathbf{P}^1 (resp. the fibre coordinate of H^{-n}).

Next, we prove the extendability of the family of CR-embeddings to a family of holomorphic mappings. Since $f(t_0, t_1) \in A_{(0)}^0(f^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{C}^4)[t_0, t_1]$ and $f(0, t_0, t_1, t_2) \in A_{(0)}^0(f^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{C}^5)[t_0, t_1, t_2]$, we have their natural extensions $\tilde{f}(t_0, t_1) \in A_{\tilde{V}}^0(f^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{C}^4)[t_0, t_1]$ and $\tilde{f}(t_0, t_1, t_2) \in A_{\tilde{V}}^0(f^*T^{1,0}\mathbf{C}^5)[t_0, t_1, t_2]$ respectively (cf. (4.3)), which are constant along the fibres of H^{-n} and which respectively satisfy the parametrized Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$(\bar{\partial} - \tilde{\phi}(t_0, t_1))(f + \tilde{f}(t_0, t_1)) = 0, \quad (\bar{\partial} - \tilde{\phi}(t_0, t_1, t_2))(f + \tilde{f}(t_0, t_1, t_2)) = 0.$$

In fact, it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f + \tilde{f}(t_0, t_1) = & \left(\zeta - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}\bar{u}(2 + |u|^2)/(1 + |u|^2)^2 t_0 - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}\bar{u}^2/(1 + |u|^2)^2 t_1, \right. \\ & \zeta u + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}/(1 + |u|^2)^2 t_0 - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}\bar{u}|u|^2/(1 + |u|^2)^2 t_1, \\ & \zeta u^2 + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}u/(1 + |u|^2)^2 t_0 - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}|u|^4/(1 + |u|^2)^2 t_1, \\ & \zeta u^3 + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}u^2/(1 + |u|^2)^2 t_0 \\ & \left. + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{-1}u(1 + 2|u|^2)/(1 + |u|^2)^2 t_1 \right) \quad (n = 3), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f + \tilde{f}(t_0, t_1, t_2) = & \left(\zeta - 4/3\sqrt{-1}\bar{u}(|u|^4 + 3|u|^2 + 3)/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_0 \right. \\ & - 2/3\sqrt{-1}\bar{u}^2(|u|^2 + 3)/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_1 - 4/3\sqrt{-1}\bar{u}^3/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_2, \\ & \zeta u + 4/3\sqrt{-1}/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_0 - 2/3\sqrt{-1}|u|^2\bar{u}(3 + |u|^2)/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_1 \\ & - 4/3\sqrt{-1}|u|^2\bar{u}^2/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_2, \\ & \zeta u^2 + 4/3\sqrt{-1}u/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_0 + 2/3\sqrt{-1}(1 + 3|u|^2)/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_1 \\ & - 4/3\sqrt{-1}|u|^4\bar{u}/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_2, \\ & \zeta u^3 + 4/3\sqrt{-1}u^2/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_0 + 2/3\sqrt{-1}u(1 + 3|u|^2)/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_1 \\ & - 4/3\sqrt{-1}|u|^6/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_2, \\ & \zeta u^4 + 4/3\sqrt{-1}u^3/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_0 + 2/3\sqrt{-1}u^2(1 + 3|u|^2)/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_1 \\ & \left. + 4/3\sqrt{-1}u(3|u|^4 + 3|u|^2 + 1)/(1 + |u|^2)^3 t_2 \right) \quad (n = 4). \end{aligned}$$

□

These arguments provide us a Cauchy-Riemann theoretic approach to the Artin-component. By [1], it is known that there is an irreducible component of the parameter space of the versal family of deformations of V_n having the following property; after lifting the component of the parameter space to a finite cover, the family of singularities is simultaneously resolved. It is called the *Artin-component*.

We describe the Artin-component from our viewpoint, in the case as above.

COROLLARY 4.1. *The Artin-components are given as follows;*

- (1) $(n = 2) (\mathbf{C}, 0)$,
- (2) $(n = 3) (\mathbf{C}^2, 0)$,
- (3) $(n = 4) (\mathbf{C}^3, 0)$ defined by $s = 0$.

Proof. The case of $n = 3$ is obvious from Proposition 4.3.

The case of $n = 4$. First, we show that $H^1(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}) = 0$. Since V is rational surface singularity, we have $H^1(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}) = H^2(\tilde{V}, \Theta_{\tilde{V}}) = 0$. Hence, by (1.1), we have $H^1(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{V}/\mathbf{C}^N}) = 0$. By Theorem 4 (7), the parameter space $(S, 0)$ of the versal family for $\mathcal{D}ef_{\tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N}$ is smooth. Let $T = (\mathbf{C} \cup \mathbf{C}^3, (0, 0))$ be the parameter space of the versal family of deformations of V_4 . Then there exists a holomorphic mapping $\tau : S \rightarrow T$ in the formal sense. By Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, $\tau(S)$ is contained in \mathbf{C}^3 . On the other hand, there exists a holomorphic mapping $\sigma : \mathbf{C}^3 \rightarrow S$ in the formal sense and it holds that $d\sigma \circ d\tau = \text{id}_{T_0\mathbf{C}^3}$ and $d\tau \circ d\sigma = \text{id}_{T_0S}$. Hence, τ (and σ) is a formal isomorphism and therefore $\tau(S) = \mathbf{C}^3$ in the formal sense. It implies that $(\mathbf{C}^3, 0)$ defined by $s = 0$ is the Artin-component.

The case of $n = 2$ follows from the following proposition since Proposition 4.4 implies that if we lift the family of CR structures to a double cover of the parameter space, it bounds a deformation of H^{-2} which is stably mapped in \mathbf{C}^3 . \square

PROPOSITION 4.4. *The family of CR structures $\psi(u) = uT \otimes \bar{Z}^*$ together with a family of holomorphic embeddings*

$$f + g(u) : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1^2 - 2uz_1\bar{z}_2, z_1z_2 + u(|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2), z_2^2 + 2u\bar{z}_1z_2)$$

bounds the family of singular varieties defined by $w_0w_2 - w_1^2 + u^2 = 0$. While the family of CR structures $\phi(s) = sZ \otimes \bar{Z}^$ bounds the family defined by $w_0w_2 - w_1^2 + s = 0$.*

The proof of the proposition is a direct computation.

References

- [1] M. Artin, *Algebraic Construction of Brieskorn's Resolutions*, J. Algebra **29** (1974), 330–348.
- [2] J. Bland and C. L. Epstein, *Embeddable CR-structures and deformations of pseudoconvex surfaces. Part I: Formal deformations*, J. Algebraic Geom. **5** (1996), 277–368.

- [3] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **75**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1972.
- [4] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamentale (SGA1)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **224**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [5] H. Hironaka, *Flattening theorem in complex-analytic geometry*, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), 503–547.
- [6] L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89–152.
- [7] K. Miyajima, *CR construction of the flat deformations of normal isolated singularities*, J. Algebraic Geom. **8** (1999), 403–470.
- [8] ———, *Deformation of CR structures on a link of normal isolated singularities*, preprint.
- [9] O. Riemenschneider, *Bemerkungen zur Deformationstheorie nicht-rationaler Singularitäten*, Manuscripta Math. **14** (1974), 91–99.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Faculty of Science
Kagoshima University
Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan
E-mail: miyajima@sci.kagoshima-u.ac.jp