

ON PROJECTIVE *BCI*-ALGEBRAS

SUN SHIN AHN AND KEUMSEONG BANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain $Hom(P, -)$ is an exact functor if P is a p -projective *BCI*-algebra.

1. Introduction

C. S. Hoo and P. V. R. Murty ([5]) and E. Y. Deeba and S. K. Goal ([3]) independently showed that $Hom(X)$ may not, in general, be a *BCI*-algebra for an arbitrary *BCI*-algebra X . In view of this result we can also see that $Hom(X, Y)$, the set of all homomorphisms of a *BCI*-algebra X into an arbitrary *BCI*-algebra Y may not be a *BCI*-algebra in general. However, E. Y. Deeba and S. K. Goal ([3]) proved that if X is a *BCI*-algebra and Y is a *BCK*-algebra, then $Hom(X, Y)$ is a *BCK*-algebra and hence a *BCI*-algebra. Y. Liu ([8]) showed that if X is a *BCI*-algebra and Y is a p -semisimple *BCI*-algebra then $Hom(X, Y)$ is a p -semisimple *BCI*-algebra. In [6] and [7], Y. B. Jun et al. investigated some properties of $Hom(-, -)$ as *BCK/BCI*-algebras. The present authors ([1]) defined a hom functor $Hom(-, -)$ in *BCK/BCI*-algebras and discussed the exactness of $Hom(-, -)$ in *BCK/BCI*-algebras, and obtained some properties of $Hom(-, -)$. In this paper, we discuss the projectivity in this sense of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras and show $Hom(P, -)$ is an exact functor if P is a p -projective *BCI*-algebra.

Recall that a *BCI*-algebra is a non-empty set X with a binary operation “ $*$ ” and a constant 0 satisfying the axioms:

- (1) $\{(x * y) * (x * z)\} * (z * y) = 0,$
- (2) $\{x * (x * y)\} * y = 0,$

Received June 10, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35.

Key words and phrases: *BCK/BCI*-algebra, regular, exact, hom(exact) functor, (p -)projective *BCI*-algebra.

$$(3) \quad x * x = 0,$$

$$(4) \quad x * y = 0 \text{ and } y * x = 0 \text{ imply that } x = y$$

for any $x, y, z \in X$. Furthermore, if it satisfies (5) $0 * x = 0$ for any $x \in X$, then the algebra is called a *BCK-algebra*. A partial ordering " \leq " on X can be defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$. Let X_+ be the *BCK*-part of a *BCI*-algebra, i.e., X_+ is the set of all $x \in X$ such that $x \geq 0$. If $X_+ = \{0\}$, then X is called a *p-semisimple BCI-algebra*. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ from *BCK/BCI*-algebra X into a *BCK/BCI*-algebra Y is called a *BCK/BCI-homomorphism* if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define the zero homomorphism 0 as $0(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Denote by $Hom(X, Y)$ the set of all homomorphisms from a *BCK/BCI*-algebra X into a *BCK/BCI*-algebra Y .

DEFINITION 1.1. Let X and Y be *BCI*-algebras. A *BCI*-homomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *regular* if Imf is an ideal of Y .

We call an ideal A of X *regular* in case A is a subalgebra of X . In the literature, Imf need not be an ideal of Y .

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ be *BCI*-homomorphisms. The sequence $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is said to be *exact at B* if $Ker g = Imf$. A sequence $A_0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$ is said to be *exact* if it is exact at A_1, \cdots, A_n .

EXAMPLE 1.3 ([2]). 1. $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is exact (at A) if and only if f is injective.

2. $A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow 0$ is exact (at B) if and only if f is surjective.

3. The sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \xrightarrow{\mu} A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact (at A', A, A'') if and only if μ induces an isomorphism $A' \xrightarrow{\cong} \mu A'$ and ε induces an isomorphism $A/Ker\varepsilon = A/\mu A' \xrightarrow{\cong} A''$. Essentially A' is then a regular ideal of A and A'' the corresponding quotient algebra, such a sequence is called a *short exact sequence*.

2. Hom functors

The present authors ([1]) defined a hom functor $Hom(-, -)$ in *BCK/BCI*-algebras and discussed the exactness of $Hom(-, -)$ in *BCK/BCI*-algebras. Let \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} be any categories of *BCK/BCI*-algebras. A *functor* from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{D} is a triple $(\mathbb{C}, F, \mathbb{D})$, where F is a function from the

class of *BCK/BCI*-homomorphisms of \mathbb{C} to the class of *BCK/BCI*-homomorphisms of \mathbb{D} (i.e., $F : Hom(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Hom(\mathbb{D})$) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) F preserves identities: if e is a \mathbb{C} -identity, then $F(e)$ is a \mathbb{D} -identity
- (ii) F preserves composition: i.e., $F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$; i.e., whenever $dom(f) = cod(g)$, then $dom(F(f)) = cod(F(g))$ and the above equality holds.

Let $u : A' \rightarrow A$ and $v : B \rightarrow B'$ be *BCI*-homomorphisms, where A, B and B' are *BCK*-algebras. We define a mapping

$$Hom(u, v) : Hom(A, B) \rightarrow Hom(A', B')$$

by requiring that $f \in Hom(A, B)$ is to be mapped into $vf u \in Hom(A', B')$. Clearly, $Hom(u, v)$ is a *BCI*-homomorphism and if u, v are identity maps, then $Hom(u, v)$ is also an identity map.

Again if $u' : A'' \rightarrow A'$ and $v' : B' \rightarrow B''$ are *BCI*-homomorphisms, where A', B'' are *BCK*-algebras, then

$$Hom(uu', v'v) = Hom(u', v')Hom(u, v).$$

In fact, $Hom(-, -)$ is a functor. This functor is called a *hom functor*.

Note that $Hom(u, B) = Hom(u, 1_B)$ and $Hom(A, v) = Hom(1_A, v)$. Let X and Y be *BCI*-algebras and let

$$X \oplus Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

We define the operation $*$ on $X \oplus Y$ by

$$(x, y) * (x', y') = (x * x', y * y')$$

for all $(x, y), (x', y') \in X \oplus Y$. Then $(X \oplus Y, *, (0, 0))$ is a *BCI*-algebra, which is called the *direct sum* of X and Y (see [3]). If $u_1, u_2 : A' \rightarrow A$ and $v_1, v_2 : B \rightarrow B'$ are *BCI*-homomorphisms, where A, B' are *BCK*-algebras, then the mappings $u_1 \oplus u_2 : A' \oplus A' \rightarrow A \oplus A$ defined by $u_1 \oplus u_2(x_1, x_2) = (u_1(x_1), u_2(x_2))$ for any $(x_1, x_2) \in A' \oplus A'$, and $v_1 \oplus v_2 : B \oplus B \rightarrow B' \oplus B'$ defined by $v_1 \oplus v_2(x_1, x_2) = (v_1(x_1), v_2(x_2))$ for any $(x_1, x_2) \in B \oplus B$, are *BCI*-homomorphisms.

PROPOSITION 2.1 ([1]). If $u_1, u_2 : A' \rightarrow A$ and $v_1, v_2 : B \rightarrow B'$ are BCI-homomorphisms, where A, B and B' are BCK-algebras, then

$$\text{Hom}(u_1 \oplus u_2, B) = \text{Hom}(u_1, B) \oplus \text{Hom}(u_2, B)$$

and

$$\text{Hom}(A, v_1 \oplus v_2) = \text{Hom}(A, v_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(A, v_2)$$

i.e., a hom functor is additive.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism, where X is a BCI-algebra and Y is a p -semisimple BCI-algebra. Then $\text{Im}f$ is an ideal of Y .

PROOF. For any $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, let $y_1 * y_2 \in \text{Im}f$ and $y_2 \in \text{Im}f$. Then there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $f(x_1) = y_1 * y_2$ and $f(x_2) = y_2$. Hence $f(x_1) = y_1 * y_2 = y_1 * f(x_2)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1) * (0 * f(x_2)) &= (y_1 * f(x_2)) * (0 * (f(x_2))) \\ f(x_1) * (f(0) * f(x_2)) &= (y_1 * 0) * (f(x_2) * f(x_2)) \\ f(x_1) * (f(0 * x_2)) &= (y_1 * 0) * 0 \\ f(x_1 * (0 * x_2)) &= y_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there is an element $x_1 * (0 * x_2) \in X$ such that $f(x_1 * (0 * x_2)) = y_1$, i.e., $y_1 \in \text{Im}f$. \square

THEOREM 2.3 ([1]). If σ, π are regular BCI-homomorphisms in the exact sequence of BCI-algebras:

$$M' \xrightarrow{\sigma} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M'' \rightarrow 0$$

then, for any BCK-algebra N , the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M'', N) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\pi, N)} \text{Hom}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\sigma, N)} \text{Hom}(M', N)$$

is exact.

Using Lemma 2.2 we know that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a BCI-homomorphism from a BCI-algebra X into a p -semisimple BCI-algebra Y , then it is a regular BCI-homomorphism.

Y. Liu ([8]) showed that if X is a BCI-algebra and Y is a p -semisimple BCI-algebra then $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ is a p -semisimple BCI-algebra. With this concept we generalize Theorem 2.3 as follows:

THEOREM 2.3'. *If $M' \xrightarrow{\sigma} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of *BCI*-algebras, where M and M'' are p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras, then, for any p -semisimple *BCI*-algebra N , the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M'', N) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\pi, N)} \text{Hom}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\sigma, N)} \text{Hom}(M', N)$$

is exact.

THEOREM 2.4 ([1]). *If ϕ, ψ are regular *BCI*-homomorphisms in the exact sequence of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras,*

$$0 \rightarrow B' \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} B'',$$

then for all *BCI*-algebra A , the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B') \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(A, \phi)} \text{Hom}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(A, \psi)} \text{Hom}(A, B'')$$

is exact.

As we generalized Theorem 2.3, we generalize Theorem 2.4 as follows:

THEOREM 2.4'. *If $0 \rightarrow B' \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} B''$ is an exact sequence of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras, then for all *BCI*-algebra A , the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B') \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(A, \phi)} \text{Hom}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(A, \psi)} \text{Hom}(A, B'')$$

is exact.

3. Characterization of projective *BCI*-algebras

In this section, we show that $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ is an exact functor if P is a p -projective *BCI*-algebra. Recall that a *BCI*-algebra P is called *projective* if for every *BCI*-homomorphism $f : P \rightarrow Y$ and every *BCI*-epimorphism $g : X \rightarrow Y$ there exists a *BCI*-homomorphism $h : P \rightarrow X$ satisfying $gh = f$. A *BCI*-algebra P is said to be *p-projective* if for every *BCI*-homomorphism $f : P \rightarrow Y$ and every *BCI*-epimorphism $g : X \rightarrow Y$ of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras there exists a *BCI*-homomorphism $h : P \rightarrow X$ satisfying $gh = f$. Clearly every projective *BCI*-algebra is p -projective.

LEMMA 3.1 ([9]). *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of *BCI*-algebras and *BCI*-homomorphisms such that each row is a short exact sequence and B' is p -semisimple. Then

- (i) α, γ are monomorphisms $\implies \beta$ is a monomorphism;
- (ii) α, γ are epimorphisms $\implies \beta$ is an epimorphism;
- (iii) α, γ are isomorphisms $\implies \beta$ is an isomorphism.

Let $X \oplus Y := \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$ be the direct sum of *BCI*-algebras X and Y . Then the mappings $i_X : X \rightarrow X \oplus Y$ and $i_Y : Y \rightarrow X \oplus Y$ given by $i_X(x) = (x, 0)$ and $i_Y(y) = (0, y)$ are clearly *BCI*-monomorphisms.

THEOREM 3.2 ([9]). *Let $0 \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} A_2 \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There is a *BCI*-homomorphism $h : A_2 \rightarrow B$ with $gh = 1_{A_2}$;*
- (ii) *There is a *BCI*-homomorphism $k : B \rightarrow A_1$ with $kf = 1_{A_1}$;*
- (iii) *The given sequence is isomorphic (with identity maps on A_1 and A_2) to the direct sum short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} A_1 \oplus A_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} A_2 \rightarrow 0;$$

in particular $B \cong A_1 \oplus A_2$.

A short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} A_2 \rightarrow 0$ satisfies one of the equivalent conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 3.2 is called a *split (exact sequence)*.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras. Then the followings are equivalent on *BCI*-algebras:*

- (i) $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$ is split;

- (ii) $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(D, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(D, \varphi)} \text{Hom}(D, B) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(D, \psi)} \text{Hom}(D, C) \rightarrow 0$ is a split exact sequence of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras;
- (iii) $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, D) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\psi, D)} \text{Hom}(B, D) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\varphi, D)} \text{Hom}(A, D) \rightarrow 0$ is a split exact sequence of *BCI*-algebras, where D is a p -semisimple *BCI*-algebra.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (iii): Assume that the sequence is split. Then, by Theorem 3.2, there exists a *BCI*-homomorphism $\alpha : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha\varphi = 1_A$. It induces a *BCI*-homomorphism $\text{Hom}(\alpha, D) : \text{Hom}(A, D) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, D)$. We claim that $\text{Hom}(\varphi, D)\text{Hom}(\alpha, D) = 1_{\text{Hom}(A, D)}$. In fact, $\text{Hom}(\varphi, D)\text{Hom}(\alpha, D) = \text{Hom}(\varphi, 1_D) \text{Hom}(\alpha, 1_D) = \text{Hom}(\alpha\varphi, 1_D) = \text{Hom}(1_A, 1_D) = 1_{\text{Hom}(A, D)}$. By applying Theorem 2.3' and Theorem 3.2 the $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ sequence is split.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that the $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ sequence is split. Then, by Theorem 3.2, there exists a *BCI*-homomorphism $\text{Hom}(\alpha, D) : \text{Hom}(A, D) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, D)$ such that $\text{Hom}(\varphi, D) \text{Hom}(\alpha, D) = 1_{\text{Hom}(A, D)}$, i.e., $\alpha\varphi = 1_A$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$ is split.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): This can be proved similarly by using Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 2.4', and we omit the proof. □

THEOREM 3.4. *The following conditions on *BCI*-algebra are equivalent:*

- (i) P is p -projective;
- (ii) if $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ is a *BCI*-epimorphism of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras, then $\text{Hom}(P, \psi) : \text{Hom}(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, C)$ is a *BCI*-epimorphism;
- (iii) if $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$ is any short exact sequence of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras, then $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, C) \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of *BCI*-algebras.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ be a *BCI*-epimorphism of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras. Then the hom functor $\text{Hom}(P, \psi) : \text{Hom}(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, C)$ is a *BCI*-homomorphism. We claim that $\text{Hom}(P, \psi)$ is onto. In fact, for any $g \in \text{Hom}(P, C)$, since P is p -projective, there exists a *BCI*-homomorphism $h : P \rightarrow B$ such that $g = \psi \circ h = \psi \circ h \circ 1_P = \text{Hom}(1_P, \psi)(h) = \text{Hom}(P, \psi)(h)$. Hence $\text{Hom}(P, \psi)$ is a *BCI*-epimorphism.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $f : P \rightarrow C$ be a *BCI*-homomorphism and let $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ be a *BCI*-epimorphism of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras. It follows from the condition (ii) that $\text{Hom}(P, \psi) : \text{Hom}(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, C)$ is a *BCI*-epimorphism. Since $f \in \text{Hom}(P, C)$, there exists $h \in \text{Hom}(P, B)$ such that $\text{Hom}(P, \psi)(h) = f$, i.e., $\psi \circ h = f$. This means that there exists a *BCI*-homomorphism $h : P \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi \circ h = f$, i.e., P is p -projective.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): By Theorem 2.4'.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ be a *BCI*-homomorphism and let $A := \text{Ker}\psi$. Then $0 \rightarrow A = \text{Ker}\psi \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of p -semisimple *BCI*-algebras. It follows from the condition (iii) that $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(P, i)} \text{Hom}(P, B) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(P, \psi)} \text{Hom}(P, C) \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of *BCI*-algebras, and hence $\text{Hom}(P, \psi) : \text{Hom}(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, C)$ is a *BCI*-epimorphism, completing the proof. \square

The hom functor $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ discussed in Theorem 3.4 is said to be *exact* if it satisfies the condition (iii) of Theorem 3.4. With this concept we conclude that $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ is exact if P is a p -projective *BCI*-algebra.

References

- [1] S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim, *A hom functor in BCK/BCI-algebras*, Kyungpook Math J. **40** (2000), no. 2, 269–274.
- [2] Z. M. Chen and H. X. Wang, *Some universal properties of BCI-algebras*, Kobe J. Math. **6** (1989), 43–48.
- [3] E. Y. Deeba and S. K. Goel, *A note on BCI-algebras*, Math. Japonica **33** (1988), 517–522.
- [4] C. S. Hoo and Y. B. Jun, *p -Projective BCI-algebras*, Math. Japonica **38** (1993), 841–847.
- [5] C. S. Hoo and P. V. R. Murty, *A note on associative BCI-algebras*, Math. Japonica **32** (1987), 53–55.
- [6] Y. B. Jun and J. Meng, *On $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ as BCK/BCI-algebras*, Kyungpook Math. J. **35** (1995), no. 1, 77–83.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, J. Meng and S. M. Wei, *A note on $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ as BCI-algebras*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **8** (1993), no. 1, 103–110.
- [8] Y. Liu, *Some results on p -semisimple BCI-algebras*, Math. Japonica **37** (1992), 79–81.
- [9] ———, *On projective and p -projective BCI-algebras*, Selected Papers on BCK- and BCI-algebras (1992), 54–59.
- [10] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyungmoon Sa Co., Seoul, 1994.

- [11] D. G. Northcott, *A first course of homological algebra*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1973.

Sun Shin Ahn
Department of Mathematics Education
Dongguk University
Seoul 100-715, Korea
E-mail: sunshine@dgu.ac.kr

Keungseong Bang
Department of Mathematics
The Catholic University of Korea
Puchon 420-743, Korea
E-mail: bang@www.cuk.ac.kr