

ON F -HARMONIC MAPS AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS

TAE HO KANG

ABSTRACT We show that any F -harmonic map from a compact manifold M to N is necessarily constant if N possesses a strictly-convex function, and prove 'Liouville type theorems' for F -harmonic maps. Finally, when the target manifold is the real line, we get a result for F -subharmonic functions.

1. F -harmonic maps and F -subharmonic functions

Recently, M.Ara[1] introduced the concept of F -harmonic maps, and unified the theory of harmonic maps, p -harmonic maps, exponentially harmonic maps and so on. More precisely, let $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a C^2 function such that $F' > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. Let $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ be a smooth map between riemannian manifolds with metrics g and h respectively. Then ϕ is an F -harmonic map if it satisfies the F -tension field equation weakly

$$\text{Trace} \nabla (F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})d\phi) = 0,$$

i.e., for every compactly supported vector field X along ϕ

$$\int_M \langle F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})d\phi, \nabla X \rangle = 0,$$

Received May 9, 2003

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 58E20

Key words and phrases. F -harmonic maps, convex functions, F -subharmonic functions

where $\|d\phi\|$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the differential $d\phi$ of ϕ , which is the differential 1-form with values in the induced bundle $\phi^{-1}TN$ over M . It is harmonic, p -harmonic, α -harmonic and exponentially harmonic when $F(t) = t$, $(2t)^{p/2}/p$ ($p \geq 4$), $(1 + 2t)^\alpha$ ($\alpha > 1$, $\dim M = 2$) and e^t , respectively. Finally we define an F -subharmonic function. A smooth function $\phi : M \rightarrow R$ is an F -subharmonic function if ϕ satisfies the inequality

$$\text{Trace} \nabla \left(F' \left(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2} \right) d\phi \right) \geq 0$$

weakly, i.e.,

$$\int_M \left\langle F' \left(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2} \right) d\phi, d\tau \right\rangle \leq 0$$

for any compactly supported, nonnegative smooth function τ on M . It is subharmonic and p -subharmonic when $F(t) = t$ and $(2t)^{p/2}/p$ ($p \geq 4$), respectively.

2. Main results

In this article we prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that a smooth map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is F -harmonic. If M is compact and there exists a strictly convex function on N , then ϕ is a constant map.*

THEOREM 2. *Let M and N be riemannian manifolds. Suppose that M is complete and noncompact, and N has a strictly convex function $f : N \rightarrow R$ such that the uniform norm $\|df\|$ is bounded. If a smooth map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is F -harmonic with $\int_M F' \left(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2} \right) \|d\phi\| < \infty$, then ϕ is a constant map.*

THEOREM 3. *Let M be a complete noncompact manifold. If any F -subharmonic function $\phi : M \rightarrow R$ with*

$$\int_M F' \left(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2} \right) \|d\phi\| < \infty,$$

then ϕ is a constant map.

REMARK. In the above theorems, for the case of $F(t) = t$, i.e., harmonic maps or $F(t) = (2t)^{p/2}/p$, i.e., p -harmonic maps, see [3] and [6], respectively. In these cases, the energy $\int_M F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})\|d\phi\|$ reduces to $\int_M \|d\phi\|$ (cf.[7]) and $\int_M \|d\phi\|^{p-1}$ (cf. [4,5,6]), respectively.

3. Proofs

First we show the following lemma.

LEMMA. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map between Riemannian manifolds and $f : N \rightarrow R$ be a smooth function. Then the following identity holds for every smooth function η on M .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})d(f \circ \phi), d\eta \rangle &= -F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})\text{Trace}(\nabla df)(d\phi, d\phi)\eta \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla(\eta \cdot (\text{grad}f) \circ \phi), F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})d\phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal frame around some point of M which satisfies $\nabla e_i = 0$ at that point. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \nabla(\eta \cdot (\text{grad}f) \circ \phi), F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})d\phi \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle \nabla_{e_i}(\eta \cdot (\text{grad}f) \circ \phi), F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})d\phi(e_i) \rangle \\ &= \sum_i d\eta(e_i)F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) \langle (\text{grad}f) \circ \phi, d\phi(e_i) \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_i \eta F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) \langle \nabla_{d\phi(e_i)}(\text{grad}f) \circ \phi, d\phi(e_i) \rangle \\ &= \langle F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})d(f \circ \phi), d\eta \rangle + \eta F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2})\text{Trace}(\nabla df)(d\phi, d\phi), \end{aligned}$$

where the last term was calculated as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_i \langle \nabla_{d\phi(e_i)}(\text{grad } f) \circ \phi, d\phi(e_i) \rangle \\
 &= \sum_i \nabla_{d\phi(e_i)} \langle (\text{grad } f) \circ \phi, d\phi(e_i) \rangle \\
 & - \sum_i \langle (\text{grad } f) \circ \phi, \nabla_{d\phi(e_i)} d\phi(e_i) \rangle \\
 &= \sum_i \nabla_{d\phi(e_i)}(d\phi(e_i)f) - \sum_i \nabla_{d\phi(e_i)} d\phi(e_i)f \\
 &= \sum_i \nabla_{d\phi(e_i)} df(d\phi(e_i)) - \sum_i df(\nabla_{d\phi(e_i)} d\phi(e_i)) \\
 &= \sum_i (\nabla_{d\phi(e_i)} df)(d\phi(e_i)) \\
 &= \text{Trace}(\nabla df)(d\phi, d\phi). \square
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $f : N \rightarrow R$ be a strictly convex function. Taking $\eta = 1$ in Lemma and integrating on M , we obtain

$$\int_M F' \left(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2} \right) \text{Trace}(\nabla df)(d\phi, d\phi) = 0,$$

since ϕ is F -harmonic map. Thus we have $F' \left(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2} \right) = 0$, which implies that $\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2} = 0$, i.e., ϕ is constant.

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us fix a point of M and denote B_r the geodesic ball with radius r and centered at this point. Then there exists a smooth function η on M such that

$$0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \|d\eta\| \leq \frac{c}{r},$$

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } B_r \\ 0 & \text{on } M \setminus B_{2r}, \end{cases}$$

where c is a positive constant which does not depend on r . Then it follows from Lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) \text{Trace}(\nabla df)(d\phi, d\phi) \\ &= - \int_M F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) \langle d(f \circ \phi), d\eta \rangle \\ &\leq \int_M F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) \|df\| \|d\phi\| \|d\eta\| \\ &\leq \frac{c}{r} \int_M F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) \|d\phi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } r \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) = 0$, which implies that ϕ is constant. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Taking a nondecreasing strictly convex function f with bounded derivative on the real line. Then for any non-negative smooth function η with compact support, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{div}[\sum_i \{F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi(e_i) \cdot \eta \cdot (\text{grad } f) \circ \phi\} e_i] \\ &= \sum_i \langle e_j \{F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi(e_i) \cdot \eta \cdot (\text{grad } f) \circ \phi\} e_i, e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_i e_i \{F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi(e_i) \cdot \eta \cdot (\text{grad } f) \circ \phi\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_i e_i \{ F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi(e_i) \} \cdot \eta \cdot (\text{grad} f) \circ \phi \\
&+ \sum_i F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi(e_i) \nabla_{e_i} \{ \eta \cdot (\text{grad} f) \circ \phi \} \\
&= \sum_i \nabla_{e_i} (F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi)(e_i) \{ \eta \cdot (\text{grad} f) \circ \phi \} \\
&+ \langle F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi, \nabla \{ \eta \cdot (\text{grad} f) \circ \phi \} \rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating this equation over M and using assumptions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \langle \nabla \{ \eta \cdot (\text{grad} f) \circ \phi \}, F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi \rangle \\
&= - \int_M \text{trace} \nabla (F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi) \cdot \eta \cdot (\text{grad} f \circ \phi) \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From this inequality and Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) \text{Trace}(\nabla df)(d\phi, d\phi) \eta \\
&= \int_M \langle \nabla \{ \eta \cdot (\text{grad} f) \circ \phi \}, F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d\phi \rangle \\
&- \int_M \langle F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d(f \circ \phi), d\eta \rangle \\
&\leq - \int_M \langle F'(\frac{\|d\phi\|^2}{2}) d(f \circ \phi), d\eta \rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can argue as in Theorem 2. □

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by University of Ulsan Research Fund of 2002.

REFERENCES

- [1] M Ara, *Geometry of F -harmonic maps*, Kodai Math. J. **22** (1999), 243–263
- [2] L. -F. Cheung and P. -F. Leung, *A remark on convex functions and p -harmonic maps*, Geom Dedicata **56** (1995), 269–270.
- [3] W B Gordon, *Convex functions and harmonic maps*, Proc Amer Math. Soc. **33** (1972), 433–437
- [4] N Nakauchi, *A Liouville type theorem for p -harmonic maps*, Osaka J Math. **35** (1998), 303-312
- [5] T H Kang and T W Kim, *On the p -stress energy tensor and its applications*, Note di Matematica **18** (1998), 235–244
- [6] S Kawai, *p -harmonic maps and convex functions*, Geom Dedicata **74** (1999), 261–265.
- [7] R Schoen and K Uhlenbeck, *Harmonic maps and the topology of stable hypersurfaces and manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Comment Math Helv **39** (1976), 333-341

Department of Mathematics
University of Ulsan
Ulsan 680-749, Korea
E-mail: thkang@mail.ulsan.ac.kr