

Conditions on Operators Satisfying Weyl's Theorem

An-Hyun Kim *

*Department of Mathematics,
Changwon National University,
Changwon 641-773, Korea.
e-mail: ahkim@changwon.ac.kr*

Abstract

In this note it is shown that if T satisfies (G_1) -condition with finite spectrum then Weyl's theorem holds for T . If T is totally $*$ -paranormal then $T - \lambda$ has finite ascent for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, T is isoloid, and Weyl's theorem holds for T

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47A10, 47A53

Key words and phrases: $*$ -paranormal operators, totally $*$ -paranormal operators, isoloid, Weyl's theorem

1. Introduction

Let H denote an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. Let $B(H)$ and $K(H)$ denote, respectively, the algebra of bounded operators and the ideal of compact operators on H . If $T \in B(H)$ write $N(T)$ and $R(T)$ for the null space and range of T ; $\alpha(T) = \dim N(T)$; $\beta(T) = \dim N(T^*)$; $\sigma(T)$ for the spectrum of T ; $\pi_0(T)$ for the set of eigenvalues of T ; $\pi_{00}(T)$ for the isolated points of $\sigma(T)$ which are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

*This research is financially supported by Changwon National University in 2002.

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called *Fredholm* if it has closed range with finite dimensional null space and its range of finite co-dimension. The *index* of a Fredholm operator $T \in B(H)$ is given by

$$i(T) := \alpha(T) - \beta(T).$$

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called *Weyl* if it is Fredholm of index zero. The Weyl spectrum $\omega(T)$ of $T \in B(H)$ is defined by ([4],[5],[6])

$$\omega(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not Weyl}\}.$$

According to Schechter's characterization([6])

$$\omega(T) = \bigcap_{K \in K(H)} \sigma(T + K).$$

If we write $\text{iso } K = K \setminus \text{acc } K$ then

$$\pi_{00}(T) := \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) : 0 < \alpha(T - \lambda) < \infty\}$$

where $\text{acc}K$ means the accumulation points of K .

We say that *Weyl's theorem holds for* $T \in B(H)$ if the following equality holds :

$$\sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T) = \pi_{00}(T).$$

H. Weyl ([19]) has shown that the above equality holds for hermitian operators. Weyl's theorem has been extended from hermitian operators to hyponormal operators and to Toeplitz operators ([6]), and to several classes of operators including seminormal operators ([4],[5]).

The null space of $T \in B(H)$ is just the leading terms of monotonic sequence of subspaces([11]):

$$\{0\} \subseteq N(T) \subseteq N(T^2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq N(T^n) \subseteq N(T^{n+1}) \subseteq \dots$$

T is said to have *finite ascent* if $N(T^k) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(T^n)$ for some k .

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called *normaloid* if $\gamma(T) = \|T\|$ and *isoloid* if $\sigma(T) \subset \pi_0(T)$. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to satisfy (G_1) -condition if $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$ is normaloid for all $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$.

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be *paranormal* if

$$\|Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\| \quad \text{for every unit vector } x \in H.$$

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be **-paranormal* if

$$\|T^*x\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\| \quad \text{for every unit vector } x \in H.$$

Generally, *-paranormal operator is not isoloid, so we need an another operator which will be stronger than it: An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be *totally *-paranormal* if $T - \lambda$ is *-paranormal for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We can note that totally *-paranormality implies *-paranormality, but the converse is not true(consider unilateral shift operator on l^2). It will be proved that this new operator is isoloid([Theorem 2.5]).

The *-paranormality of operators has been studied in ([2]),([3]) and ([17]). If $T \in B(H)$ and we define P_F through Riesz functional calculus

$$P_F = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda$$

where F is the isolated part of $\sigma(T)$ and Γ is a Cauchy contour containing F , then P_F is a projection with $TP_F = P_FT$ and we can decompose T into $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ such that $\sigma(T_1) = F$ and $\sigma(T_2) = \sigma(T) \setminus F$, which is called the *spectral projection* ([11]).

For an arbitrary operator $T \in B(H)$, we define the *local spectral subspaces* and *glocal spectral subspaces* of T respectively as follows:

$$H_T(F) := \{x \in X : \sigma_T(x) \subseteq F\} \quad \text{for each set } F \subset \mathbb{C};$$

$$\mathcal{H}_T(G) = \{x \in X : \text{there exists an analytic function } f : \mathbb{C} \setminus G \longrightarrow H \\ \text{that satisfies } (T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = x \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G\}$$

for each closed set $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. It is well known([14]) that if $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ is isolated, $H = \mathcal{H}_T(\{\lambda\}) + H_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda\})$.

S. Prasanna ([18]) showed that Weyl's theorem holds for every paranormal operator. Evidently, hyponormal operators are both paranormal and $*$ -paranormal. But $*$ -paranormality is independent of paranormality ([3, Examples 2.2 and 2.3]). But both of them are normaloid. In this paper we show that if T is totally $*$ -paranormal then $T - \lambda$ has finite ascent for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, T is isoloid, and Weyl's theorem holds for T .

2. Main results

Lemma 2.1([2]) Let $T \in B(H)$. If T is $*$ -paranormal, then T is normaloid.

Lemma 2.2([2]) If T is $*$ -paranormal, then $N(T - \lambda) \subseteq N(T^* - \bar{\lambda})$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $T - \lambda$ is reduced by its eigenspaces for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.3 If T is $*$ -paranormal, then $T - \lambda$ has finite ascent for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

proof. Suppose T is $*$ -paranormal. By Lemma 2.2, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $T - \lambda$ is reduced by its eigenspaces. Thus we can represent $T - \lambda$ as the following 2×2 operator matrix with respect to the decomposition $N(T - \lambda) \oplus N(T - \lambda)^\perp$:

$$T - \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x \in N((T - \lambda)^2)$. Write $x = y + z$, where $y \in N(T - \lambda)$ and $z \in N(T - \lambda)^\perp$. Then $0 = (T - \lambda)^2 x = (T - \lambda)^2 z$, so that $(T - \lambda)z \in N(T - \lambda) \cap N(T - \lambda)^\perp = \{0\}$, which implies that $z \in N(T - \lambda)$, and hence $x \in N(T - \lambda)$. Therefore $N(T - \lambda) = N(T - \lambda)^2$. \square

Lemma 2.4 Let T be totally $*$ -paranormal. Then

$$\mathcal{H}_T(\{\lambda\}) = N(T - \lambda) \text{ for every } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

proof. Observe that $\mathcal{H}_T(\{\lambda\}) = \{x \in H : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - \lambda)^n x\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0\}$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Since T is totally $*$ -paranormal, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $T - \mu$ is normaloid for each $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore $\|(T - \lambda)x\| \leq \|(T - \lambda)^n x\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for all $x \in H$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $\mathcal{H}_T(\lambda) \subseteq N(T - \lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The converse is clear. \square

Theorem 2.5 Let T be totally $*$ -paranormal. Then T is isoloid.

proof. Suppose that λ is an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$. Then it follows from [14, Theorem 1.4.7 and Proposition 3.3.2] that $H = \mathcal{H}_T(\{\lambda\}) + H_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda\})$. Assume to the contrary that $T - \lambda$ is injective. Then it follows Lemma 2.4 that $H = H_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda\})$. But $(T - \lambda)H_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda\}) = H_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda\})$; hence $T - \lambda$ is surjective. Therefore $T - \lambda$ is invertible. This is a contradiction; thus T is isoloid. \square

Theorem 2.6 If T satisfies (G_1) -condition and $\sigma(T)$ is a finite set then Weyl's theorem holds for T .

proof. Let $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ and for each λ_j let $C_{j,\epsilon}$ be a circle with the center at λ_j with radius ϵ ; moreover ϵ is so small that for all j , the intersection of the circle with $\sigma(T)$ contains no other points. Since the points are isolated, we can use the spectral projection

$$P_j := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{j,\epsilon}} (T - z)^{-1} dz$$

which commutes with T . Since $\|P_j\| \leq 1$, P_j is a contraction; thus a hermition projection. Let $x \in P_j H$ and thus

$$\|(T - \lambda_j)x\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{j,\epsilon}} (z - \lambda_j)(T - z)^{-1} dz \right\| < \epsilon$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $Tx = \lambda_j x$. By the statements about spectral projection $T = \sum \lambda_j P_j$; thus T is normal; thus hyponormal; thus Weyl's theorem holds for T ([6]). \square

Theorem 2.7 Let T be totally $*$ -paranormal. Then Weyl's theorem holds for T .

proof. Suppose T is totally $*$ -paranormal. We first prove that $\pi_{00}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T)$. Since totally $*$ -paranormality is translation-invariant, it suffices to show that

$$0 \in \pi_{00}(T) \implies T \text{ is Weyl but not invertible.}$$

Suppose $0 \in \pi_{00}(T)$. Now using the spectral projection as the above

$$P := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_0} (\lambda I - T)^{-1} d\lambda$$

where B_0 is an open disk of center 0 which contains no other points of $\sigma(T)$, we can represent T as the direct sum

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \sigma(T_1) = \{0\} \text{ and } \sigma(T_2) = \sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Since $P(H) = \{x \in H : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n x\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0\} = \mathcal{H}_T(\{0\}) = N(T)$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of H , $\omega(T) = \omega(T_2)$. But T_2 is invertible; hence T is Weyl. Therefore $0 \in \sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T)$. Conversely, suppose that $0 \in \sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T)$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.4 that $\mathcal{H}_T(\{0\}) = N(T)$. Since $\mathcal{H}_T(\{0\})$ is a closed invariant subspace for T , T can be represented as the following 2×2 operator matrix with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H}_T(\{0\}) \oplus \mathcal{H}_T(\{0\})^\perp$:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_T(\{0\})$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of H , T is Weyl if and only if T_2 is Weyl. But $\mathcal{H}_T(\{0\}) = N(T)$; hence T_2 is injective, and so T_2 is invertible. It follows from the punctured neighborhood theorem that $0 \in \pi_{00}(T)$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] P. Aiena and O. Monsalve, *Operators which do not have the single valued extension property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl **250** (2000), 435–448.
- [2] S.C. Arora and J.K. Thukral, *On a class of operators*, Glasnik Math. **21(41)** (1986), 381–386.
- [3] S.C. Arora and J.K. Thukral, *M^* -paranormal operators*, Glasnik Math. **22(42)** (1987) 123–129.
- [4] S.K. Berberian, *An extension of Weyl's theorem to a class of not necessarily normal operators*, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), 273–279.
- [5] S.K. Berberian, *The Weyl Spectrum of an Operator*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1970), 529–544.
- [6] L.A. Coburn, *Weyl's theorem for nonnormal operators*, Michigan Math. J. **13** (1966), 285–288.
- [7] S.V. Djordjević and D.S. Djordjević, *Weyl's theorems: continuity of the spectrum and quasihyponormal operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **64** (1998), 259–269.
- [8] S.V. Djordjević and Y.M. Han, *Browder's theorems and spectral continuity*, Glasgow Math. J. **42** (2000), 479–486.
- [9] J.K. Finch, *The single valued extension property on a Banach space Pacific*, J. Math. **58** (1975), 61–69.
- [10] R.E. Harte, *Fredholm, Weyl and Browder theory*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **85A (2)** (1985), 151–176.
- [11] R.E. Harte, *Invertibility and Singularity for Bounded Linear Operators*, Dekker, New York, (1988).
- [12] R.E. Harte and W.Y. Lee, *Another note on Weyl's theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 2115–2124.

- [13] K.B. Laursen *Operators with finite ascent*, Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), 323–336.
- [14] K.B. Laursen and M.M. Neumann, *An Introduction to Local Spectral Theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs New Series 20, Clarendon Press, Oxford (2000).
- [15] W.Y. Lee and S.H. Lee, *A spectral mapping theorem for the Weyl spectrum*, Glasgow Math. J. **38(1)** (1996), 61–64.
- [16] S.H. Lee and W.Y. Lee, *On Weyl's theorem (II)*, Math. Japo. **43** (1996), 549–553.
- [17] S.M. Patel, *Contributions to the study of spectraloid operators*, Ph. D. Thesis, Delhi Univ. (1974).
- [18] S. Prasanna, *Weyl's theorem and thin spectra*, Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **91** (1982), 59–63.
- [19] H. Weyl, *Über beschränkte quadratische Formen, deren Differenz vollsteig ist*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **27** (1909), 373–392.