

ON PAIRWISE FUZZY BASICALLY DISCONNECTED SPACES

G. BALASUBRAMANIAN AND V. CHANDRASEKAR

ABSTRACT The concept of basic disconnectedness is introduced in the fuzzy bitopological spaces. Besides giving examples and some interesting properties of these spaces, we also establish several characterizations of these spaces

1. Introduction

The fundamental concept of fuzzy set introduced by ZADEH [23] provided a natural foundation for building new branches. Fuzzy sets have applications in many fields such as information [18] and control [20]. In 1968, CHANG [1] introduced the concept of fuzzy topological spaces and thereafter many fuzzy topologists [2, 5, 8, 10-16, 22] have contributed to the theory of fuzzy topological spaces. In 1989, KANDIL [7] introduced the concept of fuzzy bitopological spaces and since then various notions in classical topology have been extended (see for example [17]) to fuzzy bitopological spaces. Extremely disconnected spaces were defined and studied in [6] where it is pointed out that the concept arose earlier in a paper of STONE [19]. The importance of these spaces lies in their connection with the completeness of $C(X)$ as a lattice. Basically disconnected spaces introduced in [5] also arose in this connection [9, 19]. The purpose of this paper is to

Received December 1, 2001

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72, 54A40

Key words and phrases pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected; pairwise fuzzy continuous, pairwise fuzzy open, fuzzy bitopological sum

introduce the concept of basic disconnectedness in fuzzy bitopological spaces. Besides giving some interesting properties of these spaces and examples, we also give several characterizations of these spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, T) be any fuzzy topological space [1] and let λ be any fuzzy set in X . Then we define $Cl_T(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{ \mu | \lambda \leq \mu, \mu \text{ is } T\text{-fuzzy closed} \}$. $Int_T(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ \mu | \mu \leq \lambda, \mu \text{ is } T\text{-fuzzy open} \}$. The relation between the interior and the closure operator is given by $1 - Int_T(\lambda) = Cl_T(1 - \lambda)$; $1 - Cl_T(\lambda) = Int_T(1 - \lambda)$. A fuzzy set λ in X is called a G_δ -fuzzy set if $\lambda = \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j$ where $\lambda_j \in T$. And λ is called a F_σ -fuzzy set if $\lambda = \bigvee_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j$ where $\mu_j^c = (1 - \mu_j) \in T$.

By a fuzzy bitopological space [7] we mean an ordered triple (X, T_1, T_2) where T_1 and T_2 are fuzzy topologies on the non-empty set X . For any non-empty subset A of X we shall write $T_1/A = \{ \lambda/A | \lambda \in T_1 \}$ and $T_2/A = \{ \mu/A | \mu \in T_2 \}$. Clearly T_1/A and T_2/A are fuzzy topologies on A and the fuzzy bitopological space $(A, T_1/A, T_2/A)$ is called a *pairwise fuzzy subspace of (X, T_1, T_2)* .

3. Pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected spaces

Based on the classical notion of basic disconnectedness [3, 5] we define the corresponding notion for fuzzy bitopological spaces as follows:

DEFINITION 3.1. A fuzzy bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) is said to be *pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected* if the T_1 -closure of each T_2 -fuzzy open, $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set is T_2 -fuzzy open and T_2 -closure of each T_1 -fuzzy open, $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set is T_1 -fuzzy open.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $T_1 = \{0, 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4\}$ and $T_2 = \{0, 1, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4\}$ where $\lambda_i : X \rightarrow I, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $\mu_j : X \rightarrow I, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are defined as follows:

$$\lambda_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = b, \\ 0 & x = a, c, d \end{cases} \quad \lambda_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = a, b, \\ 0 & x = c, d \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_3(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = b, d \\ 0 & x = a, c \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_4(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = a, b, d \\ 0 & x = c \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = c \\ 0 & x = a, b, d \end{cases} & \mu_2(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = a, c \\ 0 & x = b, d \end{cases} \\ \mu_3(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = c, d \\ 0 & x = a, b \end{cases} & \text{and} & \mu_4(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = a, c, d \\ 0 & x = b \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly (X, T_1) and (X, T_2) are fuzzy topological spaces. Also we can easily see that they are both fuzzy connected [4] spaces (since both (X, T_1) and (X, T_2) has no proper fuzzy clopen sets).

Also in fuzzy topological space (X, T_1) , there is no such T_1 -fuzzy open, $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set and also there is no such T_2 -fuzzy open, $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set in (X, T_2) .

Therefore, the fuzzy bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected even though both (X, T_1) and (X, T_2) are fuzzy connected

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$. Suppose $T_1 = \{0, 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6\}$ and $T_2 = \{0, 1\}$ where $\lambda_i : X \rightarrow I, i = 1$ to 6 are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = a, b \\ 0 & x = c \end{cases} & \lambda_2(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = b, c \\ 0 & x = a \end{cases} \\ \lambda_3(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = a, c \\ 0 & x = b \end{cases} & \text{and} & \lambda_4(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = a, \\ 0 & x = b, c \end{cases} \\ \lambda_5(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = b \\ 0 & x = a, c \end{cases} & & \lambda_6(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x = c \\ 0 & x = a, b \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly (X, T_1) is a fuzzy topological space and (X, T_2) is the indiscrete fuzzy topological space. Clearly (X, T_1) is a fuzzy disconnected space and (X, T_2) is a fuzzy connected space.

We claim the fuzzy bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected space.

Let λ be any non-zero T_1 -fuzzy open, $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set. Then $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) = 1$ which is clearly T_1 -fuzzy open. Similarly, we can see that $Cl_{T_1}(\mu) = 1$ whenever μ is a non-zero T_2 -fuzzy open, $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set and clearly $Cl_{T_1}(\mu)$ is T_2 -fuzzy open. Therefore, the fuzzy bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected space.

REMARK. It is easy to see that the following are equivalent.

- (1) (X, T_1, T_2) is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected.
- (2) Given T_2 -fuzzy open set μ and T_1 -fuzzy open set λ in (X, T_1, T_2) with $\lambda + \mu \leq 1$ and λ being $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set or μ being a $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set we have $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) + Cl_{T_1}(\mu) \leq 1$.

4. Characterizations

THEOREM 4.1. For any fuzzy bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) the following are equivalent.

- (1) (X, T_1, T_2) is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected.
- (2) Whenever λ is a T_1 -fuzzy open and $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set, $Int_{T_1}[Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]$ is T_2 -fuzzy closed. Similar statement holds when λ is a T_2 -fuzzy open and $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set.
- (3) Whenever λ is T_1 -fuzzy open and $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set, we have

$$Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) \leq 1 - Cl_{T_1}[1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)].$$

Similar statement holds when λ is a T_2 -fuzzy open and $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set.

- (4) Whenever λ is a T_1 -fuzzy open set and μ is a T_2 -fuzzy open set such that $\lambda + \mu \leq 1$ and λ being $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set or μ being a $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set, we have

$$Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) + Cl_{T_1}(\mu) \leq 1.$$

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let λ be a T_1 -fuzzy open and $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set. Now

$$Int_{T_1}[Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)] = 1 - Cl_{T_1}[1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)] \quad (I)$$

By (1), $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)$ is T_1 -fuzzy open and therefore from (I) it follows that $Int_{T_1}[Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]$ is T_2 -fuzzy closed. Similar argument holds when λ is a T_2 -fuzzy open and $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let λ be a T_1 -fuzzy open and $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set and suppose that $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) \not\leq 1 - Cl_{T_1}[1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]$. Then there exists an

$x \in X$ such that $\{Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)\}(x) \not\leq \{1 - Cl_{T_1}[1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]\}(x)$. Now by (2), $Int_{T_1}[Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]$ is T_2 -fuzzy closed. Also $Cl_{T_1}[1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)] = 1 - Int_{T_1}[Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]$. Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \{Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)\}(x) &\not\leq 1 - \{1 - Int_{T_1}[Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]\}(x) \\ &\not\leq \{Int_{T_1}[Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]\}(x) \end{aligned}$$

which is not possible; For by (2), $Int_{T_1}[Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]$ is T_2 -fuzzy closed containing λ . Hence $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) \leq 1 - Cl_{T_1}[1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]$. Similar proof holds when λ is T_2 -fuzzy open and $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let λ be a T_1 -fuzzy open, $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set and μ be a T_2 -fuzzy open such that $\lambda + \mu \leq 1$.

We know that $\mu \leq 1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)$ and $\lambda \leq 1 - Cl_{T_1}(\mu)$. But by hypothesis $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) \leq 1 - Cl_{T_1}[1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]$ and therefore $\mu \leq 1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)$. Since $Cl_{T_1}(\mu)$ is the smallest T_1 -fuzzy closed set containing μ , we have

$$Cl_{T_1}(\mu) \leq Cl_{T_1}[1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)]. \tag{II}$$

Also since $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) + Cl_{T_1}[1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)] \leq 1$, it follows that

$$Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) + Cl_{T_1}(\mu) \leq 1 \quad [\text{from (II)}].$$

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let λ be any T_1 -fuzzy open and $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set. We shall show that $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)$ is T_1 -fuzzy open. Let $\mu = 1 - Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)$. Clearly μ is T_2 -fuzzy open and $\mu + \lambda \leq 1$. Hence, by (4), we have $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda) + Cl_{T_1}(\mu) \leq 1$ and therefore by construction of μ , we have $1 - Cl_{T_1}(\mu) = Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)$. This shows $Cl_{T_2}(\lambda)$ is T_1 -fuzzy open. Similarly, we can show for any T_2 -fuzzy open and $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set λ , $Cl_{T_1}(\lambda)$ is T_2 -fuzzy open.

5. Properties

In this section we shall establish some interesting properties of pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected spaces.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let (X, T_1, T_2) be a pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected space and let $(Y, T_1/Y, T_2/Y)$ be any pairwise fuzzy subspace of (X, T_1, T_2) . Then $(Y, T_1/Y, T_2/Y)$ is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected.*

PROOF. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be T_1/Y -fuzzy open set and T_2/Y -fuzzy open set in Y respectively and suppose that λ_1 is $T_1/Y - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set. Define λ_1^1 and λ_2^2 on X as follows:

$$\lambda_1^1 : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \quad \text{where}$$

$$\lambda_1^1(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda_2^2 : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \quad \text{where}$$

$$\lambda_2^2(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_2(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

From [21], we know that λ_1^1 and λ_2^2 are T_1 -fuzzy open set and T_2 -fuzzy open set respectively and that λ_1^1 is $T_1 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set. Since (X, T_1, T_2) is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected, it follows that

$$Cl_{T_2}(\lambda_1^1) + Cl_{T_1}(\lambda_2^2) \leq 1$$

and this in turn implies

$$Cl_{T_2/Y}(\lambda_1) + Cl_{T_1/Y}(\lambda_2) \leq 1.$$

We arrive at the same conclusion when we assume λ_2 is $T_2/Y - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set. Hence the proposition holds.

DEFINITION 5.2. Let $\{(X_\alpha, T_\alpha, T_\alpha^*) | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any family of the disjoint fuzzy bitopological spaces. Let $X = \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$. Define $\oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha = \{\lambda : X \rightarrow I | \lambda|_{X_\alpha} \in T_\alpha\}$ and $\oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha^* = \{\lambda : X \rightarrow I | \lambda|_{X_\alpha} \in T_\alpha^*\}$. Then $(X, \oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha, \oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha^*)$ is a fuzzy bitopological space called *the fuzzy bitopological sum of $\{(X_\alpha, T_\alpha, T_\alpha^*) | \alpha \in \Delta\}$.*

PROPOSITION 5.3. *The fuzzy bitopological sum of a family of disjoint pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected spaces is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected.*

PROOF. Let $\{(X_\alpha, T_\alpha, T_\alpha^*) | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of disjoint pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected spaces. Let $(X, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha^*)$ be the fuzzy bitopological sum of these spaces. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha$ -fuzzy open and $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha^*$ -fuzzy open sets in X respectively such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq 1$. Also we shall assume that λ_1 is $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set.

Now, from the assumptions, it is clear that λ_1/X_α and λ_2/X_α are T_α -fuzzy open and T_α^* -fuzzy open sets in X_α respectively for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Also $\lambda_1/X_\alpha + \lambda_2/X_\alpha \leq 1$ and λ_1/X_α is $T_\alpha - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set in X_α . Since $(X_\alpha, T_\alpha, T_\alpha^*)$ is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected, we have

$$Cl_{T_\alpha^*}(\lambda_1/X_\alpha) + Cl_{T_\alpha}(\lambda_2/X_\alpha) \leq 1, \quad \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Hence

$$Cl_{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha^*}(\lambda_1) + Cl_{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} T_\alpha}(\lambda_2) \leq 1.$$

This proves that the fuzzy bitopological sum is a pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected space.

DEFINITION 5.4. A function $f : (X, T_1, T_2) \rightarrow (Y, T_1^*, T_2^*)$ is called *pairwise fuzzy continuous (resp., pairwise fuzzy open)* \Leftrightarrow The induced function $f : (X, T_1) \rightarrow (Y, T_1^*)$ and $f : (X, T_2) \rightarrow (Y, T_2^*)$ are fuzzy continuous (resp., fuzzy open).

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Suppose (X, T_1, T_2) is a pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected space and $f : (X, T_1, T_2) \rightarrow (Y, T_1^*, T_2^*)$ is a pairwise fuzzy continuous and pairwise fuzzy open mapping. Then (Y, T_1^*, T_2^*) is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected.*

PROOF. Let λ be an arbitrary T_2^* -fuzzy open and $T_2^* - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set in Y . Since f is pairwise fuzzy continuous, $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is T_2 -fuzzy open and $T_2 - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set in X and since X is pairwise fuzzy basically disconnected $Cl_{T_1}[f^{-1}(\lambda)]$ is T_2 -fuzzy open. Again by pairwise fuzzy continuity of f it follows that

$$f^{-1}[Cl_{T_1^*}(\lambda)] \leq Cl_{T_1}[f^{-1}(\lambda)].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f\{f^{-1}[Cl_{T_1^*}(\lambda)]\} &= Cl_{T_1^*}(\lambda) \leq f\{Cl_{T_1}[f^{-1}(\lambda)]\} \\ &\leq Cl_{T_1^*}\{f[f^{-1}(\lambda)]\} \\ &= Cl_{T_1^*}(\lambda) \text{ (by hypothesis on } f) \end{aligned}$$

That is $f\{Cl_{T_1}[f^{-1}(\lambda)]\} = Cl_{T_1^*}(\lambda)$. Since f is pairwise fuzzy open and $f\{Cl_{T_1}[f^{-1}(\lambda)]\} = Cl_{T_1^*}(\lambda)$ it follows that $Cl_{T_1^*}(\lambda)$ is T_2^* -fuzzy open. Similarly, we can show that $Cl_{T_2^*}(\lambda)$ is T_1^* -fuzzy open whenever λ is T_1^* -fuzzy open and $T_1^* - F_\sigma$ fuzzy set.

Acknowledgements

The authors wishes to thank the referee for his suggestions towards the improvement of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.L Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J Math Anal. Appl **24** (1968), 182-190.
- [2] Dimitar P Filev and Ronald R. Yager, *Operations on fuzzy numbers via fuzzy reasoning*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **91** (1997), 137-142.
- [3] Eric K Vandouwen and Jan Van Mill, *Subspaces of basically disconnected spaces or Quotients of countably complete Boolean Algebras*, Trans. Amer Math Soc **259** (1980), 121-127.
- [4] U V. Fatteh and D.S Bassan, *Fuzzy connectedness and its stronger forms*, J. Math Anal Appl **111** (1985), 449-464
- [5] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960.
- [6] E. Hewitt, *A problem of set theoretic topology*, Duke. Math. J. **10** (1943), 309-333.
- [7] A. Kandil, *Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Simen Stevin **63** (1989), 45-66.
- [8] A Kandil, A.A. Nouh and S.A El-Sheikh, *On fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **74(3)** (1995), 353-363.
- [9] H. Nakano, *Über das System aller Stetigen Funktionen auf einem topologischen Raum*, Proc Imp. Acad Tokyo **17** (1941), 308-310
- [10] S.E. Rodabaugh, *Separation axioms and the fuzzy real lines*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **11** (1983), 163-183.

- [11] S E. Rodabaugh, *A Categorical accommodation of various notions of fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **9** (1983), 241-265.
- [12] S E. Rodabaugh, *Connectivity and the L-fuzzy unit interval*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **12** (1982), 113-121
- [13] S.E. Rodabaugh, *A Lattice of continuities for fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal Appl. **79** (1981), 244-255.
- [14] S.E. Rodabaugh, *Suitability in fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **79** (1981), 273-285.
- [15] S E Rodabaugh, *The Hausdorff separation axioms for fuzzy topological spaces*, Topology Appl. **11** (1980), 319-334
- [16] S.E. Rodabaugh, *Applications of localic separation axioms, compactness axioms, representations and compactifications toposlat topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **1** (1995), 55-87
- [17] S Sampath Kumar, *Connectedness and Semi-connectedness in fuzzy bitopological spaces*, National Conference on Fuzzy sets and their applications (June 1997, IIT, Madras)
- [18] P. Smets, *The degree of belief in a fuzzy event*, Information Sciences **25** (1981), 1-19
- [19] M.H Stone, *Boundedness properties in function lattices*, Canad. J. Math. **1** (1949), 176-186
- [20] M. Sugeno, *An introductory survey of fuzzy control*, Information Sciences **36** (1985), 59-83
- [21] R.H Warren, *Neighbourhoods, Bases and continuity in fuzzy topological spaces*, Rocky Mount J. Math **8** (1978), 459-470
- [22] Ronald R. Yager and Dimitar P Filev, *Generalizing the modeling of fuzzy logic controllers by parametrized aggregation operators*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **70** (1995), 303-313
- [23] L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy Sets*, Information and Control **8** (1965), 338-353.

Department of Mathematics
 Periyar University
 Salem 636011
 Tamil Nadu, India
E-mail: vcsekar_5@yahoo.co.in