

## CONVEX POLYTOPES OF GENERALIZED DOUBLY STOCHASTIC MATRICES

SOOJIN CHO AND YUNSUN NAM

**ABSTRACT.** *Doubly stochastic matrices* are  $n \times n$  nonnegative matrices whose row and column sums are all 1. Convex polytope  $\Omega_n$  of doubly stochastic matrices and more generally  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ , so called *transportation polytopes*, are important since they form the domains for the transportation problems. A theorem by Birkhoff classifies the extremal matrices of  $\Omega_n$ , and extremal matrices of transportation polytopes  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  were all classified combinatorially.

In this article, we consider signed version of  $\Omega_n$  and  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ , obtain ‘signed’ Birkhoff theorem; we define a new class of convex polytopes  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$ , calculate their dimensions, and classify their extremal matrices. Moreover, we suggest an algorithm to express a matrix in  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  as a convex combination of extremal matrices. We also give an example that a polytope of signed matrices is used as a domain for a decision problem.

In the context of finite reflection(Coxeter) group theory, our generalization may also be considered as a generalization from type  $A_n$  to type  $B_n$  and  $D_n$ .

### 1. Introduction

For given positive vectors  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$  and  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  with  $\sum_i r_i = \sum_j s_j$ , let  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  be the class of all  $m \times n$  nonnegative matrices with row sum vector  $R$  and column sum vector  $S$ . The set  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  is a convex polytope, which is called a *transportation polytope*. A matrix in  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  is called a *transportation matrix*. Transportation polytopes have applications in many optimization problems and so have been extensively studied (see [4, 6, 8, 9]). Specially, their extremal matrices and

---

Received February 28, 2001. Revised May 4, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A51, 52B05, 05D99.

Key words and phrases: Birkhoff theorem, generalized doubly stochastic matrices, convex polytope of matrices, reflection groups.

The first author was supported by grant No. 2000-0-102-002-3 from the Basic Research Program of the Korea Science & Engineering Foundation.

facets were classified and their 1-skeleton graphs have been considered. We denote the set of extremal matrices of  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  by  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ . A special case of the convex polytope  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  is the convex set  $\Omega_n$  of  $n \times n$  *doubly stochastic matrices* when  $R = S = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Birkhoff theorem tells that the set of extremal matrices of  $\Omega_n$  is exactly the set of permutation matrices. A nonnegative  $n \times n$  matrix whose row and column sums are all dominated by 1 is called a *doubly substochastic matrix*. The convex polytope  $\Omega'_n$  of doubly substochastic matrices has been considered and the extremal matrices were classified in [12]. More generally, convex polytopes  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  are defined as the set of nonnegative matrices with row sum vector dominated componentwise by  $R$  and column sum vector by  $S$  respectively. The extremal matrices of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  were classified in [3].

Convex polytopes  $\Omega_n$  also appear in some different context. A. Barvinok and A. Vershik considered the polytopes of (image matrices of) representations of finite groups [5]. S. Onn [13] considered the *permutation polytopes* that is the convex polytopes of standard representation of subgroups of the symmetric group  $S_n$ . It is clear that  $\Omega_n$  is the permutation polytope of standard representation of  $S_n$ .

Our natural question was on the ‘signed’ version of Birkhoff theorem. In other words, we wanted to know about the *signed permutation polytope* that is the polytope of the standard representation of *hyperoctahedral group*. Hyperoctahedral groups are reflection groups (Coxeter groups) of type  $B_n$  whereas the symmetric groups are of type  $A_n$  (see [7]). The group elements of hyperoctahedral group are the signed permutations, hence the order of hyperoctahedral group is  $n!2^n$ . Therefore, we may say that our question was on the Birkhoff Theorem of type  $B_n$  (or, of some other type than  $A_n$ ).

In this article, we consider the convex polytope of signed permutations and its generalization, as  $\Omega_n$  is understood as a special case of  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  for any positive vectors  $R, S$  rather than  $R = S = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . The dimension of those polytopes are calculated and extremal matrices are determined, whence Birkhoff theorem of type  $B_n$  is obtained.

In the following section, we summarize known results on convex polytopes  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$ . In Section 3, we investigate the convex polytope  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$ , which is the polytope generated by those signed permutation matrices. We calculate the dimension and obtain the Birkhoff theorem of type  $B_n$ . In Section 4, we consider two polytopes generated by subsets of whole set of signed permutation matrices. One of them forms a domain for a decision problem and the other is a subpolytope

of  $\Omega_n$  generated by the signed permutations with even number of sign changes, which is a polytope of standard representation of reflection group of type  $D_n$ . Because of the Birkhoff theorem of type  $B_n$ , we can immediately know the extremal matrices of these polytopes.

For a finite set of vectors  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\text{Conv}(\mathcal{S})$  is defined as the set of all convex combinations of elements in  $\mathcal{S}$ ;

$$\text{Conv}(\mathcal{S}) = \{ \alpha_1 A_1 + \dots + \alpha_k A_k \mid A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S}, \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1, 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1 \}.$$

In this case, we say that the convex polytope is *generated by*  $\mathcal{S}$ . The reader may refer to [14] for the basic definitions and theorems about convex polytopes.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give formal definitions of  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  with known results on those polytopes.

For given positive vectors  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$  and  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  with  $\sum_i r_i = \sum_j s_j$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  is the set of  $m \times n$  nonnegative matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j a_{ij} &= r_i && \text{for all } i, \\ \sum_i a_{ij} &= s_j && \text{for all } j. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  is the set of  $m \times n$  nonnegative matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j a_{ij} &\leq r_i && \text{for all } i, \\ \sum_i a_{ij} &\leq s_j && \text{for all } j. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to check that  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  are convex polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$ . We denote the set of extremal matrices of  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  by  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ ,  $\mathfrak{E}_{\leq}(R, S)$ , respectively.

Given an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , let  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  denote the weighted bipartite graph with vertex set  $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m\} \cup \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n\}$ , where there is an edge with weight  $a_{ij}$  between  $R_i$  and  $C_j$  if and only if  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ . We also let  $P(A)$  be the  $(0, 1)$ -matrix with 1's in the positions occupied

by the non-zero entries of  $A$  and 0's elsewhere. A *line* of a matrix designates either a row or a column of the matrix.

In [4, 8, 9], the extremal matrices of  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ , i.e. the elements of  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ , were characterized.

PROPOSITION 1. *When  $A$  is a nonnegative  $m \times n$  matrix in  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A \in \mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ .
- (ii) Every submatrix of  $A$  contains a line with at most one positive entry.
- (iii) Every submatrix  $A'$  of  $A$  of size  $m' \times n'$  has at most  $m' + n' - 1$  positive entries.
- (iv) There is no matrix  $B$  in  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  such that  $B \neq A$  and  $P(B) = P(A)$ .
- (v)  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  is a forest with no isolated vertex.

Birkhoff theorem that the extremal matrices of  $\Omega_n$  are the permutation matrices is a consequence of the Proposition 1 since the forest corresponding to an extremal matrix can only have single edges.

We say that a line sum of a matrix  $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  is *unattained* if the sum of the entries of the given line is strictly less than given  $r_i$  (or  $s_j$ ).

The following characterizations of elements of  $\mathfrak{E}_{\leq}(R, S)$  are given in [3].

PROPOSITION 2. *Let  $A$  be a matrix in  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$ . Then  $A$  is in  $\mathfrak{E}_{\leq}(R, S)$  if and only if the connected components of  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  are trees where at most one node of each tree corresponds to a line of  $A$  whose sum is unattained.*

PROPOSITION 3. *The elements of  $\mathfrak{E}_{\leq}(R, S)$  are precisely those matrices obtained as follows: Take  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  and in each of the trees of  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  which are connected components, delete a set (possibly empty) of edges of a subtree. Replace by zero the positive entries of  $A$  which correspond to the edges of  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  that were deleted.*

COROLLARY 4. *If a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is in  $\mathfrak{E}_{\leq}(R, S)$ , then there exists a matrix  $B = (b_{ij})$  in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$  such that  $a_{ij}$  is either  $b_{ij}$  or 0.*

### 3. Convex polytope $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$

We define  $\Omega_n^\pm$  to be the convex polytope

$$\text{Conv}(\{A \mid A \text{ is an } n \times n \text{ signed permutation matrix}\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n^2}.$$

In this section, we try to answer the questions that ask ‘if signed permutations are extremal matrices of  $\Omega_n^\pm$ ’, and ‘how the matrices in the convex polytope  $\Omega_n^\pm$  are characterized’.

We define a class of convex polytopes which contains  $\Omega_n^\pm$  as a special case; for given positive vectors  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$  and  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  with  $\sum_i r_i = \sum_j s_j$ , let  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  be the set of matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j |a_{ij}| &\leq r_i \quad \text{for all } i, \\ \sum_i |a_{ij}| &\leq s_j \quad \text{for all } j. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  does not consist of only nonnegative matrices. We also can observe that the defining inequalities of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  are obtained from those of  $\mathfrak{A}_\leq(R, S)$  by substituting  $|a_{ij}|$  for each  $a_{ij}$ . Hence  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  is the union of  $2^{mn}$  copies of  $\mathfrak{A}_\leq(R, S)$ , and in this sense it is not clear that  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  is convex. However, it is easy to check that  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  is a convex polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$  by direct calculation.

We let  $|\mathfrak{E}|(R, S)$  be the set of extremal matrices of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$ . Given a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $|A|$  denotes the matrix  $(|a_{ij}|)$ , called the *absolute matrix* of  $A$ .

REMARK 1.

1.  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S) \subset \mathfrak{A}_\leq(R, S) \subset |\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$ .
2. When  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  real matrix,

$$A \in |\mathfrak{A}|(R, S) \text{ if and only if } |A| \in \mathfrak{A}_\leq(R, S).$$

A set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is *affinely independent* if the equation  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = 0$  has only trivial solution  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = 0$ . The *dimension* of a convex polytope  $P$  is defined as the number one less than the maximum number of affinely independent vectors in  $P$ .

When  $R$  is an  $m$ -dimensional vector and  $S$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector, it is well known that the dimension of  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  is  $(m - 1)(n - 1)$ . The following proposition gives the dimensions of  $\mathfrak{A}_\leq(R, S)$  and  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$ .

PROPOSITION 5. *The dimension of the polytope  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  is  $mn$ . Hence the dimension of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  is also  $mn$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  and  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  are in  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$ , the dimensions of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  and  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  are at most  $mn$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , let  $A(i, j) = (a_{kl})$  be the matrix defined by  $a_{ij} = \min(r_i, s_j)$  and  $a_{kl} = 0$  for  $(k, l) \neq (i, j)$ . Then the zero matrix and  $A(i, j)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , form a set of affinely independent matrices in  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  and in  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  also.  $\square$

We classify the extremal matrices of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  (elements of  $|\mathfrak{E}|(R, S)$ ) in the following theorem. Since  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  is a union of copies of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$ , we may expect that the extremal matrices of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  are obtained by changing signs of some entries of matrices in  $\mathfrak{E}_{\leq}(R, S)$ . However, it is not so clear which subset of the set of those sign changed matrices in  $\mathfrak{E}_{\leq}(R, S)$  will form the extremal matrices of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$ .

THEOREM 6. *A matrix  $A$  is in  $|\mathfrak{E}|(R, S)$  if and only if  $|A|$  is in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is in  $|\mathfrak{E}|(R, S)$ . Then  $|A| \in \mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$ , and so  $|A|$  can be written as a convex combination of some matrices  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_\ell$  in  $\mathfrak{E}_{\leq}(R, S)$ . That is,  $|A| = \sum_k \alpha_k A_k$  with  $\sum_k \alpha_k = 1$  and  $\alpha_k \geq 0$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, \ell$ , let  $A_k = (a_{ij}^k)$ . Since  $A_k \in \mathfrak{E}_{\leq}(R, S)$ , by Corollary 4, there exists a matrix  $B_k = (b_{ij}^k)$  in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$  such that  $a_{ij}^k$  is either  $b_{ij}^k$  or 0 for all  $(i, j)$ . Define matrices  $C_k = (c_{ij}^k)$  and  $D_k = (d_{ij}^k)$  as follows:  $c_{ij}^k = d_{ij}^k = \text{sign}(a_{ij}^k)b_{ij}^k$  if  $a_{ij}^k \neq 0$ , and  $c_{ij}^k = b_{ij}^k$  and  $d_{ij}^k = -b_{ij}^k$  otherwise. Then  $|C_k| = |D_k| = B_k$  and so  $|C_k|, |D_k| \in \mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ . Moreover,  $A = \sum_k \alpha_k (\frac{1}{2}C_k + \frac{1}{2}D_k)$ . Since  $A$  is an extremal matrix of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  and  $C_k, D_k \in |\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$ ,  $A$  is one of  $C_1, \dots, C_\ell, D_1, \dots, D_\ell$ . This completes the proof of necessity.

Suppose that  $|A|$  is in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ . Assume that  $A = \alpha A' + (1 - \alpha)A''$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $A' = (a'_{ij}), A'' = (a''_{ij}) \in |\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$ . Then  $|A'|, |A''| \in \mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$  and  $|A| \leq \alpha|A'| + (1 - \alpha)|A''|$ . We can claim that  $|A| = \alpha|A'| + (1 - \alpha)|A''|$ . For otherwise, there exists  $(k, l)$  such that  $|a_{kl}| < \alpha|a'_{kl}| + (1 - \alpha)|a''_{kl}|$ . Then  $\sum_j |a_{kj}| < \alpha \sum_j |a'_{kj}| + (1 - \alpha) \sum_j |a''_{kj}| \leq r_k$ , which contradicts the fact that  $|A| \in \mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ . By a similar argument, we can obtain that  $\sum_j |a'_{ij}| = \sum_j |a''_{ij}| = r_i$  for all  $i$  and so  $|A'|, |A''| \in \mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ . We can conclude that  $|A| = \alpha|A'| + (1 - \alpha)|A''|$  and  $|A'|, |A''| \in \mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ . Since  $|A|$  is in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ ,  $|A| = |A'| = |A''|$ . Thus  $a_{ij} = a'_{ij}$  or  $-a'_{ij}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . If  $a_{ij} = -a'_{ij} \neq 0$  for some  $(i, j)$ , then  $(1 + \alpha)a_{ij} = (1 - \alpha)a''_{ij}$  since

$A = \alpha A' + (1 - \alpha)A''$ . However  $|A| = |A''|$ , and so  $a_{ij}$  cannot be  $-a'_{ij}$ . Thus  $A = A'$ , and consequently  $A = A''$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 6 and Birkhoff theorem, which gives the answer to the questions given at the beginning of this section.

**COROLLARY 7.** *The set of signed permutation matrices forms the set of extremal matrices of  $\Omega_n^\pm$ , and  $\Omega_n^\pm$  is  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  with  $R = S = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .*

#### 4. Convex combination

The proof of Theorem 6 uses a result on the extremal matrices of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\leq}(R, S)$ , hence it does not show us how we can write a matrix in  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  as a convex combination of extremal matrices. In this section, we give a partial algorithm to write a matrix in  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  as a convex combination of its extremal matrices. We can have a complete algorithm if we know a way to write a matrix in  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  as a convex combination of its extremal matrices. We first prove two lemmas.

**LEMMA 8.** *Let  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$  and  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  be positive vectors and  $c$  be a positive number. Let  $R' = (r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i - c, r_{i+1}, \dots, r_m)$  and  $S' = (s_1, \dots, s_{j-1}, s_j - c, s_{j+1}, \dots, s_n)$ . If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a matrix such that  $|A| \in \mathfrak{A}(R', S')$ , then  $A$  can be written as*

$$A = \alpha A' + (1 - \alpha)A'', \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

with  $|A'|, |A''| \in \mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ .

*Proof.* We define  $A' = (a'_{kl})$  and  $A'' = (a''_{kl})$  as follows:

$$a'_{kl} = \begin{cases} a_{kl} & \text{if } (k, l) \neq (i, j) \\ a_{ij} + \text{sign}(a_{ij})c & \text{if } (k, l) = (i, j) \end{cases}$$

and

$$a''_{kl} = \begin{cases} a_{kl} & \text{if } (k, l) \neq (i, j) \\ -a_{ij} - \text{sign}(a_{ij})c & \text{if } (k, l) = (i, j) \end{cases}$$

Then  $|A'|, |A''| \in \mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ . Now compare the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $A$  and  $\alpha A' + (1 - \alpha)A''$ , then we have

$$a_{ij} = \alpha(a_{ij} + \text{sign}(a_{ij})c) - (1 - \alpha)(a_{ij} - \text{sign}(a_{ij})c).$$

This means that if we define

$$\alpha = \frac{a_{ij} + (a_{ij} + \text{sign}(a_{ij})c)}{2(a_{ij} + \text{sign}(a_{ij})c)},$$

then  $A = \alpha A' + (1 - \alpha)A''$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 9.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a matrix with  $|A| \in \mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ . Then  $A$  is a convex combination of matrices whose absolute matrices are in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $|A| \in \mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ ,  $|A| = \sum_k \alpha_k A_k$  where  $\alpha_k > 0$ ,  $\sum_k \alpha_k = 1$  and  $A_k \in \mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ . For each  $k$ , let  $A_k = (a_{ij}^k)$  and let  $B_k = (b_{ij}^k)$  be the matrix defined by

$$b_{ij}^k = \begin{cases} -a_{ij}^k & \text{if } a_{ij} < 0 \\ a_{ij}^k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then  $A = \sum_k \alpha_k B_k$  and  $|B_k| = A_k \in \mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 10.** *Every matrix  $A$  in  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  is a convex combination of matrices  $A_k$ , where  $|A_k| \in \mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on the number of lines of the absolute matrix of a given matrix, which do not have full sum. If every line of  $|A|$  has full sum, then  $|A| \in \mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  so Lemma 9 finishes the proof. We assume that there is at least one line of  $|A|$ , which do not have full sum. Let  $i$  (respectively,  $j$ ) be the least integer such that  $\sum_j |a_{ij}| < r_i$  (respectively,  $\sum_i |a_{ij}| < s_j$ ). Let  $r'_i = \sum_j |a_{ij}|$ ,  $s'_j = \sum_i |a_{ij}|$  and  $c = \min(r_i - r'_i, s_j - s'_j)$ . Then use Lemma 8 to write  $A$  as a convex sum of  $A'$ ,  $A''$  where  $|A'|, |A''|$  have the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row sum and the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column sum increased by  $c$  from those of  $A$ . It is clear that the number of lines of  $|A'|$  and  $|A''|$  which do not have full sum is strictly less than that of  $|A|$ . Hence by the induction hypothesis, we can write  $A'$  and  $A''$  as convex combinations of matrices whose absolute matrices are in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ . Thus  $A$  is a convex combination of matrices whose absolute matrices are in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 2.** Lemma 8, Lemma 9 and the proof of Theorem 10 give a way to write a matrix in  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  as a convex combination of matrices in  $|\mathfrak{E}|(R, S)$ . Note that the proof of Theorem 10 is done inductively and the proof of Lemma 8 gives an explicit way to write a matrix as a convex combination of other matrices. For the proof of Lemma 9,



however, we need to borrow a way to write a matrix in  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  as a convex combination of matrices in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$ . As we know, for the case  $R = S = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  there are a few ways to write a matrix in  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$  as a convex combination of matrices in  $\mathfrak{E}(R, S)$  (see [11]). But for general  $R$  and  $S$ , there is no algorithm known. But at least for the case  $R = S = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ , Lemmas 8-9 and Theorem 10 give an algorithm to write a matrix in  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  as a convex combination of signed permutation matrices.

## 5. Examples

The following two examples deal with *subpolytopes* of  $\Omega_n^\pm$ . Even though the polytopes considered in this section are not exactly  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  that we considered in this article, they are subpolytopes of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  generated by some subsets of  $|\mathfrak{E}|(R, S)$ . We, therefore, can immediately know the set of extremal matrices of those polytopes because of Corollary 7. The generating signed permutation matrices form the set of extremal matrices of given polytopes. Example 5 deals with a polytope generated by a subgroup of a hyperoctahedral group and it serves as a domain for a decision problem of isomorphism of two directed graphs. In Example 5, we consider the polytope of reflection group of another type  $D_n$ . Note that for a given optimization problem, (knowing) the set of extremal points of the base polytope of the problem plays an important role.

EXAMPLE 1. Any directed graph  $g$  on  $n$  vertices, labeled as  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , can be written as a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -valued vector  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{\binom{n}{2}})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  in the following way;

1. Give a linear order to the set of 2-subsets of vertices.
2. If the  $k^{\text{th}}$  2-subset  $\{i, j\}$ ,  $i < j$ , of the vertices is
  - (a) not an edge of  $g$ , then  $v_k = 0$ ,
  - (b) a directed edge of  $g$  from  $i$  to  $j$ , then  $v_k = 1$ ,
  - (c) a directed edge of  $g$  from  $j$  to  $i$ , then  $v_k = -1$ .

We let  $G$  be the set of directed graphs on  $n$  vertices. The group of permutations on  $n$  letters,  $S_n$ , acts on  $G$  by permuting the vertices. The  $S_n$ -action on  $G$  can be realized as the following matrix representation. We fix a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  as the set of 2-subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and then, define a map  $\rho$  from  $S_n$  to the group of  $\binom{n}{2} \times \binom{n}{2}$  nonsingular matrices

as follows: For  $\sigma \in S_n$  and the  $k^{th}$  2-subset  $\{i, j\}$ ,  $i < j$ , of  $[n]$ ,

$$\rho(\sigma)(\{i, j\}) = (-1)^{\text{inv}(\sigma, \{i, j\})} \{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)\}, \text{ where}$$

$$\text{inv}(\sigma, \{i, j\}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma(i) < \sigma(j), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that  $\rho$  is a group homomorphism (actually it is a faithful representation of  $S_n$ ), and the image of  $\rho$  is a subgroup of hyperoctahedral group. Hence, if we let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the convex polytope generated by  $\{\rho(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in S_n\}$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times \binom{n}{2}}$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  is a subpolytope of the polytope  $\Omega_{\binom{n}{2}}^{\pm}$ . Now, by Theorem 6 or Corollary 7, the set of extremal matrices of  $\mathcal{P}$  is exactly the set of images of  $\rho$ .

The following argument shows how  $\mathcal{P}$  can be used in a decision problem:

Let  $g, h \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  be two directed graphs in  $G$ , having  $q$  edges. Letting  $c = h \otimes g$ , the decision problem

$$\max\{\langle c, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{P}\} \geq q$$

on  $\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\langle c, x \rangle$  is the usual inner product in the Euclidean space, is exactly the decision problem whether  $g$  and  $h$  are isomorphic as directed graphs.

EXAMPLE 2. A reflection group of type  $D_n$ , consisting of signed permutations with even number of sign changes, forms a subgroup of index 2 of a group of signed permutations(reflection group of type  $B_n$ ). We investigate the convex polytope generated by those type  $D_n$  signed permutations, which will form a subpolytope of  $\Omega_n^{\pm}$ . This polytope may be thought in the context of [5]. One might expect  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  of type  $D_n$  for general  $R$  and  $S$ . It, however, is not so clear what should be a definition of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$  of type  $D_n$  for general  $R, S$ , and we only consider the case  $R = S = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

The polytope we consider is defined as follows ;

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \text{Conv}(\{A \mid A \text{ is an } n \times n \text{ signed permutation matrix}$$

$$\text{with even number of sign changes}\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n^2}.$$

By Corollary 7, we know that the set of extremal matrices of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is exactly the generating set(set of signed permutations with even number of sign changes). Hence we have Birkhoff theorem of type  $D_n$  that the set of signed permutation matrices with even number of sign changes forms the set of extremal matrices of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ .

It is not so difficult to show that  $n^2$  many standard basis matrices (the ones only one 1 and 0 elsewhere) and the zero matrix are all contained in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  when  $n > 2$ , hence to show that the dimension of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is  $n^2$  when  $n > 2$ . The dimension of  $\mathcal{P}_2$  is 2.

## 6. Remarks

1. V. Klee and C. Witzgall [9] characterized and counted the facets as well as vertices of  $\mathfrak{A}(R, S)$ . We think that it might be an interesting problem to characterize the facets of  $|\mathfrak{A}|(R, S)$ .
2. On the polytope considered in Example 5, we do not have an answer to the question on the characterization of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  that explains what kind of matrices are in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ .

## References

- [1] G. Bongiovanni, D. Bovet, and A. Cerioli, *Comments on a paper of R. A. Brualdi*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **31** (1988), 394–398.
- [2] G. D. Birkhoff, *Tres observaciones sobre el algebra lineal*, *Revista Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Puras y Aplicadas Universidad Nacional de Tucuman, serie A (Mathematics y Teoretica)* **5** (1946), 147–151.
- [3] R. A. Brualdi, *Combinatorial properties of symmetric non-negative matrices*, *Colloquio Internazionale sulle Teorie Combinatorie (Rome, 1973)*, Tomo II, *Atti dei Convegni Lincei*, No. 17, *Accad. Naz. Lincei*, (1976), 99–120.
- [4] ———, *Convex sets of non-negative matrices*, *Canada. J. Math.* **20** (1968), 144–157.
- [5] A. I. Barvinok and A. M. Vershik, *Convex hulls of orbits of representations of finite groups and combinatorial optimization*, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **22** (1988), 66–67.
- [6] D. A. Gregory, S. J. Kirkland, and N. J. Pullman, *Row-stochastic matrices with a common left fixed vector*, *Linear Alg. and its Appl.* **169** (1992), 131–149.
- [7] J. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* 29, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] W. B. Jurkat and H. J. Ryser, *Term ranks and permanants*, *J. Algebra* **5** (1967), 342–357.
- [9] V. Klee and C. Witzgall, *Facets and vertices of transportation polytope*, in *Mathematics of Decision Sciences*, (G. B. Dantzig and A. F. Veinott, Eds.), *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, (1968), 277–282.
- [10] M. Marcus and H. Minc, *A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities*, *Dover publications, Inc.*, New York, 1969.
- [11] H. Minc, *Nonnegative matrices*, *John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*, 1988.
- [12] H. Mirsky, *On a convex set of matrices*, *Arch. Math.* **10** (1959), 88–97.
- [13] S. Onn, *Geometry, complexity, and combinatorics of permutation polytopes*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **64** (1993), 31–49.

- [14] G. M. Ziegler, *Lectures on polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 152, Springer-Verlag New York, 1995.

Soojin Cho  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
Sejong University  
Seoul 143-747, Korea  
*E-mail*: sjcho@sejong.ac.kr

Yunsun Nam  
Computational Sci. & Eng. Lab.  
Technical Consulting & Service Center  
Samsung Advanced Institute of Technology  
Yongin 449-900, Korea  
*E-mail*: snam@hananet.net