

**AN INTERARRIVAL HYPEREXPONENTIAL
MACHINE INTERFERENCE MODEL:
 $H_r/M/c/k/N$ WITH BALKING AND RENEGING**

A. I. SHAWKY

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to derive the analytical solution of the queue: $H_r/M/c/k/N$ for machine interference with balking and reneging, and FIFO (first in, first out) service discipline.

1. Introduction

Kleinrock [5] studied the queue: $M/M/c/k/N$ for machine interference without balking and reneging, Gross and Harris [3] discussed the system: $M/M/c/m/m$ with spares only and Medhi [6] treated the system: $M/M/c/m/m$ without balking and reneging. Gupta [4] treated numerically the interarrival hyperexponential queue: $H_r/M/1/m$ with state dependent arrival and service rates, and Shawky [7] studied the system: $M/M/c/k/N$ with balking, reneging and spares. This paper aims to derive the analytical solution of the queue: $H_r/M/c/k/N$ for machine interference model with balking and reneging.

2. Description of the system

As in Goyal [2], the arrival channel consists of r independent branches. A unit arriving for service joins the i^{th} branch with a fraction σ_i of the time on the average, so that $\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i = 1$. Only one unit can occupy any one of the branches at a time and if a unit is present in any one of the branches, the arrival channel is busy and no other unit can enter any other branch. The unit in the i^{th} branch joins the system (queue

Received July 24, 2000. Revised December 25, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60K25, 90B22.

Key words and phrases: hyperexponential queues, machine interference, balking and reneging concepts.

or service) with rate λ_i per unit time. We assume that we have a finite source (population) of N customers, c servers (repairmen) are available, that the service times are identical exponential random variables with rate μ and also the system has finite storage room such that the total number of customers (machines) in the system is no more than k . The queue discipline is assumed to be first come, first served.

Let β be the probability that a unit joins the queue when the system size is greater than or equal c .

It is also assumed that the units in the queue may renege according to an exponential distribution, $f(t) = \alpha e^{-\alpha t}$, $t \geq 0$, with parameter α . The probability of reneging in a short period of time Δt is given by $r_n = (n - c)\alpha \Delta t$ for $c < n \leq k$ and $r_n = 0$ for $0 \leq n \leq c$.

3. The steady-state analytical solution

Let $P_{n,i}$ denote the equilibrium probability that there are n units in the system and the unit in the arrival channel is in the i^{th} branch, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k; i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

The steady-state probability difference equations are

$$(1) \quad N\lambda_i P_{0,i} = \mu P_{1,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

$$(2) \quad [(N - n)\lambda_i + n\mu]P_{n,i} \\ = \sigma_i(N - n + 1) \sum_{s=1}^r \lambda_s P_{n-1,s} + (n + 1)\mu P_{n+1,i}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, c - 1,$$

$$(3) \quad [(N - c)\beta\lambda_i + c\mu]P_{c,i} \\ = \sigma_i(N - c + 1) \sum_{s=1}^r \lambda_s P_{c-1,s} + (c\mu + \alpha)P_{c+1,i}, \quad n = c,$$

$$(4) \quad [(N - n)\beta\lambda_i + c\mu + (n - c)\alpha]P_{n,i} \\ = \sigma_i(N - n + 1) \sum_{s=1}^r \beta\lambda_s P_{n-1,s} \\ + \{c\mu + (n - c + 1)\alpha\}P_{n+1,i}, \quad n = c + 1, \dots, k - 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(N - k)\beta\lambda_i + c\mu + (k - c)\alpha]P_{k,i} \\
 (5) \quad & = \sigma_i(N - k + 1) \sum_{s=1}^r \beta\lambda_s P_{k-1,s} \\
 & \quad + \sigma_i(N - k) \sum_{s=1}^r \beta\lambda_s P_{k,s}, \quad n = k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing up equations (1)–(4) over i , and adding the results obtained for $n = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, we get

$$(6) \quad (N - n) \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i P_{n,i} = (n + 1) \sum_{i=1}^r P_{n+1,i}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, c - 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad & (N - n) \sum_{i=1}^r \beta\rho_i P_{n,i} \\
 & = [c + (n + 1 - c)\delta] \sum_{i=1}^r P_{n+1,i}, \quad n = c, c + 1, \dots, k - 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu}, \delta = \frac{\alpha}{\mu}$.

From (2) and (6), we have

$$B(n, i)P_{n,i} - n\sigma_i \sum_{s=1}^r P_{n,s} = (n + 1)P_{n+1,i}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, c - 1,$$

where

$$B(n, i) = (N - n)\rho_i + n,$$

which can be written in the matrix form as

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{B}\mathbf{P} = (n + 1)\mathbf{Q}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, c - 1,$$

where

$$\mathbf{B} = [b_{ij}],$$

such that

$$b_{ij} = -n\sigma_i, \quad i \neq j$$

$$b_{ii} = \mathbf{B}(n, i) - n\sigma_i,$$

$$\mathbf{P}^T = [P_{n,1}, P_{n,2}, \dots, P_{n,r}],$$

and

$$\mathbf{Q}^T = [P_{n+1,1}, P_{n+1,2}, \dots, P_{n+1,r}],$$

where T denotes the transpose of a matrix. Now, \mathbf{B}^{-1} is given by

$$\mathbf{B}^{-1} = [b_{ij}^{\setminus}],$$

where

$$b_{ij}^{\setminus} = \frac{n\sigma_i}{B(n,i)B(n,j)D_n}, \quad i \neq j$$

$$b_{ii}^{\setminus} = \frac{1}{B(n,i)} + \frac{n\sigma_i}{B^2(n,i)D_n},$$

$$D_n = 1 - n \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\sigma_i}{B(n,i)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, c-1, \quad D_0 = 1.$$

Using this value of \mathbf{B}^{-1} in (8), we get

$$(9) \quad P_{n,i} = \frac{n+1}{B(n,i)} \left\{ P_{n+1,i} + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{n\sigma_i P_{n+1,j}}{B(n,j)D_n} \right\}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, c-1.$$

From (4) and (7) we obtain

$$[(N-n)\beta\rho_i + c + (n-c)\delta]P_{n,i} - \sigma_i [c + (n-c)\delta] \sum_{s=1}^r P_{n,s}$$

$$= [c + (n-c+1)\delta] P_{n+1,i}, \quad n = c, c+1, \dots, k-1,$$

which can be written in the form

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{D}\mathbf{P} = \{c + (n-c+1)\delta\}\mathbf{Q}, \quad n = c, c+1, \dots, k-1,$$

where

$$\mathbf{D} = [d_{ij}],$$

such that

$$d_{ij} = -\sigma_i \{c + (n-c)\delta\}, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$d_{ii} = A(n,i) - \sigma_i \{c + (n-c)\delta\},$$

$$A(n,i) = (N-n)\beta\rho_i + c + (n-c)\delta.$$

Now, \mathbf{D}^{-1} is given by

$$\mathbf{D}^{-1} = [d_{ij}^{\setminus}],$$

where

$$d_{ij}^{\setminus} = \frac{\sigma_i \{c + (n-c)\delta\}}{A(n,i)A(n,j)D_n^{\setminus}}, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$d_{ii}^{\setminus} = \frac{1}{A(n,i)} + \frac{\sigma_i \{c + (n-c)\delta\}}{A^2(n,i)D_n^{\setminus}},$$

and

$$D_n^{\setminus} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\sigma_i \{c + (n-c)\delta\}}{A(n,i)}, \quad n = c, c+1, \dots, k-1.$$

Using the value of \mathbf{D}^{-1} in (10) we get

$$(11) \quad P_{n,i} = \frac{c + (n - c + 1)\delta}{A(n, i)} \left\{ P_{n+1,i} + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\sigma_j \{c + (n - c)\delta\} P_{n+1,j}}{A(n, j) D_n^{\setminus}} \right\},$$

$$n = c, c + 1, \dots, k - 1.$$

Similarly, from (5) and (7) we have

$$(12) \quad A(k, i) P_{k,i} = \sigma_i \sum_{s=1}^r A(k, s) P_{k,s}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

It is easy to verify that the determinant formed by the coefficients of $P_{k,i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, is zero and therefore we can solve equation (12) for any $r - 1$ probabilities involved in terms of $P_{k,r}$. Leaving out the r^{th} equation, we have the matrix representation of (12) as

$$(13) \quad \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R} = -A(k, r)\mathbf{G}P_{k,r},$$

where \mathbf{E} is the $(r - 1) \times (r - 1)$ matrix

$$\mathbf{E} = [e_{ij}]$$

such that

$$e_{ij} = \sigma_i A(k, j), \quad i \neq j,$$

$$e_{ii} = (\sigma_i - 1)A(k, i),$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}^T = [P_{k,1}, P_{k,2}, \dots, P_{k,r-1}],$$

$$\mathbf{G}^T = [\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{r-1}].$$

Now, \mathbf{E}^{-1} is given by

$$\mathbf{E}^{-1} = [e_{ij}^{\setminus}]$$

such that

$$e_{ij}^{\setminus} = \frac{-\sigma_i}{\sigma_r A(k, i)}, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$e_{ii}^{\setminus} = \frac{-(\sigma_i + \sigma_r)}{\sigma_r A(k, i)}.$$

As before,

$$(14) \quad P_{k,i} = \frac{\sigma_i A(k, r)}{\sigma_r A(k, i)} P_{k,r}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1.$$

Thus, we have expressed all the probabilities $P_{n,i}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k; i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ in terms of one unknown probability, namely $P_{k,r}$. This

unknown probability may now be evaluated by using the normalizing condition:

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{i=1}^r P_{n,i} = 1,$$

and hence all the probabilities are completely known.

The following example illustrates the method discussed above.

EXAMPLE. In the above system: $H_r/M/c/k/N$ with balking and renegeing, letting $r = 2, c = 3, k = 5$ and $N = 8$, i.e., the system: $H_2/M/3/5/8$ with balking and renegeing, the results are

$$\begin{aligned} P_{5,1} &= \eta P_{5,2}, \quad P_{4,1} = a P_{5,2}, \quad P_{4,2} = b P_{5,2}, \\ P_{3,1} &= d P_{5,2}, \quad P_{3,2} = e P_{5,2}, \quad P_{2,1} = f P_{5,2}, \\ P_{2,2} &= g P_{5,2}, \quad P_{1,1} = h P_{5,2}, \quad P_{1,2} = \ell P_{5,2}, \\ P_{0,1} &= \frac{h}{8\rho_1} P_{5,2}, \quad P_{0,2} = \frac{\ell}{8\rho_2} P_{5,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \frac{(3\beta\rho_2 + 3 + 2\delta)\sigma_1}{(3\beta\rho_1 + 3 + 2\delta)\sigma_2}, \\ \rho_i &= \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu}, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \delta &= \frac{\alpha}{\mu}, \quad \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 1, \\ a &= \frac{3 + 2\delta}{4\beta\rho_1 + 3 + \delta} \left\{ \eta + \frac{\sigma_1(3 + \delta)}{D_4} \left[\frac{\eta}{4\beta\rho_1 + 3 + \delta} + \frac{1}{4\beta\rho_2 + 3 + \delta} \right] \right\}, \\ b &= \frac{3 + 2\delta}{4\beta\rho_2 + 3 + \delta} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\sigma_2(3 + \delta)}{D_4} \left[\frac{\eta}{4\beta\rho_1 + 3 + \delta} + \frac{1}{4\beta\rho_2 + 3 + \delta} \right] \right\}, \\ d &= \frac{3 + \delta}{5\beta\rho_1 + 3} \left\{ a + \frac{3\sigma_1}{D_3} \left[\frac{a}{5\beta\rho_1 + 3} + \frac{b}{5\beta\rho_2 + 3} \right] \right\}, \\ e &= \frac{3 + \delta}{5\beta\rho_2 + 3} \left\{ b + \frac{3\sigma_2}{D_3} \left[\frac{a}{5\beta\rho_1 + 3} + \frac{b}{5\beta\rho_2 + 3} \right] \right\}, \\ f &= \frac{3}{6\rho_1 + 2} \left\{ d + \frac{2\sigma_1}{D_2} \left[\frac{d}{6\rho_1 + 2} + \frac{e}{6\rho_2 + 2} \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g &= \frac{3}{6\rho_2 + 2} \left\{ e + \frac{2\sigma_2}{D_2} \left[\frac{d}{6\rho_1 + 2} + \frac{e}{6\rho_2 + 2} \right] \right\}, \\
 h &= \frac{2}{7\rho_1 + 1} \left\{ f + \frac{\sigma_1}{D_1} \left[\frac{f}{7\rho_1 + 1} + \frac{g}{7\rho_2 + 1} \right] \right\}, \\
 \ell &= \frac{2}{7\rho_2 + 1} \left\{ g + \frac{\sigma_2}{D_1} \left[\frac{f}{7\rho_1 + 1} + \frac{g}{7\rho_2 + 1} \right] \right\}, \\
 D_1 &= \frac{7\rho_1\sigma_1}{7\rho_1 + 1} + \frac{7\rho_2\sigma_2}{7\rho_2 + 1}, \\
 D_2 &= \frac{6\rho_1\sigma_1}{6\rho_1 + 2} + \frac{6\rho_2\sigma_2}{6\rho_2 + 2}, \\
 D_3 &= \frac{5\beta\rho_1\sigma_1}{5\beta\rho_1 + 3} + \frac{5\beta\rho_2\sigma_2}{5\beta\rho_2 + 3}, \\
 D_4 &= \frac{4\beta\rho_1\sigma_1}{4\beta\rho_1 + 3 + \delta} + \frac{4\beta\rho_2\sigma_2}{4\beta\rho_2 + 3 + \delta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the normalizing condition: $\sum_{n=0}^5 \sum_{s=1}^2 P_{n,s} = 1$, we have

$$P_{5,2}^{-1} = \left[h\left(1 + \frac{1}{8\rho_1}\right) + \ell\left(1 + \frac{1}{8\rho_2}\right) + f + g + e + d + a + b + \eta + 1 \right].$$

The expected number of units in the system and in the queue are, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
 L &= \sum_{n=1}^5 \sum_{i=1}^2 nP_{n,i} \\
 &= \{h + \ell + 2(g + f) + 3(d + e) + 4(a + b) + 5(1 + \eta)\}P_{5,2}, \\
 L_q &= \sum_{n=4}^5 \sum_{i=1}^2 (n - 3)P_{n,i} = [a + b + 2(1 + \eta)]P_{5,2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The machine availability (rate of production per machine is

$$M.A. = 1 - \frac{L}{5}.$$

The operative efficiency (utilization) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 O.E. &= 1 - \sum_{n=0}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{3}\right)P_{n,i} \\
 &= 1 - \left[h\left(\frac{1}{8\rho_1} + \frac{2}{3}\right) + \ell\left(\frac{1}{8\rho_2} + \frac{2}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{3}(f + g) \right]P_{5,2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Special cases

- i) Let $\sigma_i = \delta_{is}$, where δ_{is} is the Kronecker delta function, in the above system, we can get the Markovian machine interference system: $M/M/c/k/N$ with balking and reneging which had been discussed by Shawky [7].
- ii) Moreover, let $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ one has the system: $M/M/c/k/N$ without any concept, which studied by Kleinrock [5]. If $N = k$, the system becomes $M/M/c/k/k$ without any concept which had been studied by White et al. [8], Medhi [6], Gross and Harris [3] and Bunday [1].

References

- [1] B. D. Bunday, *An introduction to queueing theory*, John Wiley, New York, 1996.
- [2] J. K. Goyal, *Queues with hyper-Poisson arrivals and bulk exponential service*, *Metrika* **11** (1967), 157–167.
- [3] D. Gross and C. M. Harris, *Fundamentals of queueing theory*, John Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [4] S. K. Gupta, *Queues with hyper-Poisson input and exponential service time distribution with state dependent arrival and service rates*, *Ops. Res.* **15** (1967), 847–856.
- [5] L. Kleinrock, *Queueing systems*, John Wiley, New York, Vol. 1, 1975.
- [6] J. Medhi, *Stochastic models in queueing theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1991.
- [7] A. I. Shawky, *The machine interference model: $M/M/c/k/N$ with balking, reneging and spares*, *Opsearch* **37** (2000), no. 1, 25–35.
- [8] J. A. White, J. W. Schmidt, and G. K. Bennett, *Analysis of queueing systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

Faculty of Engineering at Shoubra
P. O. Box 1206
El Maadi 11728
Cairo, Egypt
E-mail: aishawky@yahoo.com