

# 주의력결핍/과잉운동장애(ADHD) 아동의 진단도구로서 부모용 행동 평가지의 타당도 연구

- 한국아동인성검사와 아동·청소년 행동평가척도를 중심으로 -

## A VALIDITY STUDY OF PARENT BEHAVIORAL RATING SCALES AS DIAGNOSTIC TOOLS OF ATTENTION DEFICIT/ HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER

김지혜\* · 소유경\* · 정유숙\* · 이임순\*\* · 홍성도\*†

Ji-Hae Kim, Ph.D.,\* Yoo-Kyung So, M.D.,\* Yoo-Sook Jung, M.D.,\*  
Imsoon Lee, Ph.D.,\*\* Sunngdo D. Hong, M.D.\*†

요 약 : (Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, ADHD) 가 (Korean Personality Inventory for Children, K-PIC) 가 (Korean Child Behavior Checklist K-CBCL) (ADHD - I) 11 (ADHD - H) 23 ; ; N) 16 , 15 65 . K - PIC K - CBCL (omnibus) F - , K - PIC (HPR) K - CBCL (Attention Problems, AP) , ADHD - I ADHD - H .05 K - PIC (HPR) K - CBCL (Attention Problems) , 가 HPR ADHD - H type , K - CBCL AP ADHD - I type , HPR AP cut - off score T 65 ADHD

\* Department of Psychiatry, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University, School of Medicine, Seoul

\*\* Department of Education Psychology, Sookmyung Women's University, Seoul

†Corresponding author

, 가 (true positive rate ; sensitivity) (true negative rate ; speci-  
 ficity)  
 중심 단어 : / . . 가 .

## 서 론

(Attention Deficity/  
 Hyperactivity Disorder, ADHD)  
 2% 9%<sup>1)</sup>, 가 (Personality Inv-  
 entory for Children, PIC)<sup>9)</sup>  
 8.7%<sup>2)</sup>, 7.6%가 (Child Behavior Checklist, CBCL)<sup>10)</sup>  
 가<sup>3)</sup> ADHD (Korean Per-  
 sonality Inventory for Children, KPI - C)  
 “ (Hyperkinetic syndrome), “ 가 (Korean Child Behavior  
 “ (Hyperkinetic reaction of child- hood) 가 , 70 Checklist, K - CBCL)가  
 ADHD 가 KPI - C K - CBCL  
 KPI - C HPR( ) K - CBCL AP(  
 ) 가 가  
 ADHD HPR 95%  
 가<sup>11)</sup>  
 가<sup>5)</sup>  
 가 ADHD 가<sup>12)</sup>  
 가<sup>6)</sup> , - 가  
 가 , 가 KPI - C K -  
 CBCL가 ADHD 가 가  
 ADHD<sup>7)</sup> DSM - IV<sup>4)</sup> , 가 ADHD  
 가 가 KPI - C K - CBCL  
 ADHD  
 ADHD<sup>8)</sup> K - CBCL ( KPI - C  
 가 가 ADHD ,  
 ADHD 가 ADHD - I ADHD - H

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of subjects

Group	N	Age	Sex (Male/Female)
ADHD-I type	11	8.18(1.60)	8 / 3
ADHD-H type	23	8.22(1.65)	22 / 1
Neurosis	16	8.94(1.61)	10 / 6
Normal	15	7.27( .07)	8 / 7

(T - R), (L), (F) 4  
 (ERS), 11  
 ,  
 255  
 (VDL), (PDL),  
 (ANX), (D),  
 (SOM), (DLQ),  
 (HPR), 가  
 (PSY), (AUT) 가 (FAM),  
 (SOC) 가  
 , 가  
 ADHD HPR  
 95%  
 (HPR) ADHD 가

**방 법**

1. 연구대상 및 절차

1998 3  
 가  
 가  
 ADHD 34 ADHD  
 ( , ) 16 ,  
 15  
 가 80  
 . 65  
 가 48 (74%) , 가 17  
 (26%)  
 6 12  
 , 8 (Table 1).

2) 아동·청소년 행동평가 척도(K-CBCL)

가  
 ,  
 119  
 , 13 ( , , / ,  
 , , , , ,  
 , , , (sex) ,  
 )

ADHD DSM - IV

- 11  
 - 23  
 . ADHD  
 16  
 15

3. 자료분석

HPR  
 ,  
 가 ADHD

2. 연구도구

1) 한국아동인성검사(KPI-C)

(?), -

**결 과**

1. 각 집단별 HPR 척도와 AP(주의 집중 문제)척도 사이의 상관관계

HPR AP 가 ADHD

Table 2 , ADHD-

2. 각 척도별 변량분석 결과

(MA-NOVA) , Pillais' s Trace, Willks' Lamda, Hotelling' s Trace, Roy' s Largest Root (p<.001) HPR

가

HPR

가

**Table 2.** Correlations between HPR scores and attention problems scores

Group	r
ADHD-I type	.51
ADHD-H type	.54*
Neurosis	.60*
Normal	.75*

Correlational coefficients are statistically significant (\* : p<0.05)

**Table 3.** Comparison of HPR and attention problems scores

Group <sup>a</sup>	N	Mean(SD)	F
HPR	1	62.18(11.41)	16.89* 1,2,3>4
	2	67.04( 9.70)	
	3	60.44(12.49)	
	4	41.80(10.66)	
AP	1	67.93( 9.67)	9.83* 1,2,3>4
	2	63.60( 8.02)	
	3	63.63( 9.97)	
	4	51.80( 3.28)	

a : Group numbers represents each group as follows :  
 1 : ADHD-I type    2 : ADHD-H type  
 3 : Neurosis        4 : Normal  
 Values are significant based on analysis of variance (\* : p<0.05)

가 Table 3

(ADHD - I, ADHD - H, Neurosis)

, HPR AP

3. 판별분석  
HPR

(p<.001),

.90 , Wilks' Lamda .50

(canonical correlation coefficient) .69

54.4%

(Table 4).

ADHD ADHD

(true positive rate ; sensitivity)

ADHD - I type 81.8% ADHD - H type 82.6%

**Table 4.** Results of discriminant analysis

Actual group	No of cases	Predicted group membership			
		ADHD-I type	ADHD-H type	Neurois	Normal
ADHD-I type	11	6 54.5%	3 27.3%	1 9.1%	1 9.1%
ADHD-H type	23	5 21.7%	14 60.9%	3 8.7%	3 8.7%
Neurosis	16	5 31.3%	5 31.3%	3 18.8%	3 18.8%
Normal	15	0 .0%	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	13 86.7%

**Table 5.** Discriminant ratio of HPR cut-off score T>65 and T>70

Group(N)	HPR T>65		HPR T>70	
	non-ADHD	ADHD	non-ADHD	ADHD
ADHD-I type(11)	8 72.7%	3 27.3%	8 72.7%	3 27.3%
ADHD-H type(23)	10 43.5%	13 56.5%	14 60.9%	9 39.1%
Neurosis(16)	10 62.5%	6 37.5%	12 75.0%	4 25.0%
Normal(15)	15 100.0%	0 0%	15 100.0%	0 0%
Total	43 66.2%	22 33.8%	49 75.4%	16 24.6%



cut - off score , ADHD 가 .  
 T>70 , T>65 , Table 8 ADHD - I type ADHD (27.3%)  
 ADHD - I (81.8%) ADHD - H (69.6%) ADHD - H type ADHD (56.5%)  
 T>70 K - CBCL type ADHD (47.8%) ADHD - H type ADHD (72.7%)

고 찰 HPR ADHD - H type ADHD - I type  
 (K - PIC) HPR (K - CBCL) AP , HPR

cut - off score T 65 가 가  
 ADHD 95.72%<sup>11)</sup> ADHD 0% ADHD  
 (p<.001) <sup>12)</sup> 가 가 가

ADHD ADHD - I 가 가 가  
 type ADHD - H type HPR 가 가  
 가 ADHD

, ADHD 가  
 가 KPI - C K - CBCL 가  
 HPR 가  
 가 <sup>14)</sup>

**References**

ADHD - I type K - PIC HPR K - CBCL AP HPR 가  
 1) 홍강의, 김종훈, 신민섭, 안동현(1996) : 주의산만 · 과잉운동을 주소로 소아정신과를 방문한 환

- 아의 진단적 분류와 평가. 소아청소년정신의학 7 : 190-220
- 2) 홍강의, 홍경자(1980) : 소아정신과 외래환자의 과잉운동에 관한 연구. 정신의학보 23 : 85-91
  - 3) 조수철, 신윤오(1994) : 파탄적 행동장애의 유형률에 대한 연구. 소아청소년정신의학 5 : 147-259
  - 4) **American Psychiatric Association**(1994) : Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorder. 4th Ed., Washington DC, American Psychiatric Association
  - 5) **Taylor E**(1995) : Dysfunctions of attention. Develop Psychol 2 : 243-273
  - 6) **Amold LE, Jensen PS**(1995) : Attention-deficit disorders. Comprehensive textbook of psychiatry. 6th ed, pp2295-2310
  - 7) **Hechtman L**(1991) : Developmental, enurobioloical and psycholotical aspects of hyperactivity, impulsivity and attention. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Lewis M(Ed), Baltimore, Wiliams and Wilkins
  - 8) **Farasone SV, Biederman J, Weber W, Russell RL**(1998) : Psychiatric, neuropsychological, and psychosocial freatures of DSM-IV subtypes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder : Results from a clinically referred sample. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 37(2) : 185-193
  - 9) **Wirt RD, Lachar D, Klinedinst JK, Seat PD**(1984) : Multidimensional description of child personality : A Manual for the Personality Inverntory for children. Los Angeles, Western psychological Services
  - 10) **Achenbach TM, Edelbrock CS**(1983) : Manual for the Child Behavior Checklist and Revised Child Behavior Profile. Burlington, University of Vermont, Department of Psychiatry
  - 11) 김승태, 김지혜, 송동호, 이효경, 주영희, 홍창희, 황순택(1997) : 한국아동인성검사, 서울 : 한국가이던스
  - 12) 오경자, 이해련, 홍강의, 하은혜(1997) : 아동·청소년 행동평가척도. 서울 : 중앙적성출판사
  - 13) 정 욱, 홍창희(1997) : 아동과 청소년의 한국아동인성검사(KPI-C) 프로파일 유형 : 정신과 표본을 중심으로. 한국심리학회지 : 임상 16(2) : 299-311
  - 14) **Mash EJ, Barkley RA**(1996) : Child Psychopathology. New York, The Guildford Press, pp63-112

**A VALIDITY STUDY OF PARENT BEHAVIORAL RATING SCALES  
AS DIAGNOSTIC TOOLS OF ATTENTION DEFICIT/  
HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER**

**Ji-Hae Kim, Ph.D., Yoo-Kyung So, M.D., Yoo-Sook Jung, M.D.,  
Imsoon Lee, Ph.D., Sunngdo D. Hong, M.D.**

*Department of Psychiatry, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University,  
School of Medicine, Seoul*

This study was designed to examine the validity of HPR subscale in Korean Personality Inventory for Children (KPI-C) and Attention Problems subscale in Korean Child Behavior Checklist (K-CBCL) as diagnostic tool for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD).

Nineteen ADHD-1 type, twenty-three ADHD-H type, sixteen Neurosis, and fifteen normal children with the age from 6 to 12 were selected based on DSM-IV, and their responses of the KPI-C and CBCL were analyzed.

Omnibus F-test results showed that there were significant differences in the F scores of HPR and Attention Problems T scores ( $p < .05$ ). But in Posthoc analysis, the HPR and AP scores in three clinical groups were significantly higher than in normal group, but there was no group difference among three clinical groups ( $p < .05$ ).

These results show that HPR subscale and Attention Problems subscale may be useful tools for screening clinical groups (vs normal group) but there was a limit to the clinical validity of two subscales as diagnostic tools for the subtypes of ADHD.

**KEY WORDS :** ADHD · KPI-C · K-CBCL · HPR · Attention problems.