# Synthesis of Heteroaryl Substituted Imidazole Derivatives 
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Aryl substituted imidazole derivatives possess valuable pharmacological and agricultural activities such as non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug.' fungicide, ${ }^{2}$ and cyclooxygen-ase- 2 inhibitor. ${ }^{3}$ Recently, appropriated heteroaryl substituted imidazole derivatives were reported to show good antibacterial activity for MRSA. ${ }^{4}$ Useful synthetic methods for imidazole derivatives were known to include several intermediates such as $\alpha$-hydroxy ketones, ${ }^{5} \alpha$-hydroxyimino ketones, ${ }^{6}$ or 1,2-diketones. ${ }^{7}$ But there are not many heteroaryl substituted imidazole derivatives reported, since efficient methods for preparing the heteroaryl substituted intermediates mentioned above are limitted. In previous paper, ${ }^{8}$ we reported the successful transformation of heteroaryl substituted internal acetylene group to 1,2 -diketones, and the synthesis of several 1,2,4-triazine dimers. As an extention of this, we like to report the synthesis of heteroaryl substituted imidazole derivatives, including the 1,2,4-triazine substituted imidazole deriv-


atives.
The coupling reaction of phenylacetylene (1a) with 2-bromopyridine (2a) was carried out under $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in acetonitrile at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give 1-phenyl-2-(2-pyridyl)acetylene (3a) with $65 \%$ yjeld. Similarly, the coupling reaction of phenylacetylene with 2-bromothiophene ( $\mathbf{2 b}$ ) and 6-bromo-3$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylamino-1,2,4-triazine (2d) were also successfully achieved under the same reaction condition to give I-phenyl-2-(2-thienyl)acetylene ( $\mathbf{3 b}$ ) with $68 \%$ yield and $1-$ phenyl-2-(3-N,N-dimethylamino-1,2,4-triazin-6-yl)acetylene (3d) with $60 \%$ yield respectively. But, 3-bromothiophene (2c) was coupled in DMF, not acetonitrile at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give 1-phenyl-2-(3-thienyl)acetylene ( 3 c ) with $55 \%$ yield.

Also, 6-ethynyl-3-N,N-dimethylamino-1,2,4-triazine ( $\mathbf{1 b}$ ) was coupled with 2-bromothiophene (2b) and 3-bromothiophene (2c) to give 1-(2-thienyl)-2-(3-N,N-dimethyl-amino-1,2,4-triazin-6-yl)acetylene (3e) with $40 \%$ yield and l-(3-thienyl)-2-(3-N.N-dimethylamino-1,2,4-triazin-6-yl)acetylene (3f) with $28 \%$ yield respcetively. The yields here were rather low, but we did not try to optimize the reaction conditions to increase the yields (Scheme 1).
The oxidation of compounds 3a-d using $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$, NBS / DMSO, and $\mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{DMSO}$ were unsuccessful. But the oxidation using $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} / \mathrm{DMSO}$ produced successfully 1,2 -diketone compounds 4a-d with low to moderate yield. The yield of compound 4a was so low, for some reason that we gave up to proceed further reaction.
The cyclization of 1,2 -diketone compounds $\mathbf{4 b}$-d with benzaldehyde and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ in acetic acid gave 2,5-diphenyl-4heteroarylimidazoles $5 \mathrm{5a}-\mathrm{c}$ with moderate yields. Similarly $2-$ trifluoromethyl-4,5-diarylimidazoles 6a-c were synthesized using trifluoroacetaldehyde ethyl hemiacetal and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ with 1.2-diketone compounds $\mathbf{4 d - f}$ with low yields (Scheme 2). All the products were identified by the spectral methods, which are reported in Table 1-6.

Table 1. Physical and spectral properties of compounds 3a-e

| Compound | Heteroaryl | Yield <br> (\%) | $\mathrm{mp}_{\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}$ | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{\text {. }}$ ( ppm ) |  | $\frac{\mathrm{Ms}}{(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}, \text { rel. intensity })}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Phenyl | Heteroaryl |  |
| 3a | 2-pyridyl | 65 | 28-30 | 7.36 (m) | $7.20-7.25$ (m). 7.50 (dd) | 179(M $\left.{ }^{-}, 100\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 7.59 (m) | 7.64 (dd), 8.61 (dd) | 151(12) |
| 3b | 2-thienyl | 68 | 41-43 | 7.34 (m) | 7.01 (t), 7.28 (m) | $184\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 7.51 (m) |  | 152 (18) |
| 3 c | 3-thienyl | 55 | 42-44 | 7.33 (m) | 7.20 (d), 7.30 (t), 7.52 (m) | $184\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 7.52 (m) |  | 152 (13) |
| 3d | 1,2.4-triazin-6-yl | 66 | 152-154 | 7.25 (m) | $3.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$ | 224 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 7.64 (m) | 8.25 (s, IH, 5-H) | 126 (100) |

Table 2. Physical and spectral properties of compounds 3e-f

| Compound | Heteroaryl |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mp} \\ (" \mathrm{C}) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta$ (ppm) |  | Ms ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, rel. intensity) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,2,4- \\ \text { triazine } \end{gathered}$ | heteroaryl |  |
| 3 e | 2-thienyl | 40 | 135-137 | 3.32 (s) | 7.04 (t) | $230\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 20\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 8.23 (s) | 7.37 (m) | 132 (100) |
| 31 | 3-thienyl | 28 | 164-166 | 3.31 (s) | 7.38 (dd) | $230\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 25\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 8.23 (s) | 7.62 (dd) | 132 (100) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8.14 (d) |  |

Table 3. Physical and spectral properties of compounds 4a-d

| Compound | Heteroaryl | Yield(\%) | $\frac{\mathrm{mp}}{\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}$ | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{\text {. }}$ ( ppm$)$ |  | Ms ( $\mathrm{tr} / \mathrm{z}$. rel. intensity) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | phenyl | heteroaryl |  |
| 4 a | 2-pyridyl | 10 | 63-65 | 7.52 (m) | 7.64 (t). 7.97 (m) | $211\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}, 28\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 7.93 (m) | 8.22 (d). 8.67 (dd) | 93 (100) |
| 4b | 2-thienyl | 45 | 58-60 | 7.54 (t) | 7.19 (t), | $216(\mathrm{M}, 9)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 7.67 (t) | 7.80-7.85 (m) | 105 (100) |
|  |  |  |  | 8.05 (d) |  |  |
| 4 c | 3-thenyl | 57 | 36-38 | 7.51 (t) | 7.40 (d), 7.60 (m) | 216 (M, 7) |
|  |  |  |  | 7.63 (m) | 18.22 (m) | 105 (100) |
|  |  |  |  | 8.01 (d) |  |  |
| 4 d | 1.2.4-ti- | 60 | $102-$ | 7.25 (m) | 3.30 (s. $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~h}_{2}\right)$ | $256\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 22\right)$ |
|  | azin- $6-\mathrm{yl}$ |  | 104 | 7.74 (m) | 8.84 (s, IH. 5-H) | 105 (100) |



Scheme 2
Since all imidazole derivatives are unsymmetrical, two different tautomers $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are possible. In order to clarify which tautomer would be the major one, compound 6a was selected as a representative, and methylated with methyl iodide under basic condition. Two different products, 7 a and 7b were obtained as expected. The 7a would be the model compound for tautomer $\mathbf{A}$, and $7 \mathbf{b}$ would be the model com-

Table 4. Physical and spectral properties of compounds $4 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{f}$

| Compound | Hetero- Yield aryl (\%) |  | $\operatorname{mp}_{\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}$ | 'HNMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta$ (ppm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.2,4-triazine | heteroaryl |  |
| 4 e | 2-thienyl | 45 |  | 107. | 3.31 (s, 3H,N-CH: | 7.17 (t) | 262 (M. 39) |
|  |  |  | 109 | 3.46 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H} . . \mathrm{V}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | 7.71 (d) | $53(100)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 8.83 (s, 1H. 5-H) | 7.82 (d) |  |
| 4 f | 3-thienyl | 30 | 105- | $3.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | 7.38 (dd) | $262\left(M^{-}, 39\right)$ |
|  |  |  | 107 | 3.47 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | 7.64 (dd) | 111 (100) |
|  |  |  |  | 8.83 (s, 1H, 5-H) | 8.13 (s) |  |



Scheme 3
Table 5. Physical and spectral properties of compounds $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$

| Compound | Heteroaryl | Yield <br> (\%) | ${ }_{\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\mathrm{\delta}$ (ppm) |  | Ms <br> ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, rel. intensity) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Phenyl | Heteroaryl |  |
| 5 a | 2-thienyl | 50 | 232- | 7.36-7.46 (m) | 6.98 (t) | 302 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$ |
|  |  |  | 234 | 7.61 (dd) | 7.19 (d), | 198 (9) |
|  |  |  |  | 7.90 (dd) | 7.25 (dd) |  |
| 5b | 3-thienyl | 66 | 236- | 7.35-7.44 (m) | 7.21 (d) | $302\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$ |
|  |  |  | 238 | 7.58 (d) | 7.29 (d), | 269 (8) |
|  |  |  |  | 7.89 (d) | 7.31 (d) |  |
| 5 c | 1,2,4-tri- | 55 | 154- | 7.36-7.46 (m) | 3.27 (s) | 344 (M, 98) |
|  | azin-6-yl |  | 156 | 7.64 (d) | 8.35 (s) | 244 (100) |
|  |  |  |  | 7.95 (d) |  |  |

pound for tautomer B. One had chemical shift of $N$-methyl group at 3.87 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and 32.76 for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and the other had at 3.60 for ${ }^{~}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$, and 32.02 for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. The one which had down field chemical shift had longer conjugation than the other one which had up field chemical shift (Scheme 3).

The UV-VIS spectra of the former had longer wave absorption than the latter (see Figure 1 and Table 7).

According to these UV-VlS spectra, we assigned that the methylated compound which had down field chemical shift and longer wavelength absorption was $7 \mathbf{a}$ and the other one was $7 \mathbf{b}$. By comparing UV-VIS spectra of compound $\mathbf{6 a}$ with the $N$-methylated $7 \mathbf{a}$ and $7 \mathbf{b}$, which could be used as model compounds for the tautomer $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, we could assign that the major tautomer of compd $6 \mathbf{a}$ in solution was A. This method comparing the UV-VIS spectra of the tautomeric mixture with that of their model compounds to assign the tautomeric equilibrium is weli known. 9.10

The antibacterial activities of compound $\mathbf{5 a - c}$ and $\mathbf{6 a - c}$ were tested on G. Cingulata, C. Miyabeamus and R. Solani," and showed no particular activities.

In conclusion, we could synthesize the various heteroaryl substituted imidazole derivatives through the reaction of Pd catalyzed coupling of acetylene to heteroaromatic compounds and decided the tautomeric behavior of 4-phenyl-5-(3-N,N-dimethylamino-1,2,4-triazin-6-yl)-2-trifluoromethylimidazole in solution.

Table 6. Physical and spectral propetties of compounds 6a-c

| Compound | Heteroaryl | Yield (\%) | $\operatorname{mop}_{\left({ }^{\left(C^{\prime}\right)}\right.}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, (ppm) |  | Ms ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, rel. intensity) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,4-triazine | heteroaryl |  |
| 63 | phenyl | 55 | 154-156 | $3.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$ | 7.42 (m). 7.56 (m) | 334 (M ${ }^{+}$, 48) |
|  |  |  |  | 8.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{ll}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ ) | 11.16 (br) | 217 (100) |
| 6 b | 2-thienyl | 30 | 173-175 | 3.20 (s, 3H, N-CH ${ }_{3}$ ) | 6.92 (t), 7.27 (d) | 341 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 48$ ) |
|  |  |  |  | 3.47 (s, 3H, N-CH3) | 7.32 (d). 11.93 (br) | 222 (100) |
|  |  |  |  | 9.13 (s, 1H, 5-H) |  |  |
| 6 c | 3-thienyl | 25 | 200-202 | $3.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$ | 7.33 (d), 7.56 (d) | 341 (M', 48) |
|  |  |  |  | 8.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H})$ | 8.07 (s) | 222 (100) |



Figure 1. UV-VIS spectra of compounds $6 a, 7 a$ and 7 b in $\mathrm{ClICl}_{3}$ ( $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ ).

## Experimental Section

All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich, and used without further purification. NMR and mass spectra were recorded on Varian EM-360, General Electric QE-300 and Shirnazu GC MS-QP-1000A, respectively. Melting points were determined on an Electrothermal melting point apparalus and are uncorrected.
2,5-Diphenyl-4-(2-thienyl)imidazole (5a) (General procedure for the condensation of 1,2 -diketones with aldehyde). To a solution of 1-phenyl-2-(2-thienyl)ethandione $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathbf{4 b}$ and ammonium acetate $(0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 9.0$ mmol ) in acetic acid ( 10 mL ) was slowly added benzaldehyde ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After refluxed for 3 hrs , the mixture was poured into ice-water ( 25 mL ), and then extracted with ethyl ether. The organic solvent was washed with aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution and removed under reduced pressure. The residuc was purified by silica gel column chromatography using $n$-hexane, ethyl acetate and benzene ( 3 : $5: 7$ ) to give product 5 a as yellow solid.
$N$-Methyl-4-( 3 - $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylamino-1,2,4-triazin-6-yl)-5-phenyl-2-trifluoromethylimidzole (7a) and $N$-methyl-4-phenyl-5-(3-N,N-dimethylamino-1,2,4-triazin-6-yl)-2-tri- fluoromethylimidazole (7b). To a solution of 2-trifluo-ronethyl-4-phenyl-5-(3-N.N-dimethylamino-1,2,4-triazin-6yl)imidazole 6 ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 10 mL ) was slowly added anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl iodide ( $0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirred for 3 hrs at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residuc was purified by silica gel column chromatography

Table 7. UV-VIS data of compound 6a, 7a and 7b

| Compound | $\lambda_{\text {riax }} / \mathrm{mm}(\varepsilon)$ | $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{tm}(\varepsilon)$ | $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}(\varepsilon)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 a}$ | $372(3300)$ | $301(18680)$ | $252(14600)$ |
| 7 aa | $370(3300)$ | $291(16000)$ | $250(19300)$ |
| 7 b | $370(2600)$ | $282(21000)$ |  |

using $n$-hexane, ethyl acetate and benzene ( $10: 3: 2$ ) to give product 7 as yellow solid major 7a: $45 \%$, mp $114-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{I}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{NCII}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.29-7.46 (m, 5H, Ph), 7.94 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Tri-H); Mass: m/e (rel. intensity), 348 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 30$ ), 25 I ( 100 ). minor 7b: $35 \%$, mp $174-176^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.60$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.41-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Tri}-\mathrm{H})$; Mass: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ (rel. intensity), 348 ( $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}, 16$ ), 25 J (100).
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