# Development of Continuous/Discrete Mixed $H_2/H_{\infty}$ Filtering Design Algorithms for Time Delay Systems

Jong Hae Kim

Abstract: The problems of mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filtering design for continuous and discrete time linear systems with time delay are investigated. The main purpose is to design a stable mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filter which minimizes the  $H_2$  performance measure satisfying a prescribed  $H_{\infty}$  norm bound on the closed loop system in continuous-time case and discrete-time case, respectively. The sufficient conditions of existence of filter, the mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filter design method, and the upper bound of performance measure are proposed by LMI(linear matrix inequality) techniques in terms of all finding variables. Also, we present optimization problems in order to get the optimal mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filter in continuous and discrete time case, respectively.

**Keywords**: mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filter, time delay systems, linear matrix inequality

#### I. Introduction

During the last decades, the extensive use of optimization criteria like the  $H_2$  and/or  $H_\infty$  norm has consolidated the importance of estimation and filtering in linear systems theory. The theory of filtering has long been one of the cornerstones of modern system theory. Also, it is well known that for white noise inputs the maximal output variance leads to the  $H_2$  criterion, while energy bounded signals corrupting the system appears to be more tractable in  $H_{\infty}$  setting. In the  $H_2$  filtering approach, the noise characteristics are known leading to the minimization of the  $H_2$  norm of the transfer function from the processes noise to the estimation error[1][2]. Recently, the  $H_{\infty}$  filtering approach has been developed from the loose assumption of boundedness of the noise variance. In this case, the performance index to be minimized being the worst case  $H_{\infty}$ norm from the process noise to the estimation error[3][4]. Recently, Geromel et al.[5][6] presented robust filtering design methods in  $H_2$  space and  $H_{\infty}$  space, respectively. In order to get the robust performance, the filtering design problem dealing with both  $H_2$  and  $H_{\infty}$  norm measures is necessary. Palhares et al.[7] proposed the problem of mixed  $L_2$ - $L_\infty/H_\infty$  performance filtering design for uncertain linear systems. Generally speaking, the mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filtering design can be described as the problem of minimizing an upper bound to the energy-to-peak gain while a prescribed  $\gamma$  noise attenuation level is imposed to the  $H_{\infty}$  norm of the filtering error system, considering two different channels. Also, Palhares et al.[8] considered robust  $H_{\infty}$  filter design algorithm with pole constraints for discrete time systems. Wang et al.[9] dealt with the problem of robust  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  state estimation for discrete time systems with error variance constraints. However, most of filtering papers did not consider time delay. More recently, there are many papers considering time delay systems in control part[10][11][12] because the time delay is frequently a source of instability and encountered in various engineering systems. However,

there are no papers considering mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filtering design methods of time delay systems. Also, the existing works without considering time delay were much conservative because they did not give optimization methods to get an optimal filter which minimized the  $H_2$  performance measure satisfying prescribed  $H_{\infty}$  norm bound of the closed loop system. Therefore, our aim is to present design methods in order to get an optimal mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filter in continuous and discrete time systems with time delay.

In this paper, we consider the mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filtering design algorithms of linear time delay systems using LMI technique. Also, we present the continuous/discrete optimization problems. Since our proposed sufficient conditions are LMIs, all solutions can be obtained at the same time. Also, a numerical example is provided to demonstrate theoretical results. Here, the notation is fairly standard.  $\delta x(t)$  indicates  $\dot{x}(t)$  for continuous time systems and x(t+1) for discrete time systems. The symbol \* represents the elements below the main diagonal of a symmetric block matrix.  $tr(\cdot)$  denotes the trace of the matrix  $(\cdot)$ .

### II. Mixed $H_2/H_{\infty}$ filtering design

Consider a linear time delay system

$$\delta x(t) = Ax(t) + A_d x(t-d) + Bw(t) 
y(t) = Cx(t) + Dw(t) 
x(t) = \phi_1(t), -d \le t \le 0$$
(1)

where  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state vector,  $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  is the measurement output vector,  $w(t) \in \mathbb{R}_m$  is the noise signal vector,  $\phi_1(t)$  is an initial value function, and all matrices have proper dimensions. Time delay d is positive real number in continuous-time case and positive integer number in discrete-time case. And we assume that the system (1) is asymptotically stable. This assumption guarantees that the boundedness of the filtering error holds, since the asymptotic stability of the filtering error dynamics depends on the states of the system (1). Our aim is to design a stable linear mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filter described by

$$\delta \hat{x}(t) = \hat{A}\hat{x}(t) + A_d\hat{x}(t-d) + Ky(t)$$
 (2)

Manuscript received: April 25, 2000., Accepted: Aug. 17, 2000.

Jong Hae Kim: Sensor Technology Research Center, Kyungpook
National University

<sup>\*\*</sup> This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-99-E00303).

where,  $\widehat{A}$  and K are filter variables. If we take the error state vector as follows:

$$e(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t), \tag{3}$$

then the error dynamics is obtained

$$\delta e(t) = \widehat{A}e(t) + (A - KC - \widehat{A})x(t) + A_d e(t - d) + (B - KD)w(t) z_1(t) = L_1 e(t) z_2(t) = L_2 e(t)$$
(4)

by defining the error state output as  $z_i(t) = L_i e(t)$ , i = 1, 2. Define the following augmented state vector

$$x_{f}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

such that the filtering error dynamics is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta x_f(t) &= A_f x_f(t) + A_{df} x_f(t-d) + D_f w(t) \\
z_1(t) &= C_{1f} x_f(t) \\
z_2(t) &= C_{2f} x_f(t) \\
x_f(t) &= \phi_f(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(t) \\ \phi_2(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad -d \le t \le 0
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where some notations are denoted by

$$A_{f} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ A - KC - \widehat{A} & \widehat{A} \end{bmatrix}, A_{df} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{d} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{d} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$D_{f} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ B - KD \end{bmatrix}, C_{1f} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L_{1} \end{bmatrix}, C_{2f} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L_{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(7)$$

Associated with mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filter, we introduce the following filtering design objective:

For a given  $\gamma$ , determine filter variables  $\widehat{A}$  and K that achieve minimization of  $H_2$  performance measure under satisfying  $H_{\infty}$  norm bound within predetermined  $\gamma$ .

Also, we introduce H<sub>2</sub> performance measures

$$J_{1} = \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{\infty} z_{1}(t)^{T} z_{1}(t) dt \\ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} z_{1}(t)^{T} z_{1}(t) \end{cases}$$
(9)

and  $H_{\infty}$  performance measures

$$J_{2} = \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{\infty} [z_{2}(t)^{T}z_{2}(t) - \gamma^{2}w(t)^{T}w(t)]dt \\ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} [z_{2}(t)^{T}z_{2}(t) - \gamma^{2}w(t)^{T}w(t)] \end{cases}$$
(10)

in continuous time case and discrete time case, respectively. Therefore, our aim is to develop the mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filtering design method satisfying the objective (8). In the following, we present LMI optimization problems to get the optimal mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filter satisfying (8) by LMI technique in continuous time (Theorem 1) and discrete time (Theorem 2), respectively.

**Theorem 1**: (Continuous time case) For a given positive constant  $\gamma$ , if the following optimization problem

 $\min \{\alpha + tr(Q)\}$  subject to

$$i) \begin{bmatrix} A^T P_1 + P_1 A + S_1 & A^T P_2 - C^T M_2^T - M_1^T + S_2 \\ * & M_1^T + M_1 + L_1^T L_1 + S_3 \\ * & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \\ c_6 \\ c_6 \\ c_6 \\ c_7 \\ c_8 \\ c_8 \\ c_9 \\$$

*iii*) 
$$-\alpha + \phi_1(0)^T P_1 \phi_1(0) + \phi_2(0)^T P_2 \phi_2(0) \langle 0, iv \rangle - Q + N_1^T S_1 N_1 + N_2^T S_2 N_1 + N_1^T S_2 N_2 + N_2^T S_3 N_2 \langle 0 \rangle$$
 (11)

has a solution positive definite matrices(or scalar)  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $\alpha$ , Q, and matrices  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ , then (2) is a continuous time mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filter and  $J^* = \alpha + tr(Q)$  is an upper bound of continuous time  $H_2$  performance measure. Here, some notations are defined as

$$M_{1} = P_{2}\widehat{A} M_{2} = P_{2}K$$

$$\int_{-d}^{0} \phi_{f}(\tau)\phi_{f}(\tau)^{T}d\tau = NN^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{1} \\ N_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} N_{1}^{T} & N_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(12)

Proof: If we define a Lyapunov functional

$$V(x_f(t)) = x_f(t)^T P x_f(t) + \int_{t-d}^t x_f(\tau)^T S x_f(\tau) d\tau,$$
 (13)

then the derivative of (13) is given

$$\dot{V}(x_f(t)) = \dot{x_f}(t)^T P x_f(t) + x_f(t)^T P \dot{x_f}(t) + x_f(t)^T S x_f(t) - x_f(t-d)^T S x_f(t-d).$$
(14)

The linear matrix inequality (i) in (11) implies that

$$\dot{V}(x_f(t))\langle -z_1(t)^T z_1(t) \rangle 0. \tag{15}$$

Therefore we have

(8)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{f}(t) \\ x_{f}(t-d) \end{bmatrix}^{T} \times \begin{bmatrix} A_{f}^{T}P + PA_{f} + C_{1f}^{T}C_{1f} + S & PA_{df} \\ * & -S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{f}(t) \\ x_{f}(t-d) \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$
(16)

when assuming the zero noise signal vector input. And if we set

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_2 & S_3 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

then the following inequality

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{f}^{T}P + PA_{f} + C_{1f}^{T}C_{1f} + S & PA_{df} \\ * & -S \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
 (18)

is changed to

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{T}P_{1} + P_{1}A + S_{1} & A^{T}P_{2} - C^{T}K^{T}P_{2} - \widehat{A}^{T}P_{2} + S_{2} \\ * & \widehat{A}^{T}P_{2} + P_{2}\widehat{A} + L_{1}^{T}L_{1} + S_{3} \\ * & * \\ * & * \\ & * & * \\ & P_{1}A_{d} & 0 \\ & 0 & P_{2}A_{d} \\ & -S_{1} & -S_{2} \\ * & -S_{3} \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

$$(19)$$

Using some changes of variables,  $M_1 = P_2 \widehat{A}$  and  $M_2 = P_2 K$ , the (19) is transformed into (i) of (11). Similarly to the procedure of proof (i) in (11), the proof of (ii) is completed using the Lyapunov functional (13) and continuous-time  $H_{\infty}$  performance measure (10). The linear matrix inequality (ii) in (11) implies that

$$\dot{V}(x_f(t)) \langle -z_2(t)^T z_2(t) + \gamma^2 w(t)^T w(t) \langle 0, (20) \rangle$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{f}(t) \\ x_{f}(t-d) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix}^{T} \times \begin{bmatrix} A_{f}^{T}P + PA_{f} + C_{2f}^{T}C_{2f} + S & PA_{df} & PD_{f} \\ * & -S & 0 \\ * & * & -\gamma^{2}I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{f}(t) \\ x_{f}(t-d) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$
(21)

Also, using the Schur complements and some changes of variables, the following matrix inequality

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_f^T P + P A_f + C_{2f}^T C_{2f} + S & P A_{df} & P D_f \\ * & -S & 0 \\ * & * & -\gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
 (22)

is changed to (ii) in (11). Furthermore, by the integrating both sides of the inequality (15) from 0 to  $T_f$  and using the initial condition, we obtain

$$-\int_{0}^{T_{f}} z_{1}(t)^{T} z_{1}(t) dt \rangle x_{f}(T_{f})^{T} P x_{f}(T_{f}) - x_{f}(0)^{T} P x_{f}(0)$$

$$+\int_{T_{f}-d}^{T_{f}} x_{f}(\tau)^{T} S x_{f}(\tau) d\tau - \int_{-d}^{0} x_{f}(\tau)^{T} S x_{f}(\tau) d\tau.$$
(23)

As the closed loop system is asymptotically stable, when  $T_f \rightarrow \infty$  some terms go to zero. Hence we get

$$\int_0^\infty z_1(t)^T z_1(t) dt \le \phi_f(0)^T P \phi_f(0) + \int_{-d}^0 \phi_f(\tau)^T S \phi_f(\tau) d\tau.$$
(24)

This is an upper bound of  $H_2$  performance measure. The first term of right hand side in (24) is changed to  $-\alpha + \phi_f(0)^T P \phi_f(0) \langle 0$ . This is equivalent to (iii) in (11). The second term of the right hand side in (24) has the following relations

$$\int_{-d}^{0} \phi_f(\tau)^T S \phi_f(\tau) d\tau = \int_{-d}^{0} tr(\phi_f(\tau)^T S \phi_f(\tau)) d\tau$$

$$= tr(NN^T S) = tr(N^T S N) \langle tr(Q).$$
(25)

Therefore,  $-Q+N^TSN < 0$  is equal to (iv) in (11). Hence, we can get the optimal continuous-time mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filter satisfying the filtering design objective (8). Also, all

solutions including filter variables ( $\widehat{A} = P_2^{-1}M_1$ ,  $K = P_2^{-1}M_2$ ) and the upper bound of  $H_2$  performance measure ( $J^* = \alpha + tr(Q)$ ) can be calculated simultaneously because the proposed sufficient conditions are LMIs in terms of all finding variables.

**Theorem 2:** (Discrete time case) For a given positive constant  $\gamma$ , if the following optimization problem

 $\min \{\alpha + tr(Q)\}$  subject to

*iii*) 
$$-\alpha + \phi_1(0)^T P_1 \phi_1(0) + \phi_2(0)^T P_2 \phi_2(0) < 0,$$
  
*iv*)  $-Q + N_1^T S_1 N_1 + N_2^T S_2 N_1 + N_1^T S_2 N_2 + N_2^T S_3 N_2 < 0$ 
(26)

has a solution positive definite matrices(or scalar)  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $\alpha$ , Q, and matrices  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ , then (2) is a discrete time mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filter and  $J^* = \alpha + tr(Q)$  is an upper bound of discrete time  $H_2$  performance measure. Here, some notations are defined as

$$M_{1} = P_{2}\widehat{A} M_{2} = P_{2}K \sum_{i=-d}^{-1} \phi_{f}(i)\phi_{f}(i)^{T} = NN^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{1} \\ N_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} N_{1}^{T} & N_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (27)

**Proof**: Similarly to the continuous time case, define a Lyapunov functional as follows:

$$V(x_f(t)) = x_f(t)^T P x_f(t) + \sum_{i=T-d}^{t-1} x_f(i)^T S x_f(i).$$
 (28)

The difference of the (28) is given

$$\Delta V = V(x_f(t+1)) - V(x_f(t))$$

$$= x_f(t+1)^T P x_f(t+1) - x_f(t)^T P x_f(t)$$

$$+ x_f(t)^T S x_f(t) - x_f(t-d)^T S x_f(t-d).$$
(29)

The linear matrix inequality (i) in (26) implies that  $\Delta V \langle -z_1(t)^T z_1(t) \rangle \langle 0.$  (30)

Therefore we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{f}(t) \\ x_{f}(t-d) \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} A_{f}^{T}PA_{f} - P + S + C_{1f}^{T}C_{1f} & A_{f}^{T}PA_{df} \\ * & -S + A_{df}^{T}PA_{df} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} x_{f}(t) \\ x_{f}(t-d) \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$
(31)

when assuming the zero noise signal vector input. And if we set

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_2 & S_3 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{32}$$

then the following inequality

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_f^T P A_f - P + S + C_{1f}^T C_{1f} & A_f^T P A_{df} \\ * & -S + A_{df}^T P A_{df} \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
 (33)

is changed to

Using some changes of variables,  $M_1 = P_2 \widehat{A}$ ,  $M_2 = P_2 K$ , (34) is transformed into (i) of (26). Similarly to the procedure of proof (i) in (26), the proof of (ii) is completed using the Lyapunov functional (28) and discrete-time  $H_{\infty}$  performance measure (10). The linear matrix inequality (ii) in (26) implies that

$$\Delta V \langle -z_2(t)^T z_2(t) + \gamma^2 w(t)^T w(t) \langle 0.$$
 (35)

Therefore we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{f}(t) \\ x_{f}(t-d) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} A_{f}^{T}PA_{f}-P+S+C_{2f}^{T}C_{2f} & A_{f}^{T}PA_{df} \\ & * & -S+A_{df}^{T}PA_{df} \\ & * & * \\ A_{f}^{T}PD_{f} \\ A_{df}^{T}PD_{f} \\ -\gamma^{2}I+D_{f}^{T}PD_{f} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{f}(t) \\ x_{f}(t-d) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix} \langle 0.$$

$$(36)$$

Also, using the Schur complements and some changes of variables, the following matrix inequality

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{f}^{T}PA_{f} - P + S + C_{2f}^{T}C_{2f} & A_{f}^{T}PA_{df} & A_{f}^{T}PD_{f} \\ * & -S + A_{df}^{T}PA_{df} & A_{df}^{T}PD_{f} \\ * & * & -\gamma^{2}I + D_{f}^{T}PD_{f} \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(37)

is changed to (ii) in (26). Furthermore, by the summation both sides of the inequality (30) from 0 to  $T_f-1$ , we obtain

$$-\sum_{t=0}^{T_f-1} z_1(t)^T z_1(t) \rangle x_f(T_f)^T P x_f(T_f) - x_f(0)^T P x_f(0) + \sum_{i=T_f-1}^{T_f-1} x_f(i)^T S x_f(i) - \sum_{i=-d}^{-1} x_f(i)^T S x_f(i).$$
(38)

As the closed loop system is asymptotically stable, when  $T_f \rightarrow \infty$  (or  $T_f - 1 \rightarrow \infty$ ) some terms go to zero. Hence we get

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} z_1(t)^T z_1(t) \le \phi_f(0)^T P \phi_f(0) + \sum_{t=-d}^{-1} \phi_f(t)^T S \phi_f(t).$$
(39)

This is an upper bound of  $H_2$  performance measure. The first term of right hand side in (39) is changed to  $-\alpha + \phi_f(0)^T P \phi_f(0) < 0$ . This is equivalent to (iii) in (26). The second term of right hand side in (39) has the following relations

$$\sum_{i=-d}^{1} \phi_f(i)^T S \phi_f(i) = \sum_{i=-d}^{1} tr(\phi_f(i)^T S \phi_f(i))$$

$$= tr(NN^T S) = tr(N^T S N) \langle tr(Q), (40) \rangle$$

Therefore,  $-Q + N^T SN(0)$  is equal to (iv) in (26). Similarly to the continuous time case, we can get the optimal discrete time mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filter satisfying the filtering design objective (8). Also, all solutions including filter variables(  $\widehat{A} = P_2^{-1}M_1$ ,  $K = P_2^{-1}M_2$ ) and the upper bound of  $H_2$  performance measure(  $J^* = \alpha + tr(Q)$ ) can be calculated simultaneously because the proposed sufficient conditions are LMIs regarding all finding variables.

**Remark**: The proposed filtering design algorithms can be extended into the various kinds of continuous and discrete time systems such as multiple time delay systems, parameter uncertain time delay systems, convex bounded uncertain systems, and so on. Also, our filtering design methods include the guaranteed cost filtering design problems. Moreover, the presented continuous and discrete mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filtering design algorithms can be applied to the system without time delay.

**Example**: Consider the following linear time delay system given by

$$\delta x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & 0 \\ 1 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} -0.01 & 0 \\ 0.1 & -0.05 \end{bmatrix} x(t-d) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} w(t)$$

$$y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + w(t)$$

$$z_{1}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e(t)$$

$$z_{2}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} e(t)$$

$$d = 2, \ \gamma = 1, \ \phi_{f}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{t+1} & 0 & 0.1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}.$$
(41)

All solutions in Theorem 1 are obtained using the LMI Toolbox[13] as follows:

$$P_{1} = 10^{-4} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.1806 & -0.0283 \\ -0.0283 & 0.0312 \end{bmatrix}, P_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.3239 & 3.6345 \\ 3.6345 & 4.6593 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S_{1} = 10^{-5} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.4528 & -0.2355 \\ -0.2355 & 0.1612 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S_{2} = 10^{-4} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.1346 & -0.1313 \\ -0.1313 & 0.6066 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3673 & -0.2061 \\ -0.2061 & 0.1519 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha = 0.0334,$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0000 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0056 & 0.0031 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0031 & 0.0018 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

$$M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.3328 & -1.8173 \\ -0.3620 & -2.3296 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.6349 \\ 4.6578 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore the stable continuous time filter and the upper bound of  $H_2$  performance measure are

$$\hat{\vec{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
-2.1490 & -0.0001 \\
1.5987 & -0.4999
\end{bmatrix} \hat{\vec{x}}(t) 
+ \begin{bmatrix}
-0.01 & 0 \\
0.1 & -0.05
\end{bmatrix} \hat{\vec{x}}(t-d) + \begin{bmatrix}
2.0491 \\
-0.5987
\end{bmatrix} \vec{y}(t),$$
(43)
$$\vec{t}^* = 0.0408$$

The obtained stable continuous mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filter guarantees not only the minimization of  $H_2$  performance measure but also the  $H_{\infty}$  norm bound of the closed loop system within  $\gamma(=1)$ . In the case of discrete-time case, all solutions in Theorem 2 are given as follows:

$$\begin{split} P_1 &= 10^{-5} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.4409 & -0.0967 \\ -0.0967 & 0.2501 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3303 & 1.7085 \\ 1.7085 & 8.9450 \end{bmatrix}, \\ S_1 &= 10^{-5} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.0878 & 0.0141 \\ 0.0141 & 0.1368 \end{bmatrix}, \\ S_2 &= 10^{-4} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.0292 & 0.0135 \\ 0.0135 & 0.3998 \end{bmatrix}, \\ S_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.1741 & -0.0885 \\ -0.0885 & 0.0496 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha = 0.0133, \\ Q &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0000 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0027 & 0.0015 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.0008 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \\ M_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.0108 & -0.8543 \\ -0.0640 & -4.4725 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.5863 \\ 8.8381 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Therefore the stable discrete time filter and the upper bound of  $H_2$  performance measure are

$$\hat{x}(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0014 & 0.0000 \\ -0.0074 & -0.5000 \end{bmatrix} \hat{x}(t) 
+ \begin{bmatrix} -0.01 & 0 \\ 0.1 & -0.05 \end{bmatrix} \hat{x}(t-d) + \begin{bmatrix} -0.1014 \\ 1.0074 \end{bmatrix} y(t),$$
(45)
$$I^* = 0.0168$$

The obtained stable discrete mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  filter guarantees minimization the upper bound of  $H_2$  performance measure and  $H_{\infty}$  norm bound within prescribed  $\gamma$ .

## III. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed the mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filtering design algorithms for time delay systems in continuous time case and discrete time case, respectively. The sufficient conditions of the existence of filter and mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filtering design method were presented using LMI approach. The proposed stable mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  filter guaranteed minimization the upper bound of  $H_2$  performance measure satisfying the  $H_\infty$  norm bound within  $\gamma$ . Also, we checked the validity of the proposed method by an example.

### References

- I. R. Petersen and D. C. McFarlane, "Optimal guaranteed cost control and filtering for uncertain linear systems," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, vol. 39, pp. 1971-1977, 1994.
- [2] L. Xie and Y. C. Soh, "Robust Kalman filtering for uncertain systems," Systems and Control Letters, vol. 22, pp. 123-129, 1994.
- [3] K. M. Nagpal and P. P. Khargonekar, "Filtering and smoothing in  $H_{\infty}$  setting," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, vol. 36, pp. 152-166, 1991.
- [4] L. Xie, C. E. de Souza, and M. Fu, "H<sub>∞</sub> estimation for discrete time linear uncertain systems," *International* Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control, vol. 1, pp. 11-23, 1991.
- [5] J. C. Geromel, J. Bernussou, G. Garcia, and M. C. Oliveira, "H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>∞</sub> robust filtering for discrete-time linear systems," Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Tampa, Florida, USA, pp. 632-637, 1998.
- [6] J. C. Geromel and M. C. Oliveira, "H₂ and H∞ robust filtering for convex bounded uncertain systems", Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Tampa, Florida, USA, pp. 146-151, 1998.
- [7] R. M. Palhares and P. L. D. Peres, "Mixed  $L_2$ - $L_\infty/H_\infty$  filtering for uncertain linear systems: An LMI approach," *ISIE'99-Bled.*, Slovenia, pp. 1070-1075, 1999.
- [8] R. M. Palhares and P. L. D. Peres, "Robust H<sub>∞</sub> filtering design with pole constraints for discrete-time systems: An LMI approach," *Proc. of American control Conference*, San Diego, Califonia, USA, pp. 4418-4422, 1999.
- [9] Z. Wang, Z. Guo, and H. Unbehauen, "Robust H<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>∞</sub> state estimation for discrete-time systems with error variance constraints," *IEEE Trans. Automa. Control*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp.1431-1435, 1997.
- [10] H. H. Choi and M. J. Chung, "Memoryless  $H_{\infty}$  controller design for linear systems with delayed state and control," *Automatica*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 917-919, 1995.
- [11] J. H. Kim and H. B. Park, "H<sub>∞</sub> state feedback control for generalized continuous/discrete time delay system," *Automatica*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1443-1451, 1999.
- [12] J. H. Lee, S. W. Kim, and W. H. Kwon, "Memoryless  $H_{\infty}$  controllers for state delayed systems," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, vol.39, no.1, pp. 159-162, 1994.
- [13] P. Gahinet, A. Nemirovski, A. J. Laub, and M. Chilali, LMI Control Toolbox, The Math Works Inc., 1995.
- [14] S. P. Boyd, L. E. Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan, *Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory*, SIAM, 1994.



## Jong Hae Kim

He was born in Korea, on January 10, 1970. He received the B. S., M. S., and Ph. D. degrees in electronic engineering from Kyungpook National University, Taegu, Korea, in 1993, 1995, and 1998, respectively. He has been with STRC (Sensor Technology Research Center) at

Kyungpook National University since 1998. Also, he has been with Osaka University as a research fellow for one year from March, 2000. He received 'International Scholarship Award' from SICE(Japan) in 1999 and 'Young Researcher Paper Award' from ICASE in 1999. His areas of research interest are robust control, mixed  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  control, nonlinear control, the stabilization of time-delay systems, non-fragile control, reliable control, control of descriptor systems, and industrial application control.