

CONDITION FOR SMOOTHNESS OF HIGH ACCURACY WAVELET BASIS

SOON-GEOL KWON

ABSTRACT. High accuracy wavelet basis β is constructed in [2]. We derive a condition for smoothness of the basis function $\beta(x)$.

1. Introduction

Let ϕ be the scaling function of an orthogonal multiresolution approximation ([1]). The wavelet approximation of a function in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} onto the subspace V_k at the resolution $h = 2^{-k}$ is the projection

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{P}_k f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_j^k \rangle \phi_j^k(x),$$

where V_k is spanned by

$$(2) \quad \phi_j^k(x) = 2^{k/2} \phi(2^k x - j), \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

The accuracy of the wavelet approximation at the resolution $h = 2^{-k}$ is

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{P}_k f(x)\| = \mathcal{O}(h^M),$$

where M is the vanishing moments of the wavelet ψ ([4]). In some applications, such as wavelet-Galerkin methods, we may know the wavelet coefficients of a solution function to high accuracy.

We would like to improve the accuracy of the approximation by constructing new basis functions while keeping the wavelet coefficients. For

Received March 19, 1999. Revised September 1, 1999.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C15.

Key words and phrases: smoothness, wavelets.

any given scaling function ϕ and accuracy n , we construct basis function β with compact support so that for smooth function f ,

$$(3) \quad \|f(x) - \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j^k \beta_j^k(x)\| = \mathcal{O}(h^n),$$

where β_j^k is defined as in (2) and

$$f_j^k := \langle f, \phi_j^k \rangle.$$

The basis function β recovers point values of f for comparable accuracy. In this paper, we derive a condition for smoothness of the basis function β .

The *continuous moments* of ϕ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^i \phi(x) dx.$$

We define the *shifted continuous moments* of ϕ by

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{M}_{i,j} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^i \phi(x-j) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x+j)^i \phi(x) dx.$$

Let $C^p(\mathbf{R})$ be the p times continuously differentiable functions on \mathbf{R} .

2. Construction of High Accuracy Wavelet Basis

In this section we review construction of high accuracy wavelet basis ([2]). Assume that for some function f and some orthogonal wavelet basis we know the projection $\mathcal{P}_k f$ onto V_k

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{P}_k f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j^k \phi_j^k(x).$$

How well can we recover the point values of f from this?

Assume that wavelet ψ has M vanishing moments. If $f \in C^M$, then for any point x

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{P}_k f(x)\| = \mathcal{O}(h^M),$$

where $h = 2^{-k}$.

There are more detailed results available about the convergence rate of $\mathcal{P}_k f$ to f under various conditions of f and ϕ (see for example [3, 5]), but they are all based on the original wavelet series (5).

We propose instead to use a different series

$$(6) \quad B_k f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j^k \beta_j^k(x),$$

where

$$(7) \quad \beta_j^k(x) = 2^{k/2} \beta(2^k x - j)$$

in analogy to (2). For any choice of ϕ and for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we will construct a basis function $\beta(x)$ with compact support so that for $f \in C^n$,

$$(8) \quad \|f(x) - B_k f(x)\| = \mathcal{O}(h^n).$$

For simplicity, the dependence of β on n and ϕ is not usually expressed in the notation. If necessary, we will denote the basis function for a particular n as $\beta(x; n)$, and similarly for the dependence on other parameters.

To construct β , fix a scaling function ϕ , a level number $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, and a positive integer n . Assume that we are given the wavelet coefficients f_j^k , $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Following a standard approach in numerical analysis, we first attempt to find $c_j^k(x)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, so that

$$(9) \quad x^p = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle x^p, \phi_j^k(x) \rangle c_j^k(x) \quad \text{for } p = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

For $f(x) = x^p$,

$$f_j^k = \langle f, \phi_j^k \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^p 2^{k/2} \phi(2^k x - j) dx = h^{p+(1/2)} \mathcal{M}_{p,j}.$$

Let us define two $n \times n$ matrices \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{M} by

$$\mathbf{H} = h^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} h^0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & h^1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & h^{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{0,0} & \mathcal{M}_{0,1} & \cdots & \mathcal{M}_{0,n-1} \\ \mathcal{M}_{1,0} & \mathcal{M}_{1,1} & \cdots & \mathcal{M}_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{M}_{n-1,0} & \mathcal{M}_{n-1,1} & \cdots & \mathcal{M}_{n-1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Equation (9) leads to a system of linear equations for $c_j^k(x)$, $j = 0, \dots, n-1$:

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{A}\vec{c} = \vec{d},$$

where

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}, \\ \vec{c}(x) &= (c_0^k(x), c_1^k(x), \dots, c_{n-1}^k(x))^T, \\ \vec{d}(x) &= (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1})^T. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that the matrix \mathbf{M} is nonsingular for all choices of ϕ , n . From (4), it follows immediately that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{V}$, where \mathbf{B} is the lower triangular matrix with entries

$$b_{is} = \binom{i}{s} \mathcal{M}_{i-s}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq i,$$

and \mathbf{V} is the Vandermonde matrix with entries $v_{sj} = j^s$. Hence,

$$\det(\mathbf{M}) = \det(\mathbf{B}) \cdot \det(\mathbf{V}) = \mathcal{M}_0^p \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} k! \right) \neq 0.$$

From

$$\vec{c}(x) = \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{-1}\vec{d}(x) = h^{-1/2}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\vec{d}(x/h),$$

we observe that each $c_j^k(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ in (x/h) , and that

$$(12) \quad c_j^k(x) = h^{-1/2}c_j^0(x/h) = 2^{k/2}c_j^0(2^k x).$$

To put this approach into a wavelet-like setting, we select a unit interval $I = [x_0, x_0 + 1)$ for some (as yet undetermined) point x_0 . Scaled and translated versions of I are denoted by $I_{k,l} = [(x_0 + l)h, (x_0 + l + 1)h)$.

We restrict the use of formula (9) to the interval $I_{k,0}$. Values of f on a translated interval $I_{k,l}$ are recovered by using the same coefficients c_j^k on a translated set of scaling functions

$$(13) \quad f(x) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_{j+l}^k c_j^k(x-l) = \sum_{j=l}^{n-1+l} f_j^k c_{j-l}^k(x-l) \quad \text{for } x \in I_{0,l}.$$

We can write (13) in the desired form (6), (7) by defining

$$(14) \quad \beta(x) = \begin{cases} c_{n-1}^0(x+n-1) & \text{if } x \in [x_0-n+1, x_0-n+2), \\ \vdots \\ c_1^0(x+1) & \text{if } x \in [x_0-1, x_0), \\ c_0^0(x) & \text{if } x \in [x_0, x_0+1), \\ 0 & \text{the others.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\beta(x)$ is a piecewise polynomial of degree $n-1$.

3. Main Results

In this section we derive a condition for smoothness of basis function $\beta(x)$ for level $k=0$. For notational simplicity we use c_j instead of c_j^0 in this section. The result is stated in the Theorem 3.6.

The following lemma provides a preliminary result which is used in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $i+k = j+l$ for nonnegative integers i, j, k, l with $k \leq l, j \leq i$, then*

$$\binom{i}{j} \binom{j}{k} = \binom{i}{l} \binom{l}{k}.$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{i}{j} \binom{j}{k} &= \frac{i!}{j!(i-j)!} \frac{j!}{k!(j-k)!} = \frac{i!}{(i-j)!k!(j-k)!} \\ &= \frac{i!}{(l-k)!k!(i-l)!} = \frac{i!}{l!(i-l)!} \frac{l!}{k!(l-k)!} = \binom{i}{l} \binom{l}{k}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following two lemmas provide preliminary results which are used in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

LEMMA 3.2. *For $r \leq i$,*

$$(15) \quad \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{i}{k} \left[\sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{i-k} \right] = \sum_{l=0}^r \left[\sum_{k=l}^r \binom{i}{k} \binom{k}{l} \mathcal{M}_{i-k} \right] j^l$$

PROOF. Just expand LHS for $k=0, 1, \dots, r$ and collect j^l terms with the same index l first. That is, interchange the order of the double summations. \square

LEMMA 3.3.

$$(16) \quad \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} \left[\sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{k-l} \right] = \sum_{s=0}^i \left[\sum_{r=0}^s \binom{i}{s} \binom{s}{r} j^r \right] \mathcal{M}_{i-s}$$

PROOF. Let $t = i - k$ and $r = s - t$. Expand LHS for $k = i, i - 1, \dots, 0$ and collect \mathcal{M}_m terms with the same index m first. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} \left[\sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{k-l} \right] &= \sum_{s=0}^i \left[\sum_{t=0}^s \binom{i}{i-t} \binom{i-t}{s-t} j^{s-t} \right] \mathcal{M}_{i-s} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^i \left[\sum_{r=0}^s \binom{i}{i+r-s} \binom{i+r-s}{r} j^r \right] \mathcal{M}_{i-s} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^i \left[\sum_{r=0}^s \binom{i}{s} \binom{s}{r} j^r \right] \mathcal{M}_{i-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is completed. \square

Let $b^{(p)}$ be the p th derivative of each component of a vector b .

THEOREM 3.4. Let

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{p-1} \\ \gamma_p \\ \gamma_{p+1} \\ \gamma_{p+2} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ \vdots \\ x^{p-1} \\ x^p \\ x^{p+1} \\ x^{p+2} \\ \vdots \\ x^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ p! \\ (p+1)!x \\ \frac{(p+2)!}{2!}x^2 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-p)!}x^{n-1-p} \end{pmatrix},$$

be given. Then

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{n-1} \\ \gamma_0 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{p-2} \\ \gamma_{p-1} \\ \gamma_p \\ \gamma_{p+1} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ (x+1) \\ \vdots \\ (x+1)^{p-1} \\ (x+1)^p \\ (x+1)^{p+1} \\ (x+1)^{p+2} \\ \vdots \\ (x+1)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ p! \\ (p+1)!(x+1) \\ \frac{(p+2)!}{2!}(x+1) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-p)!}(x+1)^{n-1-p} \end{pmatrix}$$

if and only if

$$\gamma_{n-1} = 0.$$

PROOF. Consider the $(i+1)$ st component of each side of (17) for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. We obtain

$$(19) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{ij} \gamma_j = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{i-l} \gamma_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

Note that (19) implies

$$(20) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j^i \gamma_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

Consider the $(i+1)$ st component of each side of (17) for $i = p, p+1, \dots, n-1$. We obtain

$$\frac{i!}{(i-p)!} x^{i-p} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{ij} \gamma_j \quad \text{for } i = p, p+1, \dots, n-1.$$

By letting $k = i - p$, we obtain

$$(21) \quad x^k = \frac{k!}{(k+p)!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{k+p,j} \gamma_j \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1-p.$$

Consider the $(i+1)$ st component of each side of (18) for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. We obtain

$$(RHS)_{i+1} = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (LHS)_{i+1} &= a_{i,0}\gamma_{n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} a_{i,j+1}\gamma_j \\ &= \mathcal{M}_i\gamma_{n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} (j+1)^k \mathcal{M}_{i-k} \right] \gamma_j \\ &= \mathcal{M}_i\gamma_{n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{i-k} \right] \gamma_j \\ &= \mathcal{M}_i\gamma_{n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \left[\sum_{l=0}^i \left[\sum_{k=l}^i \binom{i}{k} \binom{k}{l} \mathcal{M}_{i-k} \right] j^l \right] \gamma_j, \quad \text{by (15)} \\ &= \mathcal{M}_i\gamma_{n-1} + \sum_{l=0}^i \sum_{k=l}^i \binom{i}{k} \binom{k}{l} \mathcal{M}_{i-k} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} j^l \gamma_j \right] \\ &= \mathcal{M}_i\gamma_{n-1} + \sum_{l=0}^i \sum_{k=l}^i \binom{i}{k} \binom{k}{l} \mathcal{M}_{i-k} [-(n-1)^l \gamma_{n-1}], \quad \text{by (20)} \\ &= \left[\mathcal{M}_i - \sum_{l=0}^i \sum_{k=l}^i \binom{i}{k} \binom{k}{l} \mathcal{M}_{i-k} (n-1)^l \right] \gamma_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$,

(22)

$$(LHS)_{i+1} - (RHS)_{i+1} = \left[\mathcal{M}_i - \sum_{l=0}^i \sum_{k=l}^i \binom{i}{k} \binom{k}{l} \mathcal{M}_{i-k} (n-1)^l \right] \gamma_{n-1}.$$

Consider the $(i + 1)$ st component of each side of (18) for $i = p, p + 1, \dots, n - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(RHS)_{i+1} &= \frac{i!}{(i-p)!} (x+1)^{i-p} \\
&= \frac{i!}{(i-p)!} \sum_{k=0}^{i-p} \binom{i-p}{k} x^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{i-p} \frac{i!}{(i-p)!} \binom{i-p}{k} \frac{k!}{(k+p)!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{k+p,j} \gamma_j, \quad \text{by (21)} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{i-p} \binom{i}{k+p} \sum_{l=0}^{k+p} \binom{k+p}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{k+p-l} \right] \gamma_j \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{m=p}^i \binom{i}{m} \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{m-l} \right] \gamma_j, \quad \text{by letting } m = k+p \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{m-l} \right] \gamma_j \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \binom{i}{m} \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{m-l} \right] \gamma_j \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{s}{r} j^r \mathcal{M}_{i-s} \right] \gamma_j \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \binom{i}{m} \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{m-l} \right] \gamma_j, \quad \text{by (16)} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} (j+1)^s \mathcal{M}_{i-s} \right] \gamma_j \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \left[\sum_{m=l}^{p-1} \binom{i}{m} \binom{m}{l} \mathcal{M}_{m-l} \right] j^l \right] \gamma_j, \quad \text{by (15)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} (j+1)^s \mathcal{M}_{i-s} \right] \gamma_j \\
&\quad - \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \sum_{m=l}^{p-1} \binom{i}{m} \binom{m}{l} \mathcal{M}_{m-l} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j^l \gamma_j \right] \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} (j+1)^s \mathcal{M}_{i-s} \right] \gamma_j, \quad \text{by (20)} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \left[\sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} (j+1)^s \mathcal{M}_{i-s} \right] \gamma_j + \left[\sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} n^s \mathcal{M}_{i-s} \right] \gamma_{n-1} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} a_{i,j+1} \gamma_j + \left[\sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} n^s \mathcal{M}_{i-s} \right] \gamma_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(LHS)_{i+1} &= a_{i,0} \gamma_{n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} a_{i,j+1} \gamma_j \\
&= \mathcal{M}_i \gamma_{n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} a_{i,j+1} \gamma_j.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain, for $i = p, p+1, \dots, n-1$,

$$(23) \quad (RHS)_{i+1} - (LHS)_{i+1} = \left[\sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} n^s \mathcal{M}_{i-s} - \mathcal{M}_i \right] \gamma_{n-1}.$$

By (22) and (23), we obtain that (18) if and only if $\gamma_{n-1} = 0$, or

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=0}^i \sum_{k=l}^i \binom{i}{k} \binom{k}{l} \mathcal{M}_{i-k} (n-1)^l & \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1, \\ \sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} n^s \mathcal{M}_{i-s} & \text{for } i = p, p+1, \dots, n-1 \end{cases}$$

In fact, for $i = 1$, if $p \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{l=0}^1 \sum_{k=l}^1 \binom{1}{k} \binom{k}{l} \mathcal{M}_{1-k}(n-1)^l = \mathcal{M}_1 + 1 + (n-1) \neq \mathcal{M}_1,$$

and if $p \leq 1$,

$$\sum_{s=0}^1 \binom{i}{s} n^s \mathcal{M}_{i-s} = \mathcal{M}_1 + n \neq \mathcal{M}_1.$$

Therefore, (18) holds if and only if $\gamma_{n-1} = 0$. □

THEOREM 3.5. *In the equation $\mathcal{A}(\vec{c})^{(p)} = (\vec{d})^{(p)}$, there exists an $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $(c_{n-1})^{(p)}(x_0) = 0$ if and only if*

$$(24) \quad (c_i)^{(p)}(x_0 + 1) = \begin{cases} (c_{n-1})^{(p)}(x_0) & \text{for } i = 0, \\ (c_{i-1})^{(p)}(x_0) & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \end{cases}$$

PROOF. In the equation $\mathcal{A}\vec{c}^{(p)} = \vec{d}^{(p)}$, we take $x = x_0$ and $x = x_0 + 1$. Then we have

$$(25) \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(x_0) \\ c_1(x_0) \\ c_2(x_0) \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-1}(x_0) \end{pmatrix}^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_0 \\ x_0^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_0^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(p)},$$

and

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(x_0 + 1) \\ c_1(x_0 + 1) \\ c_2(x_0 + 1) \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-1}(x_0 + 1) \end{pmatrix}^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_0 + 1 \\ (x_0 + 1)^2 \\ \vdots \\ (x_0 + 1)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(p)}.$$

By Theorem 3.4, there exists $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $(c_{n-1})^{(p)}(x_0) = 0$ if and only if

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} c_{n-1}(x_0) \\ c_0(x_0) \\ c_1(x_0) \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-2}(x_0) \end{pmatrix}^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_0 + 1 \\ (x_0 + 1)^2 \\ \vdots \\ (x_0 + 1)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(p)}.$$

Subtract the equation (27) from the equation (26):

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(x_0 + 1) - c_{n-1}(x_0) \\ c_1(x_0 + 1) - c_0(x_0) \\ c_2(x_0 + 1) - c_1(x_0) \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-1}(x_0 + 1) - c_{n-2}(x_0) \end{pmatrix}^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since \mathcal{A} is nonsingular, (28) holds if and only if (24) holds. Hence the proof is completed. \square

THEOREM 3.6. $\beta(x) \in C^p(\mathbf{R})$ if and only if there exists $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $(c_{n-1})^{(i)}(x_0) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$.

PROOF. From the definition for $\beta(x)$, $\beta(x) \in C^p(\mathbf{R})$ if and only if it is C^p function at all interior nodes. It comes directly from the Theorem 3.4. \square

REMARKS. 1. One of the advantages of Theorem 3.4 is that, even if we define $\beta(x)$ on $[x_0 - n + 1, x_0 + 1]$, $\beta(x)$ is a C^p function on $[x_0 - n + 1, x_0 + 1]$ if and only if there exists $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $(c_{n-1})^{(p)}(x_0) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$.

2. Note that $\beta(x)$ is a piecewise polynomial of degree $\leq n - 1$ and the coefficients of the degree $n - 1$ for $c_i(x)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ are not all equal in general. Hence the highest regularity we can achieve for $\beta(x)$ is C^{n-2} .

References

- [1] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, volume 61 of CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.

- [2] F. Keinert and S.-G. Kwon, *High Accuracy Reconstruction from Wavelet Coefficients*, Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal. **4** (1997), no. 3, 293–316.
- [3] S. E. Kelly, M. A. Kon, and L. A. Raphael, *Local Convergence for Wavelet Expansions*, J. Functional Analysis **126** (1994), 102–138.
- [4] G. T. Strang, *Wavelets and Dilation Equations: A Brief Introduction*, SIAM Review **31** (December 1989), no. 4, 614–627.
- [5] G. G. Walter, *Wavelets and Other Orthogonal Systems with Applications*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1994.

Department of Mathematics Education
Sunchon National University
Sunchon 540-742, Korea
E-mail: sgkwon@sunchon.ac.kr