

## NOTE ON THREE OF RAMANUJAN'S THEOREMS

INHYOK PARK AND TAE YOUNG SEO

ABSTRACT. The object of this note is to introduce three Ramanujan's formulae of similar nature among his many curious ones and to prove them by making use of the theory of generalized hypergeometric series.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The intention of this note is to prove the following curious formulae of Ramanujan which were recorded in [3, p. 495]:

$$(1.1) \quad 1 + 3 \left( \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^2 + 5 \left\{ \frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{(x+1)(x+2)} \right\}^2 + \dots = \frac{x^2}{2x-1},$$

$$(1.2) \quad 1 - 3 \left( \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^2 + 5 \left\{ \frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{(x+1)(x+2)} \right\}^2 - \dots = \frac{\{\Gamma(x+1)\}^2}{\Gamma(2x)},$$

$$(1.3) \quad 1 - 3 \left( \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^3 + 5 \left\{ \frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{(x+1)(x+2)} \right\}^3 - \dots = \frac{\{\Gamma(x+1)\}^3 \Gamma(3x-1)}{\{\Gamma(2x)\}^3},$$

by considering special cases of known transformation formulas involving generalized hypergeometric series.

The generalized hypergeometric series with  $p$  numerator and  $q$  denominator parameters is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad {}_pF_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = {}_pF_q [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; z] \\ := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_p)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

---

Received July 13, 1999. Revised December 22, 1999.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 33C20; Secondary 33C10, 33C15

Key words and phrases: generalized hypergeometric series, Ramanujan's theorems.

where  $(\lambda)_n$  denotes the Pochhammer symbol (or *the shifted factorial*, since  $(1)_n = n!$ ) defined by, for any complex number  $\lambda$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad (\lambda)_n = \begin{cases} \lambda(\lambda+1)\dots(\lambda+n-1), & \text{if } n \in \mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

which, in virtue of the fundamental relation for the Gamma function  $\Gamma$  :

$$(1.6) \quad \Gamma(\lambda+1) = \lambda\Gamma(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(1) = 1,$$

is written in the following equivalent form:

$$(1.7) \quad (\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots).$$

A useful well-known asymptotic formula for Gamma function is also provided:

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{\Gamma(z+\alpha)}{\Gamma(z+\beta)} = z^{\alpha-\beta} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right] \quad (z \rightarrow \infty; |\arg z| < \pi).$$

By making use of the Pochhammer symbol and the following elementary identities

$$(x-1)(x-2)\dots(x-n) = (-1)^n(-x+1)_n \quad \text{and} \quad 2n+1 = \frac{(3/2)_n}{(1/2)_n},$$

the three formulae concerned are expressed in terms of generalized hypergeometric series as follows:

$$(1.1') \quad {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1, \frac{3}{2}, -x+1, -x+1 \\ \frac{1}{2}, x+1, x+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] = \frac{x^2}{2x-1},$$

$$(1.2') \quad {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1, \frac{3}{2}, -x+1, -x+1 \\ \frac{1}{2}, x+1, x+1 \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right] = \frac{\{\Gamma(x+1)\}^2}{\Gamma(2x)},$$

$$(1.3') \quad {}_5F_4 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1, \frac{3}{2}, -x+1, -x+1, -x+1 \\ \frac{1}{2}, x+1, x+1, x+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] = \frac{\{\Gamma(x+1)\}^3 \Gamma(3x-1)}{\{\Gamma(2x)\}^3}.$$

**2. Proof of (1.1'), (1.2'), and (1.3')**

We start with a transformation formula due to Whipple [4, p. 253]

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad & {}_7F_6 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, b, c, d, e, -m \\ \frac{1}{2}a, 1 + a - b, 1 + a - c, 1 + a - d, 1 + a - e, 1 + a + m \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \\
 &= \frac{(1+a)_m(1+a-d-e)_m}{(1+a-d)_m(1+a-e)_m} \\
 & \quad {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1 + a - b - c, d, e, -m \\ 1 + a - b, 1 + a - c, d + e - a - m \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

which transforms a terminating well-poised  ${}_7F_6$  into a Saalschützian  ${}_4F_3$ , and conversely transforms any terminating Saalschützian  ${}_4F_3$  into a well-poised  ${}_7F_6$ .

Taking the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.1) with the aid of (1.8), we obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad & {}_6F_5 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, b, c, d, e \\ \frac{1}{2}a, 1 + a - b, 1 + a - c, 1 + a - d, 1 + a - e \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right] \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(1+a-d)\Gamma(1+a-e)}{\Gamma(1+a)\Gamma(1+a-d-e)} {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1 + a - b - c, d, e \\ 1 + a - b, 1 + a - c \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

which expresses a well-poised  ${}_6F_5$  with argument  $-1$  in terms of  ${}_3F_2$  with argument  $1$  and vice versa.

If we make  $c \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.2) with the aid of (1.8) and use Gauss's summation theorem [2]:

$$(2.3) \quad {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)},$$

and, in the resulting equation, replace  $d$  and  $e$  by  $c$  and  $d$  respectively, we derive the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & {}_5F_4 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, b, c, d \\ \frac{1}{2}a, 1 + a - b, 1 + a - c, 1 + a - d \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(1+a-b)\Gamma(1+a-c)\Gamma(1+a-d)\Gamma(1+a-b-c-d)}{\Gamma(1+a)\Gamma(1+a-b-c)\Gamma(1+a-b-d)\Gamma(1+a-c-d)},
 \end{aligned}$$

which, for  $d = \frac{1}{2}a$ , yields Dixon's theorem [1]:

$$(2.5) \quad {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, b, c \\ 1+a-b, 1+a-c \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \\ = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{2}a) \Gamma(1+a-b) \Gamma(1+a-c) \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{2}a - b - c)}{\Gamma(1+a) \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{2}a - b) \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{2}a - c) \Gamma(1+a-b-c)}.$$

Then making  $d \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.4) with the help of (1.8), we obtain the formula

$$(2.6) \quad {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, b, c \\ \frac{1}{2}a, 1+a-b, 1+a-c \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right] \\ = \frac{\Gamma(1+a-b) \Gamma(1+a-c)}{\Gamma(1+a) \Gamma(1+a-b-c)}.$$

Now setting  $a = 1$ ,  $b = c = d = -x + 1$  in (2.6) and (2.4) yields the desired formulas (1.2') and (1.3').

To prove (1.1'), recall the formulas [5, pp. 261-262]

$$(2.7) \quad {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, b, c, d \\ 1+a-b, 1+a-c, 1+a-d \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \\ = \frac{\Gamma(1+a-b) \Gamma(1+a-c) \Gamma(1+a-d) \Gamma(1+a-b-c-d)}{\Gamma(1+a) \Gamma(1+a-b-c) \Gamma(1+a-c-d) \Gamma(1+a-b-d)} \\ \times {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, b, c, d \\ 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a, b+c+d-a \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right],$$

$$(2.8) \quad {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} b, c, d \\ k, b+c+d-k+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \\ = \frac{\Gamma(k) \Gamma(k-b-c) \Gamma(k-b-d) \Gamma(k-c-d)}{\Gamma(k-b) \Gamma(k-c) \Gamma(k-d) \Gamma(k-b-c-d)}.$$

If we replace  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  by  $1, \frac{3}{2}, -x+1$  and  $-x+1$  in (2.7) respectively, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1, \frac{3}{2}, -x+1, -x+1 \\ \frac{1}{2}, x+1, x+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \\ = \frac{\Gamma(1/2) \{\Gamma(x+1)\}^2 \Gamma(2x - \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2x) \{\Gamma(x - \frac{1}{2})\}^2} {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, -x+1, -x+1 \\ 1, \frac{5}{2} - 2x \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right],$$

which, for  $k = 1$ ,  $b = 1/2$ , and  $c = -x + 1 = d$  in (2.8), and using (1.6), leads immediately to (1.1').

Finally, setting  $a = 1$  and  $b = c = d = e = -x + 1$  in (2.2), we obtain a formula of similar nature as those considered here

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 - 3 \left( \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right)^4 + 5 \left\{ \frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{(x+1)(x+2)} \right\}^4 - \dots \\
 (2.10) \quad & = {}_6F_5 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1, \frac{3}{2}, -x+1, -x+1, -x+1, -x+1 \\ \frac{1}{2}, x+1, x+1, x+1, x+1 \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right] \\
 & = \frac{\{\Gamma(x+1)\}^2}{\Gamma(2x)} {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} 2x, -x+1, -x+1 \\ x+1, x+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

the  ${}_3F_2$  of which does not seem easy to be expressed as a closed form.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The second-named author wish to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation made in the program year of 1998, Project No. 1998-015-D00022.

### References

- [1] A. C. Dixon, *Summation of a certain series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **35** (1902), no. 1, 284–289.
- [2] C. F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones generales circa seriem infinitam. . .*, Göttingen thesis (1812), Comment. Soc. Reg. Sci. Göttingensis Recent. **2** (1813) (Reprinted in Carl Friedrich Gauss Werke, 12 vols, vol. 3, pp. 123–162 (see also pp. 207–230), Göttingen, 1870–1933).
- [3] G. H. Hardy, *A chapter from Ramanujan's note-book*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **21** (1923), 492–503.
- [4] F. J. W. Whipple, *On well-posed series, generalized hypergeometric series having parameters in pairs, each pair with the same sum*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **24** (1926), no. 2, 247–263.
- [5] ———, *Some transformations of generalized hypergeometric series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **26** (1927), no. 2, 257–272.

Department of Mathematics  
 College of Natural Sciences  
 Pusan National University  
 Pusan 609-735, Korea  
*E-mail:*