

ON SPECIAL FINSLER SPACES WITH COMMON GEODESICS

BYUNG-DOO KIM* AND HA-YONG PARK

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we investigate a problem in a symmetric Finsler space, which is a special space. First we prove that if a symmetric space remains to be a symmetric one under the \mathcal{Z} -projective change, then the space is of zero curvature. Further we will study \mathcal{W} -recurrent space and \mathcal{D} -recurrent space under the projective change.

0. Introduction

If any geodesic on F^n is also a geodesic on \bar{F}^n and the inverse is true, the change $\sigma : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ of the metric is called *projective*. It is known that the Douglas tensor and the Weyl tensor are invariant under any projective change. Moreover, h -curvature tensor in the Berwald connection $B\Gamma$ is also invariant under a special projective change (\mathcal{Z} -projective change). In the paper [4], M. Fukui and T. Yamada dealt with it and had some results. A Finsler space of zero curvature remains a space of zero curvature by the \mathcal{Z} -projective change which is characterized as $Q_i = 0$.

The purpose of the present paper is to consider the condition that a symmetric space remains to be a symmetric space. Especially, in section 4, we treat a \mathcal{W} -recurrent space and a \mathcal{D} -recurrent space.

1. Berwald connection

Let $F^n = (M^n, L)$ be an n -dimensional Finsler space, where M^n is a connected differential manifold of dimension n and $L(x, y)$ is the fun-

Received December 7, 1999. Revised February 17, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B40.

Key words and phrases: projective change, symmetric space, Douglas tensor.

* This paper was supported by the Kyungil University Research Grants in 1999.

damental function defined on the manifold $T(M)/0$ of non-zero tangent vectors. We assume that L is positive and the fundamental metric tensor $g_{ij} = (1/2)\dot{\partial}_j\dot{\partial}_i L^2$ is positive definite, where $\dot{\partial}_i = \partial/\partial y^i$.

A geodesic on F^n is given by the differential equation

$$d^2x^i/ds^2 + 2G^i(x, dx/ds) = 0,$$

where s is the arc-length of the curve. In the present paper, we are mainly concerned with the Berwald connection $B\Gamma = (G_j^i{}^k, G^i{}_j, 0)$, which is defined as: $G^i{}_j = \dot{\partial}_j G^i$, $G_j^i{}^k = \dot{\partial}_k G^i{}_j$. For a Finsler tensor field X^i , the h -covariant derivative with respect to $B\Gamma$ is given by

$$(1.1) \quad X^h{}_{;i} = \partial_i X^h - G^r{}_i(\dot{\partial}_r X^h) + X^r G_r{}^h{}_i,$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$.

For $B\Gamma$ we consider the torsion and the curvature. According to the theory of Finsler connection ([1],[5]), the $h(v)$ -torsion R^1 is the same with that of Cartan connection $C\Gamma$, because $B\Gamma$ and $C\Gamma$ have the common spray connection $(G^i{}_j)$. And the h -curvature tensor R^2 and the hv -curvature tensor P^2 are usually written as $H = (H_h^i{}_{jk})$ and $G = (G_h^i{}_{jk})$ respectively. These tensors are written as

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H_j^i{}^k &= \mathcal{U}_{(jk)}\{\partial_k G^i{}_j - G_j^i{}^r G_r{}^k\}, \\ H_h^i{}_{jk} &= \mathcal{U}_{(jk)}\{\partial_k G_h^i{}_j - G^r{}_k(\dot{\partial}_r G_h^i{}_j) + G_h^r{}_j G_r^i{}^k\}, \\ G_h^i{}_{jk} &= \dot{\partial}_h G_j^i{}^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{(jk)}$ means the interchange of indices j, k and subtraction.

Throughout the following the index 0 denotes the transvection by y^i , for example, $y^i F^h{}_i = F^h{}_0$. For later use, we introduce the following relations ([8]):

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (a) \quad H_0^i{}_{jk} &= H_j^i{}^k, \quad (b) \quad H_0^i{}^k = H^i{}^k, \quad (c) \quad H_j^i{}^k = -H_k^i{}_j, \\ (d) \quad H_j^i{}^k &= (1/3) \mathcal{U}_{(jk)}\{\dot{\partial}_j H^i{}^k\}, \quad (e) \quad H_h^i{}_{jk} = \dot{\partial}_h H_j^i{}^k. \end{aligned}$$

2. Projective changes of metrics

We consider two Finsler spaces $F^n = (M^n, L)$ and $\bar{F}^n = (M^n, \bar{L})$ on a common underlying manifold M^n . Let the change $\sigma : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ be projective. It is well known that σ is projective if and only if there exists a positively homogeneous degree 1 Finsler scalar field $p(x, y)$ on M^n , satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{G}^i(x, y) = G^i(x, y) + p(x, y)y^i, \quad p \neq 0.$$

This $p(x, y)$ is called the *projective factor* of the projective change under consideration.

We shall show how the torsion and curvature tensors are changed by a projective change. Let $B\bar{\Gamma} = (\bar{G}_{jk}^i, \bar{G}^i_j, 0)$ be the Berwald connection on the space $\bar{F}^n = (M^n, \bar{L})$, obtained from $F^n = (M^n, L)$ by a projective change σ . Then, (2.1) immediately gives

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{G}^i_j &= G^i_j + y^i p_j + \delta_j^i p, \\ \bar{G}_j^i_k &= G_j^i_k + y^i p_{jk} + \delta_j^i p_k + \delta_k^i p_j, \end{aligned}$$

where we put $p_i = \partial_i p$ and $p_{ij} = \partial_j p_i$.

On the other hand, the $h\nu$ -curvature tensor $\bar{G}_i^h_{jk}$ and the h -curvature tensor $\bar{H}_k^h_{ij}$ of $B\bar{\Gamma}$ are given by

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{G}_i^h_{jk} = G_i^h_{jk} + y^h p_{ijk} + \mathcal{A}_{(ijk)}\{\delta_i^h p_{jk}\},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{H}_k^h_{ij} = H_k^h_{ij} + y^h Q_{ij \cdot k} + \delta_k^h Q_{ij} + \mathcal{U}_{(ij)}\{\delta_i^h Q_{j \cdot k}\},$$

where we put $\cdot k = \dot{\partial}_k$, $Q_i = p_{;i} - pp_i$, $Q_{ij} = \mathcal{U}_{(ij)}p_{i;j}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(ijk)}$ means cyclic permutation of the indices i, j, k and summation.

We have two essential projective invariants, one is the Weyl curvature tensor W and the other is the Douglas tensor D . If $Q_i = 0$, from (2.4) the h -curvature tensor H is also invariant under the projective change. In the paper [7], S. C. Rastogi discussed the properties of the projective factor $p(x, y)$ satisfying the condition $Q_i = 0$. A projective change of a Finsler space of zero curvature is also a Finsler space of zero curvature if and only if the projective factor p satisfies the equation $Q_i = 0$.

DEFINITION 1. ([4]) A projective change σ is called a \mathcal{Z} -projective change if $Q_i = 0$.

S. C. Rastogi ([7]) proved the following.

THEOREM A. If $Q_i = 0$, then the scalar $p(x, y)$ and its derivative satisfy the equations:

$$(2.5) \quad (a) p_r H_j^r{}_i = 0, \quad (b) \mathcal{A}_{(ijk)}\{p_{rk} H_j^r{}_i\} = 0.$$

3. Symmetric spaces

DEFINITION 2. A Finsler space is called a *symmetric space* if its h -curvature tensor R^2 satisfies the relation $H_h^i{}_{jk;m} = 0$.

Meher's paper ([6]) concerned with the existence of projective motion in a symmetric Finsler space and obtained a relation of the Berwald's scalar curvature. Moreover he discussed a scalar function, which gives rise to the projective motion.

We can see that every Finsler space of zero curvature is a symmetric space. But the converse is not true.

Let $B\bar{\Gamma}$ be the Berwald connection on the space \bar{F}^n obtained from F^n . Then, from (1.1) the covariant derivative of the h -curvature tensor in \bar{F}^n is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{H}_h^i{}_{jk;\bar{m}} = & \partial_m \bar{H}_h^i{}_{jk} - \dot{\partial}_a \bar{H}_h^i{}_{jk} \bar{G}^a{}_m + \bar{H}_h^a{}_{jk} \bar{G}_a^i{}_m \\ & - \bar{H}_a^i{}_{jk} \bar{G}_h^a{}_m - \bar{H}_h^i{}_{ak} \bar{G}_j^a{}_m - \bar{H}_h^i{}_{ja} \bar{G}_k^a{}_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\bar{\cdot})$ denotes the h -covariant derivative with respect to $B\bar{\Gamma}$. The h -curvature tensor is invariant under the \mathcal{Z} -projective change. Paying attention to (2.2), we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{H}_h^i{}_{jk;\bar{m}} = & H_h^i{}_{jk;m} + \mathcal{A}_{(jkm)}\{H_h^i{}_{jk} p_m\} - p \dot{\partial}_m H_h^i{}_{jk} \\ & + H_h^a{}_{jk} y^i p_{am} + H_h^a{}_{jk} \delta_m^i p_a - H_j^i{}_{k} p_{hm} \\ & - H_m^i{}_{jk} p_h - 3H_h^i{}_{jk} p_m + \mathcal{U}_{(jk)}\{H_h^i{}_{k0} p_{jm}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p(x, y)$ and $R^2(x, y)$ are homogeneous function of degree 1 and 0 in y respectively, we find

$$(3.3) \quad p_0 = p, \quad p_{m0} = 0, \quad \dot{\partial}_0 H_h^i{}_{jk} = 0.$$

We assume that a symmetric space F^n is transformed into another symmetric one \bar{F}^n by the \mathcal{Z} -projective change. And transvecting (3.2) with y^m and y^h , from (1.3), (2.5) and (3.3) we have

$$(3.4) \quad 3pH_j^i{}_k + \mathcal{U}_{(kj)}\{H^i{}_k; \mathcal{D}_j\} = 0.$$

Further, transvecting this with y^j , we obtain $pH^i{}_k = 0$, which implies $H_h^i{}_{jk} = 0$ by virtue of (1.3).

Summarizing up the above, we have:

THEOREM 3.1. *If a symmetric space remains to be a symmetric one by the \mathcal{Z} -projective change and the projective change is not trivial (i.e. $p \neq 0$), then the space is of zero curvature.*

4. Recurrent spaces

The Weyl projective deviation tensor W ([8]) is given by

$$(4.1) \quad W^i{}_k = H^i{}_k - H\delta^i{}_k - y^i(\dot{\partial}_r H^r{}_{ic} - \dot{\partial}_k H)/(n + 1),$$

which is invariant under the projective change.

In the previous paper ([2]), S. Bacsó defined an A -recurrent Finsler space, that is, for a tensor $A^i{}_k = H^i{}_k - Hh^i{}_k$,

$$(4.2) \quad A^i{}_{k;0} = \psi(x, y)A^i{}_k,$$

where $\psi(x, y)$ is positively homogeneous function of degree 1 in y and $h^i{}_k$ is an angular metric tensor. Similarly we introduce \mathcal{W} -recurrent space as following.

DEFINITION 4.1. A Finsler space F^n is called \mathcal{W} -recurrent one if the deviation tensor W satisfies the following condition

$$(4.3) \quad W^i{}_{k;0} = \psi(x, y)W^i{}_k,$$

where $\psi(x, y)$ is a positively homogeneous function of degree 1 in y .

Let's consider the projective change $\sigma : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$, where F^n is an arbitrary Finsler space but \bar{F}^n is a \mathcal{W} -recurrent Finsler space, that is,

$$(4.4) \quad \bar{W}^i_{k;\bar{0}} = \bar{\psi}(x, y)\bar{W}^i_k,$$

where $(\bar{\cdot})$ denotes the h -covariant derivative in $B\bar{\Gamma}$.

In $B\bar{\Gamma}$ of \bar{F}^n , from (1.1) and (2.2) we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} W^i_{k;\bar{m}} = & W^i_{k;m} - p\dot{\partial}_m W^i_k - 2W^i_k p_m + W^i_m p_k \\ & + W^r_k p_{rm} y^i + W^r_k p_r \delta^i_m. \end{aligned}$$

Here is used the fact that the deviation tensor is invariant under the projective change.

Let's assume that the projective factor satisfies a condition $W^r_k p_r = 0$, which we denote by \mathcal{W} -condition. Since W^i_k and p are positively homogeneous functions of degree 2 and 1 in y respectively, we get $\dot{\partial}_0 W^i_k = 2W^i_k$, $W^i_0 = 0$. Transvecting (4.5) with y^m and using (4.4), we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad W^i_{k;0} = (\bar{\psi} + 4p)W^i_k.$$

Putting $\psi = \bar{\psi} + 4p$, we find that F^n is also \mathcal{W} -recurrent.

Conversely, if \bar{F}^n and F^n are \mathcal{W} -recurrent with the function $\psi = \bar{\psi} + 4p$, then from (4.5) we can find that projective factor satisfies the \mathcal{W} -condition. Thus we have:

THEOREM 4.1. *If a Finsler space F^n can be transformed into a \mathcal{W} -recurrent space \bar{F}^n with the function $\bar{\psi}$ by the projective change, then F^n is also \mathcal{W} -recurrent one with the function $\psi = \bar{\psi} + 4p$ if and only if the projective factor p satisfies \mathcal{W} -condition.*

Next, we introduce the Douglas tensor ([1]):

$$(4.7) \quad D_h^i_{jk} = G_h^i{}_{jk} - (G_{hj \cdot k} y^i - A_{(hjk)}\{G_{jk} \delta_h^i\})/(n + 1),$$

where $G_{jk} = G_j{}^r{}_{kr}$. This tensor is invariant under the projective change.

On the other hand, a Finsler space is called a Berwald space, if the connection coefficients $G_j^i{}_k$ of $B\Gamma$ are function of position x alone, in any coordinate system. Therefore, from (4.7) if the hv -curvature tensor G vanishes, then the Douglas tensor vanishes identically. An n -dimensional Finsler space F^n is called a Douglas space ([3]) if the Douglas tensor vanishes identically. This fact has substantial importance in biology ([1]). Therefore we can state the following.

REMARK. If a Finsler space is projective to a Berwald space, then the space is the Douglas space.

Next, similar to the \mathcal{W} -recurrent case, we can define the \mathcal{D} -recurrent space.

DEFINITION 4.2. A Finsler space F^n is called \mathcal{D} -recurrent one if the Douglas tensor satisfies the following condition

$$(4.8) \quad D_h^i{}_{jk;0} = \varphi(x, y) D_h^i{}_{jk},$$

where $\varphi(x, y)$ is a positively homogeneous function of degree 1 in y .

Since the Douglas tensor is positively homogeneous function of degree -1 in y , we find $\dot{\partial}_0 D_h^i{}_{jk} = -1$. And it satisfies the identities ([8]):

$$(4.9) \quad (a) D_0^i{}_{jk} = D_h^i{}_{0k} = D_h^i{}_{j0} = 0, \quad (b) D_r^r{}_{jk} = 0.$$

We are concerned with the projective change $\sigma : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$, where F^n is arbitrary but \bar{F}^n is \mathcal{D} -recurrent. From (1.1) and (2.2) we get

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} D_h^i{}_{jk;m} &= D_h^i{}_{jk;m} - p \dot{\partial}_m D_h^i{}_{jk} + D_h^r{}_{jk} p_{rm} y^i \\ &\quad + D_h^r{}_{jk} p_r \delta_m^i - \mathcal{A}_{(hjm)} D_h^i{}_{jk} p_m - D_h^i{}_{jm} p_k. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the projective factor satisfies a condition $D_h^r{}_{jk} p_r = 0$, which we denote by \mathcal{D} -condition. Transvecting (4.10) with y^m and taking account of $\dot{\partial}_0 D_h^i{}_{jk} = -1$, we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad D_h^i{}_{jk;0} = \bar{\varphi} D_h^i{}_{jk}.$$

Putting $\varphi = \bar{\varphi}$, we find that F^n is also \mathcal{D} -recurrent.

Conversely, if \bar{F}^n and F^n are \mathcal{D} -recurrent spaces with the function $\varphi = \bar{\varphi}$, then from (4.10) we get $D_h^r{}_{jk} p_r = 0$. Thus we have:

THEOREM 4.2. If a Finsler space F^n can be transformed into a \mathcal{D} -recurrent space \bar{F}^n with the function $\bar{\varphi}$ by the projective change, then F^n must be \mathcal{D} -recurrent one with the function $\varphi = \bar{\varphi}$ if and only if the projective factor p satisfies \mathcal{D} -condition.

References

- [1] P. L. Antonelli, R. Ingarden and M. Matsumoto, *The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology*, Kluwer Acad. publ., Netherlands, 1993.
- [2] S. Bacsó, *A note on geodesic mappings of Finsler spaces*, Coll. Math. Soci. Janos Bolyai **46** (Hungary) (1984), 131-136.
- [3] S. Bacsó and M. Matsumoto, *On the Finsler spaces of Douglas type. A generalization of the notion of Berwald space*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **51** (1997), 385-406.
- [4] M. Fukui and T. Yamada, *On projective mapping in Finsler geometry*, Tensor, N.S. **35** (1981), 216-222.
- [5] M. Matsumoto, *Foundation of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*, Kaiseisha, 1986.
- [6] F. M. Meher, *Projective motion in a symmetric Finsler space*, Tensor, N.S. **23** (1972), 275-278.
- [7] S. C. Rastogi, *On projective mapping in a Finsler space*, Tensor, N.S. **42** (1985), 121-125.
- [8] H. Rund, *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1959.

Department of Mathematics
Kyungil University
Kyungsanshi, Kyungbook 712-701, Korea
E-mail: bdkim@bear.kyungil.ac.kr
hypark@bear.kyungil.ac.kr