

UNIFORM N -DICHOTOMY FOR EVOLUTIONARY PROCESS IN BANACH SPACES

C. BUSE, Y. C. SEO AND Y. M. NAM

ABSTRACT. We study some properties of N -dichotomy for evolutionary process and generalize the theory of the uniform N -equistability using these properties.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, X is a real or complex Banach space and $L(X)$ is the set of all bounded linear operators from X into itself. Let T be the set defined by $T = \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty\}$. A mapping $P : T \rightarrow L(X)$ is called an evolutionary process ([1], [6]) if the following are satisfied :

- (i) $P(t, s)P(s, t_0) = P(t, t_0)$ for all $0 \leq t_0 \leq s \leq t$,
- (ii) $P(t, t)x = x$ for all $x \in X$,
- (iii) $P(t, s)$ is strongly continuous in s on $[0, t]$ and in t on $[s, \infty)$,
- (iv) there is a nondecreasing function $p : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|P(t, s)\| \leq p(t - s) \quad \text{for all } (t, s) \in T.$$

Let $L_{t_0}^\infty(X)$ be the space of X -valued functions f defined almost everywhere on $[t_0, \infty)$ such that f is strongly measurable and essentially bounded, and let $X_1(t_0)$ be the set $X_1(t_0) = \{x \in X : P(\cdot, t_0)x \in$

Received May 23, 2000.

Key words and phrases: N -dichotomy, evolutionary process, uniformly exponential dichotomy, N -uniformly exponential dichotomy.

$L_{t_0}^\infty(X)$. If $X_2(t_0)$ is a complementary subspace of $X_1(t_0)$ then we denote by $P_1(t_0)$ the projection along $X_2(t_0)$ and $P_2(t_0) = I - P_1(t_0)$ the projection along $X_1(t_0)$.

We also denote :

$$P_1(t, t_0) = P(t, t_0)P_1(t_0) \text{ and } P_2(t, t_0) = P(t, t_0)P_2(t_0).$$

In what follows we denote by \mathcal{N} the set of all functions $N : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ satisfying the following conditions :

- (i) N is nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$,
- (ii) N is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and $N(0) = 0$,
- (iii) $N(uv) \leq N(u) \cdot N(v)$ for all $u \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$.

EXAMPLE. Let $N : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, $N(u) = u$ for $u \in [0, 1]$ and $N(u) = u^2$ for $u > 1$. Then we know that $N \in \mathcal{N}$.

DEFINITION 1.1. (cf. [2], [3], [4]) An evolutionary process P is said to be :

- (i) uniformly exponentially dichotomic (and we write P is u.e.d) if there are $M_1, M_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 > 0$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|P_1(t, t_0)x\| \leq M_1 \cdot \exp[-\nu_1(t - s)] \cdot \|P_1(s, t_0)x\|$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \|P_2(t, t_0)x\| \geq M_2 \cdot \exp[\nu_2(t - s)] \cdot \|P_2(s, t_0)x\|,$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$,

- (ii) N -uniformly exponentially dichotomic (and we write P is N -u.e.d) if there are $M_1, M_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 > 0$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \leq M_1 \cdot \exp[-\nu_1(t - s)] \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|),$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq M_2 \cdot \exp[\nu_2(t - s)] \cdot N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|),$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$.

It is clear that the uniform exponential dichotomy is a particular case (when $N(u) = u$) of the N -uniformly exponential dichotomy.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $\varphi : T \rightarrow R_+$ be a function. If there exist positive numbers H, δ, η with $\eta > 1$ such that*

$$(1.5) \quad \eta\varphi(s + \delta, t_0) \leq \varphi(s, t_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(t, t_0) \leq H\varphi(s, t_0),$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$, then there are $K, \nu > 0$ such that

$$(1.6) \quad \varphi(t, t_0) \leq K \cdot \exp[-\nu(t - s)]\varphi(s, t_0),$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$.

PROOF. Let $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $n = [(t - s) \cdot \delta^{-1}]$. Then we have $\varphi(t, t_0) \leq H\varphi(s + n\delta, t_0) \leq \eta^{-n}H\varphi(s, t_0) = K \cdot \exp[-\nu(t - s)] \cdot \varphi(s, t_0)$, where $K = \eta H$ and $\nu = \delta^{-1} \cdot \ln \eta$. This completes the proof.

By the same method, we can also prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $\Psi : T \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. If there exist positive numbers $H, \delta, \eta > 0$, with $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$(1.7) \quad \eta\Psi(s + \delta, t_0) \geq \Psi(s, t_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(t, t_0) \geq H\Psi(s, t_0),$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$, then there are $K, \nu > 0$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad \Psi(t, t_0) \geq K \exp[\nu(t - s)]\Psi(s, t_0).$$

LEMMA 1.3. *Let $g : R_+ \rightarrow R_+^*$ be a continuous function on R_+ with $\inf\{g(u) : u \geq 0\} < 1$ and $x \in X$ such that*

$$(1.9) \quad N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \leq g(t - s) \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|),$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$. Then there exist $M, \nu > 0$ such that

$$(1.10) \quad N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \leq M \cdot \exp[-\nu(t - s)] \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|).$$

PROOF. Since $\inf\{g(u) : u \geq 0\} < 1$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $g(\delta) < 1$. Let $n = \lceil (t-s) \cdot \delta^{-1} \rceil \in \mathcal{N}$. It is clear that there is $r \in [0, \delta)$ such that $t = s + n\delta + r$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) &= N(\|P(t, s + n\delta)P_1(s + n\delta, t_0)x\|) \\ &\leq N(P(t - s - n\delta)) \cdot N(\|P_1(s + n\delta, t_0)\|)N(P(\delta)) \\ &\quad \cdot g(\delta) \cdot N(\|P_1(s + (n-1)\delta, t_0)\|) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq N(P(\delta)) \cdot (g(\delta))^n \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|) \\ &= N(P(\delta)) \cdot \exp(-\nu n\delta) \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|) \\ &= N(P(\delta)) \cdot \exp[-\nu(t-s)] \cdot \exp(\nu r) \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|) \\ &= M \cdot \exp[-\nu(t-s)] \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \leq s \leq t_0 \leq 0$, where $\nu = \delta^{-1} \cdot \ln(g(\delta)) > 0$ and $M = N(P(\delta)) \times \exp(\nu r) > 0$. This completes the proof.

And we have the following corresponding lemma.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ with $\sup\{h(u) : u \geq 0\} > 1$ and $x \in X$ such that*

$$(1.11) \quad N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq h(t-s)N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|),$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$. Then there exist $M', \nu' > 0$ such that

$$(1.12) \quad N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq M' \cdot \exp[\nu'(t-s)] \cdot N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|).$$

2. N -dichotomy for evolutionary process

THEOREM 2.1. *The following statements are equivalent :*

- (a) *There exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that P is N -u.e.d. ;*
- (b) *The evolutionary process P is u.e.d. ;*
- (c) *For every $N \in \mathcal{N}$ the evolutionary process P is N -u.e.d..*

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $s \geq t_0 \geq 0$, $u \geq 0$ and $x \in X$. From (1.3) we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad M_1^{-1} \exp(\nu_1 u) \cdot N(\|P_1(s+u, t_0)x\|) \leq N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|).$$

Since

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} M_1^{-1} \exp(\nu_1 u) = \infty,$$

there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad N(2) \cdot N(\|P_1(s+u, t_0)x\|) \leq N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|),$$

for all $s \geq t_0 \geq 0$, $u \geq \delta$, and consequently

$$(2.3) \quad 2\|P_1(s+u, t_0)x\| \leq \|P_1(s, t_0)x\|$$

for all $s \geq t_0 \geq 0$, $u \geq \delta$ and $x \in X$. On the other hand, if $s \leq t \leq s+\delta$, then

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_1(t, t_0)x\| &= \|P(t, s)P_1(s, t_0)x\| \\ &\leq M \exp[\omega(t-s)] \cdot \|P_1(s, t_0)x\| \\ &\leq M \exp(\omega\delta) \cdot \|P_1(s, t_0)x\|. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.3), (2.4) and Lemma 1.1, we obtain that there exist $M'_1, \nu'_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|P_1(t, t_0)x\| \leq M'_1 \exp[-\nu'_1(t-s)] \cdot \|P_1(s, t_0)x\|$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$.

Let $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $N(\eta) \leq M_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) &\geq N(\eta) \cdot N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|) \\ &\geq N(\eta \cdot \|P_2(s, t_0)x\|), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(2.5) \quad \|P_2(t, t_0)x\| \geq \eta \|P_2(s, t_0)x\|$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$. Since $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} M_2 \cdot \exp(\nu_2 u) = \infty$, there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$N(\|P_2(s + \delta, t_0)x\|) \geq N(2) \cdot N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|),$$

and hence

$$(2.6) \quad \|P_2(s + \delta, t_0)x\| \geq 2\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|$$

for all $s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$. From (2.5), (2.6) and Lemma 1.2, we obtain that there exist $M'_2, \nu'_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|P_2(t, t_0)x\| \geq M'_2 \exp[\nu'_2(t - s)] \cdot \|P_2(s, t_0)x\|$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Let $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $N \in \mathcal{N}$. From (1.1) it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \leq N(M_1 \exp[-\nu_1(t - s)]) \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|)$$

and from $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} N(u) = 0$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad N(\|P_1(s + \delta_1, t_0)x\|) \leq \frac{1}{2} N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$. On the other hand, it follows from (1.1) that

$$\|P_1(t, t_0)x\| \leq M_1 \|P_1(s, t_0)x\|$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$. Using Lemma 1.1, we obtain that there exist $M''_1, \nu''_1 > 0$ such that

$$N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \leq M''_1 \exp[-\nu_1(t - s)] \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$. From (1.2) we obtain

$$M_2^{-1} \exp[-\nu_2(t - s)] \cdot \|P_2(t, t_0)x\| \geq \|P_2(s, t_0)x\|$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$, and hence

$$N(M_2^{-1} \exp[-\nu_2(t - s)]) \cdot N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|).$$

Hence $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} N(u) = 0$. Therefore, there exists $\tau_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}N(\|P_2(s + \tau_1, t_0)x\|) \geq N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$.

By (1.2) it follows

$$M_2^{-1}\|P_2(t, t_0)x\| \geq \|P_2(s, t_0)x\|$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$, and consequently

$$N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq [N(M_2^{-1})]^{-1} \cdot N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a) is obvious.

Seo-Nam [5] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. *The evolutionary process P is u.e.d. if and only if there exist $M, m > 0$ such that*

$$(2.9) \quad \int_t^\infty \|P_1(u, t_0)x\| du \leq M \cdot \|P_1(t, t_0)x\| ,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{t_0}^t \|P_2(u, t_0)x\| du \leq M \cdot \|P_2(t, t_0)x\| ,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad m \cdot \|P_2(t, t_0)x\| \leq \|P_2(t + 1, t_0)x\|$$

for all $(t, t_0) \in T$ and $x \in X$.

Now, we are in a position to prove the main theorem in this paper.

THEOREM 2.3. *The evolutionary process P is N -u.e.d. if and only if there exist $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n, m > 0$ such that*

$$(2.12) \quad \int_t^\infty N(\|P_1(u, t_0)x\|)du \leq M \cdot N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|),$$

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{t_0}^t N(\|P_2(u, t_0)x\|)du \leq M \cdot N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|),$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad m \cdot N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \leq N(\|P_2(t+1, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $(t, t_0) \in T$ and $x \in X$.

PROOF. The necessity is obvious.

For the sufficiency, let $t \geq s+1 > s \geq t_0 \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \cdot \int_0^1 (N(P(u)))^{-1} du \\ &= \int_0^1 N(\|P(t, \tau)P_1(\tau, t_0)x\|) \cdot [N(P(u))]^{-1} du \\ &\leq \int_{t-1}^t N(P(t-\tau)) \cdot N(\|P_1(\tau, t_0)x\|) \cdot (N(P(t-\tau)))^{-1} d\tau \\ &= \int_{t-1}^t N(\|P_1(\tau, t_0)x\|) d\tau \\ &\leq \int_s^\infty N(\|P_1(\tau, t_0)x\|) d\tau \\ &\leq M \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \leq M \left(\int_0^1 (N(P(u)))^{-1} du \right)^{-1} \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq s+1 > s \geq t_0 \geq 0$.

If $t_0 \leq s \leq t < s + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) &\leq N(P(t - s)) \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|) \\ &\leq N(P(1)) \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(2.15) \quad N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \leq H \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$, where

$$H = \max\{M \cdot \left(\int_0^1 N(P(u))du\right)^{-1}, N(P(1))\}.$$

Integrating (2.15) from s to t we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.16) \quad (t - s)N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) &\leq H \int_s^t N(\|P_1(u, t_0)x\|)du \\ &\leq H \int_s^\infty N(\|P_1(u, t_0)x\|)du \\ &\leq H \cdot M \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this and (2.15), we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \leq M(H + 1) \cdot (t - s + 1)^{-1} \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$.

It follows from Lemma 1.3, there are $M_1 > 0$ and $\nu_1 > 0$ such that

$$(2.18) \quad N(\|P_1(t, t_0)x\|) \leq M_1 \exp[-\nu_1(t - s)] \cdot N(\|P_1(s, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$.

Let $x \in X$ and $t \geq s \geq t_0 + 1 > t_0 \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|) &\cdot \int_0^1 (N(P(u)))^{-1} du \\ &= N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|) \cdot \int_{s-1}^s (N(P(s - \tau)))^{-1} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^t N(\|P_2(\tau, t_0)x\|) d\tau \\ &\leq M \cdot N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $t \geq v + 1 > v \geq t_0$

$$(2.19) \quad N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq M^{-1} \int_0^1 (N(P(u)))^{-1} du \\ \cdot N(\|P_2(v + 1, t_0)x\|) K \cdot N(\|P_2(v, t_0)x\|),$$

where

$$K = M^{-1} \cdot m \cdot \int_0^1 (N(P(u)))^{-1} du.$$

Integrating (2.19) from $v + 1$ to t , we obtain

$$(2.20) \quad \int_{v+1}^t N(\|P_2(\tau, t_0)x\|) d\tau \geq K(t - v - 1) \cdot N(\|P_2(v, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq v + 1 > v \geq t_0 \geq 0$.

Therefore,

$$(2.21) \quad K(t - v - 1) \cdot N(\|P_2(v, t_0)x\|) \leq \int_{t_0}^t N(\|P_2(\tau, t_0)x\|) d\tau \\ \leq M \cdot N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq v + 1 > v \geq t_0 \geq 0$. Hence from (2.19) we obtain

$$(2.22) \quad N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq K(t - v) \cdot (M + 1)^{-1} \cdot N(\|P_2(v, t_0)x\|) \\ \geq K_1(t - v + 1) \cdot N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq v + 1 > v \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$, where $K_1 = K \cdot [2(M + 1)]^{-1}$.

If $v \leq t < v + 1$, then

$$N(\|P_2(v + 1, t_0)x\|) = N(\|P(v + 1, t)P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \\ \leq N(P(v + 1 - t)) \cdot N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|).$$

Therefore,

$$(2.23) \quad N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq (N(P(1)))^{-1} \cdot N(\|P_2(v + 1, t_0)x\|) \\ \geq m \cdot N(P(1))^{-1} \cdot N(\|P_2(v, t_0)x\|),$$

and

$$(2.24) \quad N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq m \cdot N(P(1))^{-1} \cdot N(\|P_2(v, t_0)x\|) \cdot (t - v)$$

for all $0 \leq t_0 \leq v \leq t < v + 1$.

Combining (2.23) and (2.24), we have

$$(2.25) \quad N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq K_2(t - v + 1) \cdot N(\|P_2(v, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $0 \leq t_0 \leq v \leq t < v + 1$, where

$$K_2 = (m + 1) \cdot [2N(P(1))]^{-1} > 0.$$

From (2.24) and (2.25), we have

$$N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq K'(t - v + 1) \cdot N(\|P_2(v, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$, where $K' = \min\{K_1, K_2\}$.

From Lemma 1.4, we know that there are $M_2 > 0$ and $\nu_2 > 0$ such that

$$N(\|P_2(t, t_0)x\|) \geq M_2 \exp[\nu_2(t - s)] \cdot N(\|P_2(s, t_0)x\|)$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x \in X$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Datko, *Uniform asymptotic stability of evolutionary process in a Banach spaces*, Siam J. Math. Anal. **3** (1973), 428–445
- [2] P. Preda and M. Megan, *Exponential dichotomy of evolutionary process in Banach spaces*, Czechos. Math. Journal **35** (1985), 312–323.
- [3] P. Preda and C. Buse, *N -dichotomy of evolutionary process in Banach spaces*, Preprint series in Mathematics, Timisoara (1992).
- [4] S. Rolewicz, *On uniform N -equistability*, Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl. **115**(2) (1986), 434–441.
- [5] Y. C. Seo and Y. M. Nam, *Uniform dichotomies for evolutionary process in Banach spaces*, Preprint.

- [6] Y. C. Seo and Y. M. Nam, *Lyapunov functions and exponential dichotomies in Banach spaces*, Journal of the Graduate school. Kyungnam Univ. **17** (1999), 35–44.

C. Buse
Department of Mathematics
University of Timisoara
Herzegovina, Czechoslovakia

Y. C. Seo and Y. M. Nam
Department of Mathematical Education
Kyungnam University
Masan 631-701, Korea