

**SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BEST
APPROXIMATION ELEMENT FROM
SUBSPACES IN LINEAR 2-NORMED SPACES**

S. S. KIM AND S. S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we shall give new characterizations of best approximation element in linear 2-normed spaces in terms of bounded linear 2-functionals and 2-hyperplanes.

1. Introduction

Let X be a linear space of dimension greater than 1, and let $\|\cdot, \cdot\| : X \times X \rightarrow R$ be a function with the following conditions:

- (N₁) $\|x, y\| = 0$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent,
- (N₂) $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$,
- (N₃) $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$, where α is real,
- (N₄) $\|x + y, z\| \leq \|x, z\| + \|y, z\|$.

$\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called a *2-norm* on X and $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ a *linear 2-normed space* ([6]).

Let A, C be a subspaces of X . A bilinear functional $f : A \times C \rightarrow R$ is called a *bounded linear 2-functional* if there is a real constant $K > 0$ such that $|f(x, y)| \leq K \|x, y\|$ for $x, y \in X$ ([12]).

For a bounded linear 2-functional we have

Received May 30, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A15, 51K05.

Key words and phrases: 2-norm derivative, best approximation, orthogonality, 2-hyperplane, proximal, variational.

This research was supported by the Dongueui University Research Grants in 1999.

$$\|f\| = \inf\{K : |f(x, y)| \leq K\|x, y\| \text{ for all } x, y \in X\}.$$

Additional properties of bounded linear 2-functionals may be found in [4], [5], [9] and [12].

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space and $V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a subspace of X generated by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in X . For all $x, y \in X$, define

$$\rho_{\pm}(x, z)(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^{\pm}} \frac{\|x + ty, z\|^2 - \|x, z\|^2}{2t}$$

for any real t and $z \in X \setminus V(x, y)$.

Theorem 1.1([1], [2]). *We have some properties of ρ_{\pm} :*

- (1) $\rho_{\pm}(\alpha x, z)(\beta y) = \alpha\beta\rho_{\pm}(x, z)(y)$ for $\alpha\beta \geq 0$.
- (2) $\rho_{\pm}(x, z)(\alpha x + y) = \alpha\rho_{\pm}(x, z)(x) + \rho_{\pm}(x, z)(y)$ for all $\alpha \in R$.
- (3) $\rho_{\pm}(x, z)(y+y') \leq (\rho_{\pm}(x, z)(x))^{1/2}(\rho_{\pm}(y, z)(y))^{1/2} = \rho_{\pm}(x, z)(y')$.
- (4) $\rho_{+}(x, z)(-y) = \rho_{+}(-x, z)(y) = -\rho_{-}(x, z)(y)$.
- (5) $\rho_{+}(x, z)(x) = \rho_{-}(x, z)(x) = \|x, z\|^2$.
- (6) $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is smooth at $x_o \in X \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $\rho_{+}(x, z)(y) = \rho_{-}(x, z)(y)$.
- (7) $x \perp_z (\alpha x + y)$ if and only if $\rho_{-}(x, z)(y) \leq -\alpha\|x, z\|^2 \leq \rho_{+}(x, z)(y)$ where \perp_z is orthogonality([7]), that is, $x \perp_z y$ means $\|x + ty, z\| \geq \|x, z\|$ for all $t \in R$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space. For a subspace G of X , let $[x, G]$ be the subspace of X generated by x and G , where $x \in X \setminus \overline{G}$. Then for $z \in X \setminus [x, G]$, an element $g_o \in G$ is called the *best approximation element* of x by G (with respect to z) if

$$\|x - g_o, z\| \leq \|x - g, z\|$$

for all $g \in G$ ([10]). The set of all elements of best approximation of x by G with respect to z is denoted by $P_{G,z}(x)$, that is,

$$P_{G,z}(x) = \{g_o \in G : \|x - g_o, z\| \leq \|x - g, z\|\}.$$

The following theorem gives a relationship between orthogonality and best approximation in linear 2-normed spaces.

THEOREM 1.2. ([4]) *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space, G a linear subspace of X , $x \in X \setminus \overline{G}$ and $z \in X \setminus [x, G]$. Then $g_o \in P_{G,z}(x)$ if and only if $(x - g_o) \perp_z G$.*

In 1994 and 1990, I. Franić([4]) and S. Mabizela([9]) gave some characterizations of the best approximation in terms of bounded linear 2-functions, respectively. Also, some results on approximation theory in linear 2-normed spaces have been obtained by S.S. Kim, Y.J. Cho and T.D. Narang([8]), S. Elumalai, Y.J. Cho and S.S. Kim([3]) and R. Ravi([11]).

In this paper, new characterizations of best approximation in linear 2-normed spaces is given in terms of bounded linear 2-functionals and 2-hyperplanes.

2. Characterizations of best approximation

Let f be a non-zero linear 2-functional on $X \times V(z)$. Then we define the 2-hyperplane H through the origin by

$$H = \{x \in X | f(x, z) = 0\}.$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space, f a non-zero bounded linear 2-functional on $X \times V(z)$ and H a 2-hyperplane through the origin, $x_o \in X \setminus H$, $z \in X \setminus [x, H]$ and $g_o \in H$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $g_o \in P_{H,z}(x_o)$;

(2) (a) For all $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_- \left(\frac{f(x_o, z)(x_o - g_o)}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|^2}, z \right) (x) \\ & \leq f(x, z) \leq \rho_+ \left(\frac{f(x_o, z)(x_o - g_o)}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|^2}, z \right) (x), \text{ and} \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$(b) \cdot \|f\| = \frac{|f(x_o, z)|}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|}.$$

PROOF. (1) implies (2): Suppose that $g_o \in P_{H,z}(x_o)$. By Theorem 1.2, $(x_o - g_o) \perp_z H$. Let $w = x_o - g_o$ and $x \in X$. Then we have $f(x, z)w - f(w, z)x$ belong to H and so $w \perp_z (f(x, z)w - f(w, z)x)$. By Theorem 1.1,

$$\rho_-(w, z)(f(x, z)w - f(w, z)x) \leq 0 \leq \rho_+(w, z)(f(x, z)w - f(w, z)x)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $z \in X \setminus [x, H]$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_{\pm}(w, z)(f(x, z)w - f(w, z)x) \\ & = f(x, z)\|w, z\|^2 + \rho_{\pm}(w, z)(-f(w, z)x) \end{aligned}$$

and $w \perp_z H$, if w is any non-zero element of X , then $f(w, z) \neq 0$. Now we will consider two cases: $f(w, z) > 0$ and $f(w, z) < 0$.

Case 1. Suppose that $f(w, z) > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq f(x, z)\|w, z\|^2 + \rho_+(w, z)(-f(w, z)x) \\ & = f(x, z)\|w, z\|^2 - \rho_-(f(w, z)w, z)(x) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f(x, z) \geq \rho_- \left(\frac{f(w, z)w}{\|w, z\|^2}, z \right) (x).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \geq f(x, z)\|w, z\|^2 + \rho_-(w, z)(-f(w, z)x) \\ & = f(x, z)\|w, z\|^2 - \rho_+(f(w, z)w, z)(x) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f(x, z) \leq \rho_+ \left(\frac{f(w, z)w}{\|w, z\|^2}, z \right) (x).$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\rho_- \left(\frac{f(w, z)w}{\|w, z\|^2}, z \right) (x) \leq f(x, z) \leq \rho_+ \left(\frac{f(w, z)w}{\|w, z\|^2}, z \right) (x).$$

Case 2. Suppose that $f(w, z) < 0$. For any $x, y \in X$ and $z \in X \setminus V(x, y)$,

$$\rho_-(x, z)(y) = -\rho_+(x, z)(-y) = -\rho_+(-x, z)(y)$$

and

$$\rho_-(-x, z)(y) = -\rho_+(-x, z)(-y) = -\rho_+(x, z)(y)$$

hold. Since $f(w, z) < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq f(x, z)\|w, z\|^2 + \rho_+(w, z)(-f(w, z)x) \\ &= f(x, z)\|w, z\|^2 - \rho_-(f(w, z)w, z)(x) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f(x, z) \geq \rho_- \left(\frac{f(w, z)w}{\|w, z\|^2}, z \right) (x).$$

Also, by the similar method we have

$$f(x, z) \leq \rho_+ \left(\frac{f(w, z)w}{\|w, z\|^2}, z \right) (x).$$

Therefore,

$$\rho_- \left(\frac{f(w, z)w}{\|w, z\|^2}, z \right) (x) \leq f(x, z) \leq \rho_+ \left(\frac{f(w, z)w}{\|w, z\|^2}, z \right) (x).$$

Since $g_o \in H$, $f(w, z) = f(x_o, z)$ and so we obtain (a).

Next, let $u = f(x_o, z)(x_o - g_o)/\|x_o - g_o, z\|^2$. Then, by (a)

$$f(x, z) \leq \rho_+(u, z)(x) \leq \|x, z\|\|u, z\|$$

and

$$f(x, z) \geq \rho_-(u, z)(x) = -\rho_+(u, z)(-x) \geq \|x, z\|\|u, z\|.$$

Therefore, $-\|u, z\| \leq f(x, z)/\|x, z\| \leq \|u, z\|$ and hence $\|f\| \leq \|u, z\|$. On the other hand, we have

$$\|f\| \geq \frac{f(u, z)}{\|u, z\|} \geq \frac{\rho_-(u, z)(u)}{\|u, z\|} = \|u, z\|$$

and so we conclude that (b) holds.

(2) implies (1): From (a), for $x \in H$

$$\rho_-\left(\frac{f(x_o, z)(x_o - g_o)}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|^2}, z\right)(x) \leq 0 \leq \rho_+\left(\frac{f(x_o, z)(x_o - g_o)}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|^2}, z\right)(x).$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\frac{f(x_o, z)(x_o - g_o)}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|^2} \perp_z H$$

and so since $f(x_o, z) \neq 0$, $(x_o - g_o) \perp_z H$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 we have $g_o \in P_{H, z}(x_o)$.

By Theorem 2.1, we obtain easily the following corollaries:

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $(X, (\cdot, \cdot|_z))$ be a 2-inner product space, f a non-zero bounded linear 2-functional on $X \times V(z)$, H a 2-hyperplane through the origin, $x_o \in X \setminus H$, and $z \in X \setminus [x, H]$. Then there exists $g_o \in H$ such that*

$$f(x, z) = \left(x, \frac{f(x_o, z)(x_o - g_o)}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|^2} | z\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\| = \frac{|f(x_o, z)|}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|}.$$

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a smooth linear 2-normed space, f a non-zero bounded linear 2-functional on $X \times V(z)$, H a 2-hyperplane through the origin, $x_o \in X \setminus H$, $z \in X \setminus [x, H]$ and $g_o \in H$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $g_o \in P_{H,z}(x_o)$;

(2) $f(x, z) = \rho_+ \left(\frac{f(x_o, z)(x_o - g_o)}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|^2}, z \right)(x)$ and $\|f\| = \frac{|f(x_o, z)|}{\|x_o - g_o, z\|}$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space, G a linear subspace of X , $x \in X \setminus \overline{G}$ and $z \in X \setminus [x, G]$. If $P_{G,z}(x)$ has at least one element for every $x \in X$, then G is said to be *proximal* ([10]).

LEMMA 2.4. ([10]) *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space and H be a 2-hyperplane through the origin. Then H is proximal if and only if there exists a non-zero $x \in X$ such that $0 \in P_{H,z}(x)$.*

From Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain easily the following:

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space, f a non-zero bounded linear 2-functional on $X \times V(z)$ and H a 2-hyperplane through the origin. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) H is proximal;

(2) For non-zero $u \in X$ and $z \in X \setminus V(x, u)$,

(a) $\rho_-(u, z)(x) \leq f(x, z) \leq \rho_+(u, z)(x)$

(b) $\|f\| = \|u, z\|$.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a smooth linear 2-normed space and H a 2-hyperplane through the origin. Then H is proximal if and only if there exists a non-zero $u \in X$ such that $f(x, z) = \rho_+(u, z)(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\|f\| = \|u, z\|$.*

3. A variational characterization of best approximation

In this section, we will give a variational characterizations of best approximation element.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space, f be a non-zero bounded linear 2-functional on $X \times V(z)$ and a non-zero element $w \in X$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *The following inequality holds,*

$$(3.1) \quad \rho_-(w, z)(x) \leq f(x, z) \leq \rho_+(w, z)(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

(2) *The element w minimize the quadratic functional $F_{f_z} : X \rightarrow R$ defined by*

$$F_{f_z}(u) = \|u, z\|^2 - 2f(u, z).$$

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): If w satisfies the relation (3.1), then we have $f(w, z) = \|w, z\|^2$ for $x = w$. Now, let $u \in X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{f_z}(u) - F_{f_z}(w) &= \|u, z\|^2 - 2f(u, z) + \|w, z\|^2 \\ &\geq \|u, z\|^2 - 2\rho_+(w, z)(u) + \|w, z\|^2 \\ &\geq \|u, z\|^2 - 2\|u, z\|\|w, z\| + \|w, z\|^2 \\ &= (\|u, z\| - \|w, z\|)^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so w minimize the functional F_{f_z} .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that w minimize the functional F_{f_z} . Then we have

$$F_{f_z}(w + \lambda u) - F_{f_z}(w) \geq 0$$

for all $u \in X$ and $\lambda \in R$. On the other hand, since $F_{f_z}(w + \lambda u) - F_{f_z}(w) = \|w + \lambda u, z\|^2 - \|w, z\|^2 - 2\lambda f(u, z)$ we have

$$2\lambda f(u, z) \leq \|w + \lambda u, z\|^2 - \|w, z\|^2 \quad (3.2)$$

for all $u \in X$ and $\lambda \in R$. Now, we assume that $\lambda > 0$. Then by (3.2) we have

$$f(u, z) \leq \frac{\|w + \lambda u, z\|^2 - \|w, z\|^2}{2\lambda} \quad \text{for all } u \in X,$$

which gives $f(u, z) \leq \rho_+(w, z)(u)$ for $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ and all $u \in X$. Putting $(-u)$ instead of u , we have $f(u, z) \geq -\rho_+(w, z)(-u) = \rho_-(w, z)(u)$ for all $u \in X$. Therefore, we have the relation (3.1).

By Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following:

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space and f a non-zero bounded linear 2-functional on $X \times V(z)$ and a non-zero element $w \in X$. Then w is a element of smoothness of X and it minimizes the functional F_{f_z} if and only if*

$$f(x, z) = \rho_+(w, z)(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Y.J. Cho and S.S. Kim, *Gâteaux derivatives and 2-inner product spaces*, Glasnik Mat. **27(47)** (1992), 271-282.
- [2] Y.J. Cho, S.S. Kim and A. White, *Extreme points and strict convexity*, Demonstratio Math. **27** (1994), 199-208.
- [3] S. Elumalai, Y.J. Cho and S.S. Kim, *Best approximation sets in linear 2-normed spaces*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. **12** (1997), 619-629.
- [4] I. Franić, *An extension theorem for bounded linear 2-functionals and applications*, Math. Japonica **40** (1994), 79-85.
- [5] I. Franić, *On the best coapproximation in linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Japonica **46** (1997), 147-150.
- [6] S. Gähler, *Lineare 2-normierte Räume*, Math. Nachr. **28** (1965), 1-43.
- [7] A. Khan and A. Siddiqui, *B-orthogonality in 2-normed spaces*, Bull. Calcuta Math. Soc. **74** (1982), 216-222.
- [8] S.S. Kim, Y.J. Cho and T.D. Narang, *Some remarks of orthogonality and best approximations*, Demonstratio Math **30** (1997), 293-300.
- [9] S. Mabizela, *On bounded linear 2-functionals*, Math. Japonica **35** (1990), 51-55.
- [10] S.A. Mariadoss, *Orthogonality, Approximation and Fixed Points in Linear 2-Normed Spaces*, Doctoral Diss., Madras Univ., 1982.
- [11] R. Ravi, *Approximation in Linear 2-Normed Spaces and Normed Linear Spaces*, Doctoral Diss., Madras Univ., 1994.
- [12] A. White, *2-Banach spaces*, Math Nachr. **42** (1969), 43-60.

S. S. Kim

Department of Mathematics

Donggeui University

Pusan 614-714, Korea

E-mail: sskim@hyomin.donggeui.ac.kr

S. S. Dragomir
School of Communications and Informatics
Victoria University of Technology
Melbourne City, MC 8001 Australia
E-mail: sever@matilda.vu.edu.au