

## MODULES OF QUOTIENTS OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS

JAEGOOK LEE AND SEOG-HOON RIM

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to the question raised in [5]; whether  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P})$  is principal or not. Using this fact, we try to give concrete form of module of quotient with respect to a torsion theory determined by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P})$ .

In [3] Goldman introduced the notion of modules of quotients of a ring with respect to an idempotent kernel functor, which is a generalization of the localization of a module with respect to a multiplicative subset of a commutative ring.

A functor  $\sigma$  on  $R\text{-mod}$ , the category of  $R$ -modules, is called an *idempotent kernel functor* if the following properties hold:

- (1) For every  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $\sigma(M)$  is a submodule of  $M$ .
- (2) If  $f : M' \rightarrow M$  is a homomorphism, then  $f(\sigma(M')) \subset \sigma(M)$  and  $\sigma(f)$  is a restriction of  $f$  to  $\sigma(M')$ .
- (3) If  $M'$  is a submodule of  $M$ , then  $\sigma(M') = \sigma(M) \cap M'$ .
- (4)  $\sigma(M/\sigma(M)) = 0$ .

We say  $M$  is a  $\sigma$ -torsion (resp.  $\sigma$ -torsion free)  $R$ -module if  $\sigma(M) = M$  (resp.  $\sigma(M) = 0$ ).

And we remark that  $\sigma$  is an idempotent kernel functor if and only if the following holds:

If  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence such that  $M'$  and  $M''$  are  $\sigma$ -torsion  $R$ -modules, then  $M$  is also a  $\sigma$ -torsion  $R$ -module.

A nonempty set  $\mathcal{L}$  of ideals of a ring  $R$  is called a *Gabriel filter* if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) If  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $a \in R$ , then  $(I : a) \in \mathcal{L}$ .

---

Received January 15, 1997. Revised December 14, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D60, 16D50.

Key words and phrases: Gabriel filter, modules of quotients, principal filter.

This paper was supported in part by BSRI 96-1402 and TGRC-KOSEF.

- (2) If  $I$  is a left ideal of  $R$  for which there exists an element  $H$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfying  $(I : a) \in \mathcal{L}$  for all  $a \in H$ , then  $I \in \mathcal{L}$ .

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a Gabriel filter of ideals of  $R$ , then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) If  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and if  $H$  is an ideal of  $R$  containing  $I$ , then  $H \in \mathcal{L}$ .
- (2) If  $I, H \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $I \cap H \in \mathcal{L}$ .
- (3) If  $I, H \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $IH \in \mathcal{L}$ .

All rings in this paper are commutative with identity, and all modules are unital. Specially for a given set of prime ideals  $\mathcal{P}$  of a ring  $R$ , we consider the corresponding Gabriel filter  $\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$ , concise definition shall come latter. And we will give an affirmative answer to the question, "Whether  $\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$  is principal or not." which was raised in the remark of [5].

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $S = R - P$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . The ring of quotients  $S^{-1}R$  is called the *localization of  $R$  at  $P$*  and is denoted by  $R_P$ . If  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ , then the ideal  $S^{-1}I$  in  $R_P$  is denoted by  $I_P$ . First we consider a filter determined by a set of prime ideals of  $R$ .

LEMMA 1 [5]. *For each set  $\mathcal{P}$  of prime ideals, the set*

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}) = \{I \triangleleft R \mid I_P = R_P \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

*is a Gabriel filter.*

Following [1] or [2], we say that a Gabriel filter  $\mathcal{L}$  is *principal filter* if each ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  contains a principal ideal that is in  $\mathcal{L}$ , and the intersection of principal filter is again a Gabriel filter and called a *primal filter*.

PROPOSITION 2 [7, Proposition 15.1]. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between principal filters on  $R$  and subsets  $S$  on  $R$  satisfying the followings:*

- (1)  $1 \in S$ .
- (2)  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  implies  $s_1 s_2 \in S$ .
- (3) If  $r \in R$  and  $s \in S$ , then there exist  $r' \in R$  and  $s' \in S$  such that  $r's = rs'$ .
- (4) If  $a, b \in R$  and  $ab \in S$ , then  $a \in S$ .

Usually  $S$  is called a saturated set if  $S$  satisfies the condition (4).

For any  $R$ -module  $M$  and a prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , let

$$M_{(P)} = \{m/s \mid s \text{ is a regular element not in } P \text{ and } m \in M\}.$$

Then  $R_{(P)}$  is a localization of  $R$  and  $M_{(P)}$  is an  $R_{(P)}$ -module. Here a regular element means a non-zero divisor in  $R$ .

As a special case of Lemma 1, we can get the following result which appear in [5].

LEMMA 3 [5, Lemma 2]. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of prime ideals of  $R$  and let

$$\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P})) = \{I \triangleleft R \mid I_{(P)} = R_{(P)} \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$  is a Gabriel filter.

For convenience, we adopt the following notation in this section.

$$C(P) = \{r \in R \mid r + P \text{ is a regular element in } R/P\}.$$

If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set of prime ideals  $P$  in  $R$ , then we denote

$$S(\mathcal{P}) = R - \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P \quad \text{and} \\ C(\mathcal{P}) = \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} C(P).$$

REMARK 4. We list some properties on  $S(\mathcal{P})$  and  $C(\mathcal{P})$ :

- (1) If  $R$  is an integral domain, then  $S(P) = C(P)$  for one prime ideal  $P$  in  $R$ .
- (2)  $C(\mathcal{P}) \subset S(\mathcal{P})$  where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set of prime ideals in  $R$ .
- (3)  $C(\mathcal{P})$  is a saturated set.
- (4) In general,  $S(\mathcal{P})$  is not equal  $C(\mathcal{P})$ .

PROOF. The statements (1), (2) and (3) are clear. To show (4), we give counter example. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z}, 5\mathbb{Z}, \dots, k_n\mathbb{Z}\}$  where  $k_n$  is  $n$ -th prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $S(\mathcal{P}_n) = \mathbb{Z} - \bigcap_{i=1}^n k_i\mathbb{Z}$  where  $k_i$  is  $i$ -th prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $30 \notin 7\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \in S(\mathcal{P}_4)$ . But  $30 \notin \bigcap_{i=1}^4 C(k_i\mathbb{Z})$ . So, (4) holds.  $\square$

Now we consider following two sets of ideals in  $R$ , which appear in [5].

$$\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P})) = \{I \triangleleft R \mid C(P)^{-1}R = C(P)^{-1}I \text{ for each } P \in \mathcal{P}\} \\ = \{I \triangleleft R \mid I_{(P)} = R_{(P)} \text{ for each } P \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(S(\mathcal{P})) &= \{I \triangleleft R \mid S(P)^{-1}R = S(P)^{-1}I \text{ for each } P \in \mathcal{P}\}. \\ &= \{I \triangleleft R \mid I_P = R_P \text{ for each } P \in \mathcal{P}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the main theorem:

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of prime ideals of  $R$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))$  is a principal filter. In particular,  $\mathcal{L}(C(P))$  is a principal filter for a prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** We can see that  $C(\mathcal{P})$  is a multiplicatively closed set. Since  $R$  is a commutative ring,  $C(\mathcal{P})$  is an Ore set. By Remark 4,  $C(\mathcal{P})$  is a saturated set. Thus by Proposition 2,  $\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))$  is a principal filter.  $\square$

Moreover, we can see that this Gabriel filter is perfect. Let's denote  $C(\mathcal{P}) = C$ . Then by [7],  $C^{-1}M \cong C^{-1}R \otimes_R M$  for each left  $R$ -module  $M$ . So,  $\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))$  is a perfect.

The following question was raised in [5]; whether  $\mathcal{L}(S(\mathcal{P}))$  is principal or not. Since  $R$  is a commutative ring,  $S(\mathcal{P})$  is an Ore set. But  $S(\mathcal{P})$  is not a saturated set in general (cf. [10]), thus we can say that  $\mathcal{L}(S(\mathcal{P}))$  need not be a principal filter.

Let  $M$  be an arbitrary  $R$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a Gabriel filter and  $\sigma$  be the corresponding idempotent kernel functor. By [7], we can define  $M_{\mathcal{L}} = \varinjlim Hom_R(I, M/\sigma(M))$  where  $I \in \mathcal{L}$ .

One verifies that the ring structure of  $R_{\mathcal{L}}$  and the module structure of  $M_{\mathcal{L}}$  are given by the following pairing  $M_{\mathcal{L}} \times R_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{L}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } x \in M_{\mathcal{L}} \text{ be represented by } \xi : J \rightarrow M/\sigma(M) \text{ where } J \in \mathcal{L}, \\ a \in R_{\mathcal{L}} \text{ be represented by } \alpha : I \rightarrow R/\sigma(R) \text{ where } I \in \mathcal{L}.\end{aligned}$$

$\xi$  induces  $J/\sigma(J) \rightarrow M/\sigma(M)$  and we have  $J/\sigma(J) \hookrightarrow R/\sigma(R)$  by left exactness of  $\sigma$ ;  $xa \in M_{\mathcal{L}}$  is represented by

$$\alpha^{-1}(J/\sigma(J)) \rightarrow J/\sigma(J) \rightarrow M/\sigma(M).$$

PROPOSITION 6 [7, Proposition 15.2]. *If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a principal filter on  $R$ ,  $S$  is the subset of  $R$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $M$  is any  $R$ -module, then*

$$M_{\mathcal{L}} = \{(s, m) \in S \times M \mid as = 0 \text{ in } R \text{ implies } tam = 0 \text{ for some } t \in S\} / \sim$$

where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation given by  $(s_1, m_1) \sim (s_2, m_2)$  if there exist  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  such that  $r_1s_1 = r_2s_2 \in S$  and  $r_1m_1 = r_2m_2$ .

And define addition and scalar multiplication by

$$\begin{aligned} [(s_1, m_1)] + [(s_2, m_2)] &= [(s_1s_2, s_1m_2 + s_2m_1)] \\ r[(s_1, m_1)] &= [(s_1, rm_1)] \end{aligned}$$

for any  $r \in R, s_1, s_2 \in S$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ . Then  $M_{\mathcal{L}}$  is an  $R$ -module.

Since  $\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))$  is a principal filter, as a special case of Proposition 7,  $M_{\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))} = \{(s, m) \in C(\mathcal{P}) \times M \mid as = 0 \text{ in } R \text{ implies } tam = 0 \text{ for some } t \in C(\mathcal{P})\} / \sim$ . Hence, we may describe the modules of quotients in a rather explicit way in following theorem. This can be regarded as a generalization form of theorem in [5].

THEOREM 7. *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of prime ideals of  $R$  and  $M$  be any  $R$ -module. Then*

$$\bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(P)} \cong M_{\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))}.$$

PROOF. Let  $z = m/s \in \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(P)}$ . Then  $m/s \in M_{(P)}$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $s \in C(P)$ . Define a map

$$\alpha : \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(P)} \longrightarrow M_{\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))},$$

by  $\alpha(z) = [(s, m)]$ , where  $z \in \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(P)}$ . Suppose that  $m_1/s_1 = m_2/s_2$  for any  $m_1, m_2 \in M, s_1, s_2 \in C(P)$ . Take  $r_1 = s_2$  and  $r_2 = s_1$ . Then  $(s_1, m_1) \sim (s_2, m_2)$ , which implies  $\alpha(m_1/s_1) = \alpha(m_2/s_2)$ . So,  $\alpha$  is well-defined. By the definition of scalar multiplication,  $\alpha$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism.

Conversely, we define a map

$$\beta : M_{\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))} \longrightarrow \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(P)}$$

by  $\beta[(s, m)] = m/s$  where  $[(s, m)] \in M_{\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))}$ . It is clear that  $\beta$  is well-defined and easily check that  $\beta$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism.

Moreover,  $\alpha \circ \beta$  is the identity map on  $M_{\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))}$  and  $\beta \circ \alpha$  is the identity map on  $\bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(P)}$ . □

By a *torsion theory*  $\tau$  we mean a pair of classes  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  of modules that satisfy the following axioms:

- (1)  $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{F} = \{0\}$ .
- (2) If  $M \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is an epimorphism, then  $N \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- (3) If  $M \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $N \subseteq M$ , then  $N \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- (4) If  $T_a \in \mathcal{T}$  ( $a \in A$  : submodule of  $M$ ), then  $\bigoplus_{a \in A} T_a \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- (5) If  $0 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow 0$  is exact and  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ , then  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- (6)  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  if and only if  $Hom(T, F) = 0$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(In many places in the literature,  $\tau$  is called a *hereditary torsion theory* if it satisfies this definition). The class  $\mathcal{T}$  is called the  $\tau$ -torsion class, and the class  $\mathcal{F}$  is called the  $\tau$ -torsion free class. It is clear that  $\tau$  determines a unique Gabriel filter. (cf. [2] or [8])

It is well known that there are one to one correspondences among *Idempotent kernel functors*, *Gabriel filters* and *Torsion theories*. Now we consider a ring of quotients which is determined by prime ideals.

For any  $R$ -module  $M$ , the module of quotients of  $M$  with respect to a torsion theory  $\tau$ , denoted by  $Q_\tau(M)$ , is a faithfully  $\tau$ -injective module containing  $M/\tau(M)$  as a submodule unique up to isomorphism. Actually we can calculate

$$Q_\tau(M) = \{m \in E(M) \mid Im \subset M \text{ for some } I \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

where  $E(M)$  is the injective hull of  $M$ . (cf. [2] or [7])

For the given Gabriel filter  $\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))$ , we can define a torsion theory  $\tau$  on  $R$ -mod. The corresponding torsion class  $\mathcal{T}$  is given by

$$\{ M \in R\text{-mod} \mid (0 : m) \in \mathcal{L} \text{ for each } m \in M \}$$

where  $(0 : m) = \{ r \in R \mid rm = 0 \}$ .

PROPOSITION 8 [5, Theorem]. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of prime ideals of  $R$  and  $\tau$  be the torsion theory determined by  $\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))$ . Then for any  $\tau$ -torsion free  $R$ -module  $M$ ,

$$Q_{\tau}(M) \cong \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(P)}.$$

COROLLARY 9. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of prime ideals of  $R$  and  $\tau$  be the torsion theory determined by  $\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))$ . Then for any  $\tau$ -torsion free  $R$ -module  $M$ ,

$$M_{\mathcal{L}(C(\mathcal{P}))} \cong Q_{\tau}(M),$$

i.e.,  $Q_{\tau}(M) = \{(s, m) \in C(\mathcal{P}) \times M \mid as = 0 \text{ in } R \text{ implies } tam = 0 \text{ for some } t \in C(\mathcal{P})\} / \sim$ .

### References

- [1] M. Beattie and M. Orzech, *Prime ideals and Finiteness conditions for Gabriel Topologies over Commutative Rings*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **22** (1992), 423-440.
- [2] J. Golan, *Torsion Theories*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics **29** (1986), John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York.
- [3] O. Goldman, *Rings and Modules of Quotients*, J. Algebra **13** (1969), 10-27.
- [4] J. Han and H. Lee, *Torsion theory and Local Cohomology*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. **4** (1989), 279-289.
- [5] H. Lee, *Modules of Quotients over Commutative Rings*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. **9** (1994), 797-801.
- [6] J. Rotman, *An Introduction To Homological Algebra*, Academic Press. Inc. (1979), New York.
- [7] B. Stenström, *Rings and Modules of Quotients*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **237** (1971), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [8] ———, *Rings of Quotients* (1975), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [9] F. Van Oystaeyan and A. Verschoren, *Relative Invariants of Rings*, Monographs in pure and applied Mathematics **79** (1984), Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
- [10] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings* (1974), The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London.

Jaegook Lee and Seog-Hoon Rim  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Graduate School and Teachers College  
 Kyungpook National University  
 Taegu 702-701, Korea