## ANTI FUZZY CHARACTERISTIC IDEALS OF A BCK-ALGEBRA

## WON KYUN JEONG

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [8]. Since then these ideas have been applied to other algebraic structures such as semigroups, groups, rings, etc.. Jun et al. [6] introduced the notion of fuzzy characteristic subalgebras/ideals of a BCK-algebra. They proved that a fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of a BCK-algebra is a fuzzy characteristic ideal if and only if each level ideal of  $\mu$  is a characteristic ideal S. M. Hong and Y. B. Jun [3] introduced the concept of fuzzy characteristic  $\Gamma$ -ideals of a  $\Gamma$ -ring, and they showed that a fuzzy characteristic  $\Gamma$ -ideal is characterized in terms of its level  $\Gamma$ -ideals. Recently, on the other hand, they also [2] defined the notions of anti-fuzzy ideals of a BCK-algebra. The present author [5], modifying S. M. Hong and Y. B. Jun's idea, introduced anti-fuzzy prime ideals of a commutative BCK-algebra, and proved that every anti-fuzzy prime ideal of a commutative BCK-algebra is an anti-fuzzy ideal.

In this paper, we define the notion of anti fuzzy characteristic ideals of BCK-algebras, and obtain some results about it.

We begin with several preliminaries definitions and propositions.

DEFINITION 1. An algebra (X, \*, 0) of type (2,0) is called a *BCK-algebra* if it satisfies the following axioms  $\cdot$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (a) ((x\*y)\*(x\*z))\*(z\*y) = 0,
- (b) (x \* (x \* y)) \* y = 0,
- (c) x \* x = 0,
- (d) 0 \* x = 0,
- (e) x \* y = 0 and y \* x = 0 imply x = y.

Received September 3, 1998. Revised January 9, 1999

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification 06F35

Key words and phrases. Anti fuzzy ideal, anti fuzzy characteristic ideal, anti fuzzy subalgebra, BCK-algebra

A BCK-algebra can be (partially) ordered by  $x \leq y$  if and only if x \* y = 0. This ordering is called BCK-ordering.

PROPOSITION 1. In any BCK-algebra X, the following hold: for all  $x,y,z\in X$ ,

- (1) x \* 0 = x,
- (2) (x\*y)\*z = (x\*z)\*y,
- (3)  $x * y \le x$ ,
- (4)  $(x*y)*z \le (x*z)*(y*z)$ ,
- (5)  $x \le y$  implies  $x * z \le y * z$  and  $z * y \le z * x$ .

DEFINITION 2. [4] A non-empty subset I of a BCK-algebra X is called an ideal of X if

- (1)  $0 \in I$ ,
- (2)  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$  imply  $x \in I$ .

DEFINITION 3. [8] Let S be a non-empty set A fuzzy-subset  $\mu$  of S is a function  $\mu: S \to [0,1]$ .

DEFINITION 4. [1] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of S. Then for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the level subset of  $\mu$  is the set  $\mu_t = \{x \in S \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$ .

DEFINITION 5. [7] Let X be a BCK-algebra. A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of X is called a fuzzy subalgebra of X if

$$\mu(x*y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

DEFINITION 6. [7] Let X be a BCK-algebra. A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of X is called a fuzzy ideal of X if, for  $x, y \in X$ ,

- (1)  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ ,
- (2)  $\mu(x) \ge \min\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\}.$

DEFINITION 7. [2] A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a BCK-algebra X is called an anti-fuzzy subalgebra of X if

$$\mu(x * y) \le \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

PROPOSITION 2. [2] Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy subalgebra of a BCK-algebra X. Then  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

DEFINITION 8. [2] A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a BCK-algebra X is called an anti-fuzzy ideal of X if

- (1)  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ ,
- (2)  $\mu(x) \leq \max\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\},\$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Clearly, every anti fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of a BCK-algebra X is an anti fuzzy subalgebra of X, but not conversely.

DEFINITION 9. If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy subset of X and  $\alpha$  is a function from X into itself, we define a function  $\mu^{\alpha}$  from X into [0,1] by  $\mu^{\alpha}(x) = \mu(\alpha(x))$  for every  $x \in X$ .

Suppose that  $\mu$  is an anti-fuzzy subalgebra of a BCK-algebra X and  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism of X. Then

$$\begin{split} \mu^{\alpha}(x*y) &= \mu(\alpha(x*y)) \\ &= \mu(\alpha(x)*\alpha(y)) \\ &\leq \max\{\mu(\alpha(x)), \mu(\alpha(y))\} \\ &= \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x), \mu^{\alpha}(y)\}, \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and

$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = \mu(\alpha(x))$$

$$= \max\{\mu(\alpha(x)), \mu(\alpha(x))\}$$

$$\geq \mu(\alpha(x) * \alpha(x))$$

$$= \mu(\alpha(x * x))$$

$$= \mu^{\alpha}(0),$$

for every  $x \in X$ . Hence we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $\mu$  be an anti-fuzzy subalgebra of a BCK-algebra X and let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of X. Then

- (1)  $\mu^{\alpha}$  is an anti fuzzy subalgebra of X,
- (2)  $\mu^{\alpha}(0) \leq \mu^{\alpha}(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

PROPOSITION 4. Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy ideal of X and let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of X. Then the following holds for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (1) if  $x \leq y$ , then  $\mu^{\alpha}(x) \leq \mu^{\alpha}(y)$ .
- (2)  $\mu^{\alpha}(x * y) \leq \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x * z), \mu^{\alpha}(z * y)\}.$
- (3) if  $\mu^{\alpha}(x * y) = \mu^{\alpha}(0)$ , then  $\mu^{\alpha}(x) \leq \mu^{\alpha}(y)$ .
- (4)  $\max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x*y), \mu^{\alpha}(y)\} = \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x), \mu^{\alpha}(y)\}.$
- (5) if X is bounded, then  $\max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x), \mu^{\alpha}(1*x)\} = \mu^{\alpha}(1)$ .
- (6) if  $x \le y$ , then  $\mu^{\alpha}(y) = \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(y * x), \mu^{\alpha}(x)\}.$

*Proof.* (1) If  $x \le y$ , then we have x \* y = 0. Thus,

$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = \mu(\alpha(x))$$

$$\leq \max\{\mu(\alpha(x) * \alpha(y)), \mu(\alpha(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu(0), \mu(\alpha(y))\}$$

$$= \mu(\alpha(y)) = \mu^{\alpha}(y).$$

(2) From (a) of definition of BCK-algebra and (1), we have that  $\mu^{\alpha}((x*y)*(x*z)) \leq \mu^{\alpha}(z*y)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{split} \mu^{\alpha}(x*y) &= \mu(\alpha(x*y)) \\ &= \mu(\alpha(x)*\alpha(y)) \\ &\leq \max\{\mu((\alpha(x)*\alpha(y))*(\alpha(x)*\alpha(z))), \mu(\alpha(x)*\alpha(z))\} \\ &= \max\{\mu^{\alpha}((x*y)*(x*z)), \mu^{\alpha}(x*z)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(z*y), \mu^{\alpha}(x*z)\}. \end{split}$$

(3) Suppose that  $\mu^{\alpha}(x * y) = \mu^{\alpha}(0)$ . Then

$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = \mu(\alpha(x))$$

$$\leq \max\{\mu(\alpha(x) * \alpha(y)), \mu(\alpha(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu(\alpha(x * y)), \mu(\alpha(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x * y), \mu^{\alpha}(y)\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(0), \mu^{\alpha}(y)\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu(\alpha(0)), \mu(\alpha(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu(0), \mu(\alpha(y))\}$$

$$= \mu(\alpha(y))$$

$$= \mu^{\alpha}(y).$$

(4) Since  $x * y \le x$ , we have  $\mu^{\alpha}(x * y) \le \mu^{\alpha}(x)$  by (1). On the other hand,

$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = \mu(\alpha(x))$$

$$\leq \max\{\mu(\alpha(x) * \alpha(y)), \mu(\alpha(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu(\alpha(x * y)), \mu(\alpha(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x * y), \mu^{\alpha}(y)\}.$$

It follows that  $\max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x*y), \mu^{\alpha}(y)\} = \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x), \mu^{\alpha}(y)\}.$ 

(5) If X is bounded, then by (1),  $\mu^{\alpha}(1) \ge \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x), \mu^{\alpha}(1 * x)\}$ . On the other hand,

$$\mu^{\alpha}(1) = \mu(\alpha(1))$$

$$\leq \max\{\mu(\alpha(1) * \alpha(x)), \mu(\alpha(x))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu(\alpha(1 * x)), \mu(\alpha(x))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(1 * x), \mu^{\alpha}(x)\}.$$

Hence (5) holds.

(6) is obtained from (1) and (4).

PROPOSITION 5. Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy ideal of X and let  $\alpha: X \to X$  be an onto homomorphism. Then  $\mu^{\alpha}$  is an anti fuzzy ideal of X.

*Proof.* For all  $x \in X$ , we have that

$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = \mu(\alpha(x)) \ge \mu(0) = \mu(\alpha(0)) = \mu^{\alpha}(0).$$

Next for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\mu^{\alpha}(x) = \mu(\alpha(x)) \le \max\{\mu(\alpha(x) * y), \mu(y)\}.$$

Since  $\alpha$  is onto, there is  $z \in X$  such that  $\alpha(z) = y$ . It follows that

$$\begin{split} \mu^{\alpha}(x) &\leq \max\{\mu(\alpha(x)*y), \mu(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\mu(\alpha(x)*\alpha(z)), \mu(\alpha(z))\} \\ &= \max\{\mu(\alpha(x*z)), \mu(\alpha(z))\} \\ &= \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x*z), \mu^{\alpha}(z)\}. \end{split}$$

Since y is an arbitrary element of X, the above result is true for all  $z \in X$ , i.e.,  $\mu^{\alpha}(x) \leq \max\{\mu^{\alpha}(x*z), \mu^{\alpha}(z)\}$  for all  $x, z \in X$ . Thus  $\mu^{\alpha}$  is an anti-fuzzy ideal of X.

DEFINITION 10. An anti fuzzy subalgebra (ideal)  $\mu$  of X is called an anti fuzzy characteristic subalgebra (ideal) of X if  $\mu(\alpha(x)) = \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ .

DEFINITION 11. [2] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of a BCK-algebra X. Then for  $t \in [0,1]$ , the set

$$\mu^t := \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \le t\}$$

is called the lower t-level cut of  $\mu$ .

PROPOSITION 6. [2] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of a BCK-algebra X. Then it is an anti-fuzzy ideal of X if and only if for every  $t \in [0,1]$ ,  $t \geq \mu(0)$ , the lower t-level cut  $\mu^t$  is an ideal of X.

PROPOSITION 7. Let  $\mu$  be an anti-fuzzy characteristic subalgebra of a BCK-algebra X. Then each lower t-level cut of  $\mu$  is a characteristic subalgebra of X.

Proof. Let  $t \in \text{Im}(\mu)$ ,  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$  and  $x \in \mu^t$ . Since  $\mu$  is an antifuzzy characteristic subalgebra of X, we have  $\mu(\alpha(x)) = \mu(x) \leq t$ . It follows that  $\alpha(x) \in \mu^t$  and hence  $\alpha(\mu^t) \subseteq \mu^t$ . To show the reverse inclusion, let  $x \in \mu^t$  and let  $y \in X$  be such that  $\alpha(y) = x$ . Then  $\mu(y) = \mu(\alpha(y)) = \mu(x) \leq t$ , so  $y \in \mu^t$ . It follows that  $x = \alpha(y) \in \alpha(\mu^t)$ . Hence  $\mu^t \subseteq \alpha(\mu^t)$ . Thus  $\mu^t$  is a characteristic subalgebra of X, for each  $t \in \text{Im}(\mu)$ .

The proof of the following lemma is obvious, and we omit the proof.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mu$  be an anti-fuzzy subalgebra (ideal) of X and let  $x \in X$ . Then  $\mu(x) = t$  if and only if  $x \in \mu^t$  and  $x \notin \mu^s$  for all s < t.

Now we consider the converse of Proposition 7.

PROPOSITION 8. Let  $\mu$  be an anti-fuzzy subalgebra of X. If each lower t-level cut  $\mu^t$  is a characteristic subalgebra of X, then  $\mu$  is an anti-fuzzy characteristic subalgebra of X.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ ,  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$  and  $\mu(x) = t$ . Then  $x \in \mu^t$  and  $x \notin \mu^s$  for all s < t, by Lemma 1. Since  $\alpha(\mu^t) = \mu^t$  by hypothesis, we have  $\alpha(x) \in \mu^t$ . Hence  $\mu(\alpha(x)) \le t$ . Let  $s = \mu(\alpha(x))$ . We now show that s = t. Indeed, suppose that s < t. Then  $\alpha(x) \in \mu^s = \alpha(\mu^s)$ .

Since  $\alpha$  is one-to-one, we have  $x \in \mu^s$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\mu(\alpha(x)) = t = \mu(x)$ . It follows that  $\mu$  is an anti-fuzzy characteristic subalgebra of X.

The proofs of the following propositions are similar to those of Propositions 7 and 8.

PROPOSITION 9. If  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy characteristic subalgebra of X, then each lower t-level cut of  $\mu$  is a characteristic ideal of X.

PROPOSITION 10. Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy ideal of X. If each lower t-level cut of  $\mu$  is a characteristic ideal of X, then  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy characteristic ideal of X.

## References

- P. S Das, Fuzzy groups and level subgroups, J Math. Anal Appl 84 (1981), 264-269.
- [2] S. M. Hong and Y. B. Jun, Anti fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebras, Kyungpook Math. J. 38 (1998), 145-150
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Fuzzy characteristic  $\Gamma$ -ideals of a  $\Gamma$ -ring, Honam Math J. 16 (1994), 67-70
- [4] K Iséki, On ideals in BCK-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes 3 (1975), 1-12
- [5] W K Jeong, On anti fuzzy prime ideals in BCK-algebras, preprint.
- [6] Y B Jun, S M Hong and E H Roh, Fuzzy characteristic subalgebras/ideals of a BCK-algebra, Pusan Kyöngnam Math. J. 9 (1993), 127-132
- [7] O. G. Xi, Fuzzy BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. 36 (1991), 935-942.
- [8] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform Control 8 (1965), 338–353.

Department of Mathematics College of Natural Science Kyungpook National University Taegu 702 - 701, Korea