

## NOTES ON EXTRINSIC SPHERES

U-HANG KI\* AND SADAHIRO MAEDA

**ABSTRACT.** The main purpose of this paper is to give a characterization of a totally geodesic Kaehler submanifold  $M$  of a Kaehler manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  by observing the extrinsic shape of *particular* circles of the submanifold  $M$ .

### 0. Introduction

In submanifold theory totally geodesic submanifolds are the simplest examples. They are characterized by the condition that *all* geodesics of the submanifold are geodesics in the ambient space. Here we study totally umbilic submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector. These submanifolds  $M$ 's have a property that *all* circles of the submanifold  $M$  are circles in the ambient space  $\widetilde{M}$ . They are usually called *extrinsic spheres* of  $\widetilde{M}$ . Nomizu and Yano [5] proved that  $M$  is an extrinsic sphere of  $\widetilde{M}$  if and only if there exists some positive constant  $k$  and all circles of curvature  $k$  on  $M$  are circles on  $\widetilde{M}$ .

In this paper we first improve this result. In the latter half of this paper we pay particular attention to extrinsic *Kaehler* submanifolds  $M$ 's of an arbitrary Kaehler manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ . Needless to say, these extrinsic submanifolds  $M$ 's are necessarily minimal so that they are totally geodesic in  $\widetilde{M}$ . Motivated by this fact, we shall provide a characterization of a totally geodesic Kaehler submanifold  $M$  in a Kaehler manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  by observing the extrinsic shape of *particular* circles of the submanifold  $M$  (cf. Theorem).

---

Received October 25, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C45.

Key words and phrases: geodesics, extrinsic spheres, holomorphic circles.

\* Supported by TGRC-KOSEF and BSRI-97-1404.

### 1. Holomorphic circles

We first recall the definition of circles. A smooth curve  $\gamma = \gamma(s)$ , parametrized by arclength  $s$ , in a Riemannian manifold  $M$  is called a *circle of curvature  $k$*  if there exist a field  $Y = Y(s)$  of unit vectors along  $\gamma$  and a positive constant  $k$  satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = kY \\ \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}Y = -k\dot{\gamma}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\dot{\gamma}$  denotes the unit tangent vector of  $\gamma$  and  $\nabla$  the covariant differentiation. As a matter of course any Riemannian manifold has many circles. In fact, for an arbitrary point  $x$ , an arbitrary orthonormal pair  $(u, v)$  of vectors at  $x$  and an arbitrary positive number  $k$ , there exists locally a unique circle  $\gamma = \gamma(s)$  with initial condition  $\gamma(0) = x$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = u$  and  $Y(0) = v$ .

We next consider some particular circles in a Kaehler manifold. Let  $\gamma$  be a circle in a Kaehler manifold  $M$  (with metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and complex structure  $J$ ). Then we see from (1.1) that  $\langle \dot{\gamma}, JY \rangle$  is constant along  $\gamma$ . Hence it makes sense to define a *holomorphic circle* in  $M$  as a circle  $\gamma$  satisfying that  $\dot{\gamma}$  and  $Y$  span a holomorphic plane, that is,  $Y = J\dot{\gamma}$  or  $Y = -J\dot{\gamma}$ . So, if  $\gamma$  is a holomorphic circle, then (1.1) reduces to

$$(1.2) \quad \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = kJ\dot{\gamma} \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = -kJ\dot{\gamma}.$$

We can interpret such circles in terms of physics (see [1]). Holomorphic circles in Kaehler Geometry may play a similar role of geodesics in Real Riemannian Geometry.

The first author wishes to express his gratitude to TGRC-KOSEF who gave him the opportunity to study at Shimane University.

### 2. Extrinsic spheres

Our aim here is to prove the following which is an improvement of the well-known result of Nomizu and Yano.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $M^n, n \geq 2$ , be a connected submanifold of a Riemannian manifold  $\widetilde{M}^m$ . Then  $M^n$  is an extrinsic sphere in  $\widetilde{M}^m$  if*

and only if for some positive constant  $k$  there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  at each point  $p$  of  $M^n$  satisfying the following three conditions:

- (i) Every circle  $\gamma_{ij}(s)$  of curvature  $k$  in  $M^n$  with  $\gamma_{ij}(0) = p$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}_{ij}(0) = v_i$  and  $(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij}(s))_{s=0} = kv_j$  ( $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ) is a circle in  $\widetilde{M}^m$ .
- (ii) Every circle  $\tau_{ij}(s)$  of curvature  $k$  in  $M^n$  with  $\tau_{ij}(0) = p$ ,  $\dot{\tau}_{ij}(0) = v_i$  and  $(\nabla_{\dot{\tau}_{ij}} \dot{\tau}_{ij}(s))_{s=0} = -kv_j$  ( $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ) is a circle in  $\widetilde{M}^m$ .
- (iii) Every circle  $\delta_{ij}(s)$  of curvature  $k$  in  $M^n$  with  $\delta_{ij}(0) = p$ ,  $\dot{\delta}_{ij}(0) = (v_i + v_j)/\sqrt{2}$  and  $(\nabla_{\dot{\delta}_{ij}} \dot{\delta}_{ij}(s))_{s=0} = k(v_i - v_j)/\sqrt{2}$  ( $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ) is a circle in  $\widetilde{M}^m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}(s)$  be a circle in  $M^n$  satisfying condition (i). Then from (1.1) we know that  $\gamma_{ij}$  yields

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}}^2 \dot{\gamma}_{ij} + \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \rangle \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = 0.$$

By hypothesis  $\gamma_{ij}$  is a circle in the ambient space  $\widetilde{M}^m$ , so that it shows

$$(2.2) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}}^2 \dot{\gamma}_{ij} + \langle \widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \rangle \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = 0.$$

Equations (2.1) and (2.2), together with the formulae of Gauss  $\widetilde{\nabla}_X Z = \nabla_X Z + \sigma(X, Z)$  and Weingarten  $\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = D_X \xi - A_\xi X$ , yield

$$(2.3) \quad A_{\sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \dot{\gamma}_{ij})} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = \langle \sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \dot{\gamma}_{ij}), \sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \dot{\gamma}_{ij}) \rangle \dot{\gamma}_{ij}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij}) + D_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}}(\sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \dot{\gamma}_{ij})) = 0.$$

At  $s = 0$  in (2.4), we get, noting  $(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij})(0) = kv_j$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad \sigma(v_i, v_j) = (-1/k)D_{v_i}(\sigma(v_i, v_i)) \quad (1 \leq i \neq j \leq n).$$

Similarly, condition (ii) in Proposition tells us

$$(2.6) \quad \sigma(v_i, v_j) = (1/k)D_{v_i}(\sigma(v_i, v_i)) \quad (1 \leq i \neq j \leq n).$$

Hence, Equations (2.5) and (2.6) show

$$(2.7) \quad \sigma(v_i, v_j) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \neq j \leq n).$$

Exchanging  $i$  for  $j$  in (iii) in Proposition, we have similarly

$$\sigma\left(\frac{v_i + v_j}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{v_i - v_j}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = 0$$

so that

$$(2.8) \quad \sigma(v_i, v_i) = \sigma(v_j, v_j) \quad (1 \leq i \neq j \leq n).$$

From (2.7) and (2.8) we know that  $M^n$  is totally umbilic in  $\widetilde{M}^m$ , since  $p$  is any point of  $M^n$ . This, combined with Equation (2.4), implies

$$(2.9) \quad D_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}}(\sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \dot{\gamma}_{ij})) = 0.$$

Here, again by using the fact that  $M^n$  is totally umbilic in  $\widetilde{M}^m$ , at  $s = 0$  in (2.9) we find that  $D_{v_i} \mathfrak{h} = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) at any point  $p$  of  $M$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the mean curvature vector of  $M$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ . This implies that the mean curvature vector of  $M^n$  in  $\widetilde{M}^m$  is parallel.

The converse is trivial from [5]. □

### 3. Main result

**THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Kaehler submanifold of an  $(n + p)$ -dimensional Kaehler manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  (with complex structure  $J$ ). Then  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $\widetilde{M}$  if and only if for some positive constant  $k$  there exists such an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, Jv_1, \dots, Jv_n\}$  at each point  $p$  of  $M$  that all holomorphic circles  $\gamma_{ij}$  of curvature  $k$  in  $M$  through  $p$  satisfying that the initial vector  $\dot{\gamma}_{ij}(0)$  is in the direction  $v_i + v_j$  ( $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ ) are circles in  $\widetilde{M}$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\sigma$  the second fundamental form of the submanifold  $M$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ . Then it satisfies (cf. [6])

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma(JX, Y) = \sigma(X, JY) = J\sigma(X, Y).$$

Let  $\gamma_{ii}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be circles of  $M$  satisfying  $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ii}} \dot{\gamma}_{ii} = kJ\dot{\gamma}_{ii}$  with  $\gamma_{ii}(0) = p$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_{ii}(0) = v_i$ . By hypothesis they satisfy

$$(3.2) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{ii}}^2 \dot{\gamma}_{ii} = -k_i^2 \dot{\gamma}_{ii}$$

for some positive constant  $k_i$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{ii}} \dot{\gamma}_{ii} &= \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ii}} \dot{\gamma}_{ii} + \sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ii}, \dot{\gamma}_{ii}) \\ &= kJ\dot{\gamma}_{ii} + \sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ii}, \dot{\gamma}_{ii}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\widetilde{\nabla}J = 0$ . Hence

$$(3.3) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}_{ii}}^2 \dot{\gamma}_{ii} = kJ(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ii}} \dot{\gamma}_{ii} + \sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ii}, \dot{\gamma}_{ii})) - A_{\sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ii}, \dot{\gamma}_{ii})} \dot{\gamma}_{ii} + D_{\dot{\gamma}_{ii}}(\sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ii}, \dot{\gamma}_{ii})).$$

Comparing the normal component of (3.2) and (3.3), at  $s = 0$  we find

$$(3.4) \quad D_{v_i}(\sigma(v_i, v_i)) + kJ \cdot \sigma(v_i, v_i) = 0.$$

Applying the same discussion as above to the circle  $\gamma_{ii}$  in  $M$  satisfying  $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ii}} \dot{\gamma}_{ii} = -kJ\dot{\gamma}_{ii}$  with  $\gamma_{ii}(0) = p$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_{ii}(0) = v_i$ , we get

$$(3.5) \quad D_{v_i}(\sigma(v_i, v_i)) - kJ \cdot \sigma(v_i, v_i) = 0.$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma(v_i, v_i) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Similarly, considering the circles  $\gamma_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ) in  $M$  satisfying  $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = kJ\dot{\gamma}_{ij}$  or  $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = -kJ\dot{\gamma}_{ij}$  with  $\gamma_{ij}(0) = p$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_{ij}(0) = (v_i + v_j)/\sqrt{2}$ , we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma\left(\frac{v_i + v_j}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{v_i + v_j}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n).$$

It follows from (3.1), (3.6) and (3.7) that the submanifold  $M$  is geodesic at the point  $p$ . Thus we get the conclusion.  $\square$

Finally we recall geometric properties of holomorphic circles on some Kaehler manifolds (for details, see [2, 3, 4]).

- (1) An  $n$ -dimensional complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n(c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  can be imbedded into  $\mathbb{C}P^{n+p}(c)$  as a totally geodesic Kaehler submanifold. Every holomorphic circle in  $\mathbb{C}P^n(c)$  is a closed curve with length  $\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{k^2+c}}$  which lies on the totally geodesic Kaehler submanifold  $\mathbb{C}P^1(c)$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^n(c)$ .
- (2) An  $n$ -dimensional complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{C}H^n(c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  can be imbedded into  $\mathbb{C}H^{n+p}(c)$  as a totally geodesic Kaehler submanifold. A holomorphic circle  $\gamma$  (of curvature  $k$ ) in  $\mathbb{C}H^n(c)$  is not necessarily closed. The holomorphic circle  $\gamma$  is closed if and only if  $k > \sqrt{|c|}$ . Its length is  $\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{k^2+c}}$ .
- (3)  $\mathbb{C}P^1(c) \times \mathbb{C}P^1(c)$  can be imbedded into a complex Grassmannian manifold  $G_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$  (of 2-dimensional complex linear subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ ) with maximal sectional curvature  $c$  as a totally geodesic Kaehler submanifold. A holomorphic circle  $\gamma = \gamma(s)$  (of curvature  $k$  with initial unit vector  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = (X_1, X_2)$ ) in  $\mathbb{C}P^1(c) \times \mathbb{C}P^1(c)$  is not necessarily closed. When  $X_1 = 0$  or  $X_2 = 0$ , the holomorphic circle  $\gamma$  is a closed curve with length  $\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{k^2+c}}$ . When  $X_1 \neq 0$  and  $X_2 \neq 0$ , the holomorphic circle  $\gamma$  is closed if and only if  $\sqrt{\frac{k^2+c\|X_2\|^2}{k^2+c\|X_1\|^2}}$  is rational. Its length is the least common multiple of  $\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{k^2+c\|X_1\|^2}}$  and  $\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{k^2+c\|X_2\|^2}}$ . Here for two positive real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$ , when the ratio  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  is rational, we define the least common multiple of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as the minimal value of the set  $\{\alpha n \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \cap \{\beta n \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

## References

- [1] T. Adachi, *Kähler magnetic flows on a manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature*, Tokyo J. Math. **18** (1995), 473-478.

Notes on extrinsic spheres

- [2] T. Adachi, S. Maeda and S. Udagawa, *Circles in a complex projective space*, Osaka J. Math. **32** (1995), 709-719.
- [3] T. Adachi and S. Maeda, *Global behaviours of circles in a complex hyperbolic space*, Tsukuba J. Math. **21** (1997), 29-42.
- [4] T. Adachi, S. Maeda and S. Udagawa, *A characterization of complex projective space in terms of circles*, preprint.
- [5] K. Nomizu and K. Yano, *On circles and spheres in Riemannian Geometry*, Math. Ann. **210** (1974), 163-170.
- [6] K. Ogiue, *Differential geometry of Kaehler submanifolds*, Advances in Mathematics **13** (1974), 73-114.

U-HANG KI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK UNIVERSITY, TAEGU  
702-701, KOREA

*E-mail*: uhang@bh.kyungpook.ac.kr

SADAHIRO MAEDA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIMANE UNIVERSITY, MATSUE,  
SHIMANE 690, JAPAN

*E-mail*: smaeda@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp