

STRONG-MAX CYCLIC SUBMODULES

CHIN-HONG PARK

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define CR(completely reachable), MICR(minimal cyclic refinement) and MACR(maximal cyclic refinement)-Modules. We have obtained equivalent statements for minimal cyclic submodule and maximal cyclic submodule. Also, we have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for a module M with MICR to be cyclic or strongly cyclic.

1. Introduction

In this paper we characterize the minimal and the maximal cyclic submodules of an arbitrary module M . Also we give some characterizations of classes of modules, that is to say, strongly cyclic, CR(completely reachable), strong CR. In order to do these we introduce $S(m)$, $C(m)$, MICR(minimal cyclic refinement) and MACR(maximal cyclic refinement) where $S(m)$ is the source set of $m \in M$ and $C(m) = \{0, q \in M : mR = qR\}$.

From now on, we assume that a ring R has an identity 1 and a right R -module $M \neq \{0\}$. We have defined *strongly cyclic module* in Park [1] but we shall restate it here. $\{0\}$ will be denoted 0.

DEFINITION 1. (1) M is *strongly cyclic* if $M \neq 0$ and $M = mR$ for any $m(\neq 0) \in M$ (or $\forall m(\neq 0), q \in M, q = ma$ for some $a \in R$).

(2) M is *cyclic* if $M = mR$ for some $m \in M$.

(3) mR is a *minimal cyclic submodule* if $mR \neq 0$ and $\forall q \in M, 0 \subsetneq qR \subset mR \implies qR = mR$.

(4) mR is a *maximal cyclic submodule* if $mR \neq M$ and $\forall q \in M, mR \subset qR \subsetneq M \implies qR = mR$.

Received March 4, 1996.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D99.

Key words and phrases: completely reachable, minimal cyclic refinement, maximal cyclic refinement, strongly cyclic module.

(5) $H \subset M$ is a *strongly cyclic subset* of M if $\forall m(\neq 0), q \in H, q = ma$ for some $a \in R$.

The proof of the following Lemma is quite straightforward.

LEMMA 1. $H \leq M$ is minimal submodule of $M \iff H \leq M$ is strongly cyclic submodule.

DEFINITION 2. Let $m(\neq 0) \in M$.

(1) $S(m) = \{0, q \in M : m = qa \text{ for some } a \in R\}$ is called the *source set* of $m \in M$.

(2) $m \in M$ is *completely reachable* in M if $M = S(m)$

(3) $C(m) = \{0, q \in M : mR = qR\}$

(4) M is a *CR-module* (module with a completely reachable element) if $M = S(n)$ for some $n(\neq 0) \in M$

LEMMA 2. Let M be a right R -module. Then we have the following statements :

(1) $S(m) \subset S(ma)$ for any $a \in R$ and $q \in S(m) \implies S(q) \subset S(m)$.

(2) $M = S(m) \iff m \in \bigcap_{q(\neq 0) \in M} qR \neq \emptyset$.

(3) $\bigcap_{m(\neq 0) \in M} mR$ is a strongly cyclic submodule of M if M is a CR-module.

Proof. (1) and (2) are trivial. For (3), we shall show $\bigcap_{m(\neq 0) \in M} mR = qR$ for every $q(\neq 0) \in \bigcap_{m(\neq 0) \in M} mR$. We note that $q \in mR$ for all $m(\neq 0)$ in M and hence $q = mb$ for some $b \in R$. We let $t \in qR$. Then $t = qa$ for some $a \in R$. This implies $t = (mb)a = m(ba) \in mR$ for all $m(\neq 0)$ in M . Hence $t \in \bigcap_{m(\neq 0) \in M} mR$. The converse is trivial. \square

We define new terminologies.

DEFINITION 3. Let $m(\neq 0) \in M$.

(1) $S(m)$ is *minimal set* if $\forall q \in M, 0 \subsetneq S(q) \subset S(m) \implies S(q) = S(m)$.

(2) $S(m)$ is *maximal set* if $\forall q \in M, S(m) \subset S(q) \subsetneq M \implies S(q) = S(m)$.

LEMMA 3. Let $m, n (\neq 0) \in M$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) $mR \subset nR \iff S(m) \supset S(n)$
- (2) $mR = nR \iff S(m) = S(n)$.
- (3) mR is minimal[max] $\iff S(m)$ is maximal[min] set.
- (4) mR is strongly cyclic $\iff mR$ is minimal.
- (5) $S(m)$ is strongly cyclic set $\iff S(m)$ is minimal set

Proof. For (1), (\implies) we let $t \in S(n)$. Then $n = tb$ for some $b \in R$. But $m = m1 \in mR \subset nR$ and then $m = nc$ for some $c \in R$. This implies $m = nc = (tb)c = t(bc)$. Hence $t \in S(m)$. (\impliedby) we can prove it in the same way. For (2), we can prove it like (1). (3) comes from (1) and (4) comes from Lemma 1. For (5), (\implies) we let $0 \subsetneq S(q) \subset S(m)$, $\forall q (\neq 0) \in M$. To prove $S(q) \supset S(m)$ we let $p (\neq 0) \in S(m)$. We note that $q \in S(m)$. Since $S(m)$ is strongly cyclic, we have $q = pa$ for some $a \in R$ and hence $p \in S(q)$. (\impliedby) also it is trivial. \square

LEMMA 4. Let M be a right R -module and $m (\neq 0) \in M$. Then we have the following statements :

- (1) $C'(m)$ is a strongly cyclic subset of M .
- (2) $C'(m) = C'(n) \iff$ (i) $ma = n$ and $nb = m$ for some $a, b \in R$
 \iff (ii) $S(m) = S(n)$
- (3) Let D_m be a strongly cyclic subset of M with $m \in D_m$. Then $D_m \subset C'(m)$.
- (4) $C'(n) = nR \cap S(n)$ for any $n (\neq 0) \in M$.
- (5) $\bigcap_{m (\neq 0) \in M} C'(m) = \{0\}$

Proof. For (1), let $p (\neq 0), t \in C'(m)$. Then $mR = pR$ and $mR = tR$. From this we have $pa = t$ for some $a \in R$.

For (2)(i), (\implies) : trivial. (\impliedby) : To show $C'(m) \subset C'(n)$ we let $t \in C'(m)$. Then $mR = tR$. This implies $S(m) = S(t)$. But $n = ma \implies S(m) \subset S(n)$ and $m = nb \implies S(n) \subset S(m)$. This means $S(m) = S(n)$. Hence we have $S(n) = S(t)$ and then $nR = tR$. i.e., $t \in C'(n)$. Similarly, we can prove the converse.

For (2)(ii), (\implies) : To show $S(m) \subset S(n)$ we let $t \in S(m)$. Then $m = tc$ for some $c \in R$. But $ma = n$ for some $a \in R$. Hence we have $n = ma = (tc)a = t(ca)$. This means $t \in S(n)$. Similarly, it is easy to show the converse. (\impliedby) : It is trivial.

For (3), let $p(\neq 0) \in D_m$. Then we have $m = pa$ and $p = mb$ for some $a, b \in R$. From (2) we have $p \in C(p) = C(m)$.

For (4), $C(n) \subset nR \cap S(n)$: Let $t \in C(n)$. Then $nR = tR$. From this we have $n = ta$ for some $a \in R$. Hence $t \in S(n)$ and then $t \in nR \cap S(n)$. Also, it is easy to check the converse.

For (5), let $p(\neq 0) \in C(m) \cap C(q)$. Then we have $mR = pR$ and $qR = pR$. To show $C(m) \subset C(q)$ we let $t \in C(m)$. Then $mR = tR$. From this we have $tR = qR$. Hence $t \in C(q)$. Similarly, it is trivial to show $C(m) \supset C(q)$. This means that we have shown $p \in C(m) \cap C(q) \implies C(m) = C(q)$. \square

2. Characterizations of minimal and maximal cyclic submodules in a module M

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that M is not cyclic and let $m(\neq 0) \in M$. Then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (1) mR is a maximal cyclic submodule of M ;
- (2) $S(m) = C(m)$;
- (3) $S(m) \cap S(q) \neq 0, \forall q(\neq 0) \in M \implies S(m) \subset S(q)$;
- (4) $S(m) \subset mR$;
- (5) $S(m)$ is a strongly cyclic subset of M ;
- (6) $C(m) \cap qR \neq 0, \forall q(\neq 0) \in M \implies q \in C(m)$;
- (7) $m = qa$ for some $a \in R, \forall q(\neq 0) \in M \implies C(m) = C(q)$;
- (8) $S(m) \cap qR \neq 0, \forall q(\neq 0) \in M \implies mR = qR$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) : We shall show $S(m) \subset C(m)$. Let $q \in S(m)$. Then $S(q) \subset S(m) \implies qR \supset mR$. Hence $qR = mR$ and then $q \in C(m)$. $S(m) \supset C(m)$ comes from Lemma 4(4).

(2) \implies (3) : Let $t \in S(m) \cap S(q)$. Then we have $t \in S(m)$ and $t \in S(q)$. This implies $S(t) \subset S(m)$ and $S(t) \subset S(q)$. But $t \in C(m)$. This means $S(m) = S(t)$. Hence $S(m) = S(t) \subset S(q)$.

(3) \implies (4) : Let $q(\neq 0) \in S(m)$. Then we have $S(q) \subset S(m)$ and then $S(q) \cap S(m) \neq 0$. From assumption we have $S(m) \subset S(q)$. Hence $S(m) = S(q)$. From this $q = ma$ for some $a \in R$ and hence $q \in mR$.

(4) \implies (5) : Let $p, q(\neq 0) \in S(m) \subset mR$. Then we have $S(q) \subset S(m)$ and $S(q) \subset S(m)$. Also $p = ma$ and $q = mb$ hold for some $a, b \in R$. This means that $m \in S(p) \implies S(m) \subset S(p)$ and $m \in S(q) \implies S(m) \subset$

$S(q)$. Hence $S(m) = S(p) = S(q)$. This shows that $p = qc$ for some $c \in R$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) : Let $mR \subset qR \subsetneq M$ for $q \in M$. Then from Lemma 3(1) we have $S(m) \supset S(q)$. Since $S(m)$ is strongly cyclic, $q = ma$ holds for some $a \in R$. This implies $m \in S(q)$ and then $S(m) \subset S(q)$. Hence we have $S(m) = S(q)$. This means $mR = qR$ from Lemma 3(2).

(1) \Rightarrow (6) : Let $p \in C(m) \cap qR \neq 0$. Then we have $mR = pR$ and $pR \subset qR$. This means $mR \subset qR$ and from assumption $mR = qR$ holds. Hence $q \in C(m)$. (6) \Rightarrow (1) : From Lemma 4(4) it is trivial.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (7) : It is trivial.

(1) \Rightarrow (8) : Let $p \in S(m) \cap qR$. Then we have $p \in S(m)$ and $p \in qR$. This implies that $m = pa$ for some $a \in R$ and $pR \subset qR$. Also we have $mR \subset pR$. Since mR is maximal, we have $mR = qR$.

(8) \Rightarrow (1) : Let $mR \subset qR$. Then from Lemma 4(4) we have $S(m) \cap qR \neq 0$. Hence $mR = qR$ \square

THEOREM 6. *Let $m(\neq 0) \in M$. Then the following conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) mR is minimal ;
- (2) $C(m) = mR$;
- (3) $C(m)$ is a submodule of M ;
- (4) $C(m) \cap S(q) \neq 0, \forall q(\neq 0) \in M \implies S(m) = S(q)$;
- (5) $mR \subset S(m)$;
- (6) $\forall a \in R \exists b \in R : mab = m$;
- (7) $mR \cap qR \neq 0, \forall q(\neq 0) \in M \implies mR \subset qR$;

Proof. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : It is trivial. (1) \Rightarrow (3) : (i) let $q \in C(m)$ and $a \in R$. Then we have $mR = qR$. But $qaR \subset qR = mR$. Since mR is minimal, $qaR = mR$ holds. Hence $qa \in C(m)$. (ii) to show $(C(m), +)$ is a subgroup of M we let $p, q \in C(m)$. Then $mR = pR$ and $mR = qR$ hold. From this for every $a \in R$ we have $mb = pa$ and $mc = qa$ for some $b, c \in R$. This implies $(p - q)a = m(b - c) \in mR$. Hence $(p - q)R \subset mR$ holds. Since mR is minimal, we have $(p - q)R = mR$. This means $(p - q) \in C(m)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) : Let $p \in C(m) \cap S(q)$. Then $p \in C(m)$ and $p \in S(m)$. From this we have $q = pa \in C(m)$ for some $a \in R$. Hence $mR = qR$ holds.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) : $S(m) \subset S(ma)$ holds for $a \in R$. From Lemma 4(4) we have $C(m) \cap S(ma) \neq 0$. Hence $ma \in S(m)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (6) : It is trivial. (6) \Rightarrow (1) : Let $ma, mc \in mR$. For $a \in R \exists b \in R : mab = m$. From this $mc = (mab)c = ma(bc)$.

(1) \Rightarrow (7) : Let $p \in mR \cap qR$. Then $pR \subset mR$ and $pR \subset qR$. Since mR is minimal, we have $mR \subset qR$.

(7) \Rightarrow (1) : It is trivial. \square

The following Theorem comes from Theorem 5 and Theorem 6. Therefore, we shall omit its proof.

THEOREM 7. *Suppose that M is not cyclic and let $m(\neq 0) \in M$. Then the following conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) mR is minimal and maximal ;
- (2) $S(m) = C(m)$ is a submodule of M ;
- (3) $S(m) \cap S(q) \neq 0, \forall q(\neq 0) \in M \implies S(m) = S(q)$;
- (4) $mR = S(m)$;
- (5) $S(m)$ is strongly cyclic submodule of M ;
- (6) $mR \cap qR \neq 0, \forall q(\neq 0) \in M \implies mR = qR$

3. MACR-modules and MICR-modules

We introduce new terminologies.

DEFINITION 4. Let M be a right R -module.

- (1) $R^{-1}(min) = \{0, m \in M : mR \text{ is minimal}\}$.
- (2) $R^{-1}(max) = \{0, m \in M : mR \text{ is maximal}\}$.
- (3) M is a *MACR(maximal cyclic refinement)* - module if $\forall m(\neq 0) \in M \exists q(\neq 0) \in R^{-1}(max) : mR \subset qR$.
- (4) M is a *MICR(minimal cyclic refinement)* - module if $\forall m(\neq 0) \in M \exists q(\neq 0) \in R^{-1}(min) : qR \subset mR$.
- (5) M is a *strong CR*-module if $M = S(m)$ for every $m(\neq 0) \in M$.

LEMMA 8. *Let M be a right R -module. Then the following statements hold :*

- (1) Every *CR*-module is a *MICR*-module such that $M = S(q)$ for every $q(\neq 0) \in R^{-1}(min)$.
- (2) mR is minimal cyclic submodule for every completely reachable element $m \in M$.

(3) *Strongly cyclic module* \implies *Strong CR-module* \implies *CR-module*.

Proof. For (1), since M is *CR-module*, we have $M = S(m)$ for some $m(\neq 0) \in M$. To prove $S(q) \supset M$ we let $p \in M = S(m)$. Then $p \in S(p) \subset S(m)$. On the other hand, we have $q \in M = S(m)$. This implies that $S(q) \subset S(m) \iff qR \supset mR$ and hence $qR = mR$ since qR is minimal. Hence it holds. To prove that M is a *MICR* we let $m(\neq 0) \in M$. Then $S(m) \subset S(p)$ for $p(\neq 0) \in R^{-1}(\min)$ and hence $mR \supset pR$.

For (2), we let $0 \subsetneq qR \subset mR$ for $q \in R$. Then $M = S(m) \subset S(q)$. This implies $S(q) = S(m)$ and hence $qR = mR$. (3) comes from definitions. \square

THEOREM 9. *Let M be a *MACR-module*. If there is a $m(\neq 0) \in M$ such that $C(m) = R^{-1}(\max)$, then M is cyclic.*

Proof. Let $q \in M$. Since M is *MACR-module*, there is a $p \in R^{-1}(\max)$ such that $qR \subset pR$. From this we have $q \in qR \subset pR = mR$. Hence $M = mR$. \square

THEOREM 10. *Let M be a *MICR-module*. Then we have the following statements :*

- (1) M is a *CR - module* $\iff \exists m(\neq 0) \in M$ such that $C(m) = R^{-1}(\min)$.
- (2) M is *strongly cyclic* $\iff \exists m(\neq 0) \in M$ such that $S(m) = R^{-1}(\min)$.
- (3) M is *strong CR* $\iff M$ is *strongly cyclic*.

Proof. For (1), (\Leftarrow) Let $m \in M$ such that $C(m) = R^{-1}(\min)$. We let $q(\neq 0) \in M$. Then $\exists p(\neq 0) \in R^{-1}(\min)$ such that $pR \subset qR$. From $p \in C(m)$ we have $mR = pR \subset qR$. Hence $q \in S(m)$ and then $M = S(m)$.

(\Rightarrow) we note that $M = S(m)$ for some $m(\neq 0) \in M$. From Lemma 8(2) we have $m \in R^{-1}(\min)$. It is trivial to show $C(m) = R^{-1}(\min)$ from Lemma 2(2).

For (2), (\Leftarrow) Let $m \in M$ such that $S(m) = R^{-1}(\min)$. Claim : $C(m) = S(m)$. (proof) since mR is minimal, we have $mR = C(m) \subset S(m)$. To prove $C(m) \supset S(m)$ we let $q \in S(m)$. From assumption

$\exists p \in R^{-1}(\text{min})$ such that $pR \subset qR$. From this we have $S(p) \subset S(m)$ and then $mR \subset pR \subset qR$. Hence we have $mR = qR$ and $q \in C(m)$.

Combining the claim and hypothesis, we have $C(m) = S(m) = R^{-1}(\text{min})$. Also, from (1) we have $M = S(m)$. Now we shall show that M is strongly cyclic. Let $p, q \in M = C(m)$. Then we have $mR = pR$ and $mR = qR$. This implies $p = qa$ for some $a \in R$.

(\Rightarrow) We note that $M = S(m)$ for every $m(\neq 0) \in M$ since M is strongly cyclic. We shall show $R^{-1}(\text{min}) = M$. Let $q(\neq 0) \in M$. Then $\exists p \in R^{-1}(\text{min})$ such that $pR \subset qR$. Since M is strongly cyclic, we have $M = pR$. Hence $pR = qR$ holds and $q \in R^{-1}(\text{min})$. From this we have $S(m) = R^{-1}(\text{min})$. \square

From Theorem 6 and the above Theorem we have the following Corollary.

COROLLARY 10.1. *If M is a CR-module, then $R^{-1}(\text{min}) = C(m) = mR$.*

4. Examples

EXAMPLE 1. Let $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{ 0, 1, 2 \}$. Then

- (1) \mathbb{Z}_3 is strongly cyclic \mathbb{Z}_3 - module since $1\mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $2\mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_3$.
- (2) $1, 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ are completely reachable elements of \mathbb{Z}_3 since $\mathbb{Z}_3 = S(1)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3 = S(2)$.
- (3) $1\mathbb{Z}_3$ and $2\mathbb{Z}_3$ are minimal submodules of \mathbb{Z}_3 from (2).
- (4) $R^{-1}(\text{min}) = \{0, 1, 2\} = S(1) = S(2)$. Hence \mathbb{Z}_3 is strongly cyclic like we have mentioned in (1).
- (5) \mathbb{Z}_3 is a MICR-module since $1\mathbb{Z}_3 \subset 1\mathbb{Z}_3$ and $2\mathbb{Z}_3 \subset 2\mathbb{Z}_3$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3 \}$. Then

- (1) \mathbb{Z}_4 is not strongly cyclic \mathbb{Z}_4 - module since $2\mathbb{Z}_4 \neq \mathbb{Z}_4$.
- (2) $2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ is a completely reachable element of \mathbb{Z}_4 but $1, 3 \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ are not completely reachable elements of \mathbb{Z}_4 since $\mathbb{Z}_4 = S(2)$ but $\mathbb{Z}_4 \neq S(1)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4 \neq S(3)$.
- (3) $2\mathbb{Z}_4$ is minimal submodule of \mathbb{Z}_4 from (2) and also a maximal submodule of \mathbb{Z}_4 since 2 is a prime dividing 4.
- (4) $R^{-1}(\text{min}) = \{0, 2\}$.
- (5) \mathbb{Z}_4 is a MICR-module since $2\mathbb{Z}_4 \subset 1\mathbb{Z}_4$, $2\mathbb{Z}_4 \subset 2\mathbb{Z}_4$ and $2\mathbb{Z}_4 \subset 3\mathbb{Z}_4$.

(6) $\nexists m(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ such that $S(m) = R^{-1}(min)$ since $S(1) = \{0, 1, 3\}$, $S(2) = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $S(3) = \{0, 1, 3\}$. Hence \mathbb{Z}_4 is not strongly cyclic like we have mentioned in (1).

References

- [1] C. H. Park, *Generalized Semiautomorphism Group of Modules*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **29** (1992), 79 - 90.
- [2] C. H. Park, *On right congruences associated with the input Semigroup S of Automata*, semigroup Forum **48** (1994), 263 - 271.
- [3] ———, *On Free and Torsion-Free Automata*, vol. 1, Pure Mathematics and Applications Ser. A, Budapest Hungary, 1994, pp. 191 - 194.
- [4] ———, *Small and Large Automata*, Korean J. Comput. and Appl. Math. **2** (1995), 69 - 74.
- [5] F. Kasch, *Modules and Rings*, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [6] J. S. Golan and T. Head, *Modules and the Structure of Rings*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1991.

Department of Mathematics
Sunmoon University
Asan 336-840, Korea
E-mail: chp@omega.sunmoon.ac.kr