# AN EXTENSION OF THE FUGLEDE-PUTNAM THEOREM TO k-QUASIHYPONORMAL OPERATORS

## KYO IL SHIN AND HYUNG KOO CHA

ABSTRACT. The Fulgede-Putnam theorem asserts as if A and B are normal operators and X is an operator such that AX = XB, then  $A^*X = XB^*$ . In this paper, we show that if A is k-quasihyponormal and  $B^*$  is invertible k-quasihyponormal such that AX = XB for a Hilbert-Schmidt operator X, then  $A^*X = XB^*$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  the \*-algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ . An operator T in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called normal if  $T^*T = TT^*$ , hyponormal if  $T^*T \geq TT^*$ , quasihyponormal if  $T^*(T^*T-TT^*)T \geq 0$  and k-quasihyponormal for a positive integer k if  $T^{*k}(T^*T-TT^*)T^k \geq 0$  which is equivalent to  $||T^{k+1}x|| \geq ||T^*T^kx||$  for all x in  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is well known that the following inclusion relations of the classes of nonnormal operators defined above are as follows and they are proper ([5],[6],[9]);

Normal  $\subsetneq$  Hyponormal  $\subsetneq$  Quasihyponormal  $\subsetneq$  k-Quasihyponormal.

The classical Fuglede-Putnam theorem is as follows:

THEOREM 1.1. If A and B are normal operators and if X is an operator such that AX = XB, then  $A^*X = XB^*$ .

Originally, so called, Fuglede-Putnam theorem has been initiated by Fuglede in [4] under the condition A = B in Theorem 1.1 and one year after Putnam relaxed the condition in [8].

In [2], S. K. Berberian extended the Fuglede-Putnam theorem as follows:

Received September 29, 1997.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification, 47B20

Key words and phrases Normal, hyponormal, quasihyponormal, k-quasihyponormal, Hilbert-Schmidt class, trace class.

THEOREM 1.2. Suppose A, B, X are operators in Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that AX = XB. Assume also that X is an operator of Hilbert-Schmidt class. Then  $A^*X = XB^*$  under either of the following hypotheses:

- (1) A and B\* are hyponormal;
- (2) B is invertible and  $||A|| ||B^{-1}|| \le 1$ .

In [5], T. Furuta relaxes the hypotheses on A and B in Theorem 1.2 at the cost of requiring X to be of Hilbert-Schmidt class as follows:

THEOREM 1.3. Suppose A, B and X are operators on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that AX = XB. Assume also that X is an operator of Hilbert-Schmidt class. Then  $A^*X = XB^*$  under any one of the following hypotheses:

- (1) A is k-quasihyponormal and  $B^*$  is invertible hyponormal
- (2) A is quasihyponormal and B\* is invertible hyponormal.

In this paper, we show that the hyponormality of  $B^*$  in Theorem 1.3 can be relaxed by the k-quasihyponormality of  $B^*$ .

### 2. The main theorem

Let T be an operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and suppose that  $\{e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . We define the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of T to be

$$||T||_2 = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||Te_n||^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This definition is independent of the choice of an orthonormal basis ([3],[7]).

If  $||T||_2 < \infty$ , T is said to be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. By  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$  we define the set of all Hilbert-Schmidt operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) = \{C = AB \mid A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})\}$ . Then operators belonging to  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  are called  $trace\ class$  operators. If  $\{e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ , we define a linear functional  $tr : \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$tr(C) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Ce_n, e_n \rangle,$$

then the definition of tr(C) does not depend on the choice of an orthonormal basis and tr(C) is called the trace of C ([3],[7]). The following theorem in [3], [7] is well known:

THEOREM 2.1. a) The set  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$  is a closed self-adjoint ideal of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ;

b) If  $\langle A, B \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Ae_n, Be_n \rangle = tr(B^*A) = tr(AB^*)$  for A and B in  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $\langle , \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$  is a Hilbert space with respect to this inner product, where  $\{e_n\}$  is any orthonormal basis for H.

THEOREM 2.2. If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $||TA||_2 \le ||T|| ||A||_2$ ,  $||A^*||_2 = ||A||$ ,  $||AT||_2 \le ||T|| ||A||_2$ .

Let A, B in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , from Theorem 2.1, and Theorem 2.2, we can define an operator  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}))$  by

$$\mathcal{J}X = AXB$$
,

which is due to Berberian in [2]. Evidently,  $\|\mathcal{J}\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$  and its adjoint  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is given by

$$\mathcal{J}^*X = A^*XB^*$$

because of

$$<\mathcal{J}^*X, Y>=< X, \mathcal{J}Y>=< X, AYB>=tr((AYB)^*X)$$
  
=  $tr(XB^*Y^*A^*)=tr(A^*XB^*Y^*)=< A^*XB^*, Y>.$ 

If  $A \geq 0$  and  $B \geq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{J} \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{J}^{\frac{1}{2}}X = A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}$  since

$$<\mathcal{J}X, X> = tr(AXBX^*) = tr(A^{\frac{1}{2}}XBX^*A^{\frac{1}{2}})$$
  
=  $tr((A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}})(A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}})^*) \ge 0.$ 

LEMMA 2.3. If A and  $B^*$  are k-quasihyponormal, then the operator  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}))$  defined by  $\mathcal{J}X = AXB$  is also k-quasihyponormal.

*Proof.* For every  $X \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , we have

$$\mathcal{J}^{*k}(\mathcal{J}^{*}\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^{*})\mathcal{J}^{k}X 
= (\mathcal{J}^{*k+1}\mathcal{J}^{k+1} - \mathcal{J}^{*k}\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^{*}\mathcal{J}^{k})X 
= A^{*k+1}A^{k+1}XB^{k+1}B^{*k+1} - A^{*k}AA^{*}A^{k}XB^{k}B^{*}BB^{*k} 
= (A^{*k+1}A^{k+1} - A^{*k}AA^{*}A^{k})XB^{k+1}B^{*k+1} 
+ A^{*k}AA^{*}A^{k}X(B^{k+1}B^{*k+1} - B^{k}B^{*}BB^{*k}).$$

In this case, since A and  $B^*$  are k-quasihyponormal, it follows that

$$\left\langle (A^{*k+1}A^{k+1} - A^{*k}AA^{*}A^{k})XB^{k+1}B^{*k+1}, X \right\rangle$$

$$= tr\left( (A^{*k+1}A^{k+1} - A^{*k}AA^{*}A^{k})XB^{k+1}B^{*k+1}X^{*} \right)$$

$$= tr\left( ((A^{*k+1}A^{k+1} - A^{*k}AA^{*}A^{k})^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{k+1}) \right)$$

$$((A^{*k+1}A^{k+1} - A^{*k}AA^{*}A^{k})^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{k+1})^{*} \right)$$

$$\geq 0$$

and

$$\left\langle (A^{*k}AA^{*}A^{k}X(B^{k+1}B^{*k+1} - B^{k}B^{*}BB^{*k}), X \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle A^{*}A^{k}X(B^{k+1}B^{*k+1} - B^{k}B^{*}BB^{*k}), A^{*}A^{k}X \right\rangle$$

$$= tr \left( A^{*}A^{k}X(B^{k+1}B^{*k+1} - B^{k}B^{*}BB^{*k})X^{*}A^{*k}A \right)$$

$$= tr \left( (A^{*}A^{k}X(B^{k+1}B^{*k+1} - B^{k}B^{*}BB^{*k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$(A^{*}A^{k}X(B^{k+1}B^{*k+1} - B^{k}B^{*}BB^{*k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$\geq 0.$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{J}$  is also k-quasihyponormal.

Lemma 2.4. If B is invertible k-quasihyponormal, then  $B^{-1}$  is also k-quasihyponormal.

*Proof.* Since B is k-quasihyponormal,  $B^{*k}(B^*B-BB^*)B^k = (B^{*k+1}B^{k+1}-B^{*k}BB^*B^k) \ge 0$ . Thus, we have

$$B^{-1}B^*BB^{*-1} - I = (B^{*k}B)^{-1}(B^{*k+1}B^{k+1} - B^{*k}BB^*B^k)(B^*B^k)^{-1}$$

$$\geq 0.$$

Since  $A \ge I$  implies  $A^{-1} \le I$ , we have  $B^*B^{-1}B^{*-1}B \le I$ . Therefore, we have

$$(B^{*-1})^{k+1}(B^{-1})^{k+1} - (B^{*-1})^k B^{-1} B^{*-1} (B^{-1})^k$$
  
=(B\*^{-1})^{k+1} (I - B^\* B^{-1} B^{\*-1} B) (B^{-1})^{k+1} \ge 0,

which completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.5. If A is k-quasihyponormal and  $B^*$  is invertible k-quasihyponormal such that AX = XB for any operator X in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}))$ , then  $A^*X = XB^*$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be the operator on  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$  defined by  $\mathfrak{J}X = AXB^{-1}$  Since  $(B^*)^{-1} = (B^{-1})^*$  is k-quasihyponormal by Lemma 2.4.  $\mathfrak{J}$  is also k-quasihyponormal by Lemma 2.3. The hypothesis AX = XB implies  $\mathfrak{J}X = AXB^{-1} = X$  and this relation yields  $\|\mathfrak{J}^*X\| = \|\mathfrak{J}^*\mathfrak{J}^kX\| \le \|\mathfrak{J}^{k+1}X\| = \|X\|$  by k-quasihyponormality of  $\mathfrak{J}$ . Since  $<\mathfrak{J}X$ ,  $X > = \|X\|^2$ , we have  $\|\mathfrak{J}^*X - X\|^2 \le \|X\|^2 - 2\|X\|^2 + \|X\|^2 = 0$  and this implies  $\mathfrak{J}^*X = X$ . Hence, we obtains  $A^*X(B^{-1})^* = \mathfrak{J}^*X = X$ . Therefore,  $A^*X = XB^*$  which is the desired relation.

### References

S K. Berberian, Note on a theorem of Fuglede and Putnam, Proc Amer Math Soc. 10 (1959), 175-182.

<sup>[2]</sup> \_\_\_\_\_\_, Extension of a theorem of Fuglede and Putnam, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1978), 113-114

- [3] J. B. Conway, Subnormal Operators, Research Notes in Math, Vol 51, Pitman Advanced Pub Program, 1981.
- [4] B. Fuglede, A Commutativity theorem for normal operators, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 36 (1950), 35-40.
- [5] T. Furuta, On relaxation of normality in the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), 324-328.
- [6] P. R. Halmos, A Hilbert Space Problem Book, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [7] G. J. Murphy, C\*-algebra and Operator Theory, Academic Press Inc, 1990.
- [8] C. R. Putnam, On normal operators in Hilbert space, Amer. J. Math. 73 (1951), 357-362.
- [9] N. C. Shah and I. H. Sheth, Some Results on Quasihyponormal Operators, J. Indian Math. Soc. 39 (1975).

Department of mathematics Hanyang University Seoul 133-791, Korea