

ON UNIFORMITIES OF BCI-ALGEBRAS

JAE KYUN PARK AND EUN HWAN ROH

Yonam College of Engineering, Chinju 660-750, Korea.
Dept. of Mathematics Education, Research Institute of Natural Science,
Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea.
E-mail : ehroh@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr.

Abstract In this paper, we construct the uniformity of a BCI-algebra.

1. Introduction

By a *BCI-algebra* we mean an algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (I) $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq (z * y)$,
- (II) $x * (x * y) \leq y$,
- (III) $x \leq x$,
- (IV) $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ implies $x = y$,

for all $x, y, z \in X$. We can define a partial ordering \leq by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

In what follows, X would mean a BCI-algebra unless otherwise specified. We first recall some definitions and properties.

DEFINITION 1.1. ([5]). Let A be a nonempty subset of X . Then A is called to be an *ideal* of X if, for all $x, y \in X$,

- (i) $0 \in A$,
- (ii) $x * y \in A$ and $y \in A$ imply $x \in A$.

DEFINITION 1.2. ([5]). Let A be a subset of X . Define the ideal of X *generated* by A , denote $\langle A \rangle$, to be the intersection of all ideals of X which contain A .

Received October 15, 1997.

1991 AMS Subject Classification : 06F35, 03G25, 54E15.

Key words and phrases : ideal, uniform structure.

The second author was supported by the Korea Research Foundation, 1997.

LEMMA 1.3. ([5, 6]). Let A be a subset of X and there exists some $x \in A$ satisfying $x \geq 0$. Then $\langle A \rangle$ can be described as the set of all $y \in X$ such that $(\cdots((y * a_1) * a_2) * \cdots) * a_n = 0$ for some $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n \in A$.

DEFINITION 1.4. ([7]). Let M be any nonempty set and let U and V be any subsets of $M \times M$. Define

$$U \circ V = \{(x, y) \in M \times M \mid \text{for some } z \in M, (x, z) \in U \text{ and } (z, y) \in V\},$$

$$U^{-1} = \{(x, y) \in M \times M \mid (y, x) \in U\},$$

$$\Delta = \{(x, x) \in M \times M \mid x \in M\}.$$

By a *uniformity* on M we mean a nonempty collection K of subsets of $M \times M$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(U_1) \Delta \subset U \text{ for any } U \in K,$$

$$(U_2) \text{ if } U \in K, \text{ then } U^{-1} \in K,$$

$$(U_3) \text{ if } U \in K, \text{ then there exists a } V \in K \text{ such that } V \circ V \subset U,$$

$$(U_4) \text{ if } U, V \in K, \text{ then } U \cap V \in K,$$

$$(U_5) \text{ if } U \in K \text{ and } U \subset V \subset M \times M, \text{ then } V \in K.$$

The pair (M, K) is called a *uniform structure*.

LEMMA 1.5. ([2]). For any $x, y \in X$ and any positive integer n , we have $0 * (x * y)^n = (0 * x^n) * (0 * y^n)$, where $x * y^n$ denote the element $(\cdots((x * y) * y) * \cdots) * y$ (y occurs n times).

In this paper, we construct the uniformity of BCI-algebras which is a generalization of one in the sense of Alo and Deeba ([1]).

2. Main Results

THEOREM 2.1. Let A be an ideal of X . For every natural number n , we define

$$U_A = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid 0 * (x * y)^n \in A \text{ and } 0 * (y * x)^n \in A\}$$

and let

$$K^* = \{U_A \mid A \text{ is an ideal of } X\}.$$

Then K^* satisfies the conditions $(U_1) - (U_4)$.

Proof. Let $(x, x) \in \Delta$. Since $0 * (x * x)^n = 0 * 0^n = 0 \in A$ for any ideal A , it follows that $(x, x) \in U_A$ for every $U_A \in K^*$, which proves that (U_1) holds.

For any $U_A \in K^*$, $(x, y) \in U_A$ if and only if $0 * (x * y)^n \in A$ and $0 * (y * x)^n \in A$ if and only if $(y, x) \in U_A^{-1}$ if and only if $(x, y) \in U_A^{-1}$. Hence $U_A^{-1} = U_A \in K^*$, which is (U_2) .

Assume that $U_A \in K^*$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{I_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a collection of ideals of X which is contained in A . \mathcal{B} is a subcollection of \mathcal{A} such that at least one member of \mathcal{B} contains an element $x \geq 0$. Let J be the ideal generated by $\bigcup_{\beta} I_\beta$, where $I_\beta \in \mathcal{B}$. Now, we show that $A' = U_J$ is such that $U_J \circ U_J \subset U_A$. Let $(x, y) \in U_J \circ U_J$. The definition of $U_J \circ U_J$ implies that for some $z \in X$, $(x, z) \in U_J$ and $(z, y) \in U_J$. That is, $0 * (x * z)^n, 0 * (z * x)^n \in J$ and $0 * (z * y)^n, 0 * (y * z)^n \in J$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (0 * (y * x)^n) * (0 * (y * z)^n) \\ &= ((0 * y^n) * (0 * x^n)) * ((0 * y^n) * (0 * z^n)) \\ &\leq (0 * z^n) * (0 * x^n) \\ &= 0 * (z * x)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since J is an ideal, $0 * (y * x)^n \in J$. Similarly we can show that $0 * (x * y)^n \in J$. Since J is the minimal ideal containing $\bigcup_{\beta} I_\beta$ and since $\bigcup_{\beta} I_\beta \subset A$, it follows that $J \subset A$. Hence $0 * (x * y)^n, 0 * (y * x)^n \in A$. Thus $(x, y) \in U_A$ and so $U_J \circ U_J \subset U_A$, which is (U_3) .

Finally we prove (U_4) . This will follow from the observation that $U_A \cap U_{A'} = U_{A \cap A'}$. Let $(x, y) \in U_A \cap U_{A'}$. Then $(x, y) \in U_A$ and $(x, y) \in U_{A'}$. This implies that

$$0 * (x * y)^n, 0 * (y * x)^n \in A \quad \text{and} \quad 0 * (x * y)^n, 0 * (y * x)^n \in A'.$$

Hence $0 * (x * y)^n, 0 * (y * x)^n \in A \cap A'$ and this implies that $(x, y) \in U_{A \cap A'}$. So $U_A \cap U_{A'} \subset U_{A \cap A'}$. Likewise we can show that $U_{A \cap A'} \subset U_A \cap U_{A'}$. Thus $U_A \cap U_{A'} = U_{A \cap A'}$ and this proves requirement (U_4) .

THEOREM 2.2. Let $K = \{U \subset X \times X | U \supset U_A \text{ for some } U_A \in K^*\}$ where $K^* = \{U_A | A \text{ is an ideal of } X\}$. Then K satisfies a uniformity on X . The pair (X, K) is a uniform structure.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.1, we can show that K satisfies the conditions $(U_1) - (U_4)$. To prove (U_5) , let $U \in K$ and $U \subset V \subset$

$X \times X$. Then there exists a $U_A \in K^*$ such that $U_A \subset U \subset V$, which implies that $V \in K$. This completes the proof.

DEFINITION 2.3. For $x \in X$ and $U \in K$, we define

$$U[x] = \{y \in X | (x, y) \in U\}.$$

THEOREM 2.4. For each $x \in X$, the collection $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U[x] | U \in K\}$ forms a neighborhood base at x , making X a topological space.

Proof. First note that $x \in U[x]$ for each x . Second,

$$U_1[x] \cap U_2[x] = (U_1 \cap U_2)[x],$$

which means that the intersection of neighborhoods is a neighborhood. Finally, if $U[x] \in \mathcal{U}_x$ then by (U_3) there exists a $E \in K$ such that $E \circ E \subset U$. Then for any $y \in E[x]$, $E[y] \subset U[x]$, so this property of neighborhoods is satisfied.

References

1. R. A. Alo and E. Y. Deeba, *A note on uniformities of a BCK-algebra*, Math. Japon. **30** (1985), 237-240.
2. W. Huang, *Nil-radical in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 363-366.
3. K. Iséki, *On a quasi-uniformity on BCK-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **4** (1976), 225-226.
4. K. Iséki, *Introduction of a quasi-uniformity on BCK-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **4** (1976), 229-230.
5. K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon. **23** (1978), 1-26.
6. S. M. Wei, Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh, *A note on ideals in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **42** (1995), 69-73.
7. S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. (1970).