

Complete Convergence in a Banach Space

Soo Hak Sung

Department of Applied Mathematics, Pai Chai University

바나하 공간에서의 완전 수렴성

성수학

배재대학교 응용수학과

Let $\{X_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise independent B-valued random variables which is uniformly bounded by a random variable X satisfying $E|X|^{2p} < \infty$ for some $p \geq 1$. Let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of constants. Under some auxiliary conditions on $\{a_{ni}\}$, it is shown that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \rightarrow 0$ in probability if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni}$ converges completely to 0.

$\{X_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ 은 $2p$ 차 적률을 갖는 적당한 확률변수 X 에 의해서 유계된 바나하 공간상의 값을 갖는 확률변수 열이다. 상수 열 $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ 에 적당한 조건을 부여할 때

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni}$ 가 0에 확률적으로 수렴할 조건과 완전수렴할 조건은 서로 동치이다.

Key words : Banach space, B-valued random variables, complete convergence

I. Introduction

Let $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real separable Banach space. Let $\{X_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise independent B-valued random variables and let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of constants. An array $\{X_{ni}\}$ is said to be

uniformly bounded by a random variable X if for all n and i and each $t > 0$,

$$P(\|X_{ni}\| > t) \leq P(|X| > t).$$

Recently, Sung[2] obtained some complete convergence theorems for arrays of rowwise independent B-valued random variables. The main theorem of Sung is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\{X_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise independent B-valued random

variables which is uniformly bounded by a random variable X satisfying $E|X|^{2p} < \infty$ for some $p \geq 1$. Let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of real numbers satisfying

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}| = O(1/n^{1/p}) \tag{1}$$

for some $p \geq 1$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^2 = o(1/\log n). \tag{2}$$

Assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.}$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni}$ converges completely to 0.

Note that the above theorem generalized and improved that of Wang et al[3].

In this paper, we prove Theorem 1.1 under some weaker condition than (2).

II. Main Result

To prove our main result, we will need the following lemmas. Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 come from Sung[2] and de Acosta[1], respectively.

Lemma 2.1. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent B-valued random variables such that $\|X_i\| \leq b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and let

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i. \text{ Then for any } t > 0,$$

$$E[\exp(t \|S_n\|)] \leq$$

$$\exp\{tE\|S_n\| + 2t^2 \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2tb_i} E\|X_i\|^2\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent B-valued random variables with $E\|X_i\|^r < \infty$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $1 \leq r \leq 2$.

$$\text{Then } E\|S_n\| - E\|S_n\| \leq C_r \sum_{i=1}^n E\|X_i\|^r,$$

where $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, and C_r is a positive constant depending only on r ; if $r=2$ then it is possible to take $C_2=4$.

Now we state and prove our main theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\{X_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise independent B-valued random variables which is uniformly bounded by a random variable X satisfying $E|X|^{2p} < \infty$ for some $p \geq 1$. Let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of real numbers satisfying (1) and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{A_n}\right) < \infty \tag{3}$$

for each $t > 0$, where $A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^2$.

Assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.} \tag{4}$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni}$ converges completely to 0.

Proof. The proof is based on certain ideas of Sung[2]. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}| \leq 1/n^{1/p}$ and $E|X|^{2p} = 1$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. For $p < q < 2p$ and N , define

$$X'_{ni} = X_{ni} I(\|X_{ni}\| \leq n^{1/q}),$$

$$X''_{ni} = X_{ni} I(\|X_{ni}\| > \epsilon n^{1/p}/N),$$

$$X'''_{ni} = X_{ni} I(n^{1/q} < \|X_{ni}\| \leq \epsilon n^{1/p}/N),$$

$$T'_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X'_{ni}, \quad T''_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X''_{ni},$$

$$T'''_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X'''_{ni}.$$

To prove the theorem, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\|T'_n\| > \epsilon) < \infty, \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\|T''_n\| > \epsilon) < \infty, \tag{6}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\|T'''_n\| > \epsilon) < \infty, \tag{7}$$

since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni}\| > 3\epsilon) \leq$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [P(\|T'_n\| > \epsilon) + P(\|T''_n\| > \epsilon) +$$

$$P(\|T'''_n\| > \epsilon)].$$

We establish (5) only, since the proofs of (6) and (7) are the same as the proof of Sung[2]. From Markov's inequality and Lemma 2.1, we have for $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\|T'_n\| > \epsilon) \\ & \leq e^{-t\epsilon} E[\exp(t\|T'_n\|)] \\ & \leq \exp\{-t\epsilon + tE\|T'_n\| + \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$2t^2 e^{2t} \frac{n^{1/q}}{n^{1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^n E\|a_{ni} X'_{ni}\|^2\}.$$

$$\text{Let } t = \min\left\{\frac{\epsilon}{4e^2 A_n}, n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right\}.$$

Now we calculate the power of exp in the last expression of (8).

If $\epsilon/4e^2 A_n \leq n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}$, i.e., $t = \epsilon/4e^2 A_n$, then

the power is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4e^2 A_n} + \frac{\epsilon E\|T'_n\|}{4e^2 A_n} + \\ & 2\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4e^2 A_n}\right)^2 e^2 \sum_{i=1}^n E\|a_{ni} X'_{ni}\| \\ & \leq -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4e^2 A_n} + \frac{\epsilon E\|T'_n\|}{4e^2 A_n} \\ & + 2\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4e^2 A_n}\right)^2 e^2 A_n \\ & = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{8e^2 A_n} + \frac{\epsilon E\|T'_n\|}{4e^2 A_n}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^n E\|a_{ni} X'_{ni}\| \leq A_n$.

If $\epsilon/4e^2 A_n > n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}$, i.e., $t = n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}$, then the power is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & -\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} + n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} E\|T'_n\| \\ & + 2n^{2(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} e^2 A_n \\ & \leq -\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} + n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} E\|T'_n\| \\ & + 2n^{2(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \frac{e^2 \epsilon}{4e^2 n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}} \\ & = -\frac{\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}}{2} + n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} E\|T'_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

since $A_n \leq \epsilon/4e^2 n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}$.

Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\|T'_n\| > \epsilon) \leq \\ & \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{8e^2 A_n} + \frac{\epsilon E\|T'_n\|}{4e^2 A_n}\right) + \\ & \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}}{2} + n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} E\|T'_n\|\right). \tag{9} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have by Lemma 2.2 and (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} E\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \| - E\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \| &|^2 \\ \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^n E\| a_{ni} X_{ni} \|^2 &\leq 4E|X|^2 A_n \\ \leq 4A_n &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this result and (4) gives

$$E\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E\| T_n \| & \\ = E\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} (X_{ni} - X_{ni} I(\|X_{ni}\| > n^{1/q})) \| & \\ \leq E\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \| + & \\ \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^n E\| X_{ni} \| I(\|X_{ni}\| > n^{1/q}) & \\ \leq E\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \| + \frac{n}{n^{1/p}} E|X| I(|X| > n^{1/q}) & \\ \leq E\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_{ni} \| + \frac{n}{n^{1/p+(2p-1)/q}} E|X|^{2p} & \\ \rightarrow 0, & \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{2p-1}{q} - 1 = (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) + (\frac{2p}{q} - 1) > 0.$$

Hence $E\| T_n \| < \epsilon/4$ for n sufficiently large. Thus, we have by (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\| T_n \| > \epsilon) & \\ \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\exp(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{8e^2 A_n}) + \frac{\epsilon E\| T_n \|}{4e^2 A_n}] &+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\frac{\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}}{2} + n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} E\| T_n \|) & \\ \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\exp(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{16e^2 A_n}) + & \\ \exp(-\frac{\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}}{4})] < \infty & \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. The last inequality follows from (3) and the fact that $1/p - 1/q > 0$. Thus (5) is proved.

Remark. Since the condition (2) implies the condition (3), Theorem 2.3 is an extension of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgment

This study was financially supported by a Central Research Fund in 1996 from Pai-Chai University.

References

De Acosta, A. (1981). Inequalities for B-valued random vectors with applications to the strong law of large numbers. *Ann. Probab.*, 9: 157-161.

Sung, S.H. (1997). Complete convergence for weighted sums of arrays of rowwise independent B-valued random variables. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, to appear.

Wang, X.C. Rao, M.B. and Yang, X. (1993). Convergence rates on strong laws of large numbers for arrays of rowwise independent elements. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 11: 115-132.