

## ON GENERIC SUBMANIFOLDS OF A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

SEONG-BAEK LEE, SEUNG-GOOK HAN,  
NAM-GIL KIM AND SEONG SOO AHN

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to compute the covariant derivative of a shape operator of a generic submanifold of a complex space form without using the Green-Stoke's theorem. In particular, we classify complete generic submanifolds of a complex number space  $C^m$  with parallel mean curvature vector satisfying a certain condition.

### Introduction

One of typical natural submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold is the so-called generic submanifolds that are defined as follows : Let  $M$  be a submanifold of a Kaehler manifold  $\tilde{M}$  with complex structure  $J$ . If each normal space is mapped into the tangent space under the action of  $J$ ,  $M$  is called a generic submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$ . Real hypersurfaces of Riemannian manifolds are the most typical example of generic submanifolds. Compact submanifolds of Kaehler manifold have been studied by applying the Green-Stoke's theorem to compute the Simon's type (for example[8]).

In the present paper, we compute the covariant derivative of a shape operator of a generic submanifold of a complex space form without using the Green-Stoke's theorem. In particular, we classify complete generic submanifolds of a complex number space  $C^m$  with parallel mean curvature vector satisfying a certain condition.

---

Received April 11, 1995. Revised May 20, 1995.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 53c15.

Key words and phrases: generic submanifold, parallel mean curvature vector, restricted Laplacian, submersion.

The present study was supported by the Chosun University Research funds, 1995.

### 1. Generic submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold

Let  $\tilde{M}$  be a real  $2m$ -dimensional Kaehler manifold with metric tensor  $\langle, \rangle$  and the complex structure  $J$ . Then,  $J^2 = -I$  and  $\langle JX, JY \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity transformation of the tangent bundle and  $X$  and  $Y$  vector fields on  $\tilde{M}$ . Let  $\tilde{\nabla}$  be the Riemannian connection compatible with  $\langle, \rangle$ . Then, we get  $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$ . Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in  $\tilde{M}$  by the immersion  $i : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ . We then obtain the induced Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ . Then the equation of Gauss and Weingarten are respectively given by  $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi$ , where  $h$  is the second fundamental form,  $A_\xi$  the shape operator associated to the normal vector field  $\xi$  satisfying  $\langle h(X, Y)\xi \rangle = \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle$  and  $D$  the connection in the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$  of  $M$ . An  $n$ -dimensional submanifold  $M$  in a Kaehler manifold  $\tilde{M}$  is called *generic* if  $J(T_p^\perp M) \subset T_p M$  for each  $p$  in  $M$ , where  $T_p M$  is the tangent space of  $M$  at  $p$  and  $T_p^\perp M$  the normal space of  $M$  at  $p$ .

We now consider an  $n$ -dimensional generic submanifold  $M$  of a Kaehler manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . Let  $X$  be a vector field tangent to  $M$  and  $\xi$  a vector field normal to  $M$ . Then we may put

$$(1.1) \quad JX = pX - qX,$$

$$(1.2) \quad J\xi = t\xi,$$

where  $pX$  denotes the tangential part of  $JX$ ,  $qX$  the normal part of  $JX$  and  $t\xi$  a vector field defined by  $\langle t\xi, X \rangle = \langle qX, \xi \rangle$ . It follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$(1.3) \quad p^2 = -I + tq, \quad qp = 0, \quad pt = 0, \quad qt = I$$

REMARK. Let  $M$  be a generic submanifold of a Kaehler manifold. We can easily find that  $p^3 + p = 0$ .

Differentiating (1.1) and (1.2) covariantly and making use of  $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$ , we obtain

$$(1.4) \quad (\nabla_Y p)X = -A_{qX} Y + th(X, Y),$$

$$(1.5) \quad (\nabla_Y q)X = h(Y, pX),$$

$$(1.6) \quad (\nabla_Y t)\xi = -pA_\xi X,$$

$$(1.7) \quad h(X, t\xi) = qA_\xi X,$$

where  $(\nabla_Y p)X = \nabla_Y pX - p\nabla_Y X$ ,  $(\nabla_Y q)X = D_Y qX - q\nabla_Y X$  and  $(\nabla_Y t)\xi = \nabla_Y t\xi - tD_X \xi$  for all vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$  and  $\xi$  normal to  $M$ .

We now assume that the ambient Kaehler manifold  $\tilde{M}$  is a complex space form with constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$  and we shall denote it by  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Then the curvature tensor  $\tilde{R}$  of  $\tilde{M}(c)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = & c\{\langle X, W \rangle\langle Y, Z \rangle - \langle Y, W \rangle\langle X, Z \rangle \\ & + \langle JX, W \rangle\langle JY, Z \rangle - \langle JY, W \rangle\langle JX, Z \rangle \\ & - 2\langle JX, Y \rangle\langle JZ, W \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci for  $M$  are respectively obtained

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = & c\{\langle X, W \rangle\langle Y, Z \rangle - \langle Y, W \rangle\langle X, Z \rangle \\ & + \langle pX, W \rangle\langle pY, Z \rangle - \langle pY, W \rangle\langle pX, Z \rangle \\ & - 2\langle pX, Y \rangle\langle pZ, W \rangle\} + \langle h(X, W), h(Y, Z) \rangle \\ & - \langle h(X, Z), h(Y, W) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z) = & c\{-\langle pY, Z \rangle qX \\ & + \langle pX, Z \rangle qY + 2\langle pX, Y \rangle qZ\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle R^\perp(X, Y)\xi, \eta \rangle = & c\{\langle qX, \eta \rangle\langle qY, \xi \rangle \\ & - \langle qY, \eta \rangle\langle qX, \xi \rangle\} + \langle [A_\xi, A_\eta]X, Y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the operator of covariant differentiation defined on the direct sum of the tangent bundle and cotangent bundle  $TM \oplus T^\perp M$  given by  $(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = D_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z)$ ,  $R$  and  $R^\perp$  and the Riemann curvature tensor of  $M$  and that in the normal bundle respectively and  $[A_\xi, A_\eta] = A_\xi A_\eta - A_\eta A_\xi$ . Let  $H$  be the mean curvature vector field on  $M$  defined by  $\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}h$ , where  $\text{Tr}h$  means the trace of  $h$ .

### 2. Basic formulas

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional generic submanifold of a real  $2m$ -dimensional complex space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$ . A normal vector field  $\xi$  is said to be *parallel* if  $D_X \xi = 0$  for any vector field  $X$  on  $M$ . We assume that the mean curvature vector field  $H$  is nonvanishing and parallel in the normal bundle. Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2m-n}\}$  be an orthonormal frame of  $\tilde{M}(c)$  of  $M$  such that  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  are tangent to  $M$  and  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2m-n}$  normal to  $M$  with  $\xi_1 = H/\|H\|$ . Let  $'\Delta$  be the so-called restricted Laplacian operator (see[8] for detail). Let  $A_{\xi_x} = A_x$ . Throughout this paper the indices  $i, j$  and  $k$  run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $x, y, z, u$  belong to  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2m-n\}$ . Since  $\xi_1$  is parallel,  $'\Delta A_1$  is given by

$$(2.1) \quad ('\Delta A_1)X = \sum_i [R(e_i, X), A_1]e_i + c \sum_i \nabla_{e_i} \{- \langle t\xi_1, e_i \rangle pX + \langle t\xi_1, X \rangle pe_i - 2 \langle pX, e_i \rangle t\xi_1\}$$

By straightforward computation and making use of (1.4) - (1.7), we can obtain from (2.1)

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle ('\Delta A_1)X, Y \rangle &= c(n+3) \langle A_1 X, Y \rangle - c(\text{Tr}A_1) \langle X, Y \rangle \\ &+ (\text{Tr}A_1) \langle A_1 X, A_1 Y \rangle - \sum_x \text{Tr}(A_1 A_x) \langle A_x X, Y \rangle \\ &+ 3c(\text{Tr}A_1) \langle t\xi_1, X \rangle \langle t\xi_1, Y \rangle - 6c \langle A_1 pX, pY \rangle - \\ &c \sum_x \{3 \langle A_1 X, t\xi_x \rangle \langle Y, t\xi_x \rangle + \langle A_x Y, t\xi_x \rangle \langle X, t\xi_1 \rangle \\ &+ 2 \langle A_x X, t\xi_1 \rangle \langle Y, t\xi_x \rangle - \langle A_x Y, t\xi_1 \rangle \langle X, t\xi_x \rangle \\ &+ \langle A_x X, t\xi_x \rangle \langle Y, t\xi_1 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now define the property(\*):

$$(*) \quad A_\eta p = pA_\eta$$

for any vector field  $\eta$  normal to  $M$ , which is equivalent to  $h(pX, Y) + h(X, pY) = 0$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$ .

Applying  $p$  to (\*) and making use of (1.3), we get

$$(2.3) \quad A_\eta X = -pA_\eta pX + tqA_\eta X$$

for any vector field  $X$  tangent to  $M$ . If we put  $X = t\zeta$  for some vector field  $\zeta$  normal to  $M$ , then

$$(2.4) \quad A_\eta t\zeta = th(t\zeta, t\eta)$$

because of (1.3). Let  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2m-n}\}$  be an orthonormal normal vectors at a point  $p$  of  $M$ . Then we may set (2.4) as

$$(2.5) \quad A_\eta t\zeta = \sum_x Q(\xi_x, \zeta, \eta)t\xi_x,$$

where  $Q(\xi_x, \zeta, \eta) = \langle h(t\zeta, t\eta), \xi_x \rangle$ . If we put  $Q_{xyz} = Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_z)$ , then we can easily see that  $Q_{xyz}$  is symmetric with respect to  $x, y$  and  $z$  by means of (1.7). We now assume that the mean curvature vector field  $H$  is nonvanishing and parallel in the normal bundle and  $\xi_1$  is chosen as  $H/\|H\|$ . We extend  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2m-n}$  to differentiable orthonormal normal vector fields defined on a normal neighborhood  $O$  of  $p$  by parallel translation with respect to normal connection along geodesics in  $M$  and we denote them by the same notation as  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2m-n}$ . Then we have  $(D_X \xi_X)(p) = 0$ . From (2.5) we get

$$(2.6) \quad A_1 t\xi_y = \sum_x Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1)t\xi_x.$$

It gives that

$$\langle h(X, t\xi_y), \xi_1 \rangle = \sum_x Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1) \langle t\xi_x, X \rangle$$

for any vector field  $X$  tangent to  $M$ . Differentiating this equation covariantly and making use of (1.6) and  $(*)$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} &< (\tilde{\nabla}_Y h)(X, t\xi_y), \xi_1 \rangle - \langle h(X, pA_y Y), \xi_1 \rangle \\ &= \sum_x (YQ(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1)) \langle t\xi_1, X \rangle - \sum_x Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1) \langle pA_x Y, X \rangle \text{ at } p. \end{aligned}$$

By means of the equation of Codazzi, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad &2c \langle pY, X \rangle \delta_{y1} + 2 \sum_x Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1) \langle pA_x, X \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle p(A_1 A_y + A_y A_1)Y, X \rangle \\ &= \sum_x (YQ(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1)) \langle t\xi_x, X \rangle \\ &\quad - \sum_x (XQ(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1)) \langle t\xi_x, Y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $X = t\xi_z$  and use (1.3), then we see that the right hand side vanishes. On the other hand, the fact that  $\xi_1$  is parallel implies

$$(2.8) \quad (A_1 A_y - A_y A_1)X = c\{\langle qX, \xi_1 \rangle t\xi_y - \langle qX, \xi_y \rangle t\xi_1\}$$

for any vector field  $X$  on  $M$ . Considering (2.8), (2.7) yields

$$A_y A_1 pY = c\delta_{y1} pY + \sum_x Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1) A_x pY$$

for every vector field  $Y$  on  $M$ . Applying  $p$  to the last equation and using (1.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad &A_y A_1 Y = c\delta_{y1}(I - tq)Y + \sum_x Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1) A_x Y \\ &- \sum_x \sum_z \{Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1)Q(\xi_z, qY, \xi_x) - Q(\xi_x, qY, \xi_1)Q(\xi_z, \xi_x, \xi_y)\} t\xi_z. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9) and making use of the fact that  $Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_z)$  is symmetric with respect to  $x, y$  and  $z$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad &\sum_x \{Q(\xi_x, \xi_w, \xi_1)Q(\xi_z, \xi_x, \xi_y) - Q(\xi_x, \xi_w, \xi_y)Q(\xi_z, \xi_x, \xi_1)\} \\ &= c(-\delta_{yz}\delta_{1w} + \delta_{yw}\delta_{1z}). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (2.10), (2.9) implies that

$$(2.11) \quad A_1^2 = \sum_x Q(\xi_x, \xi_1, \xi_1)A_x + c(I - tq).$$

Putting (2.2) and (2.11) together and taking account of (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle ('\Delta A_1)X, Y \rangle = & c\{-2 \langle A_1X, Y \rangle + 2(\text{Tr}A_1) \langle t\xi_1, X \rangle \langle t\xi_1, Y \rangle \\ & + 2 \sum_x \sum_y Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_1) \langle t\xi_x, X \rangle \langle t\xi_y, Y \rangle \\ & - \sum_x \sum_y Q(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_y) \{ \langle t\xi_x, X \rangle \langle t\xi_1, Y \rangle + \langle t\xi_1, X \rangle \langle t\xi_x, Y \rangle \} \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$ . Putting  $X = t\xi_1$  and  $Y = t\xi_1$ , we have

$$(2.13) \quad \langle ('\Delta A_1)t\xi_1, t\xi_1 \rangle = 2c\{\text{Tr}A_1 - \sum_x Q(\xi_x, \xi_x, \xi_1)\}.$$

If we denote  $Q(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_1)$  by  $Q$ , then

$$(2.14) \quad (XQ)(p) = \langle (\nabla_X A_1)t\xi_1, t\xi_1 \rangle (p)$$

for any vector field  $X$  on  $M$  because of (1.3) and (1.6). If we choose an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  satisfying  $(\nabla_{e_i} e_j)(p) = 0$ . Then we have

$$(2.15) \quad (\Delta Q)(p) = \langle ('\Delta A_1)t\xi_1, t\xi_1 \rangle (p) - 2 \sum_i \langle (\Delta_{e_i} A_1)t\xi_1, pA_1 e_i \rangle (p)$$

since  $A_1$  is symmetric, where  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian operator defined by  $\sum_i \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i}$ . Using the another form of equation of Codazzi, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X A_\eta)Y - (\nabla_Y A_\eta)X + A_{D_X \eta}Y - A_{D_Y \eta}X \\ & = \text{the tangential part of } \tilde{R}(X, Y)\eta \end{aligned}$$

for all vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$  and a normal vector field  $\eta$  on  $M$ , we can reduce (2.15) to

$$(\Delta Q)(p) = \langle ('\Delta A_1)t\xi_1, t\xi_1 \rangle (p) - 2c \sum_i \langle pe_i, pA_1e_i \rangle (p)$$

with the help of (1.3) and (1.4). Taking account of (1.3) and (2.13), we obtain

$$(\Delta Q)(p) = 0.$$

This equation holds for every point  $p$  in  $M$ . Thus  $Q$  is a harmonic function on  $M$ . It follows that  $\text{Tr}A_1^2$  is also harmonic.

We now define a tensor  $T$  by

$$T(X, Y) = (\nabla_X A_1)Y - c\{\langle pX, Y \rangle t\xi_1 + \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle pX\}.$$

We then have

$$(2.16) \quad \|T\|^2(p) = \|\nabla A_1\|^2(p) - 4c^2(n - m)$$

because of (1.3). Putting  $X = e_i$  and  $Y = A_1e_i$  in (2.12) and summing up together, we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{i=1} \langle ('\Delta A_1)e_i, A_1e_i \rangle (p) = 4c^2(m - n)$$

with the help of (2.11). Putting (2.16) and (2.17) together, we have

$$\|T\|^2(p) = 0$$

for every point of  $p$  in  $M$  since  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta \text{Tr}A_1^2 = \langle '\Delta A_1, A_1 \rangle + \|\Delta A_1\|^2$ , that is,

$$(2.18) \quad (\nabla_X A_1)Y = c\{\langle pX, Y \rangle t\xi_1 + \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle pX\}$$

for all vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$ .

NOTE. By considering (2.8), we see that  $Q$  and  $\text{Tr}A_1^2$  are constant along  $M$ .



**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional generic submanifold of a complex space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$  with nonvanishing parallel mean curvature vector  $H$ . If  $(*)$  is satisfied on  $M$ , then all the principal curvatures of  $H$  are constant.*

**PROOF.** If we defined a function  $h_k = \text{Tr}(A_H^k)$  for any integer  $k \geq 1$ , then  $h_k$  is constant by considering (1.3), (2.5) and (2.18). Thus, every principal curvature of  $H$  is constant. (Q. E. D.)

### 3. Generic submanifolds of a complex number space

In this section we assume that a generic submanifold  $M$  of a complex number space  $C^m$  satisfies the condition  $(*)$  in section 2 and the mean curvature vector field  $H$  is nonvanishing and parallel in the normal bundle. Then we have from (2.18)

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional generic submanifold of a complex number space  $C^m$  with nonvanishing parallel mean curvature vector  $H$ . If  $(*)$  is satisfied on  $M$ , then the weingarten map  $A_H$  associated to  $H$  is parallel.*

We now prove

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional generic submanifold of a complex number space  $C^m$  with nonvanishing parallel mean curvature vector  $H$ . If  $(*)$  is satisfied on  $M$ , then  $M$  is either a minimal submanifold or a product submanifold  $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_a$ , where  $M_t$  ( $t = 1, 2, \dots, a$ ) is a  $n_t$ -dimensional submanifold imbedded in  $C^{m_t}$  and  $M_t$  is contained in a hypersphere in  $C^{m_t}$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $H = 0$ , then  $M$  is minimal. Suppose that  $H \neq 0$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $A_H$  is parallel. According to Proposition 2.1, we see that every principal curvature of  $H$  is constant along  $M$ . Let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_a$  be mutually distinct principal curvatures of  $H$  and let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_a$  be their multiplicities. Since  $A_H$  is parallel, the distribution  $D_t$  defined by  $c_t$  is parallel and hence  $M$  is a product of submanifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_a$  by de Rham decomposition Theorem, where  $M_t$  is the integral submanifold of  $D_t$  for each  $t = 1, 2, \dots, a$ . Moreover,  $A_x D_t \subset D_t$  for each  $x$  and  $t$

since  $[A_1, A_x] = 0$ . The theorem of Moore [7] gives that  $M = M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_a$  is a product submanifold imbedded in  $C^m = C^{m_1} \times C^{m_2} \times \dots \times C^{m_a}$ ,  $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_a = m$ . Let  $\pi_t(H)$  be the component of  $H$  in the subspace  $C^{m_t}$ . Then  $\pi_t(H)$  is the parallel mean curvature vector of  $M_t$  in  $C^{m_t}$  and it is a umbilical section of  $M_t$ . Thus,  $M_t$  lies in a hypersphere in  $C^{m_t}$  which is orthogonal to  $\pi_t(H)$ . Futhermore.  $M_t$  is minimal in the sphere. (Q. E. D.)

REMARK. ([5]) Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete generic submanifold of complex number space  $C^m$  with flat normal connection and parallel mean curvatrue vector. If  $(*)$  is satisfied on  $M$ , then  $M$  is a product of spheres.

#### 4. Submersions and immersions

Let  $\tilde{\pi} : S^{2m+1} \rightarrow CP^m$  be the Riemannian submersion defined by the Hopf-fibration, where  $S^{2m+1}$  is the unit hypersphere and  $CP^m$  the complex projective space with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. Then we get

$$(4.1) \quad \hat{\nabla}_{X^*} Y^* = (\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^* + \langle JX, Y \rangle V.$$

$$(4.2) \quad \hat{\nabla}_{X^*} V = \hat{\nabla}_V X^* = -(JX)^*,$$

where  $V$  is the unit vertical vector field whose integral curves are great circles  $S^1$  of  $S^{2m+1}$ ,  $X^*$  denotes the horizontal lift of  $X$  on  $CP^m$  and  $\hat{\nabla}$  the metric connection on  $S^{2m+1}$ . Then  $\langle X, Y \rangle (q) = \langle X^*, Y^* \rangle (\bar{q})$ , where  $\tilde{\pi}q = \bar{q}$ . Let  $\tilde{M}$  be a generic submanifold of complex projective space  $CP^m$ . Denote by  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{M})$ , the inverse image of  $\tilde{M}$ . Then  $\tilde{M}$  is a principal circle bundle over  $\tilde{M}$  with totally geodesic fibres. From this fact we have the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} & S^{2m+1} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{i} & CP^m, \end{array}$$

where  $i$  and  $\tilde{i}$  denote the immersions and  $\pi = \tilde{\pi}|_M$ . Since  $V$  spans the vertical subspace of the submersion  $\tilde{\pi} : S^{2m+1} \rightarrow CP^m$ , we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$(4.3) \quad T_{\bar{p}}\bar{M} = (T_{\pi(\bar{p})}M)^* \oplus \text{Span} \{V\}.$$

By the Gauss equation and (1.1) we find

$$(4.4) \quad \hat{\nabla}_{X^*}Y^* = (\nabla_X Y)^* + h(X, Y)^* + \langle pX, Y \rangle V$$

for  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M$ . Let  $\xi$  be a normal vector field of  $M$  in  $CP^m$ , (4.1) gives

$$\hat{\nabla}_{X^*}\xi^* = (\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi)^* + \langle JX, \xi \rangle V,$$

which together with the Weingarten equation and (1.1) implies

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{A}_{\xi^*}X^* = (A_{\xi}X)^* + \langle qX, \xi \rangle V,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \hat{D}_X \xi^* = (D_X \xi)^*$$

where  $\tilde{A}$  denotes the Weingarten map of  $\bar{M}$  associated with  $\xi^*$  and  $\hat{D}$  the normal connection defined in the normal bundle of  $\bar{M}$ . Let  $\nabla'$  be the metric connection on  $\bar{M}$ .

Then we have

$$(4.7) \quad \hat{\nabla}_{X^*}Y^* = \nabla'_{X^*}Y^* + \tilde{h}(X^*, Y^*),$$

where  $\tilde{h}$  denotes the second fundamental form of  $\bar{M}$  in  $S^{2m+1}$ . Combining (4.1) and (4.7), we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \nabla'_{X^*}Y^* = (\nabla_X Y)^* + \langle pX, Y \rangle V,$$

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{h}(X^*, Y^*) = h(X, Y)^*.$$

From (1.1), (4.2) and (4.7), we get

$$(4.10) \quad \tilde{h}(X^*, V) = (qX)^*,$$

$$(4.11) \quad \nabla'_{X^*}V = \nabla'_V X^* = -(pX)^*.$$

Since  $V$  is the unit vector field tangent to the totally geodesic fibres, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \tilde{h}(V, V) = 0.$$

It is well-known (for example, see[5])

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $M$  be a submanifold of  $CP^m$ . Then the mean curvature vector of  $M$  is parallel in the normal bundle if and only if that of  $\bar{M}$  is parallel in the normal bundle.*

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $M$  be a generic submanifold of  $CP^m$  with non vanishing parallel mean curvature vector field  $H$ . If  $h(X, pY) + H(pX, Y) = 0$  is satisfied on  $M$ , then  $\tilde{A}_1$  is parallel, where  $\tilde{A}_1$  is the weingarten map associated with  $\xi_1^*$  and  $\xi_1 = H/\|H\|$ .*

PROOF. Let's compute  $(\nabla'_{X^*} \tilde{A}_1)Y^*$  :

$$(4.13) \quad (\nabla'_{X^*} \tilde{A}_1)Y^* = \nabla'_{X^*} \tilde{A}_1 Y^* - \tilde{A}_1 \nabla'_{X^*} Y^*.$$

By (4.8) we see that

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_1 \nabla'_{X^*} Y^* &= \tilde{A}_1 \{(\nabla_X Y)^* + \langle pX, Y \rangle V\} \\ &= (A_1 \nabla_X Y)^* + \langle q \nabla_X Y, \xi_1 \rangle V + \langle pX, Y \rangle \tilde{A}_1 V \end{aligned}$$

because of (4.5). Differentiating  $\tilde{A}_1 Y^* = (A_1 Y)^* + \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle V$  covariantly, we get

$$\nabla'_{X^*} \tilde{A}_1 Y^* = \nabla'_{X^*} (A_1 Y)^* + X \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle V + \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle \nabla'_{X^*} V,$$

or, using (4.8) and (4.11),

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla'_{X^*} \tilde{A}_1 Y^* &= (\nabla_X A_1 Y) V + \langle pX, A_1 Y \rangle V \\ &\quad + X \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle V - \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle (pX)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.14) and (4.15) into (4.13) and using (1.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla'_{X^*} \tilde{A}_1)Y^* &= ((\nabla_X A_1)Y)^* + \langle pX, A_1 Y \rangle V + \langle h(X, pY), \xi_1 \rangle V \\ &\quad - \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle (pX)^* - \langle pX, Y \rangle \tilde{A}_1 V. \end{aligned}$$

We now suppose that  $h(X, pY) + h(pX, Y) = 0$ . Then the last equation can be reduced to

$$(4.16) \quad (\nabla'_{X^*} \tilde{A}_1)Y^* = ((\nabla_X A_1)Y)^* - \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle (pX)^* - \langle pX, Y \rangle \tilde{A}_1 V.$$

On the other hand, we find by (4.12)

$$(4.17) \quad \langle (\nabla'_{X^*} \tilde{A}_1)Y^*, V \rangle = 0.$$

We now consider :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla'_{X^*} \tilde{A}_1)Y^*, Z^* \rangle &= \langle (\nabla_X A_1)Y, Z \rangle - \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle \langle pX, Z \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle pX, Y \rangle \langle \tilde{A}_1 V, Z^* \rangle \\ &= \langle (\nabla_X A_1)Y - \langle qY, \xi_1 \rangle pX \\ &\quad - \langle pX, Y \rangle t\xi_1, Z \rangle \quad ((\text{By}(4.10))) \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{By (2.18) with } c = 1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla'_{Y^*} \tilde{A}_1)V, X^* \rangle &= Y^* \langle \tilde{A}_1 V, X \rangle - \langle \tilde{A}_1 \nabla'_{Y^*} V, X^* \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \tilde{A}_1 V, \nabla'_{Y^*} X^* \rangle \\ &= Y^* \langle \tilde{h}(X^*, V), \xi_1^* \rangle - \langle \tilde{h}(X^*, (pY)^*), \xi_1^* \rangle - \langle \tilde{h}(V, \nabla'_{Y^*} X^*), \xi_1^* \rangle \\ &= Y \langle qX, \xi_1 \rangle + \langle h(X, pY), \xi_1 \rangle + \langle q\nabla_Y X, \xi_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle h(Y, pX) + h(X, pY), \xi_1 \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We also easily obtain  $\langle (\nabla'_V \tilde{A}_1)Y^*, X^* \rangle = 0$ . Similarly, we can compute :

$$\langle (\nabla'_V \tilde{A}_1)Y^*, V \rangle = 0, \quad (\nabla'_V \tilde{A}_1)V = 0.$$

Summing up these results, we find that  $\tilde{A}_1$  is parallel.

(Q. E. D.)

By the same argument developed in the previous section, we see that the principal curvatures of  $\xi_1^*$  are constant and  $M$  is a product of submanifolds  $\bar{M}_1 \times \bar{M}_2 \times \dots \times \bar{M}_b$ . Thus we have

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a generic submanifold of  $CP^m$  with non vanishing parallel mean curvature vector field  $H$ . If  $h(X, pY) + h(pX, Y) = 0$  is satisfied on  $M$ , then  $M$  is the projection of a product of submanifolds  $\tilde{\pi}(\bar{M}_1 \times \bar{M}_2 \times \dots \times \bar{M}_b)$ , where  $\tilde{\pi}$  is the natural projection defined by the Hopf -fibration  $S^1 \rightarrow S^{2m+1} \rightarrow CP^m$ .*

## References

1. Chen, B. Y., *Geometry of submanifolds*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1973).
2. Chen, B. Y., Ludden, G. D. and Montiel, S., *Real submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold*, Algebras, Group and Geometries 1 (1984), 176-212.
3. Ki, U-H. and Nakagawa, H., *Compact CR-submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector in a complex space form*, Korean Math. Soc **23** (1986), 191-199.
4. Ki, U-H. and Kim, Y.H., *Totally real submanifolds of a complex space form*, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 39-44.
5. Ki, U-H. and Pak, J. S., *Generic submanifolds of an even-dimensional Euclidean space*, Diff. Geom. **16** (1981), 283-303.
6. Ki, U-H., Pak, J. S. and Kim Y. H., *Generic submanifolds of complex projective space with parallel mean curvature vector*, Kodai Math. J. **4** (1981), 137-155.
7. Moore, J. D., *Isometric immersions of Riemannian products*, J. Diff. Geom. **5** (1971), 159-168.
8. Smyth, B., *Submanifolds of constant mean curvature*, Math. Ann. **205** (1973), 265-280.
9. Yano, K. and Kon, M., *Structures on manifolds*, World Scientific, Singapore (1984).

Professors Seong-Baek Lee, Seung-Gook Han and Nam-Gil Kim  
Chosun University  
Kwangju 501-759, Korea

Professor Seong Soo Ahn  
Dong Shin University  
Naju, Korea 520-714