

## SOME RESULTS ON METRIC FIXED POINT THEORY AND OPEN PROBLEMS

TAE HWA KIM\* AND KYUNG MEE PARK

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give some sharp expressions of the weakly convergent sequence coefficient  $WCS(X)$  of a Banach space  $X$ . They are used to prove fixed point theorems for involution mappings  $T$  from a weakly compact convex subset  $C$  of a Banach space  $X$  with  $WCS(X) > 1$  into itself which  $T^2$  are both of asymptotically nonexpansive type and weakly asymptotically regular on  $C$ . We also show that if  $X$  satisfies the semi-Opial property, then every nonexpansive mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  has a fixed point. Further, some questions for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings are raised.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $C \subseteq X$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow X$  is said to be *nonexpansive* if for each  $x, y \in C$ ,  $\|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \|x - y\|$ . It was the 1965 discovery of a fundamental fixed point theorem for the class of nonexpansive mappings that provided the foundations for much of the subsequent metric fixed point theory. The central result of [32] asserts that if  $X$  is reflexive, and if  $K$  is a bounded closed convex subset of  $X$  which possesses a geometrical property called 'normal structure' [6], then every nonexpansive  $T : K \rightarrow K$  has a fixed point, a fact also proved (at the same time) by Browder [7] and Göhde [18] under the somewhat stronger assumption that  $X$  is uniformly convex. For another rich fixed point theory for mappings of this class, see Goebel-Kirk [17].

On the other hand, if  $T$  is merely assumed to be *k-lipschitzian*, that is, for some fixed  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq k\|x - y\|$  for each  $x, y \in C$ , then

---

Received April 27, 1995. Revised July 14, 1996.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.

Key words and phrases: Fixed points, non-lipschitzian mappings, weakly convergent sequence coefficient, weak uniform normal structure.

\* Supported in part by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1996, Project No. BSRI-96-1440.

no comparable theory exists if the Lipschitz constant  $k > 1$ . Indeed, it is known that for any  $k > 1$  there exists a  $k$ -lipschitzian self-mapping of the unit ball  $B$  of the infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $\ell_2$  which has no fixed point (see [32]). It has been known for some time, however, that such mappings  $T$  will always have fixed points if  $k$  is sufficiently near 1 and if appropriate constraints are placed on the iterates of  $T$ . One of the first results of this type is due to Goebel [14] who proved that if  $K$  is a weakly compact convex subset of a *strictly convex* Banach space, and if  $K$  has normal structure, then a mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  will always have a fixed point if  $T^2$  is nonexpansive and if  $T$  is  $k$ -lipschitzian for  $k < 2$ . We know that it remains true without the strict convexity assumption (see [31]). Note that if  $K = [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and if  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is defined by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{7}{16}; \\ 2(x - \frac{7}{16}) & \text{if } \frac{7}{16} \leq x \leq \frac{9}{16}; \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \frac{9}{16} \leq x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

then  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is not nonexpansive but  $T^2 = 0$ .

A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be *asymptotically nonexpansive* [15] if for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a real number  $k(n)$  such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k(n)\|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C$$

and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(n) = 1$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers. We say that a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be *asymptotically nonexpansive type* (simply, a.n.t.) on  $C$  [33] if, for each  $x \in C$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup \{ \|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\| : y \in C \} \right) \leq 0.$$

In section 2 of this paper, we give some sharp expressions of the weakly convergent sequence coefficient  $WCS(X)$  of a Banach space  $X$ . In section 3, using a characterization of  $WCS(X)$  we present a fixed point theorem for an involution map  $T$  from a weakly compact convex subset  $C$  of a Banach space  $X$  with  $WCS(X) > 1$  into itself which  $T^2$  is both of asymptotically nonexpansive type and weakly asymptotically regular on  $C$ . Finally, in section 4, we show that if  $X$  satisfies the semi-Opiol property, then every nonexpansive mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  has a fixed

point. Further, we give some questions for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. For variant open questions for nonexpansive mappings, see also Kirk [34].

## 2. Geometrical coefficients of $X$

Let  $X$  be a non-Schur Banach space and  $A \subset X$ .

$$\text{diam}(A) = \sup_{x, y \in A} \|x - y\|,$$

$$r_A(A) = \inf_{x \in A} (\sup_{y \in A} \|x - y\|) \quad \text{“the self- Chebyshev radius of } A\text{”}.$$

For each  $x \in X$  and each sequence  $\{x_n\} \in X$ , we set

$$r(x, \{x_n\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|$$

$$A(\{x_n\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup\{\|x_i - x_j\| : i, j \geq n\})$$

“the asymptotic diameter of  $\{x_n\}$ ”

$$r(\{x_n\}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_n\}) : x \in \overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\})\}$$

“the Chebyshev radius of  $\{x_n\}$  relative to  $\overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\})$ ”

$$D(\{x_n\}) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m\|,$$

where  $\overline{\text{co}}(A)$  denotes the closed convex hull of  $A$ .

First, let us introduce some geometrical coefficients introduced by Bynum [9].

(1) “the normal structure coefficient of  $X$ ”

$$N(X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}(A)}{r_A(A)} : \right.$$

$A \subset X$  bounded closed convex with  $\text{diam}(A) > 0$   $\left. \right\}$ .

(2) “the bounded sequence coefficient of  $X$ ”

$$BS(X) = \sup \left\{ M : \text{for any bounded sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ in } X, \right.$$

$\exists y \in \overline{\text{co}}(\{x_n\})$  such that  $M \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\| \leq A(\{x_n\}) \left. \right\}$ .

(3) “the *weakly convergent sequence coefficient* of  $X$ ”

$$WCS(X) = \sup \left\{ M : \text{for each weakly convergent sequence } \{x_n\}, \right. \\ \left. \exists y \in \overline{co}(\{x_n\}) \text{ such that } M \cdot \sup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\| \leq A(\{x_n\}) \right\}, \\ A(X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{A(\{x_n\})}{r(\{x_n\})} : \{x_n\} \text{ bounded nonconvergent sequence in } X \right\}.$$

REMARK 2.1. (a)  $N(X) = BS(X) = A(X)$  for any Banach space (see Lim [36]).

$$WCS(X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{A(\{x_n\})}{r(\{x_n\})} : \{x_n\} \text{ converges weakly (not strongly)} \right\} \\ W(X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{A(\{x_n\})}{r_X(\{x_n\})} : \{x_n\} \text{ converges weakly (not strongly)} \right\},$$

where  $W(X)$  is a geometrical constant first introduced by Webb-Zhao [44] and  $r_X(\{x_n\}) = \inf_{x \in X} r(x, \{x_n\})$ .

(b)  $1 \leq N(X) = BS(X) \leq WCS(X) \leq W(X) \leq 2$ . Let  $X = l_2$ -direct sum of  $\mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_\infty^n$  is the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the maximum norm. Then  $X$  is separable, reflexive and  $1 = N(X) = BS(X) < WCS(X) = \sqrt{2}$  (see Baillon [3]).

We say that  $X$  has the *uniform normal structure* (UNS) if  $N(X) > 1$  and it has the *weak uniform normal structure* (WUNS) if  $WCS(X) > 1$ .

(c)  $[ WCS(X) > 1 \Rightarrow (\text{WNS}) ]$ , where (WNS) means that any weakly compact subset of  $X$  has normal structure, i.e., any convex subset  $K$  of  $C$  containing more than one point must contain a point  $z \in K$  which has the property:

$$\sup_{y \in K} \|z - y\| < \text{diam}(K).$$

DEFINITION 2.1. (a)  $X$  with a Schauder basis  $\{e_n\}$  has the *Gossez-Lami Dozo Property* (GLD) [19] if for each  $c > 0$ ,  $\exists r = r(c) > 0$  such that

$$(\text{GLD}) [ \forall x \in X, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \|P_n(x)\| = 1, \text{ and } \|(I - P_n)(x)\| \geq c \\ \implies \|x\| \geq 1 + r ],$$

where  $P_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$  and  $(I - P_n)(x) = x - P_n(x) = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_i e_i$  for all  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i e_i \in X$ .

(b)  $X$  has the *generalized Gossez-Lami Dozo property* (GGLD) [21] if for every weakly null sequence  $\{x_n\}$  s.t.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 1$ , we have  $D(\{x_n\}) > 1$ .

(c)  $X$  has the *Tingley property* (T) [43] if for every weakly null (and not constant) sequence  $\{x_n\}$ ,

$$(T) \quad \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m\| > \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|).$$

Note that the following implications hold:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (GLD) & \xrightarrow{[19]} & (WNS) & \xrightarrow{[32]} & (FPP:N) \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ (GGLD) & \xrightarrow{[21]} & (T) & \xrightarrow{[43]} & (WNS) \xrightarrow{[32]} (FPP:N), \end{array}$$

where (FPP:N) means that for every weakly compact convex subset  $C$  of  $X$ , every nonexpansive map  $T : C \rightarrow C$  has a fixed point.

Recently, Zhang [47] established the following sharp expression of the weakly convergent sequence coefficient of  $X$ ,  $WCS(X)$ .

$$(*) \quad WCS(X) = \sup \left\{ M : x_n \rightharpoonup u \Rightarrow M \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - u\| \leq A(\{x_n\}) \right\},$$

where “ $\rightharpoonup$ ” means the weak convergence. The idea of the proof in Zhang [47] is as follows: For each  $x \in X$ , define

$$r(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|.$$

Then, the functional  $r(x)$  is weakly lower semicontinuous and by using the separability of  $\overline{\text{co}}\{x_n\}$  the property (\*) is easily obtained.

REMARK 2.2. (a)  $D(\{x_n\}) \leq A(\{x_n\})$  and  
 (b)  $D(\{x_n\}) \neq A(\{x_n\})$  in general.

Consider the James' quasi-reflexive space  $J$  consisting of all real sequences  $x := \{x_n\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n$  for which  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$  and  $\|x\|_J < \infty$ , where

$$\|x\|_J = \sup \left\{ [(x_{p_1} - x_{p_2})^2 + \cdots + (x_{p_{m-1}} - x_{p_m})^2 + (x_{p_m} - x_{p_1})^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

and the supremum is taken over all choices of  $m$  and  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_m$ . Then  $J$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_J$  and the sequence  $\{e_n\}$  given by  $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  where the 1 is in the  $n$ th position, is a Schauder basis for  $J$ .

Take  $x_n = e_n - e_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

- (i)  $\|x_n\|_J = \sqrt{6}$ ,  $x_n \in J$ ,
- (ii)  $D(\{x_n\}) = 2\sqrt{3} < A(\{x_n\}) = 2\sqrt{5}$ .

LEMMA 2.1. If  $z_n = y_n/\|y_n\|$ ,  $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| \neq 0$ , then

$$D(\{z_n\}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} D(\{y_n\}).$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $M > 0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $M \cdot \limsup_n \|x_n - x\| \leq A(\{x_n\})$  for any  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (not strongly convergent).
- (b)  $M \cdot \limsup_n \|x'_n - x'\| \leq D(\{x'_n\})$  for any  $x'_n \rightarrow x'$  (not strongly convergent).

As a direct consequence of Lemma 2.2 and (\*), we give some sharp expressions of  $WCS(X)$  which improves the results due to Zhang [47].

THEOREM 2.1.

$$\begin{aligned} WCS(X) &= \sup \left\{ M : x_n \rightarrow u \Rightarrow M \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - u\| \leq D(\{x_n\}) \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \frac{D(\{x_n\})}{r(u, \{x_n\})} : \right. \\ &\quad \left. \{x_n\} \text{ weakly (not strongly) converges to } u \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ D(\{x_n\}) : \{x_n\} \subset S(X) \text{ and } x_n \rightarrow 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S(X)$  denotes the unit sphere of  $X$ , i.e.,  $S(X) = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ .

Jiménez-Melado [21] has defined a geometrical coefficient  $\beta(X)$  for a Banach space  $X$ , i.e.,

$$\beta(X) := \inf \left\{ D(\{x_n\}) : x_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 1 \right\}$$

and he has shown that if  $\beta(X) > 1$  then  $X$  has property (T). As a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result due to Benavides-Acedo-Xu [5].

COROLLARY 2.1.  $WCS(X) = \beta(X)$ .

### 3. Fixed point theorems

Recall that the *modulus of convexity* of  $X$  is the function  $\delta : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$\delta(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| : \|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The *characteristic of convexity* of  $X$  is the number  $\varepsilon_0(X) = \sup\{\varepsilon : \delta(\varepsilon) = 0\}$ . It is easy to see that  $X$  is *uniformly convex* iff  $\varepsilon_0(X) = 0$ ; *uniformly nonsquare* iff  $\varepsilon_0(X) < 2$ ; and *strictly convex* iff  $\delta(2) = 1$ . We say that  $T : H \rightarrow H$  satisfies *Goebel's Lipschitz condition* if

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq k\|x - y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ , where  $k$  satisfies the condition

$$\frac{k}{2} \left( 1 - \delta \left( \frac{2}{k} \right) \right) < 1.$$

Note that this condition always holds if  $k < 2$ .

Recall that  $F \subseteq K \subseteq X$ , then  $F$  is said to be a *1-local retract* of  $K$  if every family  $\{B_i : i \in I\}$  of closed balls centered at points of  $F$  has the property:

$$(\cap_{i \in I} B_i) \cap K \neq \emptyset \implies (\cap_{i \in I} B_i) \cap F \neq \emptyset.$$

This concept is due to Khamsi [26,27] who used it to prove the existence of common fixed points for commuting families of nonexpansive mappings in more general context. He proved in [27] that  $F$  is a 1-local retract of  $K$  if and only if  $F$  is a nonexpansive retract of  $F \cup \{x\}$ , for every  $x \in K$ , where  $A \subseteq X$  means a *nonexpansive retract* of  $X$  if there exists a nonexpansive map  $r : X \rightarrow A$  such that  $r_A = I$ . It is easy to see that a 1-local retract of a convex set is metrically convex, and a 1-local retract of a closed set must itself be closed. It is well-known that if  $F$  is a nonexpansive retract of  $K$ , then it is a 1-local retract of  $K$  but not conversely.

The following, which is less immediate, basically follows the argument of Goebel [14]. For more detail proof, see [31].

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $H$  be a nonempty subset of a Banach space  $X$ , and suppose  $H$  is a 1-local retract of  $\overline{\text{co}}(H)$ . Suppose  $T : H \rightarrow H$  satisfies Gobel’s Lipschitz condition, and  $T^2 = I$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point.*

Let  $\mathbb{R}_+$  be the set of nonnegative real numbers and let  $\Phi$  be the family of continuous functions  $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $\phi(1, 1, 1) = k < 2$ ,
- (ii) for  $s \geq 0, t \geq 0$ , the inequality  $s \leq \phi(t, 2t, s)$  implies that  $s \leq ht$  for some  $h \in [k, 2)$  (See [2], [10]).

Recall that a mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is called an *involution* if  $T^2 = I$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity map. With mimicking the proof of Lemma 3.1, we also have the following:



**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space, let  $H$  be a nonempty 1-local retract of  $\overline{c\bar{o}}(H)$ . If  $T : H \rightarrow H$  is an involution map satisfying*

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \phi(\|x - y\|, \|x - Tx\|, \|y - Ty\|)$$

for all  $x, y \in H$  and some  $\phi \in \Phi$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $H$ .

Let  $\{n_\alpha\}$  be an ultra subnet on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $C$  be a weakly compact subset of a Banach space  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a mapping such that for each  $x \in C$ ,

$$T^{n_\alpha}(x) \rightarrow S(x).$$

It is easy to show that if  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is a mapping of a.n.t. then  $S : C \rightarrow C$  is nonexpansive. Obviously,  $Fix(T) \subseteq Fix(S)$ , where  $Fix(T)$  denotes the set of all fixed points of  $T$ . Furthermore, if  $X$  has weak normal structure, by classical fixed point theorem of Kirk,  $Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Now we will present a sufficient condition for which  $Fix(S) \subseteq Fix(T)$ . For the proof of the following lemma, see the lemma 3.1 of [29].

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $C$  be a weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space  $X$  with  $WCS(X) > 1$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a continuous mapping of a.n.t. and weakly asymptotic regular on  $C$ . Then  $Fix(T) = Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Further it is a nonexpansive retract of  $C$ .*

Combined with Theorem 3.1, this yields the following result.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $C$  be a weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space  $X$  with  $WCS(X) > 1$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a mapping such that  $T^2$  is both a.n.t. and weakly asymptotic regular on  $C$ . If  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is an involution map satisfying*

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \phi(\|x - y\|, \|x - Tx\|, \|y - Ty\|)$$

for all  $x, y \in C$  and some  $\phi \in \Phi$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $C$ .

**PROOF.** By Lemma 3.2,  $H := Fix(T^2)$  is a nonempty nonexpansive retract of  $C$ . It is obvious that  $T : H \rightarrow H$  and that all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. Therefore  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

Here we give an example of a mapping which is  $k$ -lipschitzian involution but not of a.n.t.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $C = [-\frac{1}{k}, 1]$ , where  $1 < k < 2$ . Define a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{k}x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1; \\ -kx & \text{if } -\frac{1}{k} \leq x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then it is obvious that  $T$  is a uniformly  $k$ -lipschitzian involution mapping but it is not of a.n.t. Indeed, for  $x = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{|T^n(y)| - |y| : y \in [-\frac{1}{k}, 1]\} \\ &= \sup\{|T(y)| - |y| : y \in [-\frac{1}{k}, 1]\} \\ &= \sup\{(k-1)|y| : -\frac{1}{k} \leq y \leq 0\} \\ &= (k-1)\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{k} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. Some questions

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  has the semi-Opial property (semi-O) [35] if for any bounded nonconstant sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = 0$  there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  and

$$\text{(semi-O)} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x_{n_k}\| < \text{diam}\{x_n\}.$$

The following spaces have the semi-Opial property.

(1)  $X$  is reflexive and it has Opial's property [40], i.e., for any weakly null sequence  $\{x_n\}$ ,

$$\text{(O)} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\| \quad \text{for every } x (\neq O) \in X.$$

(2)  $X$  has (UNS).

(3)  $X$  is nearly uniformly convex (NUC) [20], i.e., for  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that

$$(NUC) \quad [ \|x_n\| \leq 1, \text{sep}(\{x_n\}) > \epsilon \Rightarrow \text{co}(\{x_n\}) \cap B_{1-\delta}(O) \neq \emptyset ],$$

where  $B_r(O) = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq r\}$  for  $r > 0$ .

Note that  $X$  is (NUC) iff it is reflexive and has a (UKK) norm.

Let  $\text{sep}(\{x_n\}) = \inf\{\|x_n - x_m\| : n \neq m\}$ . A Banach space  $X$  is said to have *Kadec-Klee* (KK) norm if for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  the following implication holds:

$$(KK) \quad [ \|x_n\| \leq 1, \text{sep}(\{x_n\}) > 0 \text{ and } x_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow \|x\| < 1 ].$$

In other words, (weak convergence)  $\Rightarrow$  (norm convergence) on the unit sphere of  $X$ , i.e.,  $\{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ . The norm of  $X$  is said to be (UKK) (*uniformly Kadec-Klee*) if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that

$$(UKK) \quad [ \|x_n\| \leq 1, \text{sep}(\{x_n\}) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } x_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq 1 - \delta ],$$

where  $\text{sep}(\{x_n\}) := \inf\{\|x_n - x_m\| : n \neq m\} \geq \epsilon$  “ $\epsilon$ -separate sequence”. The norm of  $X$  is said to be (WUKK) (*weakly uniform Kadec-Klee*) [11] if  $\exists \epsilon, \delta > 0$  such that

$$(WUKK) \quad [ \|x_n\| \leq 1, \text{sep}(\{x_n\}) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } x_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq 1 - \delta ],$$

(4) (Baillon-Schöneberg [4])  $X = X_\beta$ , where  $1 < \beta < 2, X_\beta = (\ell^2, \|\cdot\|_\beta)$ ,

$$\|x\|_\beta = \max(\|x\|_2, \beta\|x\|_\infty)$$

for  $x \in \ell^2$ . Note that

- (i)  $X_\beta$  is (UNS) if  $1 < \beta < \sqrt{2}$ .
- (ii)  $X_\beta$  is (ANS) if  $1 < \beta < 2$ .
- (iii)  $X_{\sqrt{2}}$  fails to have (NS).

(5)  $X$  is the James quasi-reflexive space.

The following proposition gives an interesting result concerning minimal sets:

PROPOSITION ([1]). Let  $\alpha : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a lower semicontinuous convex function. Assume that  $\alpha(Tx) \leq \alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a constant function.

LEMMA 4.1 (GOEBEL [16]-KARLOVITZ [24,25]). Let  $T$  be nonexpansive. Let  $K$  be a weakly compact convex subset which is minimal invariant under  $T$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of approximate fixed points, i.e.,  $x_n \in K$  and  $\|Tx_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then for each  $x \in K$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x_n\| = \text{diam}(K).$$

QUESTION (I). Does Geobel-Karlovitiz lemma hold for any asymptotically nonexpansive mapping  $T$  ?

To complete the proof of Lemma, we define  $\alpha(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|$  for  $x \in K$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of approximate fixed points for  $T$ , it follows that  $\alpha(Tx) \leq \alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ . By above proposition,  $\alpha$  must be a constant function.

THEOREM 4.2. (semi-O)  $\Rightarrow$  (FPP:N).

PROOF. For fixed a  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we set

$$S_\lambda := \lambda I + (1 - \lambda)T,$$

where  $I$  is the identity operator of  $X$ . Then it is obvious that  $S_\lambda : C \rightarrow C$  is nonexpansive with the same fixed point set of  $T$ . Moreover, it is well-known that  $S_\lambda$  is asymptotically regular on  $C$  (see [13]). Then, by Zorn's lemma there exists a nonempty weakly compact convex subset  $K$  of  $C$  which is invariant under  $S_\lambda$ . Suppose that  $\text{diam}(K) > 0$ . Let  $x_o \in K$ . Taking  $x_n := S_\lambda^n x_o$  in Lemma 4.1, for each  $x \in K$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x_n\| = \text{diam}(K).$$

On the other hand, by the semi-Opial property of  $X$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $w\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - x\| < \text{diam}(\{x_n\}) \leq \text{diam}(K),$$

which gives a contradiction. Hence,  $\text{diam}(K) = 0$  and so  $S_\lambda$  has a fixed point in  $K$ .  $\square$

QUESTION (II). *Does Theorem 4.2 hold for any asymptotically non-expansive mapping  $T$  ?*

We say that a Banach space  $X$  has the *uniform Opial's property* (UO) [39] if for any  $c > 0$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$(UO) \quad 1 + r \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|$$

for every  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| \geq c$  and any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $x_n \rightarrow O$ ,  $\liminf_n \|x_n\| \geq 1$ .

We say that  $X$  has the *local uniform Opial's property* (LUO) [39] if for any  $c > 0$  and for any weakly null sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $\liminf_n \|x_n\| \geq 1$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$(LUO) \quad 1 + r \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\| \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ with } \|x\| \geq c.$$

THEOREM 4.3 ([45]). *If  $X$  has (UO), then (FPP) holds for any continuous mapping of a.n.t.*

Note that the following implications hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (UC)+(O) &\xrightarrow{[7,45]} (UO) \Rightarrow (LUO) \Rightarrow \\ &(O) \xrightarrow{(R)} (\text{semi-O}) \xrightarrow{(\text{Theorem 4.2})} (FPP:N), \end{aligned}$$

where (R) means the reflexivity of  $X$ .

QUESTION (III). *Let  $X$  have the property (O). Does (FPP) hold for any asymptotically nonexpansive mapping  $T$  ?*

For every  $x, y \in X$  and nonnegative real number  $\lambda$ , we set

$$M_\lambda(x, y) = \left\{ z \in X : \max\{\|z - x\|, \|z - y\|\} \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda)\|x - y\| \right\}.$$

If  $A$  is a bounded subset of  $X$ , we define  $|A| = \sup\{\|z\| : z \in A\}$ . For any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  and any nonnegative real number  $\lambda$ , we set

$$A_\lambda(\{x_n\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |M_\lambda(x_n, x_m)|).$$

A Banach space  $X$  is called *orthogonally convex* (OC) [22,23] if for any weakly null sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $D(\{x_n\}) > 0$ ,

$$(OC) \quad \exists \lambda > 0 \text{ such that } A_\lambda(\{x_n\}) < D(\{x_n\}).$$

Note also that the following implications hold:

$$(OC) \xrightarrow{[22]} (FPP:N) \xleftarrow{[32]} (WNS).$$

The following spaces have (OC) property:

- (1)  $X$  is a Banach space with the Schur property, and so is  $\ell^1$ .
- (2) (UC)  $\implies$  (OC).
- (3)  $c_o$  and  $c$ .
- (4) The James quasi-reflexive space is (OC).
- (5) (Dulst [11]) The space  $VD = (\ell^2, \|\cdot\|)$  is (OC), where

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \|x\|_2, \sup_{n \geq 2} |x(1) + x(n) + x(n+1)| \right\}$$

for all  $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x(n)e_n \in \ell^2$ .

QUESTION (IV). *What is the relation between  $WCS(X) > 1$  and (OC) ?*

QUESTION (V). *Let  $X=(OC)$ . Does (FPP) hold for any asymptotically nonexpansive mapping  $T$  ?*

Finally recall a generalization of uniformly convex Banach spaces which is due to Sullivan [42]. Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. Then a Banach space  $X$  is said to be  $k$ -(UR) (*k-uniformly rotund*) if given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}\} \subset B_X$ , the closed unit ball of  $X$ , satisfies  $V(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \geq \epsilon$ , then  $\|(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i)/(k+1)\| \leq 1 - \delta(\epsilon)$ . Here  $V(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})$  is the volume enclosed by the set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$ , i.e.,

$$V(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = \sup \left\{ \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ f_1(x_1) & \dots & f_1(x_{k+1}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_k(x_1) & \dots & f_k(x_{k+1}) \end{array} \right| \right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in B_{X^*}$ . The modulus of  $k$ -uniform rotundity of  $X$  is the function  $\delta_X^{(k)}(\cdot)$  defined by

$$\delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{k+1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i \right\| : x_i \in B_X, V(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \geq \epsilon \right\}.$$

Then it is seen that  $X$  is  $k$ -(UR) if and only if  $\delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon) > 0$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ .

It is now known that the following implications hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(NUC)} & \stackrel{[46]}{\Leftarrow} \dots \Leftarrow k\text{-(UR)} \dots \Leftarrow 2\text{-(UR)} \Leftarrow 1\text{-(UR)} \iff \text{(UC)} \\ & \Downarrow \\ \text{(UKK)} & \Rightarrow \text{(WUKK)} \stackrel{[12]}{\implies} \text{(WNS)} \stackrel{[32]}{\implies} \text{(FPP:N)} \\ & \Downarrow \\ \text{(KK)} & . \end{aligned}$$

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy *Lim's condition (L)* [37] if there exists a function  $\delta : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $\delta(r, s)$  is continuous and strictly increasing in each variable,
- (b) If  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\lim \|x_n\| = s > 0$ , then

$$\lim \|y - x_n\| = \delta(\|y\|, s) \quad \text{for every } y \in X.$$

Khamsi [28] showed that a Banach space  $X$  having a weakly continuous duality map (or more generally, *Lim's condition (L)*) satisfies the uniform Opial condition. For more details, see [28]. Here, we have the following implications:

$$\text{(J=WSC)} \Rightarrow \text{(L)} \stackrel{[28]}{\implies} \text{(UO)} \Rightarrow \text{(LUO)} \Rightarrow \text{(O)},$$

$$k\text{-}(UR)+(O) \xrightarrow{[39]} (LUO),$$

$$(UC)+(O) \xrightarrow{[8,45]} (UO) \implies (LUO) \implies (O) \xrightarrow{(R)} (\text{semi-O}) \implies (FPP:N).$$

Recently, Xu [45] raised the following question.

QUESTION (VI). For  $k > 1$ ,  $k\text{-}(UR)+(O) \implies (UO)$  ?

### References

1. A. G. Aksoy and M. A. Khamsi, *Nonstandard Methods in Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 52., Springer-Verlag, New-York, Berlin, 1990.
2. N. A. Assad and S. Sessa, *Involution maps and fixed points in Banach spaces*, Math. J. Toyama Univ. **14** (1991), 141-146.
3. J. B. Baillon, *unpublished*.
4. J. B. Baillon and R. Schöneberg, *Asymptotic normal structure and fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), 257-264.
5. T. D. Benavides, G. L. Acedo and H. K. Xu, *Weak uniform normal structure and iterative fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Colloq. Math. **68** (1995), 17-23.
6. M. S. Brodskii and D. P. Milman, *On the center of a convex set*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **59** (1948), 837-840.
7. F. E. Browder, *Nonexpansive nonlinear operators in a Banach space*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA. **54** (1965), 041-1044.
8. R. Bruck, T. Kuczumov and S. Reich, *Convergence of iterates of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces with the uniform Opial property*, Coll. Math. **65** (1993), 169-179.
9. W. L. Bynum, *Normal structure coefficients for Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **86** (1980), 427-436.
10. D. Delbosco, *A unified approach for all contractive mappings*, Jñānābha **16** (1986), 1-11.
11. D. van Dulst, *Some geometric properties related to normal structure*, Lect. Notes in Nonlinear Analysis 80 Marcel Dekker, 1982.
12. D. van Dulst and B. Sim, *Fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and Chebyshev centers in Banach spaces with norms of type (KK)*, Banach space theory and its applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 991 Springer, Berlin, New York, 1983.
13. M. Edelstein and R. C. O'Brien, *Nonexpansive mappings, asymptotic regularity, and successive approximations*, J. London Math. Soc. **17** (1978), 547-554.



14. K. Goebel, *Convexity of balls and fixed point theorems for mappings with non-expansive square*, *Compositio Math.* **22** (1970), 269-274.
15. K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **35** (1972), 171-174
16. K. Goebel, *On the structure of the minimal invariant sets for nonexpansive mappings*, *Annal. Univ. Marie Curie-Sklodowska* **29** (1975), 73-77.
17. K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
18. D. Göhde, *Zum Prinzip der Kontrativen Abbildung*, *Math. Nach.* **30** (1965), 251-258.
19. J. P. Gossez and E. Lami Dozo, *Structure normale et base de Schauder*, *Bull. Acad. Roy. Belgique* **15** (1969), 637-681.
20. R. Huff, *Banach spaces which are nearly uniformly convex*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **10** (1980), 743-749.
21. A. Jiménez-Melado, *Stability of weak normal structure in James quasi reflexive space*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **46**vv (1992), 367-372.
22. A. Jiménez-Melado and E. Lloréns-Fuster, *A sufficient condition for the fixed point property*, *Nonlinear Analysis* **20** (1993), 849-853.
23. ———, *A geometric property of Banach spaces which implies the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings*, preprints (unpublished in part).
24. L. Karlovitz, *Some fixed point results for nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Seminar on Fixed Points, Dalhousie University, 1975.
25. ———, *Existence of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in a space without normal structure*, *Pacific J. Math.* **66** (1976), 153-159.
26. M. A. Khamsi, *Etude de la propriété du point fixe dans les espaces de Banach et les espaces métriques*, Thèse de Doctorat de L'Université Paris VI, 1987.
27. ———, *One-local retract and common fixed point for commuting mappings in metric spaces*, preprint..
28. ———, *On uniform Opial condition and uniform Kadec-Klee property in Banach and metric spaces*, preprint..
29. T. H. Kim and E. S. Kim, *Fixed point theorems for non-lipschitzian mappings in Banach spaces*, to appear in *Math. Japonica*.
30. ———, *Iterative fixed points of non-lipschitzian self-mappings*, *Kodai Math. Jour.* **18** (1995), 275-283.
31. T. H. Kim and W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems for lipschitzian mappings in Banach spaces*, *Nonlinear Analysis* **26** (1996), 1905-1911.
32. W. A. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distance*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **72** (1965), 1004-1006.
33. ———, *Fixed point theorems for non-lipschitzian mappings of asymptotically nonexpansive type*, *Israel J. Math.* **17** (1974), 339-346.
34. ———, *Some questions in metric fixed point theory*, preprint.
35. T. Kuczmov, S. Reich and M. Schmidt, *A fixed point property of  $\ell_1$ -product spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1993), 457-463.

36. T. C. Lim, *On the normal structure coefficient and the bounded sequence coefficient*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 262-264.
37. ———, *Asymptotic centers and nonexpansive mappings in conjugate Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), 135-143.
38. P. K. Lin, *The Browder-Göhde property in product spaces*, Houston J. Math. **13** (1987), 235-240.
39. P. K. Lin, K. K. Tan and H. K. Xu, *Demiclosedness principle and asymptotic behavior for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Nonlinear Analysis **24** (1995), 929-946.
40. Z. Opia, *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 591-597.
41. S. Prus, *Banach spaces with the uniform Opial property*, Nonlinear Analysis **18** (1992), 697-704.
42. F. Sullivan, *A generalization of uniformly rotund Banach spaces*, Can. J. Math. **31** (1979), 628-636.
43. D. Tingley, *The normal structure of James quasi reflexive space*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 95-100.
44. J. R. L. Webb and W. Zhao, *On connections between set and ball measures of noncompactness*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **22** (1990), 471-477.
45. H. K. Xu, *Geometrical coefficients of Banach spaces and nonlinear mappings*, to appear the Proceedings of the Workshop on Fixed Point Theory held in Sevilla, Spain, September, 1995.
46. X. T. Yu,  *$k$ -UR implies NUC*, Kexue Tongbao **28** (1983) 1473-1475 (In Chinese).
47. G. L. Zhang, *Weakly convergent sequence coefficient of product space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1993), 637-643.

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Pukyong National University  
Pusan 608-737, Korea  
E-mail address: taehwa@sun630cc.nfup.ac.kr