

## ON THE ITERATION OF HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS IN $\mathbb{C}^2$

OH-NAM KWON

ABSTRACT. Let  $F$  be a germ of analytic transformation from  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$  to  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$ . Let  $a, b$  denote the eigenvalues of  $DF(O)$ .  $O$  is called a semi-attractive fixed point if  $|a| = 1, 0 < |b| < 1$  ( or  $|b| = 1, 0 < |a| < 1$  ).  $O$  is called a super-attractive fixed point if  $a = 0, b = 0$ . We discuss such a mapping from the point of view of dynamical systems.

### 1. Introduction

We will consider a germ of analytic transformation  $F$  from  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$  to  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$ , i.e., a holomorphic map defined in a neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  which leaves the origin  $O = (0, 0)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  fixed. Let  $a, b$  denote the eigenvalues of  $DF(O)$ .  $O$  is called a *semi-attractive* fixed point if  $|a| = 1, 0 < |b| < 1$  ( or  $|b| = 1, 0 < |a| < 1$  ).  $O$  is said to be *super-attractive* if both of the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix at the origin,  $DF(O)$ , are zero. We discuss such a mapping from the point of view of dynamical systems. That is, we will be mainly concerned with the behaviour of the points in the vicinity of the fixed point  $O$  under the iterates  $\{ F, F^{\circ 2} = F \circ F, \dots, F^{\circ n}, \dots \}$ . For the case of semi-attractive transformations, the dynamics of analogous mapping in one complex variable may be written with a convergent power series in  $x$  as

$$F(x) = x(1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

and has been studied by Fatou and Leau. Their theory is quite complete (see [1], [5]). Voronin[8] showed that a map of the form  $x \mapsto x(1 + x^k +$

---

Received February 16, 1996. Revised May 18, 1996.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 32H50.

Key words and phrases: holomorphic mappings, semi-attractive fixed point, super-attractive fixed point.

This paper was supported by NON DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND, Korea Research Foundation, 1994.

$\dots$ ) is formally conjugate to  $x \mapsto x(1 + x^k + \beta x^{2k})$ , and the number  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  is the only invariant in terms of formal power series. Ueda[6,7] studied the analytic transformation  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$  with eigenvalues  $\{1, b\}$  at  $O$ , such that  $0 < |b| < 1$ . He calls a classification  $\{(1, b)_k\}$ , for  $k$  integer,  $1 \leq k \leq \infty$ , on these transformations. Ueda concentrated his work on the case  $(1, b)_1$ . In Sections 2 and 3, it will be treated for *semi-attractive* transformations of type  $(a, b)_k$  where  $a^p = 1, 0 < |b| < 1$ .

In general, it is not possible to find an analytic change of coordinates around the super-attractive fixed point which transforms the dynamical system into a “simple normal form”, for example,  $(x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y^2)$ . In Section 4, we describe a class of dynamical systems which can be “normalized” by an analytic change of coordinates into the simplest normal form.

## 2. Reduced forms of semi-attractive transformations

Let us consider a semi-attractive germ  $F$  of transformation of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$  with eigenvalues  $a, b$  where  $a^p = 1, 0 < |b| < 1$ . Let  $E_1 \oplus E_2$  be the Jordan decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  in characteristic subspaces. Here  $E_1$  is associated to the eigenvalue  $a$  and  $E_2$  to the eigenvalue  $b$ . There exists an analytic stable submanifold  $X$  attracted by  $O$  and tangent to  $E_2$  (see [4] for the proof). Then a coordinate system  $(x, y)$  can be chosen in such a way that  $X$  is  $\{x = 0\}$  and the matrix  $DF(O)$  is triangular. With respect to this coordinate system,  $F$  has the form

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = aa_1(y)x + a_2(y)x^2 + \dots \\ y_1 = by + xh(x, y) \end{cases}$$

where  $\{a_j(\cdot)\}$ ,  $j = 2, \dots$  and  $h(\cdot, \cdot)$  are respectively germs of holomorphic functions from  $(\mathbb{C}^1, 0)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}^1, 0)$  to  $\mathbb{C}^1$ , with  $h(0, 0) = 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $F$  be a semi-attractive germ of transformation of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$  with eigenvalues  $a, b$  such that  $a^p = 1, 0 < |b| < 1$ . For every integer  $m$ , there exists coordinates  $(x, y)$  in which the transformation has the form*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = ax + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_mx^m + a_{m+1}(y)x^{m+1} + \dots \\ y_1 = by + xh(x, y) \end{cases}$$

as in (2.1), but with  $a_1(y) = 1$ ,  $a_2, \dots, a_m$  constants.

PROOF. We start with

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = aa_1(y)x + a_2(y)x^2 + \dots \\ y_1 = by + xh(x, y) \end{cases}$$

and we proceed inductively on  $m$ .

1) Reduction to  $a_1(y) \equiv 1$ . We use the coordinate system

$$\begin{cases} X = u(y)x \\ Y = y \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} x = X/u(Y) \\ y = Y \end{cases}$$

where  $u(y)$  is a germ of analytic function from  $(\mathbb{C}^1, 0)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $u(0) = 1$ , to be chosen.

We want

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= u(y_1)x_1 = u(by + xh(x, y))[aa_1(y)x + a_2(y)x^2 + \dots] \\ &= u(bY + \dots)[a_1(y)a \cdot X/u(Y) + \dots] \\ &= \frac{a_1(Y)u(bY)}{u(Y)}aX + O(X^2) = aX + O(X^2). \end{aligned}$$

So we have to choose  $u$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(Y) &= a_1(Y)u(bY) \\ u(bY) &= a_1(bY)u(b^2Y) \\ &\dots \\ u(b^n Y) &= a_1(b^n Y)u(b^{n+1}Y). \end{aligned}$$

This gives for  $u$  the unique solution

$$u(Y) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} a_1(b^n Y).$$

The infinite product is convergent in a neighborhood of 0 since  $a_1(0) = 1$  and  $|b| < 1$ .

2) Suppose that for  $m \geq 2$ , with some coordinates  $(x, y)$ ,  $F$  takes the form

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = ax + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + a_m(y)x^m + \cdots \\ y_1 = by + xh(x, y) \end{cases}$$

with the  $a_j$ 's constant for  $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$ . We then use a coordinate transformation

$$\begin{cases} X = x + v(y)x^m \\ Y = y \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} x = X - v(Y)X^m + \cdots \\ y = Y \end{cases}$$

with  $v(y)$  a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $v(0) = 0$ ,  $v$  to be chosen. We get

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= x_1 + v(y_1)x_1^m \\ &= ax + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + a_m(y)x^m + v(bY)x^m + O(x^{m+1}) \\ &= aX - av(Y)X^m + a_2X^2 + \cdots + a_{m-1}X^{m-1} + a_m(y)X^m \\ &\quad + v(bY)X^m + O(X^{m+1}). \end{aligned}$$

So we need that

$$\begin{aligned} av(Y) - v(bY) &= a_m(y) - a_m(0) \\ av(bY) - v(b^2Y) &= a_m(by) - a_m(0) \\ &\quad \dots \\ av(b^n Y) - v(b^{n+1}Y) &= a_m(b^n y) - a_m(0). \end{aligned}$$

The unique solution is then

$$v(y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{p-n} \{a_m(b^n y) - a_m(0)\} & \text{if } p > 0 \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{a_m(b^n y) - a_m(0)\} & \text{if } p = 0. \end{cases}$$

The series converges in a neighborhood of 0 since  $0 < |b| < 1$  and  $a_m(y) - a_m(0) = 0$  for  $y = 0$ .  $\square$

Let us write again  $F(x, y) = (x_1, y_1)$  as

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = ax(1 + a_n x^n + a_{n+1}(y)x^{n+1} + \dots), & a_n \neq 0 \\ y_1 = by + xh(x, y). \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let  $F$  be a semi-attractive germ of transformation of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$  with eigenvalues  $a, b$  such that  $a^p = 1, 0 < |b| < 1$ . Then the transformation can be written in some coordinates  $(x, y)$*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = ax(1 + a_{kp} x^{kp} + a_{kp+1}(y)x^{kp+1} + \dots) \\ y_1 = by + xh(x, y) \end{cases}$$

for some positive integer  $k$ .

PROOF. Assume then that the transformation is written in the form (2.3). Consider the following holomorphic change of coordinates.

$$\begin{cases} X = x(1 - \alpha x^n) \\ Y = y \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} x = X(1 + \alpha X^n) + O(X^{n+2}) \\ y = Y. \end{cases}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= x_1(1 - \alpha x_1^n) \\ &= ax(1 + a_n x^n + a_{n+1}(y)x^{n+1} + \dots) \\ &\quad (1 - \alpha a^n x^n(1 + a_n x^n + a_{n+1}(y)x^{n+1} + \dots)^n) \\ &= aX(1 + \alpha X^n)(1 + a_n X^n(1 + \alpha X^n)^n) \\ &\quad (1 - \alpha a^n X^n(1 + \alpha X^n)^n(1 + a_n X^n(1 + \alpha X^n)^n)) + O(X^{n+2}) \\ &= aX(1 + \alpha X^n)(1 + a_n X^n)(1 - \alpha a^n X^n) + O(X^{n+2}) \\ &= aX(1 + (\alpha(1 - a^n) + a_n)X^n) + O(X^{n+2}) \\ &= aX(1 + a'_n X^n) + O(X^{n+2}). \end{aligned}$$

So we can solve for  $\alpha$  if  $n \neq kp$  for some positive integer  $k$ . We repeat this process inductively.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let  $F$  be a semi-attractive germ of transformation of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$  of the form (2.4). Then the transformation can be written in some coordinates  $(x, y)$*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = ax(1 + x^{kp} + Cx^{2kp} + a_{2kp+1}(y)x^{2kp+1} + \dots) \\ y_1 = by + xh(x, y) \end{cases}$$

with  $C$  a constant.

PROOF. We can suppose that  $F$  is in the form by Proposition 2.2

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = ax(1 + a_{kp}x^{kp} + a_{kp+1}x^{kp+1} + \dots) \\ y_1 = by + xh(x, y) \end{cases}$$

with  $a_{kp} \neq 0$ . By a linear change of coordinates one can assume  $a_{kp} = 1$ . Now we use the coordinate transformation

$$\begin{cases} X = x(1 + c_n x^n) \\ Y = y \end{cases} \quad \text{OR} \quad \begin{cases} x = X(1 - c_n X^n + O(X^{2n})) \\ y = Y. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= x_1(1 + c_n x_1^n) \\ &= ax(1 + a_{kp}x^{kp} + \dots)(1 + c_n a^n x^n(1 + a_{kp}x^{kp} + \dots)^n) \\ &= aX(1 - c_n X^n + O(X^{2n}))(1 + a_{kp}X^{kp}(1 - c_n X^n + O(X^{2n}))^{kp} + \dots) \\ &\quad (1 + c_n a^n X^n(1 - c_n X^n + O(X^{2n}))^n(1 + a_{kp}X^{kp}(1 - c_n X^n + O(X^{2n}))^{kp} \\ &\quad + \dots + a_{kp+n}X^{kp+n}(1 - c_n X^n + O(X^{2n}))^{kp+n} + \dots)^n) \\ &= aX(1 + \sum_{i=kp}^{kp+n-1} a_i X^i + (a_{kp+n} - (kp - na^n)a_{kp}c_n)X^{kp+n} + O(X^{kp+n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $c_n = a_{kp+n}/a_{kp}(kp - na^n)$ ,  $n \neq kp$ , we have the desired result.  $\square$

### 3. Existence of attracting domains

In this section, we want to investigate the existence of attracting domains at  $O = (0, 0)$  in a neighborhood of  $O$ . As the partial derivative  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} F_1^{\circ n}(O)| = 1$  in some coordinate system, the family  $\{F^{\circ n}\}$  cannot converge to  $O$  in a neighborhood of  $O$ . So by attracting domains in a neighborhood of  $O$ , we mean open domains  $D$  with  $O \in \partial D$  such that  $x_n = F^{\circ n}(x)$  converge to  $O$  for  $x \in D$ . For the case of a semi-attractive invertible germ of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$  with  $a = 1$ , Ueda [6,7] showed the existence of attracting domains. The following theorem can be considered as a generalization of it. We will use a Fatou's method simplified here by using the reduced form for  $F$  which gives easily the Abel-Fatou invariant functions.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $F$  be a semi-attractive germ of transformation of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, O)$  with engenvalues  $a, b$  such that  $a^p = 1, 0 < |b| < 1$ . There exists an attracting domain with  $kp$  petals for some positive integer  $k$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $F$  is in the form by Proposition 2.3.

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = ax(1 + a_{kp}x^{kp} + a_{2kp}x^{2kp} + a_{2kp+1}x^{2kp+1} + \dots) \\ y = by + xh(x, y) \end{cases}$$

with  $a_{kp} \neq 0$ . By a linear change of coordinate, we may assume  $a_{kp} = \frac{1}{kp}$ .

Let  $R$  and  $\rho$  be positive constants to be adjusted later. The half complex-plane  $P_R$  and the subset  $V_{R,\rho}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} P_R &= \{X \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}X \geq R\} \\ V_{R,\rho} &= \{(X, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : X \in P_R, |y| < \rho\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $D_R$  and  $U_{R,\rho}$  be the images of  $P_R$  and  $V_{R,\rho}$  by the inversion  $z = \frac{1}{X}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_R &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \frac{1}{2R}| < \frac{1}{2R}\} \\ U_{R,\rho} &= \{(z, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z \in D_R, |y| < \rho\}. \end{aligned}$$

There are  $kp$  branches of  $z^{\frac{1}{kp}}$  in  $D_R$ . Let  $\{\Delta_{Rj}\}_{0 \leq j \leq kp-1}$  be the images of  $D_R$  by these determinations. We will show that, for  $R$  big enough and  $\rho$  small enough, the domains

$$(3.2) \quad W_{R,\rho,j} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : x \in \Delta_{Rj}, |y| < \rho\}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq kp - 1$$

are attracting domains.

Raising the relation

$$x_1 = ax(1 - \frac{1}{kp}x^{kp} + a_{2kp}x^{2kp} + \dots)$$

to the power  $kp$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{kp} &= a^{kp}x^{kp}(1 - \frac{1}{kp}x^{kp} + a_{2kp}x^{2kp} + \dots)^{kp} \\ &= x^{kp}(1 - x^{kp} + c_{2kp}x^{2kp} + c_{2kp+1}(y).c^{2kp+1} + \dots) \\ y_1 &= by + xh(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

We then restrict  $(x, y)$  to a  $W_{R,\rho,j}$  for fixed  $R, \rho, j$ , and we make the transformations

$$(z = x^{kp}, y = y) \quad \text{from } W_{R,\rho,j} \quad \text{to } U_{R,\rho}$$

and

$$(X = \frac{1}{z}, y = y) \quad \text{from } U_{R,\rho} \quad \text{to } V_{R,\rho}.$$

For  $R$  big enough and  $\rho$  small enough, the transformation  $F$  is defined in  $V_{R,\rho}$ , where we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \frac{X}{1 - x^{kp} + c_{2kp}x^{2kp} + c_{2kp+1}(y)x^{2kp+1} + \dots} \\ &= X(1 + \frac{1}{X} + c\frac{1}{X^2} + O_y(\frac{1}{|X|^{2+\frac{1}{kp}}})) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $F$  becomes

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = X + 1 + c\frac{1}{X} + O_y(\frac{1}{|X|^{1+\frac{1}{kp}}}) \\ y_1 = by + xh(x, y) = by + O_y(\frac{1}{|X|^{\frac{1}{kp}}}). \end{cases}$$



Here the notation  $O_y(\frac{1}{|X|^\alpha})$  represents a holomorphic function of  $(X, y)$  in  $V_{R,\rho}$  which is bounded by  $\frac{K}{|X|^\alpha}$  for some constant  $K$ .

Let  $K$  be a constant such that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} |X_1 - X - 1| \leq \frac{K}{|X|} \leq \frac{K}{R} \\ |y_1 - by| \leq \frac{K}{|X|^{\frac{1}{k_p}}} \leq \frac{K}{R^{\frac{1}{k_p}}} \end{cases}$$

in  $V_{R,\rho}$ .

Let  $R$  be a sufficiently large number such that

$$\frac{K}{R} < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{K}{R^{\frac{1}{k_p}}} < (1 - |b|)\rho.$$

This condition implies  $\text{Re}X_1 \geq \text{Re}X + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|y_1| \leq |y| \leq \rho$ . Thus  $V_{R,\rho}$  is mapped to itself.

In order to prove that  $W_{R,\rho,j}$  is attracted by 0, it is enough to show that  $V_{R,\rho}$  is attracted by  $(\infty, 0)$ . We see inductively that

$$\text{Re}X_n \geq R + \frac{n}{2}.$$

Let  $C$  be a constant big enough to have  $C \geq \frac{2K}{1 - |b|}$  and  $\rho \leq \frac{C}{R^{\frac{1}{k_p}}}$ . We prove by induction that if  $R$  is big enough, we have

$$|y_n| \leq \frac{C}{(R + \frac{n}{2})^{\frac{1}{k_p}}}.$$

The inequality

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\frac{R + \frac{1}{2}}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{k_p}} \leq \frac{C}{bC + K}$$

holds because we see that  $\frac{C}{|b|C + K} \geq \frac{2}{1 + |b|} > 1$  from  $C \geq \frac{2K}{1 - |b|}$ . So that (3.4) is true if  $R$  is big enough. Since

$$|y_{n+1}| \leq |b||y_n| + \frac{K}{|X_n|^{\frac{1}{kp}}} \leq \frac{bC + K}{(R + \frac{n}{2})^{\frac{1}{kp}}},$$

the inequality  $|y_{n+1}| \leq \frac{C}{(R + \frac{n+1}{2})^{\frac{1}{kp}}}$  will be satisfied by (3.4).

We have now  $kp$  disjoint domains attracted by  $O$ . Each of them is positively invariant by  $F$  since  $V_{R,\rho}$  is positively invariant. Furthermore since  $x_{n+1} \sim x_n$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have always the same branch of  $x^{\frac{1}{kp}}$ . Let  $D$  be the attracting domain of  $O$ . Then we want to prove if  $\zeta \in D$ , for  $n$  big enough,  $\zeta_n = (x_n, y_n)$  is in one of the  $W_{R,\rho,j}$ 's, or equivalently that  $(x_n^{kp}, y_n)$  is in  $U_{R,\rho}$ , or that  $(\frac{1}{x_n^{kp}}, y_n)$  is in  $V_{R,\rho}$ . But  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  and we have

$$\frac{1}{x_1^{kp}} = \frac{1}{x^{kp}} + 1 + cx^{kp} + O_y(|x|^{1+\frac{1}{kp}}),$$

so  $\text{Re} \frac{1}{x_n^{kp}} \rightarrow \infty$  when  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ . So  $\zeta$  belongs to the union of the increasing sequence of open sets

$$D_j = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{\circ-n}(W_{R,\rho,j}). \quad \square$$

### 4. Super-attractive fixed point

In this section, we consider the local behaviour of holomorphic mappings with a super-attractive fixed points. Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a complex holomorphic mapping. A point  $p \in \mathbb{C}$  is called a super-attractive fixed point if  $f(p) = p$  and  $f'(p) = 0$ . If  $f$  is not a constant function, the classical Böttcher's theorem asserts that  $f$  is holomorphically conjugate to the map  $z \mapsto z^k$  for some integer  $k > 1$  in a neighborhood of  $p$  (see [5] for the proof).

Let us consider a complex 2-dimensional dynamical system  $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ . Assume  $F$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin,  $O = (0, 0)$ , and that the origin is a fixed point of  $F$ , i.e.,  $F(O) = O$ . Furthermore, we assume both of the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix at the origin,  $DF(O)$ , are zero. Such a fixed point is said to be super-attractive. Hubbard and Papadopol [3] studied the case of super-attractive fixed points for homogeneous polynomial maps and their perturbations.

Let  $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  be holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin,  $O = (0, 0)$ . Suppose that the origin is a fixed point of  $F$ , i.e.,  $F(O) = O$ . Let

$$F(x, y) = (f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y))$$

We assume that the  $x$ -axis,  $\{(x, 0)\}$ , and the  $y$ -axis,  $\{(0, y)\}$  are invariant under  $F$ , i.e.,

$$f_2(x, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(0, y) = 0$$

holds for all  $x$  and  $y$  near the origin. We assume

$$f_1(x, 0) = x^k + \text{h.o.t.}, \quad f_2(0, y) = y^p + \text{h.o.t.}$$

where  $k, p \geq 2$ . Moreover, we assume  $\det(DF) = 0$  along the  $x$ -axis and the  $y$ -axis.

Under the assumptions above, we can apply the Bötcher's theorem to normalize the mapping on the  $x$ -axis and the  $y$ -axis respectively. We can rewrite the mapping  $F$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, y) &= x^k(1 + yg_1(x, y)) \\ f_2(x, y) &= y^p(1 + xg_2(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin, where  $g_1(x, y)$  and  $g_2(x, y)$  are holomorphic in the neighborhood of the origin. Let  $\Psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  denote the "normal form" mapping  $\Psi(x, y) = (x^k, y^p)$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  be holomorphic mapping defined near the origin. Suppose  $F$  is of the form*

$$F(x, y) = (x^k(1 + yg_1(x, y)), y^p(1 + xg_2(x, y)))$$

where  $k, p \geq 2$ , and  $g_1(x, y)$  and  $g_2(x, y)$  are holomorphic near the origin. Then there exists a holomorphic change of coordinates  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  around the origin with

$$\Phi(0, 0) = (0, 0), \quad D\Phi(O) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that

$$\Phi \circ F = \Psi \circ \Phi$$

holds in a neighborhood of the origin, where  $\Psi(x, y) = (x^k, y^p)$ .

PROOF. We will prove Theorem 4.1 for the case  $k = 2, p = 2$ . The same method holds for higher degrees of  $k$  and  $p$ .

Since

$$DF(O) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

there exists a neighborhood  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  of the origin, satisfying closure  $(F(U)) \subset U$  and that for any  $(x, y) \in U, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{\circ n}(x, y) = O$  holds.

Moreover, we can assume

$$|yg_1(x, y)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad |xg_2(x, y)| < \frac{1}{2}$$

for all  $(x, y) \in U$ . We shall denote the components of  $F^{\circ n}$  as

$$F^{\circ n}(x, y) = (F_1^{\circ n}(x, y), F_2^{\circ n}(x, y)) = (x_n, y_n).$$

First, let us construct the first component  $\Phi_1$  of  $\Phi$ . Let  $\varphi_0(x, y) = x$  and define  $\varphi_n(x, y) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\varphi_n(x, y) = (F_1^{\circ n}(x, y))^{\frac{1}{2^n}}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Here, we choose the branch of the right hand side satisfying  $\frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial x}(O) = 1$ . As  $F$  maps the  $y$ -axis into itself,  $\varphi_n$  is holomorphic

in the neighborhood. Let us verify that  $\varphi_n$  converges uniformly in  $U$ . We see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi_{n+1}(x, y)}{\varphi_n(x, y)} &= \frac{(F_1^{\circ(n+1)}(x, y))^{\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}}}{(F_1^{\circ n}(x, y))^{\frac{1}{2^n}}} = \left( \frac{(f_1(F^{\circ n}(x, y)))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{F_1^{\circ n}(x, y)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \\ &= \left( \frac{(f_1(x_n, y_n))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^n}} = \left( \frac{(x_n^2(1 + y_n g_1(x_n, y_n)))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \\ &= (1 + y_n g_1(x_n, y_n))^{\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $|y g_1(x, y)| < \frac{1}{2}$  holds in the neighborhood  $U$ ,

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x, y) = x \prod_{j=0}^n (1 + y_j g_1(x_j, y_j))^{\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}}$$

is uniformly convergent in  $U$ , where  $(x_0, y_0) = (x, y)$ . Hence, by setting

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \Phi_1,$$

$\Phi_1$  is holomorphic in  $U$  and satisfies the function equation

$$\Phi_1 \circ F = \Phi_1^2.$$

Similarly, the second component  $\Phi_2$  can be defined. Therefore by setting

$$\Phi(x, y) = (\Phi_1(x, y), \Phi_2(x, y)),$$

the function equation

$$\Phi \circ F = \Psi \circ \Phi$$

holds near the origin.  $\square$

## References

1. A. F. Beardon, *Iteration of rational functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin and Heidelberg, 1991.
2. P. Fatou, *Substitutions analytiques et equations fonctionnelles à deux variables*, An. Sc. Ec.N (1924), 67-142.
3. J. H. Hubbard and P. Papadopol, *Superattractive fixed points in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , preprint.
4. D. Ruelle, *Elements of differentiable dynamics and bifurcation theory*, Academic Press, Boston, 1989.
5. J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable : Introductory lectures* (1990), preprint.
6. T. Ueda, *Local structure of analytic transformations of two complex variables I*, J. of Math. of Kyoto Univ. **26** (1986), 233-261.
7. ———, *Local structure of analytic transformations of two complex variables II*, J. of Math. of Kyoto Univ. **31** (1991), 695-711.
8. S. M. Voronin, *Analytic classification of germs of conformal mappings  $(C, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$  with the identity linear part*, Functional Anal. Appl. **15** (1981), 1-17.

Department of Mathematics Education  
Ewha Woman's University  
Seoul 120-750, Korea